

On a fuzzy statistically convergent in 2-norm (Fsc_n^2 - Convergent) in a fuzzy 2-normed Riesz spaces and some properties

Shaimaa Abdul-Hussein Kadhim *

Shatha Abdul-Hussein Kadhim †

Mohammed Yahya Abed §

Department of Mathematics

College of Education for Pure Sciences

University of Kerbala

Karbala 56001

Iraq

Abstract

In this paper, we will be discussing fuzzy statistically convergent in 2-norm in a fuzzy 2-normed Riesz spaces. We also define the notion of a Fsc_n^2 - Cauchy in fuzzy 2-normed Riesz spaces and establish some basic facts.

Subject Classification: Primary 93A30, Secondary 49K15.

Keywords: Fuzzy statistical convergence in 2-norm, Fsc_n^2 - Cauchy in 2-norm, 2-Banach fuzzy Riesz spaces, Fuzzy 2-normed Riesz spaces, Fuzzy sets, Normed spaces.

1. Introduction

The concept of statistical convergence is studied by Fast [1] and Schoenberg [2]. The idea depends on a concept of density for subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. A notion for 2-normed spaces is studied in [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10]. There are many authors who study this idea to obtain results; see [11], [12], [13]. Fuzzy Riesz spaces were studied in [14], which is an interesting and important generalization of properties, and subsequently, fuzzy statistical convergence in fuzzy Riesz spaces was discussed in [15], [16], [17]. In the past, we introduced fuzzy statistical convergence in the norm of a fuzzy Riesz space, and in this paper, we extend the work to fuzzy statistical convergence in the 2-norm of a fuzzy 2-normed Riesz space and establish some basic facts. Some properties for fuzzy statistical convergences are shown in norm fuzzy Riesz spaces, also hold for fuzzy 2-normed Riesz spaces.

* E-mail: shaimaa.a@uokerbala.edu.iq (Corresponding Author)

† E-mail: shatha.abdulhussein@uokerbala.edu.iq

§ E-mail: mohammed.y@uokerbala.edu.iq

2. Background, notations and preliminaries.

In this section, we recall some basic definitions and notions in 2-normed spaces, and some facts related to fuzzy Riesz spaces will help us in establishing our results.

Definition 2.1: The real linear space $\mathfrak{T}$ is a fuzzy ordered linear space if $\mathfrak{T}$ is fosedet & further $\mathfrak{T}$ satisfied:

- i. For $\mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{n}_2 \in \mathfrak{T}$ s.t $\dot{\mu}(\mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{n}_2) > \frac{1}{2}$ so, $\dot{\mu}(\mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{n}_2) \leq \dot{\mu}(\mathfrak{n}_1 + \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{n}_2 + \mathfrak{f})$ for all $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{T}$.
- ii. If $\mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{n}_2 \in \mathfrak{T}$ such that $\dot{\mu}(\mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{n}_2) > \frac{1}{2}$ then $\dot{\mu}(\mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{n}_2) \leq \dot{\mu}(\alpha \mathfrak{n}_1, \alpha \mathfrak{n}_2)$ for all $0 \leq \alpha \in \mathcal{R}$.

Fuzzy ordered linear spaces are also called the fuzzy Riesz spaces (for short: FRS).

Definition 2.2: Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be a member of $\mathfrak{T}$. So, a positive part for $\mathfrak{n}$ is $\mathfrak{n}_+ = \mathfrak{n} \vee 0$ and a negative part $\mathfrak{n}_- = (-\mathfrak{n}) \vee 0$, the absolute value of $\mathfrak{n}$ is $|\mathfrak{n}| = \mathfrak{n} \vee (-\mathfrak{n})$. Means that we can write $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_+ - \mathfrak{n}_-$.

Theorem 2.3. [17] *In an FRS, the absolute value has the following properties:*

- i. $\dot{\mu}(|\mathfrak{n} + \mathfrak{m}|, |\mathfrak{n}| + |\mathfrak{m}|) > \frac{1}{2}$,
- ii. $|\alpha \mathfrak{n}| = |\alpha| |\mathfrak{n}|$,
- iii. $\dot{\mu}(|\mathfrak{n}| - |\mathfrak{m}|, |\mathfrak{n} - \mathfrak{m}|) > \frac{1}{2}$,
- iv. $|\mathfrak{n} - \mathfrak{m}| = (\mathfrak{n} \vee \mathfrak{m}) - (\mathfrak{n} \wedge \mathfrak{m})$.

3. The Main results.

In the following theorems, we present some properties of the fuzzy statistically convergent in 2-norm in a fuzzy 2-normed Riesz space (short F2-NRS) $(\mathfrak{T}, \|\bullet, \bullet\|)$, as well as proof that we have the F2-NRS, is a 2-Banach fuzzy Riesz space.

