Journal of Information & Optimization Sciences
ISSN 0252-2667 (Print), ISSN 2169-0103 (Online)
Vol. 47 (2026), No. 4, pp. 1301–1312
DOI : 10.47974/JIOS-1422

Intuitionistic fuzzy equivalence relations and ideals on rings under norms

Rasul Rasuli
Department of Mathematics
Payame Noor University (PNU)
P. O. Box 19395-4697
Tehran
Iran

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate norms defined on intuitionistic fuzzy equivalence relations, intuitionistic fuzzy congruence relations, and intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of rings. We explore their properties and structural characteristics, as well as their behavior under ring homomorphisms.

Subject Classification (2020): *13Axx, 03E72, 08A30, 47A30, 20K30.*

Keywords: *General commutative ring theory, Theory of fuzzy sets, Congruence relations, Intuitionistic fuzzy equivalence relations, Intuitionistic fuzzy congruence relations, Intuitionistic fuzzy ideals, Norms.*

1. Introduction

The concept of a fuzzy relation was first introduced by Zadeh [1]. Later, Goguen [2] and Sanchez [3] examined fuzzy relations in various contexts. Nemitz [4] explored fuzzy equivalence relations, fuzzy functions as fuzzy relations, and fuzzy partitions. Murali [5] investigated the properties of fuzzy equivalence relations, focusing on their lattice-theoretic characteristics. Samhan [6] characterized fuzzy congruences generated by fuzzy relations on a semigroup and studied the lattice structure of fuzzy congruences on semigroups. In 1982, Liu [7] introduced the concepts of fuzzy rings and fuzzy ideals. The concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets was introduced by Atanassov [8-10]. Since then, numerous researchers have investigated the properties of intuitionistic fuzzy

ORCID-ID: 0000-0003-3755-5790

E-mail: Rasuli@pnu.ac.ir

© Taru Publications

algebraic structures, including BG-subalgebras, BH-subalgebras, and AT-subalgebras, for various applications [11-13]. In [14], fuzzy subrings and ideals were redefined, and the author explored the properties of fuzzy algebraic structures using norms [15-25].

In this paper, we apply norms to introduce intuitionistic fuzzy equivalence relations, intuitionistic fuzzy congruence relations, and intuitionistic fuzzy ideals on rings. We derive new results regarding these concepts. Additionally, we define addition and multiplication for intuitionistic fuzzy congruence relations under norms and introduce the corresponding quotient rings. Finally, we explore ring homomorphisms and isomorphisms between these quotient rings.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will review some of the fundamental concepts and definitions that are essential for the following discussion.

Definition 2.1: Let $X_1, X_2,$ and X_3 be sets. A function $f: X_1 \to\times X_3$ is called a complex mapping if there exist functions $f_1: X_1 \to X_2$ and $f_2: X_1 \to X_3$ such that for all $x \in X_1,\ f(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x))$.

Definition 2.2: Let X be a nonempty set. An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) in X is a complex mapping $M = (\mu_M, \nu_M): X \to [0,1] \times [0,1]$, where $\mu_M: X \to [0,1]$ represents the degree of membership, $\nu_M: X \to [0,1]$ represents the degree of non-membership and these functions satisfy the condition: $0 \leq \mu_M(x) + \nu_M(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in X$. We will denote the set of all $IFSs$ in X as $IFS(X)$.

Definition 2.3: An intuitionistic fuzzy relation is an intuitionistic fuzzy subset of $X_1 \times X_2,$ that is, is an expression R given by

$$\{\langle (x_1, x_2), \mu_R(x_1, x_2), \nu_R(x_1, x_2)\rangle | x_1 \in X_1, x_2 \in X_2\}$$

where $\mu_R: X_1 \times X_2 \to [0,1]$ and $\nu_R: X_1 \times X_2 \to [0,1]$ satisfy the condition $0 \leq \mu_R(x_1, x_2) + \nu_R(x_1, x_2) \leq 1$ for any $(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$.

Definition 2.4: A t-norm T^{norm} is a function $T^{norm}: [0,1] \times [0,1] \to [0,1]$ such that:

(T1) $T^{norm}(x_1, 1) = x_1$

(T2) $T^{norm}(x_1, x_2) \leq T^{norm}(x_1, x_3)$ if $x_2 \leq x_3$

(T3) $T^{norm}(x_1, x_2) = T^{norm}(x_2, x_1)$

(T4) $T^{norm}(x_1, T^{norm}(x_2, x_3)) = T^{norm}(T^{norm}(x_1, x_2), x_3)$,

for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in [0,1]$.

