

Balancing and Lucas-Balancing hybrid numbers and some identities

Mine Uysal [†]

Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences

Erzincan Binali Yıldırım University

24100 Erzincan

Türkiye

Engin Özkan ^{*}

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Sciences

Marmara University

34722 İstanbul

Türkiye

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce Balancing and Lucas-Balancing hybrid numbers. We examine some identities of Balancing and Lucas-Balancing hybrid numbers. We give some basic definitions and properties related to them. In addition, we find Binet's Formula, Cassini's identity, Catalan's identity, d'Ocagne identity, generating functions, exponential generating functions of the Balancing and Lucas-Balancing hybrid numbers.

Subject Classification: 11B37, 11B39, 05A15.

Keywords: *Balancing hybrid numbers, Lucas-Balancing numbers, Binet's Formula, Cassini's identity, Catalan's identity.*

1. Introduction

Number sequences have been studied by researchers for many years. Number sequences, especially Fibonacci numbers, have been studied and many generalizations have been made. [4,6,8,11,16,20-23]

The notion of Balancing numbers $\{B_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ has been introduced by Behera and Panda [2]. Balancing numbers have been studied extensively in the last twenty years.

[†] E-mail: mine.uysal@erzincan.edu.tr; ORCID Id: 0000-0002-2362-3097

^{*} E-mail: engin.ozkan@marmara.edu.tr (Corresponding Author)

ORCID Id: 0000-0002-4188-7248

In [2], they defined a balancing number n as the solution of the Diophantine equation

$$1 + 2 + \cdots + (n - 1) = (n + 1) + (n + 2) + \cdots + (n + r)$$

calling r as the balancer corresponding to n . The first few balancing numbers are 1, 6, 35, 204 and 1189.

The sequence of balancing numbers has been studied extensively and many generalizations of these numbers have been made [5, 9, 10, 12, 13, 17, 24-26, 28, 29].

Liptai et al. generalized the concept of balancing numbers in [18].

The Balancing numbers sequence is denoted by $\{B_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. We can define the recurrence relation of Balancing numbers by

$$B_n = 6B_{n-1} - B_{n-2}, n \geq 2$$

with the initial terms, $B_0 = 0, B_1 = 1$. [1]

Binet formula for Balancing numbers as follows

$$B_n = \frac{\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}. [2]$$

Note that,

- $\lambda_1 = 3 + \sqrt{8}$ and $\lambda_2 = 3 - \sqrt{8}$,
- $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 1$,
- $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 6$.

The numbers $C_n = \sqrt{8B_n^2 + 1}$ is called the n th Lucas-balancing number. [24]

The Lucas-balancing numbers $\{C_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfy the recurrence relation

$$C_n = 6C_{n-1} - C_{n-2}, n \geq 2$$

with the initial terms, $C_0 = 1, C_1 = 3$.

Binet formula for Lucas-Balancing numbers as follows

$$B_n = \frac{\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n}{2}.$$

We know the following properties for B_n

$$B_{-n} = -B_n \text{ and } C_{-n} = C_n. [28]$$

Theorem 1.1: [24] *Let n be a natural number then we have*

- i) $B_1 + B_3 + \cdots + B_{2n-1} = B_n^2$,
- ii) $B_2 + B_4 + \cdots + B_{2n} = B_n B_{n+1}$,
- iii) $B_{2n+1} = B_{n+1}^2 - B_n^2$,
- iv) $B_n^2 = 1 + B_{n-1} B_{n+1}$,
- v) $B_{2n+2} = B_{n+2} B_{n+1} - B_{n+1} B_n$.

Özdemir introduced the hybrid numbers and give some identities of them [18]. The set of hybrid numbers is $K = \{a + bi + c\varepsilon + dh : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Let

be $z_1 = a_1 + b_1i + c_1\varepsilon + d_1h$, $z_2 = a_2 + b_2i + c_2\varepsilon + d_2h$ any two hybrid numbers. Then we have the following properties.

1. $z_1 = z_2 \Leftrightarrow a_1 = a_2, b_1 = b_2, c_1 = c_2$ and $d_1 = d_2$.
2. $z_1 + z_2 = (a_1 + a_2) + (b_1 + b_2)i + (c_1 + c_2)\varepsilon + (d_1 + d_2)h$
3. $z_1 - z_2 = (a_1 - a_2) + (b_1 - b_2)i + (c_1 - c_2)\varepsilon + (d_1 - d_2)h$
4. $k.z_1 = ka_1 + kb_1i + kc_1\varepsilon + kd_1h$, where $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

Some key features used for hybrid counts are given by the following definition.