Definition 3.1: Let $\mathfrak{T}$ be a real FRS, equipped with 2-norm $\|\bullet, \bullet\|$. The 2-norm on $\mathfrak{T}$ is called a Riesz 2-norm if $\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{T}$ then $\dot{\mu}(|\mathfrak{n}|, \mathfrak{f}), |\mathfrak{m}|, \mathfrak{f}) > \frac{1}{2}$ implies that $\dot{\mu}(\|\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{f}\|, \|\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{f}\|) > \frac{1}{2}$ for each nonzero $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{T}$.

Any FRS equipped with Riesz 2-norm is named F2-NRS. An F2-NRS is also a Banach space.

Definition 3.2: The sequence $(\mathfrak{n}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the F2-NRS is a fuzzy statistically convergent in 2-norm to $\xi \in \mathfrak{T}$, when each $\varepsilon > 0$, & nonzero $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{T}$, a set

$\left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{R}_t - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ have the natural density, which is zero. So write $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{R}_t - \xi, f\| = 0$ (or) $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{R}_t, f\| = \|\xi, f\|$.

Definition 3.3: A sequence $(\mathfrak{R}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $F2\text{-NRS}(\mathfrak{I}, \|\bullet, \bullet\|)$ named Fsc_n^2 -Cauchy seq. in $\mathfrak{I}$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ & nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{I}$, there is N s.t for every $t, m \geq N$, the set $\left\{t, m \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{R}_t - \mathfrak{R}_m, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ has natural density zero.

Theorem 3.4: If $(\mathfrak{R}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\mathfrak{M}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two sequences in $F2\text{-NRS}(\mathfrak{I}, \|\bullet, \bullet\|)$. Then

- i. If $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{R}_t - \xi, f\| = 0$ and $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{M}_t - \psi, f\| = 0$, then $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{R}_t \vee \mathfrak{M}_t - \xi \vee \psi, f\| = 0$ and $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{R}_t \wedge \mathfrak{M}_t - \xi \wedge \psi, f\| = 0$.
- ii. If $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{R}_t - \xi, f\| = 0$, then $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{R}_t^+ - \xi^+, f^+\| = 0$, $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{R}_t^- - \xi^-, f^-\| = 0$ and $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{R}_t - |\xi|, |f|\| = 0$.
- iii. If $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{R}_t - \xi, f\| = 0$, $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{S}_t - \psi, f\| = 0$ and $\mathfrak{R}_t \perp \mathfrak{S}_t$ then $\xi \perp \psi$.
- iv. If $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{R}_t - \xi, f\| = 0$ and $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{R}_t - \psi, f\| = 0$, then $\xi = \psi$.

Proof:

- i. By assumption, therefore, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{I}$ we have the sets $\left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{R}_t - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{M}_t - \psi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ have both natural density zero. From this, we obtained that, $\left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{R}_t \vee \mathfrak{M}_t - \xi \vee \psi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\} \subset \left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{R}_t - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\} \cup \left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{M}_t - \psi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ since the right-hand has natural density zero. Thereby the set $\left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{R}_t \vee \mathfrak{M}_t - \xi \vee \psi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ has natural density zero and the statement follows. Similarly, we have that $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{R}_t \wedge \mathfrak{M}_t - \xi \wedge \psi, f\| = 0$.
- ii. Since $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{R}_t - \xi, f\| = 0$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $\left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{R}_t - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ has natural density zero for each $f \in \mathfrak{I}$. Firstly, to show that $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{R}_t^+ - \xi^+, f^+\| = 0$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, & nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{I}$, we see that the set $\left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{R}_t^+ - \xi^+, f^+\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\} \subset \left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{R}_t - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$. Therefore $\left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{R}_t^+ - \xi^+, f^+\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ has natural density

zero. Thus $Fsc_n^2 - \lim_{\mathfrak{t}} \|\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}}^+ - \xi^+, f^+\| = 0$. To prove assertion that $Fsc_n^2 - \lim_{\mathfrak{t}} \|\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}}^- - \xi^-, f^-\| = 0$, we can use the same discussion at the above part. Finally, to prove that $Fsc_n^2 - \lim_{\mathfrak{t}} \|\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} - |\xi|, |f|\| = 0$. Since we can write, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, and nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{I}$, $\{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} - |\xi|, |f|\|) > \frac{1}{2}\} \subset \{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\}$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ & nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{I}$, then $\{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} - |\xi|, |f|\|) > \frac{1}{2}\}$ have natural density zero.

iii. Assume that $Fsc_n^2 - \lim_{\mathfrak{t}} \|\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} - \xi, f\| = 0$

