

Some results in multiplicative-modular b-metric spaces and their applications

Parveen Tyagi *

Surjeet Singh Chauhan (Gonder) [†]

Department of Mathematics

University Institute of Sciences (UIS)

Chandigarh University

Mohali 140413

Punjab

India

Abstract

This article introduces a novel Multiplicative-Modular b-Metric Space (MMbMS), which generalizes two Metric Spaces by relaxing the Triangle Inequality. We establish its properties and prove the Banach and Kannan Contraction Theorems with adjusted constants, ensuring their validity in this broader framework. The Banach Contraction Principle is extended to this generalized space, proving its validity under appropriate Contraction Conditions, and its potential applications in solving Integral and Differential Equations are explored.

Subject Classification (2020): 46A80, 47H09, 54H25.

Keywords: Fredholm multiplicative integral equations, Modular b-metric spaces, Multiplicative metric spaces.

1. Introduction

Czerwik [2] developed the idea of b-metric Spaces in 1993 as a way to generalize Metric Spaces. It relaxes the Triangle Inequality by adding a coefficient $b \geq 1$. This extension has proven useful in Fixed Point (FP) Theory, allowing theorems to hold in broader classes of spaces where standard metrics may not apply.

Bashirov, Kurpinar, and Özyapıcı [5] examined Multiplicative Calculus and formulated foundational theorems, illustrating its applicability through various examples. Multiplicative Calculus provides a straightforward approach to the derivatives of products and quotients. They defined Multiplicative

* E-mail: parveentyagi1142@gmail.com (Corresponding Author)

[†] E-mail: surjeetschauhan@yahoo.com

Distances between nonnegative reals and positive matrices, laying the groundwork for Multiplicative Metric Spaces.

Building on papers [1, 3-11], this work introduces Multiplicative-Modular b-metric Spaces (MMbMS), which combine Multiplicative Metrics, Modular Structures, and the b-relaxation parameter. These spaces generalize Metric Spaces where distances are multiplicative (in $[1, \infty)$) rather than additive, with a relaxed Triangle Inequality involving a coefficient $b \geq 1$. The MMbMS framework is novel and holds potential for significant study.

2. Main Results

Definition 2.1: For $\Delta \neq \emptyset$, $b \geq 1$, and $P: (0, \infty) \times \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow [1, \infty]$ a function with the following properties, for $h, \varpi, v \in \Delta$ and $\eta, \mu > 0$:

- (i) $P_\eta(h, \varpi) = 1$ if and only if $h = \varpi$,
- (ii) $P_\eta(h, \varpi) = P_\eta(\varpi, h)$,
- (iii) $P_{\eta+\mu}(h, \varpi) \leq [P_\eta(h, v) \cdot P_\mu(v, \varpi)]^b$.

Then (Δ, P) is defined as a Multiplicative-Modular b-metric Space (MMbMS). We write $P(\eta, h, \varpi) = P_\eta(h, \varpi)$.

Definition 2.2: Let (Δ, P) be a MMbMS.

- (i) A sequence $\{h_n\}$ is P-convergent to $h \in \Delta$ if $P_\eta(h_n, h) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $\eta > 0$.
- (ii) A sequence $\{h_n\}$ is P-Cauchy if $P_\eta(h_m, h_n) \rightarrow 1$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $\eta > 0$.
- (iii) The MMbMS (Δ, P) is P-complete if every P-Cauchy sequence converges to some $h \in \Delta$.

Example 2.3: Let $\Delta = [0, \infty)$, $b = 2$, and define $P: (0, \infty) \times \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ by $P_\eta(h, \varpi) = a(h-\varpi)^2/\eta$, where $a > 1$ is a fixed real number, for all $h, \varpi \in \Delta$ and $\eta > 0$. Then (Δ, P) is a MMbMS.

Hence, $P_{\eta+\mu}(h, \varpi) \leq [P_\eta(h, v) \cdot P_\mu(v, \varpi)]^2$, satisfying the Triangle Inequality for $b = 2$.

Example 2.4: Consider a b-metric Space (Δ, σ) with $b \geq 1$, so that $\sigma(h, \varpi) \leq b[\sigma(h, v) + \sigma(v, \varpi)]$ for all $h, \varpi, v \in \Delta$. Define the function $P: (0, \infty) \times \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ by $P_\eta(h, \varpi) = e\sigma(h, \varpi)/\eta$ for all $h, \varpi \in \Delta$ and $\eta > 0$. Then (Δ, P) is a Multiplicative-Modular b-metric Space (MMbMS).