Corollary 2.5: *Let T^{norm} be a t-norm. Then*

(i) $T^{norm}(x_1, 0) = 0$.

(ii) $T^{norm}(0,0) = 0$.

for all $x_1 \in [0,1]$.

Definition 2.6: A t-conorm C^{norm} is a function $C^{norm}: [0,1] \times [0,1] \to [0,1]$ such that:

(C1) $C^{norm}(x_1, 0) = x$

(C2) $C^{norm}(x_1, x_2) \leq C^{norm}(x_1, x_3)$ if $x_2 \leq x_3$

(C3) $C^{norm}(x_1, x_2) = C^{norm}(x_2, x_1)$

(C4) $C^{norm}(x_1, C^{norm}(x_2, x_3)) = C^{norm}(C^{norm}(x_1, x_2), x_3)$,

for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in [0,1]$.

Corollary 2.7: *Let C^{norm} be a t-conorm. Then*

(i) $C^{norm}(x_1, 1) = 1$.

(ii) $C^{norm}(0,0) = 0$.

for all $x_1 \in [0,1]$.

Recall that t-norm T^{norm} (t-conorm C^{norm}) is idempotent if for all $x_1 \in [0,1]$, $T^{norm}(x_1, x_1) = x_1 (C^{norm}(x_1, x_1) = x_1)$.

Lemma 2.8: (See [26]) Let T^{norm} be a t-norm and C^{norm} be a t-conorm. Then

$(T^{norm}(T^{norm}(x_1, x_2), T^{norm}(x_3, x_4)) = T^{norm}(T^{norm}(x_1, x_3), T^{norm}(x_2, x_4))$

and

$C^{norm}(C^{norm}(x_1, x_2), C^{norm}(x_3, x_4)) = C^{norm}(C^{norm}(x_1, x_3), C^{norm}(x_2, x_4))$,

for all $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in [0,1]$.

3. Main results

Definition 3.1: Let $M = (\mu_M, \nu_M) \in IFS(R \times R)$ an called an intuitionistic fuzzy equivalence relation on $R \times R$ under norms (T^{norm} and C^{norm}) if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) $\mu_M(r, r) = 1$,

(2) $\mu_M(r, s) = \mu_M(s, r)$,

(3) $\mu_M(r, t) \geq T^{norm}(\mu_M(r, s), \mu_M(s, t))$,

(4) $\nu_M(r, r) = 0$,

(5) $\nu_M(r, s) = \nu_M(s, r)$,

(6) $\nu_M(r, t) \leq C^{norm}(\nu_M(r, s), \nu_M(s, t))$,

for all $r, s, t \in R$.

Corollary 3.2: *Definition 3.1 is equivalent that $M = (\mu_M, \nu_M) \in IFS(R \times R)$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy equivalence relation on $R \times R$ under norms (T^{norm} and C) if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) $M(r, r) = (1,0)$,

(ii) $M(r, s) = M(s, r)$,

(iii) $M(r, t) \supseteq (T^{norm}(\mu_M(r, s), \mu_M(s, t), C^{norm}(\nu_M(r, s), \nu_M(s, t)))$,

for all $r, s, t \in R$.

Definition 3.3: *Let* $M = (\mu_M, \nu_M) \in IFS(R \times R)$ *an called an intuitionistic fuzzy congruence relation on* $R \times R$ *if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(1) $\mu_M(r,r) = 1$,
(2) $\mu_M(r,s) = \mu_M(s,r)$,
(3) $\mu_M(r,t) \geq T^{norm}(\mu_M(r,s), \mu_M(s,t))$,
(4) $\mu_M(r+t, s+u) \geq T^{norm}(\mu_M(r,s), \mu_M(t,u))$,
(5) $\mu_M(rt, su) \geq T^{norm}(\mu_M(r,s), \mu_M(t,u))$,
(6) $\nu_M(r,r) = 0$,
(7) $\nu_M(r,s) = \nu_M(s,r)$,
(8) $\nu_M(r,t) \leq C^{norm}(\nu_M(r,s), \nu_M(s,r))$,
(9) $\nu_M(r+t, s+u) \leq C^{norm}(\nu_M(r,s), \nu_M(t,u))$,
(10) $\nu_M(rt, su) \leq C^{norm}(\nu_M(r,s), \nu_M(t,u))$,

for all $x, y, z, t \in R$.

