

On the super (a, d) - H -antimagic total labelings of three graphs

Dongxu Zhu

Zhihe Liang*

School of Mathematical Sciences

Hebei Normal University

Shijiazhuang 050024

China

Abstract

The conception of (a, d) - H -super antimagic total labeling is generated by graph covering, magic square and graph labeling. In this paper we study the (a, d) - H -super antimagic total labelings of the friendship graph F_n , wheel W_n and the graph mG .

Subject Classification: 05B30, 05C78.

Keywords: Super (a, d) - H -antimagic total labeling; Friendship graph F_n ; Wheel W_n ; The graph mG .

1. Introduction

It is convenient to adopt the following notations. Let Z be the ring of integers. The symbols $[a, b]$ and $[a, b]_k$ denote the set $\{x \mid x \in Z, a \leq x \leq b\}$ and the set $\{x \in Z \mid a \leq x \leq b, x \equiv a \pmod{k}\}$, respectively. If $f: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ is a mapping and $V_3 \subseteq V_1$, we denote $\{f(v) \mid v \in V_3\}$ by $f(V_3)$. If A is a number set, then we denote the sum $\sum_{i \in A} i$ by $\sum A$. In the paper we denote by $V(H)$ and $E(H)$ the set of vertices and the set of edges of a graph H , respectively. A graph H is called a (p, q) -graph if it has $|V(H)| = p$ vertices and $|E(H)| = q$ edges. An H -covering of a graph G is a set of subgraphs of G , say $\{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n\}$, such that each edge of G belongs to at least one of the subgraphs $H_i, i \in [1, n]$. Let the simple graph G admits an H -covering $\mathcal{B} = \{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n\}$. The graph G is said to be (a, d) - H -antimagic total (simply, (a, d) - H -AMT) if there exists a bijection $f: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow [1, |V(G) \cup E(G)|]$ such

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11871190).

* E-mail: zhiliang@163.com

that for all $H' \in \mathcal{B}$, $\sum_{v \in V(H')} f(v) + \sum_{e \in E(H')} f(e)$ (called weight of H' and denoted by $w_f(H')$ or $\sum f(H')$) form an arithmetic progression $a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + (n - 1)d$, where a and d are two integers. An (a, d) - H -antimagic total graph G is said to be (a, d) - H -super antimagic total (simply, (a, d) - H -SAMT) if $f(V(G)) = [1, |V(G)|]$. The f is said to be an (a, d) - H -(super) antimagic total labeling of G (simply, (a, d) - H -(S)AMTL).

An (a, d) - H -AMTL of G is an (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling (simply, (a, d) -edge-AMTL) of G if $H \cong K_2$. Definitions of (a, d) -edge-AMTL and (a, d) -edge-SAMTL were natural extensions of the concept of magic valuation (see [1] and [2]). Liang [6] and [7] completely settled the problems of the super (a, d) -edge-AMTL of the complete bipartite graph and the G -supermagic of $I(G, H, m)$ and $m \odot G$. Zhu and Liang [3] studied the (a, d) - H -super antimagic total labelings of path chain graph $PCG(H, U, n)$ when $d = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$. For a survey of various graph labeling problems one may refer to Gallian [8]. In [4] and [5] authors studied the irregular chromatic number of some graphs and the topological index problems, respectively.

Problem 1: (see [9]) Known if $n \geq 5$ is odd, then the wheel W_n has $(a, 0)$ - C_3 -SAMTL. The open problem is that does the wheel W_{2r} has $(a, 0)$ - C_3 -SAMTL if $r > 1$?

Problem 2: Bača et al. in [10] proved

- (1) if the friendship graph F_n has (a, d) -edge-SAMTL, then $d < 3$;
- (2) when $n \in \{1, 3, 4, 5, 7\}$ and $d = 0, 2$ the graph F_n has (a, d) -edge-SAMTL;
- (3) the graph F_n has $(a, 1)$ -edge-SAMTL. Slamin et al. in [11] obtained that F_n has an $(a, 0)$ -edge-SAMTL if and only if $n \in \{1, 3, 4, 5, 7\}$. Nalliah in [12] proved F_n has not $(a, 2)$ -edge-SAMTL if n is even and $n \not\equiv 4 \pmod{12}$. Open problem: whether F_n has $(a, 2)$ -edge-SAMTL if $n \geq 8$?