Definition 2.5: Let (Δ, P) be a MMbMS with $b \geq 1$. If for any $h, \varpi \in \Delta$ and $\eta \in (0, \infty)$, there exists a real constant $k \in [0, 1/b)$ such that $P_\eta(Rh, R\varpi) \leq [P_\eta(h, \varpi)]^k$, then a mapping $R: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is called a Multiplicative-b-Modular Contraction.

Theorem 2.6: (Banach Contraction Principle for MMbMS).

Let $R: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ be a Multiplicative-b-Modular Contraction of the Complete MMbMS (Δ, P) . Then, there exists a unique Fixed Point in R .

Proof: Let $h_0 \in \Delta$. Define $\{h_n\}$ in Δ such that $h_n = Rh_{n-1}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

By the Multiplicative-b-Modular Contraction property of R ,

$$P_\eta(h_n, h_{n+1}) = P_\eta(Rh_{n-1}, Rh_n) \leq \dots \leq [P_\eta(h_0, h_1)]k^n.$$

For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n$, let $M = m - n$. Using the MMbMS Triangle Inequality,

$$P_\eta(h_n, h_m) \leq [P_\eta(h_0, h_1)]b \cdot k^n / (1 - k).$$

As $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, since $k < 1/b$, the exponent $b \cdot kn / (1 - k) \rightarrow 0$. Thus, $\lim P_\eta(h_n, h_m) = 1$. By completeness, there exists $h \in \Delta$ such that $h_n \rightarrow h$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Considering

$$P_\eta(Rh, h) \leq [P_{\eta/2}(h, h_{n-1})]k \cdot P_{\eta/2}(h_n, h)b,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ both factors tend to 1, so $P_\eta(Rh, h) \leq 1$. Since $P_\eta(Rh, h) \geq 1$, we obtain $P_\eta(Rh, h) = 1$, implying $Rh = h$. Uniqueness follows: if ϖ is also a Fixed Point, then $P_\eta(h, \varpi) = P_\eta(Rh, R\varpi) \leq [P_\eta(h, \varpi)]k$. Since $k < 1$, this forces $P_\eta(h, \varpi) = 1$, so $h = \varpi$.

Hence, R has a unique Fixed Point.

Theorem 2.7: (Kannan-Type Contraction for MMbMS). *Consider a Complete MMbMS (Δ, P) with $b \geq 1$, and assume that the mapping $R : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ satisfies the Contraction Condition*

$$P_\eta(Rh, R\varpi) \leq [P_\eta(Rh, h) \cdot P_\eta(R\varpi, \varpi)]^k,$$

where $k \in [0, 1/(1+b))$ is a constant. Then R has a unique Fixed Point.

Proof: Choose $\{h_n\} \in \Delta$ with $h_n = Rh_{n-1} = R_n h_0$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. By the Contraction Condition, setting $a_n = P_\eta(h_n, h_{n+1})$, we derive $a_n \leq ah_{n-1}$ where $h = k/(1-k)$. Since $k < 1/(1+b)$, we have $h < 1$. For $m > n$, with $M = m - n$,

$$P_\eta(h_n, h_m) \leq [P_\eta/M(h_0, h_1)]b \cdot h^n / (1 - h) \rightarrow 1.$$

Thus $\{h_n\}$ is a P-Cauchy sequence. By completeness, there exists $h \in \Delta$ such that $h_n \rightarrow h$. For the Fixed Point verification, $P_{\eta/2}(h_n, Rh) \leq [P_{\eta/2}(h_n - 1, h) \cdot P_{\eta/2}(h, Rh)]k$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields $P_{\eta/2}(h, Rh) \leq [P_{\eta/2}(h, Rh)]bk$. If $P_{\eta/2}(h, Rh) > 1$ and $bk < 1$, this is a contradiction, so $P_{\eta/2}(h, Rh) = 1$, giving $Rh = h$. If ϖ is another Fixed Point, $P_\eta(h, \varpi) = P_\eta(Rh, R\varpi) \leq [P_\eta(h, h) \cdot P_\eta(\varpi, \varpi)]k = 1$, so $h = \varpi$. Hence R has a unique Fixed Point.

Corollary 1: *Let (Δ, P) be a P-complete MMbMS with coefficient $b \geq 1$. Let $h_0 \in \Delta$, $\eta > 0$, and $B_\lambda(h_0, r) = \{y \in \Delta : P_\eta(h_0, y) \leq r\}$ be a w-closed ball with radius $r > 1$. Suppose a mapping $f : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ satisfies*

$$P_\eta(fh, fy) \leq [P_\eta(h, y)]a \cdot [P_\eta(h, fh)]b \cdot [P_\eta(y, fy)]c,$$

for all $h, y \in B_\lambda(h_0, r)$, with $0 < b(a + b + c) < 1$ and $P_\eta(h_0, fh_0) \leq r1/(1 - b(a + b + c))$. Then f has a unique Fixed Point in $B_\lambda(h_0, r)$.