We denote the set of all intuitionistic fuzzy congruence relations on $R \times R$ under norms (T^{norm} and C^{norm}) by $IFSCN(R)$.

Corollary 3.4: *Definition 3.3 is equivalent that* $M = (\mu_M, \nu_M) \in IFSCN(R)$ *if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) $M(r,r) = (1,0)$,
(ii) $M(r,s) = M(s,r)$,
(iii) $M(r,t) \supseteq (T^{norm}(\mu_M(r,s), \mu_M(s,t)), C^{norm}(\nu_M(r,s), \nu_M(s,t)))$,
(iv) $M(r+t, s+u) \supseteq (T^{norm}(\mu_M(r,s), \mu_M(t,u)), C^{norm}(\nu_M(r,s), \nu_M(t,u)))$,
(v) $M(rt, su) \supseteq (T^{norm}(\mu_M(r,s), \mu_M(s,u)), C^{norm}(\nu_M(r,s), \nu_M(t,u)))$,

for all $r, s, t, u \in R$.

Example 3.5: Let $R = (\mathbb{Z}, +, .)$ be a ring of integer numbers. Define

$$M = (\mu_M, \nu_M): \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \to [0,1]$$

by

$$\mu_M(r,s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r = s \\ 0.45 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\nu_M(r,s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r = s \\ 0.35 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $T^{norm}(r,s) = T_m^{norm}(r,s) = rs$ and $C^{norm}(r,s) = C_p^{norm}(r,s) = r + s - rs$ for all $r, s \in [0,1]$, then $M = (\mu_M, \nu_M) \in IFSCN(R)$.

Proposition 3.6: Let T^{norm} and C^{norm} are idempotent t-norms and t-conorms, respectively, and $M = (\mu_M, \nu_M) \in IFSCN(R)$, then the following assertions hold:

(1) $\mu_M(r,s) \geq T^{norm}(\mu_M(rt, st), \mu_M(tr, ts))$.
(2) $\mu_M(r^{-1}, s^{-1}) = \mu_M(r,s)$.

(3) $\mu_M(r,s) \geq T^{norm}(\mu_M(r+t,s+t), T^{norm}(\mu_M(rt,st), \mu_M(tr,ts)))$.
(4) $\mu_M(-r,-s) = \mu_M(r,s)$.
(5) $\nu_M(r,s) \leq C^{norm}(\nu_M(rt,st), \nu_M(tr,ts))$.
(6) $\nu_M(r^{-1},s^{-1}) = \nu_M(r,s)$.
(7) $\nu_M(r,s) \leq C^{norm}(\nu_M(r+t,s+t), C^{norm}(\nu_M(rt,st), \nu_M(tr,ts)))$.
(8) $\nu_M(-r,-s) = \nu_M(r,s)$,
for all $r,s,t \in R$.

Definition 3.7: Let $M = (\mu_M, \nu_M) \in IFSCN(R)$ and $a \in R$. Define a fuzzy subset $M_a = (\mu_{M_a}, \nu_{M_a})$ on R as

$$M_a(r) = (\mu_{M_a}(r), \nu_{M_a}(r)) = (\mu_M(a,r), \nu_M(a,r)) = M(a,r)$$

for all $r \in R$. We denote the set of fuzzy subsets $M_a = (\mu_{M_a}, \nu_{M_a})$ on R by $\frac{R}{M}$.

Proposition 3.8: Let $M = (\mu_M, \nu_M) \in IFSCN(R)$ and $u \in R$, then $M_a(r) = M_{o_R}(r-u)$ for all $r \in R$.

Proof: Let $u, r \in R$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{M_{0_R}}(r-u) &= \mu_M(0_R, r-u) \\
&= \mu_M(u-u, u-r) \\
&\geq T^{norm}(\mu_M(u,r), \mu_M(-u,-u)) \\
&= T^{norm}(\mu_M(u,r), \mu_M(u,u)) \\
&= T^{norm}(\mu_M(u,r), 1) \\
&= \mu_M(u,r) \\
&= \mu_M(u+0_R, r-u+u) \\
&\geq T^{norm}(\mu_M(0_R, r-u), \mu_M(u,u)) \\
&= T^{norm}(\mu_M(0_R, r-u), 1) \\
&= \mu_M(0_R, r-u) \\
&= \mu_{M_{0_R}}(r-u).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mu_{M_{0_R}}(r-u) = \mu_M(r,u) = \mu_{M_u}(r)$.
Also