Definition 1.2: There are the following definitions where z is any hybrid number such as

$$z = a + bi + c\varepsilon + dh \text{ [19].}$$

1. The conjugate of z is

$$\bar{z} = a - bi - c\varepsilon - dh.$$

2. The character of z is

$$C(z) = z\bar{z} = a^2 + (b - c)^2 - c^2 - d^2 = a^2 + b^2 - 2bc - d^2.$$

3. The norm for z has the form

$$\|z\| = N(z) = \sqrt{C(z)}.$$

4. The vector representation for z is

$$V_z = (a, b - c, c, d).$$

5. The scalar section of z is

$$S(z) = a.$$

6. The vector section of z is

$$V(z) = bi + c\varepsilon + dh.$$

The product rule for hybrid units is shown in Table 1.1.

Hybrid numbers have been studied by many scientists and generalizations of these numbers have been made. [1,3,7,14,15,19,27,30-33]

In this paper, we define Balancing hybrid numbers and Lucas-Balancing hybrid numbers and give some properties of them. We find Binet formula, generating functions, exponential generating functions, the sum formula of the Balancing hybrid numbers and the Lucas-Balancing hybrid numbers.

Table 1.1
Multiplication of hybrid unit

.	i	ε	h
i	-1	$1 - h$	$\varepsilon + i$
ε	$1 + h$	0	$-\varepsilon$
h	$-\varepsilon - i$	ε	1

2. Balancing Hybrid Numbers and Lucas-Balancing Hybrid Numbers

Definition 2.1: Let $n \geq 0$ be integer, the Balancing and the Lucas- Balancing hybrid numbers HB_n and HC_n are defined by

$$HB_n = B_n + iB_{n+1} + \varepsilon B_{n+2} + hB_{n+3} \quad (2.1)$$

$$HC_n = C_n + iC_{n+1} + \varepsilon C_{n+2} + hC_{n+3} \quad (2.2)$$

respectively, where B_n is nth Balancing numbers, C_n is nth Lucas- Balancing numbers and i, ε, h are hybrid units which satisfy multiplication rule as in Table 1.1.

In Table 2.1 and Table 2.2, a few terms of Balancing and Lucas- Balancing hybrid numbers are given.

Table 2.1
Same values of Balancing hybrid numbers

n	HB_n
0	$i + 6\varepsilon + 35h$
1	$1 + 6i + 35\varepsilon + 204h$
2	$6 + 35i + 204\varepsilon + 1189h$
3	$35 + 204i + 1189\varepsilon + 6930h$

Table 2.2
Same values of Lucas-Balancing hybrid numbers

n	HC_n
0	$1 + 3i + 17\varepsilon + 99h$
1	$3 + 17i + 99\varepsilon + 577h$
2	$17 + 99i + 577\varepsilon + 3363h$
3	$99 + 577i + 3363\varepsilon + 19601h$

Definition 2.2: The conjugate of Balancing hybrid number HB_n and HC_n is defined by

$$\overline{HB_n} = \overline{B_n + iB_{n+1} + \varepsilon B_{n+2} + hB_{n+3}} = B_n - iB_{n+1} - \varepsilon B_{n+2} - hB_{n+3},$$

$$\overline{HC_n} = \overline{C_n + iC_{n+1} + \varepsilon C_{n+2} + hC_{n+3}} = C_n - iC_{n+1} - \varepsilon C_{n+2} - hC_{n+3},$$

respectively.

Theorem 2.3: The character of Balancing and Lucas-Balancing numbers are as follows, respectively,

$$i) \quad C(HB_n) = 37B_n^2 - 1212B_{n+1}^2 + 422B_nB_{n+1},$$

$$ii) \quad C(HC_n) = 37C_n^2 - 1212C_{n+1}^2 + 422C_nC_{n+1}.$$

Proof: We will only do the proof of i). Part ii) is done similarly.