Problem 3: The disjoint union of m copies of G is denoted by mG . Bača et al. in [13] proved that if T is tree and G has an $(a, 1)$ - T -SAMTL, then mG has also $(b, 1)$ - T -SAMTL if $m \geq 1$. They proposed the following problem: if G has an (a, d) - H -SAMTL, whether mG has an (a', d') - H -SAMTL?

In this paper we mainly solve these problems.

2. The (a, d) - C_3 -SAMTL of wheel W_n

In this section we'll study Problem 1: (a, d) - C_3 -SAMTL of wheel W_n for any integer $n \geq 4$ and $d = 0$ or 1 . For any integer $n \geq 3$, the wheel W_n is the $n + 1$ -vertex graph obtained by joining a vertex v to each of the n vertices $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ of the cycle C_n . The vertex v is called the center of the wheel. Let the subgraph H_i of W_n be the C_3 with vertex set $\{v, v_i, v_{i+1}\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and H_n be the C_3 with vertex set $\{v, v_n, v_1\}$. Then $\mathcal{B} = \{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n\}$ is a C_3 -covering of W_n .

Theorem 2.1: *Wheel W_{2r} is an $(a, 0)$ - C_3 -SAMT graph, where $a = 19r + 5$ and $r > 1$.*

Proof: Let $n = 2r$. We construct a mapping $f : V(W_n) \cup E(W_n) \rightarrow [1, 3n + 1]$ as follows:

When $i \in [1, r]$, $f(v_{2i-1}) = 6r - 2i + 3$, $f(v_{2i-1}v_{2i}) = 2r + 2i$;

When $i \in [1, r-1]$, $f(v_{2i}) = 6r - 2i$, $f(vv_{2i-1}) = i$, $f(vv_{2i}) = r + 1 + i$;

When $i = r$, $f(v_{2i}) = 2r + 1$, $f(vv_{2i-1}) = 4r - 1$, $f(vv_{2i}) = r + 1$;

When $i \in [1, r-2]$, $f(v_{2i}v_{2i+1}) = 2r + 2i + 1$;

When $i = r-1$, $f(v_{2i}v_{2i+1}) = r$, and $f(v) = 4r - 1$, $f(v_{2r}v_1) = 6r$.

Thus when $i \in [1, r]$ there are $f(v_{2i-1}) \in [4r + 3, 6r + 1]_2$, $f(v_{2i}) \in [4r + 2, 6r - 2]_2 \cup \{2r + 1\}$, $f(v_{2i-1}v_{2i}) \in [2r + 2, 4r]_2$, $f(vv_{2i-1}) \in [1, r-1] \cup \{4r-1\}$, $f(vv_{2i}) \in [r+2, 2r] \cup \{r+1\} = [r+1, 2r]$.

When $i \in [1, r-1]$ there are $f(v_{2i}v_{2i+1}) \in [2r+3, 4r-3]_2 \cup \{r\}$, $f(v_{2r}v_1) = 6r$, $f(v) = 4r + 1$. Therefore f is a bijection from $V(W_n) \cup E(W_n)$ to $[1, 3n + 1]$.

It is easy to verify that each graph $H_i, i \in [1, n]$ in $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies $\Sigma f(H_i) = 19r + 5$. Therefore wheel W_{2r} is a $(19r + 5, 0)$ - C_3 -SAMT graph for any $r > 1$. $\square$

Theorem 2.2: *Wheel W_n is an $(a, 1)$ - C_3 -SAMT graph for any integer $n \geq 4$.*

Proof: Let $\mathcal{B} = \{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n\}$ be a C_3 -covering of W_n . We construct a mapping $f : V(W_n) \cup E(W_n) \rightarrow [1, 3n + 1]$ as follows:

$f(vv_{i+1}) = 3n + 2 - i$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $f(v) = 1$, $f(v_nv_1) = 2n + 2$.

We have $f(v_iv_{i+1}) \in [2n+3, 3n+1]$, and $f(v_nv_1) = 2n + 2$. Therefore $f(E(C_n)) = [2n + 2, 3n + 1]$.