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_{M_{o_R}}(r-u) &= \nu_M(0_R, r-u) \\
&= \nu_M(u-u, r-u) \\
&\leq C^{norm}(\nu_M(u,r), \nu_M(-u,-u)) \\
&= C^{norm}(\nu_M(r,u), \nu_M(u,u)) \\
&= C^{norm}(\nu_M(r,u), 0) \\
&= \nu_M(r,u) \\
&= \nu_M(u+0_R, r-u+u) \\
&\leq C^{norm}(\nu_M(0_R, r-u), \nu_M(u,u)) \\
&= C^{norm}(\nu_M(0_R, r-u), 0)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \nu_M(0_R, r-u)$$
$$= \nu_{M_{0_R}}(r-u).$$

Thus $\nu_{M_{0_R}}(r-u) = \nu_M(u,r) = \nu_{M_u}(r)$. Therefore

$$M_u(r) = (\mu_{M_u}(r), \nu_{M_u}(r)) = (\mu_{M_{0_R}}(r-u), \nu_{M_{0_R}}(r-u)) = M_{0_R}(r-u).$$

Definition 3.9: Let $M = (\mu_M, \nu_M)$ be an IFS on a ring R. M is called an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of R under norms (T^{norm} and C^{norm}) if the following conditions are satisfied for all $r, s \in R$:

(1) $\mu_M(r+s) \geq T^{norm}(\mu_M(r), \mu_M(s))$,
(2) $\mu_M(rs) \geq (\mu_M(r), \mu_M(s))$,
(3) $\mu_M(-r) = \mu_M(r)$,
(4) $\mu_M(0_R) = 1$,
(5) $\mu_M(rs) \geq \mu_M(r)$ and $\mu_M(rs) \geq \mu_M(s)$,
(6) $\nu_M(r+s) \leq C^{norm}(\nu_M(r), \nu_M(s))$,
(7) $\nu_M(rs) \leq C^{norm}(\nu_M(r), \nu_M(s))$,
(8) $\nu_M(-r) = \nu_M(r)$,
(9) $\nu_M(0_R) = 0$,
(10) $\nu_M(rs) \leq \nu_M(r)$ and $\nu_M(rs) \leq \nu_M(s)$,

We denote the set of all intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of R under norms (T^{norm} and C^{norm}) by $IFSIN(R)$.

Example 3.10: Let $R = (\mathbb{R}, +, .)$ be a ring of real numbers and $M = (\mu_M, \nu_M) \in IFS(R)$. Define $\mu_M: \mathbb{Z} \to [0,1]$ by

$$\mu_M(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r = 0_R \\ 0.25 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$\nu_M: \mathbb{Z} \to [0,1]$ by

$$\nu_M(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r = 0_R \\ 0.55 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $T^{norm}(r,s) = T_m^{norm}(r,s) = rs$ and $C^{norm}(r,s) = C_p^{norm}(r,s) = r + s - rs$ for all $r, s \in [0,1]$, then $M = (\mu_M, \nu_M) \in IFSIN(R)$.

Proposition 3.11: Let $M = (\mu_M, \nu_M) \in IFSCN(R)$ such that T^{norm} and C^{norm} be idempotent. Then $M_{0_R} = (\mu_{M_{0_R}}, \nu_{M_{0_R}}) \in IFSIN(R)$.

Definition 3.12: Given an intuitionistic fuzzy set $M = (\mu_M, \nu_M) \in IFS(R)$, we define a new intuitionistic fuzzy set $C^{norm}(M) = (C^{norm}(\mu_M), C^{norm}(\nu_M)) \in IFS(R \times R)$ such that for all $(r,s) \in R \times R$ we have

$$C^{norm}(M)(r,s) = (C^{norm}(\mu_M)(r,s), C^{norm}(\nu_M)(r,s))$$
$$= (\mu_M(r-s), \nu_M(r-s))$$
$$= M(r-s).$$

We call that $C^{norm}(M)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy relation induced by $M = (\mu_M, \nu_M)$.

Proposition 3.13: Let $M = (\mu_M, \nu_M) \in IFSIN(R)$, then $C^{norm}(M) = (C^{norm}(\mu_M), C^{norm}(\nu_M)) \in IFSCN(R)$.