$$i) \quad C(HB_n) = HB_n \overline{HB_n} \\ = (B_n + iB_{n+1} + \varepsilon B_{n+2} + hB_{n+3})(B_n - iB_{n+1} - \varepsilon B_{n+2} - hB_{n+3})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= B_n^2 - iB_nB_{n+1} - \varepsilon B_nB_{n+2} - hB_nB_{n+3} + iB_{n+1}B_n - i^2B_{n+1}^2 - i\varepsilon B_{n+1}B_{n+2} \\
&\quad - ihB_{n+1}B_{n+3} + \varepsilon B_{n+2}B_n - \varepsilon iB_{n+2}B_{n+1} - \varepsilon^2B_{n+2}^2 - \varepsilon hB_{n+2}B_{n+3} \\
&\quad hB_{n+3}B_n - hiB_{n+3}B_{n+1} - h\varepsilon B_{n+3}B_{n+2} - h^2B_{n+3}^2 \\
&= B_n^2 + B_{n+1}^2 - B_{n+3}^2 - (1-h)B_{n+1}B_{n+2} - (\varepsilon+i)B_{n+1}B_{n+3} - (h+ \\
&\quad 1)B_{n+2}B_{n+1} + \varepsilon B_{n+2}B_{n+3} + (\varepsilon+i)B_{n+3}B_{n+1} - \varepsilon B_{n+3}B_{n+2} \\
&= B_n^2 + B_{n+1}^2 - B_{n+3}^2 - 2B_{n+1}B_{n+2}, \\
&= B_n^2 + B_{n+1}^2 - (35B_{n+1} - 6B_n)^2 - 2B_{n+1}(6B_{n+1} - B_n) \\
&= 37B_n^2 - 1212B_{n+1}^2 + 422B_nB_{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof is obtained.

Definition 2.4: The norm of Balancing and Lucas-Balancing numbers are as follows, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{i)} \quad \|HB_n\| &= N(HB_n) = \sqrt{37B_n^2 - 1212B_{n+1}^2 + 422B_nB_{n+1}}, \\
\text{ii)} \quad \|HC_n\| &= N(HC_n) = \sqrt{37C_n^2 - 1212C_{n+1}^2 + 422C_nC_{n+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.5: The vector representation for Balancing and Lucas-Balancing numbers are as follows, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{i)} \quad V_{HB_n} &= (B_n, B_{n+1} - B_{n+2}, B_{n+2}, B_{n+3}), \\
\text{ii)} \quad V_{HC_n} &= (C_n, C_{n+1} - C_{n+2}, C_{n+2}, C_{n+3}).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that, the scaler section of Balancing hybrid numbers is

$$S(HB_n) = B_n$$

and the vector section of Balancing hybrid numbers is

$$V(HB_n) = iB_{n+1} + \varepsilon B_{n+2} + hB_{n+3}.$$

Similarly, we get

$$S(HB_n) = B_n \text{ and } V(HC_n) = iC_{n+1} + \varepsilon C_{n+2} + hC_{n+3}.$$

Theorem 2.4: For $n \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{i)} \quad HB_{n+1} &= 6HB_n - HB_{n-1}, \\
\text{ii)} \quad HC_{n+1} &= 6HC_n - HC_{n-1},
\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{i)} \quad 6HB_n - HB_{n-1} &= 6B_n + 6iB_{n+1} + 6\varepsilon B_{n+2} + 6hB_{n+3} - (B_{n-1} + iB_n + \\
&\quad \varepsilon B_{n+1} + hB_{n+2}) \\
&= (6B_n - B_{n-1}) + i(6B_{n+1} - B_n) + \varepsilon(6B_{n+2} - B_{n+1}) + h(6B_{n+3} - B_{n+2}) \\
&= B_{n+1} + iB_{n+2} + \varepsilon B_{n+3} + hB_{n+4} = HB_{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of *ii)* equality is done in a similar way to *i)*.

Thus, the proof is completed.

Theorem 2.5: For all non-negative integer m, n , we have

$$\text{i)} \quad HB_{n+2} - HC_n = 2HC_{n+1},$$

- ii) $3HB_m \pm HC_n = 3HB_{m+n}$,
 iii) $3HC_{n+2} - HC_n = 16HB_{n+1}$

Proof:

- i) $HB_{n+2} - HC_n = (B_{n+2} + iB_{n+3} + \varepsilon B_{n+4} + hB_{n+5}) - (C_n + iC_{n+1} + \varepsilon C_{n+2} + hC_{n+3})$
 $= (B_{n+2} - C_n) + i(B_{n+3} - C_{n+1}) + \varepsilon(B_{n+4} - C_{n+2}) + h(hB_{n+5} - C_{n+3})$
 From $B_{n+2} - C_n = 2C_{n+1}$, we have
 $HB_{n+2} - HC_n = 2C_{n+1} + 2iC_{n+2} + 2\varepsilon C_{n+3} + 2hC_{n+4} = 2HC_{n+1}$.
 The proofs of ii) and i) equalities are done in a similar way to i).