(1) When n is odd, let

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{n+i}{2} + 1, & i \in [1, n]_2 \\ \frac{i}{2} + 1, & i \in [2, n-1]_2 \end{cases} \quad f(vv_i) = \begin{cases} 2n+2 - \frac{n+i}{2}, & i \in [1, n]_2 \\ 2n+2 - \frac{i}{2}, & i \in [2, n-1]_2 \end{cases}$$

(2) When n is even, let

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{i+1}{2} + 1, & i \in [1, n-1]_2 \\ \frac{n+i}{2} + 1, & i \in [2, n]_2 \end{cases} \quad f(vv_i) = \begin{cases} n+1 + \frac{i+1}{2}, & i \in [1, n-1]_2 \\ n+1 + \frac{n+i}{2}, & i \in [2, n]_2 \end{cases}$$

Then f is a bijection from $V(W_n) \cup E(W_n)$ to $[1, 3n+1]$, and $f(V(W_n)) = [1, n+1]$, $f(E(W_n)) = [n+2, 3n+1]$.

It is easy to verify that $\{\sum f(H_1), \sum f(H_2), \dots, \sum f(H_n)\} = [6n+9, 7n+8]$ is a set of consecutive integers. Summarizing the above results, we have proved that W_n is an $(a, 1)$ - C_3 -SAMT graph, where $n \geq 4$ and $a = 6n+9$. $\square$

3. On the $(a, 2)$ -edge-SAMTL of F_n

The friendship (or Dutch windmill) graph F_n is a graph that can be constructed by coalescence n copies of the cycle C_3 of length 3 with a common vertex (called center of F_n). On the (a, d) -edge-SAMT problem of friendship graph F_n , Bača et al. in [10] and [11] have solved the problem for the case $d = 0, 1$. In this section we'll solve this problem for the case $d = 2$. This implies that the (a, d) -edge-SAMT problem of F_n has been completely solved.

Lemma 3.1: (See [14]) Let $\gcd(a_1, a_2) = d > 1$. If diophantine equation $a_1x + a_2y = n$ (I) has a particular solution (x_0, y_0) , then general solution of (I) is

$$\begin{cases} x = x_0 + \frac{a_2}{d}t \\ y = y_0 - \frac{a_1}{d}t \end{cases} \quad t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

Lemma 3.2: [14]

- (1) The diophantine equation (I) has a solution in integers x, y if and only if $\gcd(a_1, a_2)$ divides n .
- (2) Integers a and b are relatively prime if and only if there exist integers s and t such that $sa + tb = 1$.

Let graph G has an (a, d) -edge-SAMTL f . We have

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v)f(v) + \sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e) = \sum_{e \in E(G)} w_f(e) \quad (\text{II})$$

Where $d(v)$ is the degree of vertex v on G . We know that friendship graph F_n has $|V(F_n)| = p = 2n + 1$ vertices and $|E(F_n)| = q = 3n$ edges. For center c of F_n we have $d(c) = 2n$, and $d(v) = 2$ for other vertex of F_n .

If F_n has an $(a, 2)$ -edge-SAMTL $f: V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, \dots, p+q\}$, then
 $\{f(u) + f(v) + f(uv) \mid uv \in E(H)\} = \{a, a+2, a+4, \dots, a+2(3n-1)\}$. (III)

If $f(c) = i$, then by (II) we get equation

$$2\left(\frac{1}{2}p(p+1) - i\right) + 2ni + \frac{1}{2}(p+1+p+q)q = \frac{1}{2}\{a+a+2(q-1)\}q.$$

By computation we get

$$i = \frac{11n^2 + 27n - 6na + 4}{4(1-n)} \quad (\text{IV})$$

Since $a \geq 1+2+(p+1)$ and $a+(q-1)d \leq (p-1)+p+(p+q)$, there is $2n+5 \leq a \leq 3n+4$.

Again by (IV) we can obtain $1 + \frac{n}{4} \leq i \leq 1 + \frac{7n}{4}$.

By (III) we obtain that lesser m edge weights on F_n are $a, a+2, a+4, \dots, a+2(m-1)$, their sum is $am + m(m-1)$, greater m edge weights on F_n are $a+2(3n-1), a+2(3n-2), \dots, a+2(3n-m)$, their sum is $6nm + am - m(m+1)$. It is easy to obtain the following conclusion.