Proof: Let $r, s, t, u \in R$ and $M = (\mu_M, \nu_M) \in IFSIN(R)$, then

(1) $C^{norm}(\mu_M)(r,r) = \mu_M(r-r) = \mu_M(0_R) = 1.$

(2) $C^{norm}(\mu_M)(r,s) = \mu_M(r-s) = \mu_M(-(r-s)) = \mu_M(s-r) = C^{norm}(\mu_M)(s,r).$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} C^{norm}(\mu_M)(r,s) &= \mu_M(r-s) \\ &= \mu_M(r-t+t-s) \\ &\geq T^{norm}(\mu_M(r-t), \mu_M(t-s)) \\ &= T^{norm}(C^{norm}(\mu_M)(r,t), C^{norm}(\mu_M)(t,s)). \end{aligned}$$

So $C^{norm}(\mu_M)(r,s) \geq T^{norm}(C^{norm}(\mu_M)(r,t), C^{norm}(\mu_M)(t,s))$.

(4)

$$\begin{aligned} C^{norm}(\mu_M)(r+t, s+u) &= \mu_M(r+t-(s+u)) \\ &= \mu_M(r-s+t-u) \\ &\geq T^{norm}(\mu_M(r-s), \mu_M(t-u)) \\ &= T^{norm}(C^{norm}(\mu_M)(r,s), C^{norm}(\mu_M)(t,u)) \end{aligned}$$

then

$$C^{norm}(\mu_M)(r+t, s+u) \geq T^{norm}(C^{norm}(\mu_M)(r,s), C^{norm}(\mu_M)(t,u)).$$

(5)

$$\begin{aligned} C^{norm}(\mu_M)(rt, su) &= \mu_M(rt-(su)) \\ &= \mu_M((r-s)t + s(t-u)) \\ &\geq T^{norm}(\mu_M((r-s)t), \mu_M(s(t-u))) \\ &\geq T^{norm}(\mu_M(r-s), \mu_M(t-u)) \\ &= T^{norm}(C^{norm}(\mu_M)(r.s), C^{norm}(\mu_M)(t,u)). \end{aligned}$$

Then $C^{norm}(\mu_M)(rt, su) \geq T^{norm}(C^{norm}(\mu_M)(r,s), C^{norm}(\mu_M)(t,u))$.

(6)

$$C^{norm}(\nu_M)(r,r) = \nu_M(r-r) = \nu_M(0_R) = 0.$$

(7)

$$\begin{aligned} C^{norm}(\nu_M)(r,s) &= \nu_M(r-s) = \nu_M(-(r-s)) = \nu_M(s-r) \\ &= C^{norm}(\nu_M)(s,r). \end{aligned}$$

(8)

$$\begin{aligned} C^{norm}(\nu_M)(r,s) &= \nu_M(r-s) \\ &= \nu_M(r-t+t-s) \\ &\leq C^{norm}(\nu_M(r-t), \nu_M(t-s)) \\ &= C^{norm}(C^{norm}(\nu_M)(r,t), C^{norm}(\nu_M)(t,u)). \end{aligned}$$

Then $C^{norm}(\nu_M)(r,s) \le C^{norm}(C^{norm}(\nu_M)(r,t), C^{norm}(\nu_M)(t,s))$.

(9)

$$\begin{aligned} C^{norm}(\nu_M)(r+t,s+u) &= \nu_M(r+t-(s+u)) \\ &= \nu_M(r-s+t-u) \\ &\le C^{norm}(\nu_M(r-s), \nu_M(t-u)) \\ &= C^{norm}(C^{norm}(\nu_M)(r,s), C^{norm}(\nu_M)(t,u)). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$C^{norm}(\nu_M)(r+t,s+u) \le C^{norm}(C^{norm}(\nu_M)(r,s), C^{norm}(\nu_M)(t,u)).$$

(10)

$$\begin{aligned} C^{norm}(\nu_M)(rt,su) &= \nu_M(rt-(su)) \\ &= \nu_M((r-s)t + y(t-u)) \\ &\le C^{norm}(\nu_M((r-s)t), \nu_M(s(t-u))) \\ &\le C^{norm}(\nu_M(r-s), \nu_M(t-u)) \\ &= C^{norm}(C^{norm}(\nu_M)(r,s), C^{norm}(\nu_M)(t,u)). \end{aligned}$$

So $C^{norm}(\nu_M)(rt,su) \le C^{norm}(C^{norm}(\nu_M)(r,s), C^{norm}(\nu_M)(t,u))$. Therefore $C^{norm}(M) = (C^{norm}(\mu_M), C^{norm}(\nu_M)) \in IFSCN(R)$.