Theorem 2.6: (Binet's Formula) For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$i) \quad HB_n = \frac{\lambda_1^n \hat{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2^n \hat{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$ii) \quad HC_n = \frac{\lambda_1^n \hat{\lambda}_1 + \lambda_2^n \hat{\lambda}_2}{2}. \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\hat{\lambda}_1 = (1 + i\lambda_1 + \varepsilon\lambda_1^2 + h\lambda_1^3),$$

$$\hat{\lambda}_2 = (1 + i\lambda_2 + \varepsilon\lambda_2^2 + h\lambda_2^3).$$

Proof: Let's expand the right side of equation (2.3) according to the Binet form of the balancing numbers.

$$\begin{aligned} HB_n &= B_n + iB_{n+1} + \varepsilon B_{n+2} + hB_{n+3} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} + i \frac{\lambda_1^{n+1} - \lambda_2^{n+1}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} + \varepsilon \frac{\lambda_1^{n+2} - \lambda_2^{n+2}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} + h \frac{\lambda_1^{n+3} - \lambda_2^{n+3}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_1^n}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} (1 + i\lambda_1 + \varepsilon\lambda_1^2 + h\lambda_1^3) - \frac{\lambda_2^n}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} (1 + i\lambda_2 + \varepsilon\lambda_2^2 + h\lambda_2^3) \\ &= \frac{\lambda_1^n \hat{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2^n \hat{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (2.4) is shown similarly.

Theorem 2.7: We have

- i) $\hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 = 7 + i(7\lambda_2 - 5\lambda_1) + \varepsilon(2\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_2 + \lambda_1) + h(\lambda_2^3 + \lambda_1^3 - \lambda_2 + \lambda_1)$,
 ii) $\hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1 = 7 + i(7\lambda_1 - 5\lambda_2) + \varepsilon(2\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_1) + h(\lambda_1^3 + \lambda_2^3 - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$,
 iii) $\hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 + \hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1 = 14 + 12i + 68\varepsilon + 396h$,
 iv) $\lambda_2 \hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 - \lambda_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1 = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(7 + 42i + 64\varepsilon + 192h)$.

Theorem 2.8: Let $S(HB_n)$ be the sum of the Balancing and $S(HC_n)$ be the sum of the Lucas-Balancing hybrid numbers. Then we have

- i) $S(HB_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n HB_k = \frac{1}{4} [HC_{n+1} - 2HB_{n+1} - 1 - i - 5\varepsilon - 29h]$,
 ii) $S(HC_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n HC_k = \frac{1}{4} [HC_{n+1} - HC_n + 2 - 2i - 14\varepsilon - 84h]$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{i)} \quad S(HB_n) &= \sum_{i=0}^n HB_i = \sum_{k=0}^n B_k + i \sum_{k=0}^n B_{k+1} + \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^n B_{k+2} + \\
 &\quad h \sum_{k=0}^n B_{k+3} \\
 &= \left(\frac{C_{n+1}-2B_{n+1}-1}{4} \right) + i \left(\frac{C_{n+2}-2B_{n+2}-1}{4} \right) + \varepsilon \left(\frac{C_{n+3}-2B_{n+3}-1}{4} - 1 \right) \\
 &\quad + h \left(\frac{C_{n+4}-2B_{n+4}-1}{4} - 7 \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} [HC_{n+1} - 2HB_{n+1} - 1 - i - 5\varepsilon - 29h]
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof of *ii)* equality is done in a similar way to *i)*.

Thus, the proof is obtained.

Theorem 2.9: Let n be a natural number, then we get

- i) $HB_1 + HB_3 + \cdots + HB_{2n-1} = B_{n+1}HB_{n-1} + 1 - \varepsilon - 6h.$
- ii) $HB_2 + HB_4 + \cdots + HB_{2n} = B_{n+1}HB_n + 1 - 6\varepsilon - 35h.$