Lemma 3.3: Let mapping $f: V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, \dots, p+q\}$ be a labeling on F_n . If sum of lesser m edge weights on F_n is $am + m(m-1)$ or sum of greater m edge weights on F_n is $6nm + am - m(m+1)$, then F_n has not $(a, 2)$ -edge-SAMTL.

Next, we want to show F_n has not $(a, 2)$ -edge-SAMTL. The equation (IV) is equivalent to the equation $(4-4n)i + 6na = 11n^2 + 27n + 4$ (V).

If n is a constant, then (V) is a binary equation of first order with as two unknown elements i and a .

Lemma 3.4: Friendship graph F_n has not $(a, 2)$ -edge-SAMTL if odd $n \geq 9$.

Proof: In equation (V) let $i = n+1$. We can obtain integer solution $a = \frac{5n+9}{2}$. Thus $(i, a) = \left(n+1, \frac{5n+9}{2}\right)$ is a particular solution of (V). Since $\gcd(4-4n, 6n) = 2$, the equation (V) has general solution

$$\begin{cases} i = n+1 + \frac{6n}{2}t \\ a = \frac{5n+9}{2} - \frac{4-4n}{2}t \end{cases} \quad t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

Again by $1 + \frac{n}{4} \leq i \leq 1 + \frac{7n}{4}$, we know (V) only has integer solution $(i, a) = \left(n+1, \frac{5n+9}{2}\right)$.
 Next we show that F_n has not $(a, 2)$ -edge-SAMTL if $i = n + 1$ and $a = \frac{5n+9}{2}$.

When $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, suppose that F_n has an $(a, 2)$ -edge-SAMTL f , then the sum of lesser $\frac{n+5}{2}$ edge weights on F_n is $\frac{3n^2+21n+30}{2}$. But when we actually give vertices of F_n labels, then the sum of lesser $\frac{n+5}{2}$ edge weights on F_n is $\frac{49n^2+338n+413}{32}$. By Lemma 3.3 we obtain F_n has not $(a, 2)$ -edge-SAMTL.

When $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, suppose that F_n has an $(a, 2)$ -edge-SAMTL f , then the sum of lesser $\frac{n+5}{2}$ edge weights on F_n is $\frac{3n^2+7n+4}{2}$. Whereas when actually compute the vertex labels, then there is the sum of lesser $\frac{n+1}{2}$ edge weights on F_n is $\frac{49n^2+102n+53}{32}$. By Lemma 3.3 we obtain F_n has not $(a, 2)$ -edge-SAMTL.

Summarizing the above results, proof of the theorem is now complete. $\square$

Lemma 3.5: When $n \geq 16$ and $n \equiv 4 \pmod{12}$, graph F_n has not $(a, 2)$ -edge-SAMTL.

Proof: When $n \equiv 4 \pmod{12}$, if $n = 12k + 4$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $(i, a) = \left(1 + \frac{n}{4}, 2n+5\right)$ is a particular solution of equation (V). Since $4(3k+1) - 3(4k+1) = 1$, there is $(4k+1, 3k+1) = 1$ by Lemma 3.2, there is also $d = (4-4n, 6n) = 12$. By Lemma 3.1 we obtain the general solution of equation (V) is

$$\begin{cases} i = 1 + \frac{n}{4} + \frac{6n}{12}t \\ a = 2n + 5 - \frac{4-4n}{12}t \end{cases} \quad t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

Since $1 + \frac{n}{4} \leq i \leq 1 + \frac{7n}{4}$ and $2n + 5 \leq a \leq 3n + 4$, the integer solutions of (V) is:

$$\begin{cases} i = 1 + \frac{n}{4} \\ a = 2n + 5 \end{cases}, \begin{cases} i = 1 + \frac{3n}{4} \\ a = 2n + 5 + \frac{n-1}{3}t, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} i = 1 + \frac{5n}{4} \\ a = 2n + 5 + \frac{2n-2}{3}t \end{cases}, \begin{cases} i = 1 + \frac{7n}{4} \\ a = 3n + 4 \end{cases}.$$

By Lemma 3.3 we obtain that F_n has not $(a, 2)$ -edge-SAMTL for above each solution. Therefore the result is true. $\square$

From Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6: *Friendship graph F_n has $(a, 2)$ -edge-SAMTL if and only if $n \in \{1, 3, 4, 5, 7\}$.*

4. On the (a, d) -H-SAMTL of graph mG

Theorem 4.1: *Let $m, t \geq 1$ be positive integers. If H is a (r, s) -graph and G a (p, q) -graphs and the graph G with H -covering $\mathcal{B}_1$ is a (a_n, d_n) -H-SAMT graph, then graph mG with H -covering $\mathcal{B}_2$ is (b_n, d_n) -H-SAMT graph. There $n \in [1, 4]$, and*

- (1) *when $r \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $d_1 \in [0, r+s]_2$.*
- (2) *when $r \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $d_2 \in [2, r+s]_2$.*
- (3) *when $r \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $d_3 \in [1, r+s]_2$.*
- (4) *when $r \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $d_4 \in [1, r+s]_2$.*

Proof: Let $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{H^1, H^2, \dots, H^t\}$. Since every $H^j, j \in [1, t]$ and H are isomorphic, $|V(H^j)| = |V(H)| = r, |E(H^j)| = |E(H)| = s$. Let $V(H^j) = \{v_k^j \mid k \in [1, r]\}$, $E(H^j) = \{e_l^j \mid l \in [1, s]\}$. Again G is an (a, d) -H-SAMT graph with labeling $f' : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, p\} \cup \{p+1, p+2, \dots, p+q\}$, therefore

$$\{w_{f'}(H^j) \mid j = 1, 2, \dots, t\} = \{a, a + d_n, \dots, a + (t-1)d_n\}, n \in [1, 4]. \quad (1)$$

For convenience sake, we denote m graph of mG by G_i , $i \in [1, m]$, and let $\mathcal{B}_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \{H_i^1, H_i^2, \dots, H_i^t\}$. $V(mG) = \{v_{i,k}^j \mid i \in [1, m], k \in [1, r], j \in [1, t]\}$, $E(mG) = \{e_{i,l}^j \mid i \in [1, m], l \in [1, s], j \in [1, t]\}$. For each, $i \in [1, m]$, $j \in [1, t]$, we define the labeling f on H_i^j as follows:

Case 1: When $r \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$,

$$f(v_{i,k}^j) = \begin{cases} m f'(v_k^j) + 1 - i, & k \in \left[1, \frac{r}{2} - u\right] \\ m(f'(v_k^j) - 1) + i, & k \in \left[\frac{r}{2} - u + 1, r\right] \end{cases}$$

$$f(e_{i,l}^j) = \begin{cases} m f'(e_l^j) + 1 - i, & l \in \left[1, \frac{s}{2} - v\right] \\ m(f'(e_l^j) - 1) + i, & l \in \left[\frac{s}{2} - v + 1, s\right] \end{cases}$$

Case 2: When $r \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$,

$$f(v_{i,k}^j) = \begin{cases} m f'(v_k^j) + 1 - i, & k \in \left[1, \frac{r-1}{2} - u\right] \\ m(f'(v_k^j) - 1) + i, & k \in \left[\frac{r-1}{2} - u + 1, r\right] \end{cases}$$

$$f(e_{i,l}^j) = \begin{cases} m f'(e_l^j) + 1 - i, & l \in \left[1, \frac{s-1}{2} - v\right] \\ m(f'(e_l^j) - 1) + i, & l \in \left[\frac{s-1}{2} - v + 1, s\right] \end{cases}$$

Case 3: When $r \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$,

$$f(v_{i,k}^j) = \begin{cases} m f'(v_k^j) + 1 - i, & k \in \left[1, \frac{r-1}{2} - u\right] \\ m(f'(v_k^j) - 1) + i, & k \in \left[\frac{r-1}{2} - u + 1, r\right] \end{cases}$$

$$f(e_{i,l}^j) = \begin{cases} m f'(e_l^j) + 1 - i, & l \in \left[1, \frac{s}{2} - v\right] \\ m(f'(e_l^j) - 1) + i, & l \in \left[\frac{s}{2} - v + 1, s\right] \end{cases}$$