Proposition 3.14: Let $M = (\mu_M, \nu_M) \in IFSCN(R)$ and $u, v \in R$. Then $M_u = M_v$ if and only if $M_{0_R}(u-v) = (1,0)$.

Corollary 3.15: *Let $M = (\mu_M, \nu_M) \in IFSCN(R)$ and $u, v \in R$. Then $M_u = M_v$ if and only if $M(u,v) = (1,0)$.*

Definition 3.16: Let $N = (\mu_N, \nu_M) \in IFSCN(R)$ and $u, v \in R$. Define addition

$$N_u \oplus N_v = (\mu_{N_u}, \nu_{N_u}) \oplus (\mu_{N_v}, \nu_{N_v}) = (\mu_{N_u} \oplus \mu_{N_v}, \nu_{N_v} \oplus \nu_{N_v}) : R \to [0,1]$$

by

$$(\mu_{N_u} \oplus \mu_{N_v})(r) = \begin{cases} \sup_{r=s+t} T^{nom}(\mu_{N_u}(s), \mu_{N_v}(t)) & \text{if } r = s+t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(\nu_{N_u} \oplus \nu_{N_u})(r) = \begin{cases} \inf_{r=s+t} C^{norm}(\nu_{N_u}(s), \nu_{N_v}(t)) & \text{if } r = s+t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also define product

$$N_u \odot N_v = (\mu_{N_u}, \nu_{N_v}) \mathrm{e} (\mu_{N_v}, \nu_{N_v}) = (\mu_{-N_u} \mathrm{e} \mu_{N_v}, \nu_{N_u} \mathrm{e} \nu_{N_v}) : R \to [0,1]$$

by

$$(\mu_{N_u} \odot \mu_{N_v})(r) = \begin{cases} \sup_{r=st} T^{norm}(\mu_{N_u}(s), \mu_{N_v}(t)) & \text{if } r = st \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(\nu_{N_u} \odot \nu_{N_v})(r) = \begin{cases} \inf_{r=st} C^{norm}(\nu_{N_u}(s), \nu_{N_v}(t)) & \text{if } r = st \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.17: Let $N = (\mu_N, \nu_N) \in IFSCN(R)$ and $u, v \in R$. Then $N_u \oplus N_v = N_{u+v}$.

Proposition 3.18: Let $N = (\mu_N, \nu_N) \in IFSCN(R)$ and $u, v \in R$. Then $N_u \odot N_v = N_{uv}$.

Proposition 3.19: If $N = (\mu_N, \nu_N) \in IFSCN(R)$, then $\left(\frac{R}{N}, \oplus, \odot\right)$ will be a ring.

Proof: Let $u, v, w \in R$. As Propositions 3.17 and 3.18 we get that $N_u \oplus N_v \in \frac{R}{N}$ and $N_u \odot N_v \in \frac{R}{N}$. It is easy to prove that $\left(\frac{R}{N}, \oplus\right)$ is an abelian group. Now

(1) $N_u \odot (N_v \odot N_w) = N_u \odot N_{vw} = N_{u(vw)} = N_{(uv)w} = (N_u \odot N_v) \odot N_w$ (associative multiplication).

(2) $N_u \odot (N_v \oplus N_w) = N_u \odot N_{v+w} = N_{u(v+w)} = N_{uv+uw} = N_{uv} \oplus N_{uw} = (N_u \odot N_v) \oplus (N_u \odot N_w)$ (distributive laws).

(3) $(N_v \oplus N_w) \odot N_u = N_{v+w} \odot N_u = N_{(v+w)u} = N_{vu+vw} = N_{vu} \oplus N_{wu} = (N_v \odot N_u) \oplus (N_w \odot N_u)$ (distributive laws).

Then $\left(\frac{R}{N}, \oplus, \odot\right)$ will be a ring.

If R be a commutative ring, then $N_u \odot N_v = N_{uv} = N_{vu} = N_v \odot N_u$ and so $\left(\frac{R}{N}, \oplus, \odot\right)$ will be a commutative ring.

If R be a ring with identity 1_R, then $N_u \odot N_{1_R} = N_{u1_R} = N_u$ and thus $\left(\frac{R}{N}, \oplus, \odot\right)$ will be a ring with identity N_{1_R}.