Proof: i) $HB_1 + HB_3 + \cdots + HB_{2n-1}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (B_1 + iB_2 + \varepsilon B_3 + hB_4) + (B_3 + iB_4 + \varepsilon B_5 + hB_6) \\
 &\quad + \cdots + (B_{2n-1} + iB_{2n} + \varepsilon B_{2n+1} + hB_{2n+2}) \\
 &= (B_1 + B_3 + \cdots + B_{2n-1}) + i(B_2 + B_4 + \cdots + B_{2n}) \\
 &\quad + \varepsilon(B_3 + iB_5 + \cdots + B_{2n+1}) + h(B_4 + B_6 + \cdots + B_{2n+2})
 \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 1.1. *i)* and *ii)*, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &HB_1 + HB_3 + \cdots + HB_{2n-1} \\
 &= B_n^2 + iB_nB_{n+1} + \varepsilon(B_n^2 - 1 + B_{2n+1}) + h(B_nB_{n+1} - 6 + B_{2n+2})
 \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 1.1. *iii)*, *iv)* and *v)*, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &HB_1 + HB_3 + \cdots + HB_{2n-1} \\
 &= 1 + B_{n-1}B_{n+1} + iB_nB_{n+1} + \varepsilon(B_{n+1}^2 - 1) + h(B_{n+1}B_{n+2} - 6) \\
 &= B_{n+1}(B_{n-1} + iB_n + \varepsilon B_{n+1} + hB_{n+2}) + 1 - \varepsilon - 6h \\
 &= B_{n+1}HB_{n-1} + 1 - \varepsilon - 6h.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof of *ii)* equality is done in a similar way to *i)*.

Thus, the proof is obtained.

Theorem 2.10: The generating functions for the Balancing hybrid numbers and Lucas-Balancing hybrid numbers are given, respectively, by

- i) $GHB_n(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} HB_n x^n = \frac{i+6\varepsilon+35h+x(1-\varepsilon-6h)}{1-6x+x^2},$
- ii) $GHC_n(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} HC_n x^n = \frac{1+3i+17\varepsilon+99h-x(3+i+3\varepsilon+17h)}{1-6x+x^2}.$

Proof: i)

$$GHB_n(x) = HB_0 + HB_1x + HB_2x^2 + HB_3x^3 + \cdots + HB_nx^n + \cdots \quad (2.5)$$

Now, multiplying the equation (2.5) by 1, $6x$ and x^2 , respectively, we get

$$GHB_n(x) = HB_0 + HB_1x + HB_2x^2 + HB_3x^3 + \cdots + HB_nx^n + \cdots$$

$$6xGHB_n(x) = 6xHB_0 + 6x^2HB_1 + 6x^3HB_2 + 6x^4HB_3 + \cdots + 6x^{n+1}HB_n + \cdots$$

$$x^2GHB_n(x) = x^2HB_0 + x^3HB_1 + x^4HB_2 + x^5HB_3 + \cdots + x^{n+2}HB_n + \cdots$$

After necessary calculations and using the recurrence relation, we obtain

$$GHB_n(x)(1 - 6x + x^2) = HB_0 + HB_1x - 6xHB_0,$$

$$GHB_n(x) = \frac{HB_0 + x(HB_1 - 6HB_0)}{1 - 6x + x^2}$$

$$= \frac{i + 6\varepsilon + 35h + x(1 - \varepsilon - 6h)}{1 - 6x + x^2}.$$

The proof of (ii) is similar to that of (i). So, we omit them.

Theorem 2.11: *The exponential generating functions for the Balancing hybrid numbers and Lucas-Balancing hybrid numbers are given by*

$$\text{i) } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} HB_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{\hat{\lambda}_1 e^{\lambda_1 x} - \hat{\lambda}_2 e^{\lambda_2 x}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}$$

$$\text{ii) } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} HC_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{\hat{\lambda}_1 e^{\lambda_1 x} + \hat{\lambda}_2 e^{\lambda_2 x}}{2}$$

respectively.

Proof: i)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} HB_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda_1^n \hat{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2^n \hat{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right) \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

$$= \frac{\hat{\lambda}_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda_1 x)^n}{n!} - \frac{\hat{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda_2 x)^n}{n!}$$

$$= \frac{\hat{\lambda}_1 e^{\lambda_1 x} - \hat{\lambda}_2 e^{\lambda_2 x}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}.$$

The proof of (ii) is similar to that of (i). So, the proof is completed.