Proof: Define $\{h_n\}$ by $h_{n+1} = fh_n$. Since $P_\eta(h_0, h_1) \leq r1/(1 - b(a + b + c)) \leq r$, we have $h_1 \in B_\lambda(h_0, r)$. By induction, all iterates remain in the ball. Setting $h =$

$(a+b)/(1-c) < 1$, we obtain an $\leq ah^0$, and Cauchy convergence follows exactly as in Theorem 2.6. The limit $h^* \in B_\lambda(h_0, r)$ satisfies $fh^* = h^*$, and uniqueness gives $h^* = \vartheta$.

3. Applications

We apply the Banach-type Contraction Theorem in MMbMS to establish the solutions to Fredholm Integral Equations.

Theorem 3.1: *For the following Non-linear Fredholm Integral Equation:*

$$u(h) = I(h) + \int_0^1 J(h, R, u(t)) dt, \quad h \in [0, 1],$$

where $I : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $J : [0, 1]^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous with the following conditions:

1. $|J(h, R, u) - J(h, R, v)| \leq M|u - v|$ for all $h, R \in [0, 1]$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, with $M_2 < 1/2$.
2. $\sup_{h \in [0, 1]} \int_0^1 |J(h, R, u(t))| dt < \infty$.

Then the Integral Equation has a unique solution in the space $C[0, 1]$.

Proof: Define $P_\eta(u, v) = \exp(d(u, v)/\eta)$ for $\eta > 0$. Then (Δ, P) is an MMbMS. The integral operator R satisfies

$$P_\eta(Ru, Rv) = \exp(d(Ru, Rv)/\eta) \leq \exp(M_2 d(u, v)/\eta) = [P_\eta(u, v)]^{M_2}.$$

Since $M_2 < 1/2$, setting $k = M_2$, Theorem 2.6 guarantees that R has a unique Fixed Point $u^* \in \Delta$, which is the unique solution of the Integral Equation.

4. Conclusions

The introduction of MMbMS advances Fixed Point results by unifying Multiplicative, Modular, and b-metric structures. This paper establishes the foundational properties of MMbMS and proves generalized Banach and Kannan Contraction Theorems, adapting Contraction Constants for this broader framework. The application to Fredholm Integral Equations demonstrates the practical utility of these results in obtaining unique solutions.

References

- [1] M. E. Ege and C. Alaca, "Some Results for Modular b-metric Spaces and an Application to System of Linear Equations," *Azerbaijan Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 8, pp. 3–14 (2018).
- [2] S. Czerwik, "Contraction Mappings in b-metric Spaces," *Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis*, vol. 1, pp. 5–11 (1993).
- [3] V. V. Chistyakov, *Metric Modular Spaces: Theory and Applications*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer (2015).

- [4] V. Parvaneh, N. Hussain, M. Khorshidi, N. Mlaiki, and H. Aydi, "Fixed Point Results for Generalized F-Contraactions in Modular b-metric Spaces with Applications," *Mathematics*, vol. 7, Art. no. 887 (2019).
- [5] A. E. Bashirov, E. M. Kurpinar, and A. Özyapıcı, "Multiplicative Calculus and Its Applications," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 337, no. 1, pp. 36–48 (2008).
- [6] A. Büyükkaya and M. Öztürk, "Some Common Fixed Point Results for ZE-Contraactions in Modular b-metric Spaces," *Topological Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 195–215 (2022), doi: 10.1515/taa-2022-0127.
- [7] P. Tyagi, S. S. Chauhan, N. Mani, and R. Shukla, "F-Modular b-metric Spaces and Some Analogies of Classical Fixed Point Theorems," *Int. J. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 22, Art. no. 66 (2024), doi: 10.28924/2291-8639-22-2024-66.
- [8] M. Gündoğdu, H. Öztürk, and Ö. F. Gözüikizil, "Novel Fixed Point Theorems in Partially Ordered Modular Metric Spaces with Some Applications," *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.*, vol. 77, no. 8, pp. 1115–1127 (2024).
- [9] P. Tyagi, S. S. Chauhan, N. Mani, and R. Shukla, "Common Fixed Points within the Framework of F-Modular b-metric Space and Its Applications," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2025, no. 1, Art. no. 8396427 (2025).
- [10] Isha, N. Mani, A. Anjana, and R. Bhardwaj, "Common fixed-point theorems satisfying rational contraction in partially ordered metric space," *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 1773–1779 (2024).
- [11] Anjana, N. Mani, A. Sharma, and M. Pingale, "Fixed points theorems for generalized C_{β}^{ψ} -rational contraction mapping in relational metric space," *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 1787–1795 (2024).

Received February, 2026