Proposition 3.20: Let $N = (\mu_N, \nu_N) \in IFSCN(R)$ and define

$$N^{-1}(\alpha, \beta) = \{(r, s) | N(r, s) = (\alpha, \beta)\}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, r, s \in R$. Then

(i) $N^{-1}(1,0)$ will be an intuitionistic fuzzy equivalence relation on R under norms(T^{norm} and C^{norm}).

(ii) $N^{-1}(1,0)$ will be a congruence relation on R.

Definition 3.21: Let R and S be two rings and $f: R \to S$ be a ring homomorphism. Define $ker(f) = \{(r, s) | f(r) = f(s)\}$ for all $r, s \in R$.

Proposition 3.22: Let $\chi_{ker(f)}: R \times R \to \{0,1\}$ be characteristic function of $ker(f)$ such that defined by

$$\chi_{\ker(f)}(r, s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (r, s) \in \ker(f) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f(r) = f(s) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $\chi'_{\ker(f)}: R \times R \to \{0,1\}$ be anti characteristic function of $\ker(f)$ such that defined by

$$\chi'_{\ker(f)}(r,s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (r,s) \in \ker(f) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f(r) = f(s) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\chi = (\chi_{\ker(f)}, \chi'_{\ker(f)}) \in IFSCN(R)$.

Lemma 3.23: *Let $N = (\mu_N, \nu_M) \in IFSCN(R)$ and $(\frac{R}{N}, \oplus, \odot)$ be a ring. Define $N^\star: R \to \frac{R}{N}$ as $N^\star(u) = (\mu_{N_u}, \nu_{N_u})$ for all $u \in R$. Then $N^\star$ is a ring homomorphism.*

Proposition 3.24: Let R and S be two rings and $f: R \to S$ be a ring homomorphism and $\chi = (\chi_{ker(f)}, \chi'_{ker(f)})$ such that $\chi^\star: R \to \frac{R}{\chi}$ as $\chi^\star(u) = (\chi_{ker(f)_u}, \chi'_{ker(f)_u})$ for all $u \in R$. Then there is a homomorphism $g: \frac{R}{\chi} \to S$ such that $f = go\chi^\star$.

Proof: Define $g: \frac{R}{\chi} \to S$ by $(g(\chi^\star(u)) = g(\chi_{\ker(f)_u}, \chi'_{\ker(f)_u}) = f(u)$ for all $u \in R$. At first we show that g is well-defined. Let $u, v \in R$ and $\chi_{\ker(f)_u}, \chi'_{\ker(f)_u}) = (\chi_{\ker(f)_v}, \chi'_{\ker(f)_v})$. Then $\chi_{\ker(f)_u} = \chi_{\ker(f)_v}$ and $\chi'_{\ker(f)_u}) = \chi'_{\ker(f)_v})$ then $\chi_{\ker(f)}(u,v) = 1$ and $\chi'_{\ker(f)})(u,v) = 0$ which mean that $(u,v) \in \ker(f)$ and $f(u) = f(v)$ as wanted. Now

$$g(\chi_u \oplus \chi_v) = g(\chi_{u+v}) = f(u+v) = f(u) + f(v) = g(\chi_u) \oplus g(\chi_v).$$

Also

$$g(\chi_u \odot \chi_v) = g(\chi_{uv}) = f(uv) = f(u)f(v) = g(\chi_u) \odot g(\chi_v).$$

Thus g will be a homomorphism. Since $g(\chi^\star(u)) = g(\chi_{\ker(f)_u}, \chi'_{\ker(f)_u}) = f(u)$ so $f = go\chi^\star$.

Proposition 3.25: Let $N = (\mu_N, \nu_M) \in IFSCN(R)$ and $L = (\mu_L, \nu_{\ L}) \in IFSCN(R)$ such that $N \subseteq L$. Let $N^\star: R \to \frac{R}{N}$ as $N^\star(u) = (\mu_{N_u}, \nu_{N_u})$ and $L^\star: R \to \frac{R}{L}$ as $L^\star(u) = (\mu_{L_u}, \nu_{L_u})$ for all $u \in R$. Then there is an unique homomorphism $g: \frac{R}{N} \to \frac{R}{L}$ such that $goN^\star = L^\star$ and $\frac{\frac{R}{N}}{\chi_{ker(g)}}$ is isomorphic to $\frac{R}{L}$.