Theorem 2.12: *(Catalan identity) We have,*

$$\text{i) } HB_{n-r}HB_{n+r} - (HB_n)^2 = -B_r(7B_r + i(7B_{r+1} - 5B_{r-1}) + \varepsilon(2B_{r+2} - B_{r+1} - B_{r-1}) + (B_{r+3} + B_{r-3} - B_{r-1} - B_{r+1})h),$$

$$\text{ii) } HC_{n-r}HC_{n+r} - (HC_n)^2 = \frac{-C_r}{2}(7B_r + i(7B_{r+1} - 5B_{r-1}) + \varepsilon(2B_{r+2} - B_{r+1} - B_{r-1}) + (B_{r+3} + B_{r-3} - B_{r-1} - B_{r+1})h).$$

Proof:

$$\text{i) } HB_{n-r}HB_{n+r} - (HB_n)^2$$

$$= \left(\frac{\lambda_1^{n-r} \hat{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2^{n-r} \hat{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right) \left(\frac{\lambda_1^{n+r} \hat{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2^{n+r} \hat{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right) - \left(\frac{\lambda_1^n \hat{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2^n \hat{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} \left(-\lambda_1^{n-r} \lambda_2^{n+r} \hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 - \lambda_2^{n-r} \lambda_1^{n+r} \hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1 + \lambda_1^n \lambda_2^n \hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 + \lambda_2^n \lambda_1^n \hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1 \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} \left(\lambda_1^n \lambda_2^n \hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_2^r}{\lambda_1^r} \right) + \lambda_2^n \lambda_1^n \hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1 \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_1^r}{\lambda_2^r} \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{(\lambda_1^r - \lambda_2^r)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} \left(\frac{\hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1^r} - \frac{\hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1}{\lambda_2^r} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{(\lambda_1^r - \lambda_2^r)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} (\lambda_2^r \hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 - \lambda_1^r \hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1) \\
&= -\frac{(\lambda_1^r - \lambda_2^r)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} (7B_r + i(7B_{r+1} - 5B_{r-1}) + \varepsilon(2B_{r+2} - B_{r+1} - B_{r-1}) + \\
&\quad (B_{r+3} + B_{r-3} - B_{r-1} - B_{r+1})h)
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of (ii) is similar to that of (i). Thus, the proof is completed.

Theorem 2.13: (Cassini identity) *We have*

- i) $HB_{n-1}HB_{n+1} - (HB_n)^2 = -(7 + 42i + 64\varepsilon + 192h),$
- ii) $HC_{n-1}HC_{n+1} - (HC_n)^2 = -\frac{17}{2}(7 + 42i + 64\varepsilon + 192h).$

Proof: By using the Binet formula of the Balancing hybrid numbers we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{i) } HB_{n-1}HB_{n+1} - (HB_n)^2 &= \left(\frac{\lambda_1^{n-1} \hat{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2^{n-1} \hat{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right) \left(\frac{\lambda_1^{n+1} \hat{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2^{n+1} \hat{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right) - \left(\frac{\lambda_1^n \hat{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2^n \hat{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} \left(\lambda_1^{2n} \hat{\lambda}_1^2 - \lambda_1^{n-1} \lambda_2^{n+1} \hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 - \lambda_2^{n-1} \lambda_1^{n+1} \hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1 + \lambda_2^{2n} \hat{\lambda}_2^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \lambda_1^{2n} \hat{\lambda}_1^2 + \lambda_1^n \lambda_2^n \hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 + \lambda_2^n \lambda_1^n \hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2^{2n} \hat{\lambda}_2^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} \left(\lambda_1^n \lambda_2^n \hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right) + \lambda_2^n \lambda_1^n \hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1 \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^n (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} \left(\frac{\hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1} - \frac{\hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1}{\lambda_2} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} (\lambda_2 \hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 - \lambda_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1) = -(7 + 42i + 64\varepsilon + 192h) \\
&= -(7B_1 + 7B_2i + (2B_3 - B_2)\varepsilon + (B_4 - B_2 + B_{-2})h).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of (ii) is similar to that of (i). Thus, the proof is completed.

Note that, if we get $r = 1$ in Catalan identity then, we obtain the Cassini identity.