Case 4: When $r \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$,

$$f(v_{i,k}^j) = \begin{cases} mf'(v_k^j) + 1 - i, & k \in \left[1, \frac{r}{2} - u\right] \\ m(f'(v_k^j) - 1) + i, & k \in \left[\frac{r}{2} - u + 1, r\right] \end{cases}$$

$$f(e_{i,l}^j) = \begin{cases} mf'(e_l^j) + 1 - i, & l \in \left[1, \frac{s-1}{2} - v\right] \\ m(f'(e_l^j) - 1) + i, & l \in \left[\frac{s-1}{2} - v + 1, s\right] \end{cases}$$

Here when $r \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $u \in \left[0, \frac{r}{2}\right]$; when $r \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $u \in \left[0, \frac{r-1}{2}\right]$;
when $s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $v \in \left[0, \frac{s}{2}\right]$; when $s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $v \in \left[0, \frac{s-1}{2}\right]$.

Thus for vertex labels, we have $\{f(v_{i,k}^j) \mid i \in [1, m]\} = [mf'(v_k^j) - m + 1, mf'(v_k^j)]$. Again since $\{f'(v_k^j) \mid j \in [1, t], k \in [1, r]\} = [1, p], \{f(v_{i,k}^j) \mid i \in [1, m], j \in [1, t], k \in [1, r]\} = [1, mp]$.

For edge labels, we have $\{f(e_{i,k}^j) \mid i \in [1, m]\} = [mf'(e_l^j) - m + 1, mf'(e_l^j)]$,
Since

$$\{f'(e_k^j) \mid j \in [1, t], l \in [1, s]\} = [p+1, p+q], \{f(e_{i,k}^j) \mid i \in [1, m], j \in [1, t], l \in [1, s]\} = [pm+1, (p+q)m].$$

Summarizing the above results, we obtain f is a bijection from $V(mG) \cup E(mG)$ to $[1, (p+q)m]$.

In Case 1, we have $w_f(H_i^j) = mw_{f'}(H_i^j) + h_1 + d_1 i$.

Where

$$h_1 = \left(\frac{r}{2} - u\right) - \left(\frac{r}{2} + u\right)m + \left(\frac{s}{2} - v\right) - \left(\frac{s}{2} + v\right)m, d_1 = 2u + 2v \in [0, r+s]_2.$$

In Case 2, we have $w_f(H_i^j) = mw_{f'}(H_i^j) + h_2 + d_2 i$.

Where

$$h_2 = \left(\frac{r-1}{2} - u\right) - \left(\frac{r+1}{2} + u\right)m + \left(\frac{s-1}{2} - v\right) - \left(\frac{s+1}{2} + v\right)m, d_2 = 2u + 2v + 2 \in [2, r+s]_2.$$

In Case 3, we have $w_f(H_i^j) = mw_{f'}(H_i^j) + h_3 + d_3 i$.

Where

$$h_3 = \left(\frac{r-1}{2} - u\right) - \left(\frac{r+1}{2} + u\right)m + \left(\frac{s}{2} - v\right) - \left(\frac{s}{2} + v\right)m, d_3 = 2u + 2v + 1 \in [1, r+s]_2.$$

In Case 4, we have $w_f(H_i^j) = mw_{f'}(H_i^j) + h_4 + d_4 i$.

Where

$$h_4 = \left(\frac{r}{2} - u\right) - \left(\frac{r}{2} + u\right)m + \left(\frac{s-1}{2} - v\right) - \left(\frac{s+1}{2} + v\right)m, d_4 = 2u + 2v + 1 \in [1, r+s]_2.$$

For any, $n \in [1, 4]$, By (1) we can get the following results.

For covering $\{H_1^j \mid j \in [1, t]\}$ of G_1 , we have $\{\sum f(H_1^j) \mid j \in [1, t]\} =$

$$\{ma + h_n + d_n, m(a + d_n) + h_n + d_n, \dots, m[a + (t-1)d_n] + h_n + d_n\}.$$

For covering $\{H_2^j \mid j \in [1, t]\}$ of G_2 , we have $\{\sum f(H_2^j) \mid j \in [1, t]\} =$

$$\{ma + h_n + 2d_n, m(a + d_n) + h_n + 2d_n, \dots, m[a + (t-1)d_n] + h_n + 2d_n\}.$$

...