Acknowledgement

he author gratefully thanks the referee for his/her valuble comments and suggestions which improved this work by a grate extent.

References

[1] L. A. Zadeh, " Fuzzy sets", inform. and Control, vol. 8, pp. 338-353 (1965).

[2] J. A. Goguen, "L-fuzzy sets", J. Math. Anal. Appl., vol. 18, pp. 145-174 (1967).

[3] E. Sanchez, "Resolution of composite fuzzy relation equation", Inform. and Control , vol. 30, pp. 38-48 (1976).

[4] C. Nemitz, " Fuzzy relations and fuzzy function", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 19, pp. 177-191 (1986).

[5] V. Murali, " Fuzzy equivalence relation", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 30, pp. 155-163 (1989).

[6] M. Samhan, " Fuzzy congruences on semigroups", Inform. Sci. , vol. 74, pp. 165-175 (1993).

[7] W. Liu, "Fuzzy invariant subgroups and fuzzy ideals", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 8, pp.133-139 (1982).

[8] K. T. Atanassov, "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 20, pp. 87-96 (1986).

[9] K. T. Atanassov, "New operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 61, pp. 137-142 (1994).

[10] K. T. Atanassov, "Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets Theory and Applications", Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing, Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg (1999).

[11] H. H. Abbass and K. O. Kadem, "On pseudo intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of pseudo BH-algebra", Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 1595-1600 (2021).

[12] A. T. Hameed, M. S. M. Zabiba and N. H. Malik, "Magnified translation of intuitionistic fuzzy AT-ideals on AT-algebras", Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 1645-1650 (2021).

[13] T. Senapati, M. Bhowmik, M. Pal and K. P. Shum, "Characterization of intuitionistic fuzzy BG-subalgebras of BG-algebras", Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography, vol. 21, pp. 1549-1558 (2018).

[14] S .K. Bhakat and P. Das, "Fuzzy subrings and ideals redefined", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 81, pp. 383-393 (1996).

[15] R. Rasuli, "t-norms over fuzzy ideals (implicative, positive implicative) of BCK-algebras", Mathematical Analysis and its Contemporary Applications, vol 4, no. 2, pp. 17-34 (2022).

[16] R. Rasuli, "T-fuzzy subbigroups and normal T-fuzzy subbigroups of bigroups", J. of Ramannujan Society of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 165-184 (2022).

[17] R. Rasuli, M. A. Hashemi and B. Taherkhani, "S-norms and Anti fuzzy ideals of BCI-algebras", 10th National Mathematics Conference of the Payame Noor University, Shiraz, May (2022).

[18] R. Rasuli, B. Taherkhani and H. Naraghi, "T-fuzzy SU-subalgebras", 10th National Mathematics Conference of the Payame Noor University, Shiraz, May (2022).

[19] R. Rasuli, "A study of T-fuzzy multigroups and direct preoduct of them", 1th National Conference on Applied Reserches in Basic Sciences(Mathematics, Chemistry and Physics) held by University of Ayatolla Boroujerdi, Iran, during May 26-27 (20220.

[20] R. Rasuli, "S - (M,N)-fuzzy subgroups", 1th National Conference on Applied Reserches in Basic Sciences(Mathematics, Chemistry and Physics) held by University of Ayatolla Boroujerdi, Iran, during May 26-27 (2022).

[21] R. Rasuli, "Intuitionistic fuzzy BCI-algebras (implicative ideals, closed implicative ideals, commutative ideals) under norms", Mathematical Analysis and its Contemporary Applications, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 17-34 (2022).

[22] R. Rasuli, " Fuzzy d-algebras under t-norms", Eng. Appl. Sci. Lett. (EASL), vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 27-36 (2022).

[23] R. Rasuli, " Fuzzy vector spaces under norms", Annals of Mathematics and Computer Science, vol. 9, pp. 7-24 (2022).

[24] R. Rasuli, "T-fuzzy G-submodules", Scientia Magna, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 107-118 (2022).

[25] R. Rasuli, "Anti Fuzzy Congruence on Product Lattices", 7^th International Congerence on Combinatotcs, Crytography, Computer Science and Computing held by Iran University of Science and Technology, Iran,Tehran, during November 16-17 (2022).

[26] M. T. Abu Osman, "On some products of fuzzy subgroups", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 24, pp. 79-86 (1987).

Received December, 2022