Theorem 2.12: (Vajda identity) *We have*

- i) $HB_{n+r}HB_{n+k} - HB_nHB_{n+r+k} = -B_r(7B_k + i(7B_{k+1} - 5B_{k-1}) + \varepsilon(2B_{k+2} - B_{k+1} - B_{k-1}) + h(B_{k+3} + B_{k-3} - B_{k-1} - B_{k+1})),$
- ii) $HC_{n+r}HC_{n+k} - HC_nHC_{n+r+k} = -\frac{c_r}{2}(7B_k + i(7B_{k+1} - 5B_{k-1}) + \varepsilon(2B_{k+2} - B_{k+1} - B_{k-1}) + h(B_{k+3} + B_{k-3} - B_{k-1} - B_{k+1})).$

Proof: i)

$$HB_{n+r}HB_{n+k} - HB_nHB_{n+r+k} =$$

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_1^{n+r} \hat{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2^{n+r} \hat{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right) \left(\frac{\lambda_1^{n+k} \hat{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2^{n+k} \hat{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right) - \left(\frac{\lambda_1^n \hat{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2^n \hat{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right) \left(\frac{\lambda_1^{n+r+k} \hat{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2^{n+r+k} \hat{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} (-\lambda_1^{n+r} \lambda_2^{n+k} \hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 - \lambda_2^{n+r} \lambda_1^{n+k} \hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1 + \lambda_1^n \lambda_2^{n+r+k} \hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 + \\
&\lambda_2^n \lambda_1^{n+r+k} \hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1) \\
&= \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} (\lambda_1^n \lambda_2^{n+k} \hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 (\lambda_2^r - \lambda_1^r) + \lambda_2^n \lambda_1^{n+k} \hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1 (\lambda_1^r - \lambda_2^r)) \\
&= \frac{(\lambda_2^r - \lambda_1^r)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} (\lambda_2^k \hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 - \lambda_1^k \hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1) \\
&= -B_r (7B_k + i(7B_{k+1} - 5B_{k-1}) + \varepsilon(2B_{k+2} - B_{k+1} - B_{k-1}) + (B_{k+3} + \\
&B_{k-3} - B_{k-1} - B_{k+1})h).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of *ii*) is similar to that of *i*). So, we omit the proof.

Theorem 2.13: (*D'* ocagne identity) For $n \leq m$, we have

- i) $HB_m HB_{n+1} - HB_n HB_{m+1} = -B_{m-n}(7 + 42i + 64\varepsilon + 192h),$
- ii) $HC_m HC_{n+1} - HC_n HC_{m+1} = -\frac{C_{m-n}}{2}(7 + 42i + 64\varepsilon + 192h).$

Proof: i)

$$\begin{aligned}
&HB_m HB_{n+1} - HB_n HB_{m+1} \\
&= \left(\frac{\lambda_1^m \hat{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2^m \hat{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right) \left(\frac{\lambda_1^{n+1} \hat{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2^{n+1} \hat{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right) - \left(\frac{\lambda_1^n \hat{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2^n \hat{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right) \left(\frac{\lambda_1^{m+1} \hat{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2^{m+1} \hat{\lambda}_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} (-\lambda_1^m \lambda_2^{n+1} \hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 - \lambda_2^m \lambda_1^{n+1} \hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1 + \lambda_1^n \lambda_2^{m+1} \hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 + \\
&\lambda_2^n \lambda_1^{m+1} \hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1) \\
&= \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} (\lambda_1^m \lambda_2^n (\lambda_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2 \hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2) + \lambda_1^n \lambda_2^m (\lambda_2 \hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 - \lambda_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1)) \\
&= \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} (\lambda_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 \hat{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2 \hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2) (\lambda_1^m \lambda_2^n - \lambda_1^n \lambda_2^m) \\
&= \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) (7 + 42i + 64\varepsilon + 192h) \lambda_1^n \lambda_2^n (\lambda_1^{m-n} - \lambda_2^{m-n}) \\
&= -(7 + 42i + 64\varepsilon + 192h) B_{m-n}
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of *ii*) is similar to that of *i*). So, we omit the proof.

References

- [1] Asci, M., & Aydinuz, S. Generalized k-order Fibonacci and Lucas hybrid numbers. *Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences*, 42(8), 1765-1782 (2021).
- [2] Behera, A, and Panda, G.K. On the square roots of triangular numbers. *Fibonacci Quart.* 37(2), 98-105 (1999).
- [3] Catarino, P. On k-Pell hybrid numbers. *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, 22(1), 83-89 (2019).