For covering $\{H_{m-1}^j \mid j \in [1, t]\}$ of G_{m-1} , we have $\{\sum f(H_{m-1}^j) \mid j \in [1, t]\} =$

$$\{ma + h_n + (m-1)d_n, m(a + d_n) + h_n + (m-1)d_n, \dots, m[a + (t-1)d_n] + h_n + (m-1)d_n\}.$$

For covering $\{H_m^j \mid j \in [1, t]\}$ of G_m , we have $\{\sum f(H_m^j) \mid j \in [1, t]\} =$

$$\{ma + h_n + md_n, m(a + d_n) + h_n + md_n, \dots, m[a + (t-1)d_n] + h_n + md_n\}.$$

Therefore $\{\sum f(H_i^j) \mid i \in [1, m], j \in [1, t]\} = \{ma + h_n + d_n, ma + h_n + 2d_n, \dots, m(a + td_n) + h_n\}$. To sum up we get that mG is a (b_n, d_n) -H-SAMT graph, where $b_n = ma + h_n$. $\square$

In above theorem let $f(v_{i,k}^j) = m(f'(v_k^j) - 1) + i, k \in [1, r]$, and $f(e_{i,l}^j) = mf'(e_l^j) + 1 - i, l \in [1, s]$, we can get some consequences of the above theorem.

Corollary 4.2: Let $d = |V(H)| - |E(H)|$. If G is an (a, d) -H-SAMT graph, then mG is a (b, d) -H-SAMT graph for any $m \geq 1$, where $b = m(a - |V(H)|) + |V(H)|$.

Corollary 4.3: If $|V(H)| = |E(H)|$ and G is an $(a, 0)$ -H-SAMT graph, then mG is a $(b, 0)$ -H-SAMT graph for any $m \geq 1$, where $b = m(a - |V(H)|) + |V(H)|$.

References

- [1] A. Kotzig, A. Rosa, Magic valuations of finite graphs, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 13 (1970), 451-461.
- [2] R. Simanjuntak, F. Bertault, M. Miller, Two new (a, d) -antimagic graph labelings, in: *Proceedings of 11th Australian Workshop of Combinatorial Algorithm*, (2000), 179-189.
- [3] Dongxu Zhu, Zhihe Liang, On the super (a, d) -H-antimagic total labelings of path chain graphs, *Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences*, 42(3) (2021), 689-700.

- [4] A. Rohini, M. Venkatachalam, Irregular colorings of friendship graph families, *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, 23(4) (2020), 913-924.
- [5] Melaku Berhe Belay, Chunxiang Wang, Abdul Jalil M. Khalaf, Hamid Hosseini, Mohammad Reza Farahani, Topological indices of the subdivision graph and the line graph of subdivision graph of the wheel graph, *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, 24(2) (2021), 589-601.
- [6] Z. Liang, Super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labelings of the complete bipartite graphs, *Front. Math. China*, 13(1) (2018), 129-146.
- [7] Z. Liang, On the G -supermagic coverings of graphs, *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica (Chinese Series)*, 37(5) (2014), 857-864.
- [8] J. A. Gallian, A dynamic survey of graph labelings, *Electron. J. Combin.*, (2017) # DS6, <http://www.combinatorics.org/surveys/ds6.pdf>.
- [9] J. M. Vilarnau, Graph labeling and graph decompositions by partitioning sets of integers, Thesis of Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, 2010.
- [10] M. Bača, Y. Lin, M. Miller, M. Z. Youssef, Edge-antimagic graphs, *Discrete Math.*, 307 (2007), 1232-1244.
- [11] Slamin, M. Bača, Y. Lin, et al. Edge-magic total labelings of wheels, fans and friendship graphs, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.*, 35 (2002), 89-98.
- [12] M. Nalliah, Super (a, d) -edge antimagic total labelings of friendship graphs, *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, 53 (2012), 237-243.
- [13] M. Bača, Z. Kimáková, A. Semaničová - Feňovčíková, M. A. Umar, Tree-Antimagicness of Disconnected graphs, *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2015. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/504251>
- [14] H. Cohen, Number Theory, Volume I: Tools and Diophantine equations, Springer, New York, (2007), 339-340.

Received May, 2021

Revised August, 2021