- [4] Çelik, S., Durukan, İ., Özkan, E. New recurrences on Pell numbers, Pell-Lucas numbers, Jacobsthal numbers, and Jacobsthal-Lucas numbers. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 150, 111173 (2021).
- [5] Dash, K.K., Ota, R.S., Dash, S. t-Balancing numbers. *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci.* 77, 485–98 (2010).
- [6] Deveci, Ö., & Shannon, A. G. The complex-type k-Fibonacci sequences and their applications. *Communications in Algebra*, 49(3), 1352-1367 (2021).
- [7] dos Santos Manguiera, M. C., Vieira, R. P. M., Alves, F. R. V., & Catarino, P. M. M. C. The hybrid numbers of Padovan and some identities. In *Annales Mathematicae Silesianae*, 34(2), 256-267 (2020).
- [8] El-Mikkawy, M., & Sogabe, T. A new family of k-Fibonacci numbers. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 215(12), 4456-4461 (2010).
- [9] Frontczak R. On Balancing Polynomials. *Appl. Math. Sci* 13, 57-66 (2019).
- [10] Gautam R. Balancing Numbers and Application. *Journal of Advanced College of Engineering and Management*, 4, 137-143 (2018).
- [11] Horadam, A.F. Jacobsthal representation numbers. *Fibonacci Quarterly*, 34, 40-54 (1996).
- [12] Irmak, N. (2013). *Balancing with balancing powers*. *Miskolc Math. Notes* 14, 951–957 (2013).
- [13] Keskin R., Karaatly O. Some new properties of balancing numbers and square triangular numbers. *J. Integer Sequences* 15 (2012).
- [14] Kilic, N. On k-Jacobsthal and k-Jacobsthal-Lucas hybrid numbers. *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, 24(4), 1063-1074 (2021).
- [15] Kızılateş, C. A new generalization of Fibonacci hybrid and Lucas hybrid numbers. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 130, 109449 (2020).
- [16] Koshy T. Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers with applications. New York: John Wiley and Sons Inc. (2001).
- [17] Kovačcs, T., Liptai, K., Olajos, P. on (a, b)-type balancing numbers. *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 77, 485–98 (2010).
- [18] Özdemir, M. Introduction to hybrid numbers. *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebra*, 28(1), 1-32 (2018).
- [19] Özkan E, Uysal, M. Mersenne-Lucas hybrid numbers. *Mathematica montisnigri*, 52(2), 17-29 (2021).

- [20] Özkan, E. & Altun, İ. Generalized Lucas polynomials and relationships between the Fibonacci polynomials and Lucas polynomials. *Communications in Algebra*, 47, 4020-4030 (2019).
- [21] Özkan, E., Altun, İ., Göçer, A.A. On relationship among a new family of k -Fibonacci, k -Lucas numbers, Fibonacci and Lucas numbers. *Chiang Mai J. Sci.* 44, 1744-1750 (2017).
- [22] Özkan, E., Aydoğdu, A., Altun, İ. Some Identities for A Family of Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers. *Journal of Mathematics and Statistical Science* 3, 295-303 (2017).
- [23] Özkan, E., Uysal, M. & Kuloğlu, B. Catalan transform of the incomplete Jacobsthal numbers and incomplete generalized Jacobsthal polynomials. *Asian-European Journal of Mathematics*, 2250119 (2021).
- [24] Panda, G.K. Some fascinating properties of balancing numbers. *Congr. Numer.* 194, 185–189 (2009).
- [25] Panda, G.K., Rout S.S. Gap balancing numbers. *Fibonacci Quart.* 51, 239–48 (2013).
- [26] Patra, A. and Kaabar M. K.A. Catalan Transform of k -Balancing Sequences. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 9987314 (2021).
- [27] Polatlı, E. A note on ratios of Fibonacci hybrid and Lucas hybrid numbers. *Notes Number Theory Discrete Math*, 27(3), 73-78 (2021).
- [28] Ray, P. K. Balancing and Lucas-balancing sums by matrix methods. *Mathematical Reports*, 17(2), 225-233 (2015).
- [29] Ray, P. K. On the properties of k -balancing numbers. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, 9, 395-402 (2018).
- [30] Szynal-Liana, A., Włoch, I. On Jacobsthal and Jacobsthal-Lucas hybrid numbers. In *Annales Mathematicae Silesianae*, 33(1), 276-283 (2019).
- [31] Szynal-Liana, A. The Horadam hybrid numbers. *Discussiones Mathematicae-General Algebra and Applications*, 38(1), 91-98 (2018).
- [32] Uysal, M., & Özkan, E. Padovan hybrid quaternions and some properties. *Journal of Science and Arts*, 22(1), 121-132 (2022).
- [33] Yağmur, T. A note on generalized hybrid Tribonacci numbers. *Discussiones Mathematicae-General Algebra and Applications*, 40(2), 187-199 (2020).

Received October, 2022