$Fsc_n^2 - \lim_{\mathfrak{t}} \|\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{t}} - \psi, f\| = 0$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} \perp \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{t}}$. By using (ii), implies that $Fsc_n^2 - \lim_{\mathfrak{t}} \|\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} - |\xi|, |f|\| = 0$ and $Fsc_n^2 - \lim_{\mathfrak{t}} \|\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{t}} - |\psi|, |f|\| = 0$ respectively. Consequently, for each $f \in \mathfrak{I}$ we write by using (i), $Fsc_n^2 - \lim_{\mathfrak{t}} \|\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} \wedge \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{t}} - |\xi| \wedge |\psi|, |f|\| = 0$. From hypothesis $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} \wedge \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{t}} = 0$, we have that $|\xi| \wedge |\psi| = 0$.

iv. Assume that $Fsc_n^2 - \lim_{\mathfrak{t}} \|\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} - \xi, f\| = 0$

$Fsc_n^2 - \lim_{\mathfrak{t}} \|\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} - \psi, f\| = 0$, means that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, and nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{I}$, the sets $\{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\}$ and $\{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} - \psi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\}$. Consequently, by Theorem 2.7, we have $\{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(0, \|\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} - \xi, f\| - \|\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} - \psi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\}$. It follows that, $\{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\|\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} - \xi, f\| - \|\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} - \psi, f\|, \|\xi - \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} + \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} - \psi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\}$ Thereby we write that, $\{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(0, \|\xi - \psi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\}$. Hence $\xi = \psi$.

Theorem 3.5: If $(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}})_{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{t}})_{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(s_{\mathfrak{t}})_{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a three sequences in $F2\text{-NRS}(\mathfrak{I}, \|\bullet, \bullet\|)$ such that $\dot{\mu}(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}}, \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{t}}) > \frac{1}{2}$, $\dot{\mu}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{t}}, s_{\mathfrak{t}}) > \frac{1}{2}$ for every $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{N}$, $Fsc_n^2 - \lim_{\mathfrak{t}} \|\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}}, f\| = \|\xi, f\|$ and $Fsc_n^2 - \lim_{\mathfrak{t}} \|s_{\mathfrak{t}}, f\| = \|\xi, f\|$, then $Fsc_n^2 - \lim_{\mathfrak{t}} \|\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{t}}, f\| = \|\xi, f\|$.

Proof: By Definition 3.2, we have that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{I}$, the sets $K_1(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) = \{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\|\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} - \xi, f\|, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) > \frac{1}{2}\}$ and $K_2(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) = \{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\|\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{t}} - \xi, f\|, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) > \frac{1}{2}\}$ has natural density 1. It follows that $K(\varepsilon) = K_1(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) + K_2(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) = \{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\|\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} - \xi, f\| + \|\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{t}} - \xi, f\|, \varepsilon) > \frac{1}{2}\}$ Since for every $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{N}$, that $\dot{\mu}(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}}, \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{t}}) > \frac{1}{2}$, $\dot{\mu}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{t}}, s_{\mathfrak{t}}) > \frac{1}{2}$, then by condition (i) of the Definition 2.6 we write $\dot{\mu}(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{t}} - \xi, \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{t}} - \xi) > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\dot{\mu}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{t}} - \xi, s_{\mathfrak{t}} - \xi) > \frac{1}{2}$. It follows that for every $\varepsilon >$

0, the set $\left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\|\mathfrak{m}_t - \xi, f\|, \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \xi, f\| + \|s_t - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ for each $f \in \mathfrak{F}$. Also the set $K(\varepsilon)$, for $\varepsilon > 0$ & nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{F}$, then $\left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{m}_t - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ has natural density 1. Hence $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{m}_t, f\| = \|\xi, f\|$.

Theorem 3.6: Let $(\mathfrak{n}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $F2\text{-NRS}(\mathfrak{F}, \|\bullet, \bullet\|)$. The sequence $(\mathfrak{n}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Fsc_n^2 -Convergent if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ & nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{F}$, $\left\{t, n \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \mathfrak{n}_{tn}, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ has natural density zero, where $(\mathfrak{n}_{tn})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subsequence of $(\mathfrak{n}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_n \|\mathfrak{n}_{tn}, f\| = \|\xi, f\|$.

Proof: Suppose that $(\mathfrak{n}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Fsc_n^2 -Convergent. Now to prove that when $\varepsilon > 0$, $\left\{t, n \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \mathfrak{n}_{tn}, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ have natural density zero. Consequently, when $\varepsilon > 0$ & nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{F}$, $\left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ has natural density zero, $\xi \in \mathfrak{F}$.

From this it follows that, $\left\{t, n \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(2\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \mathfrak{n}_{tn}, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\} = \left\{t, n \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(2\varepsilon, \|(\mathfrak{n}_t - \xi) + (\xi - \mathfrak{n}_{tn}), f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\} \leq \left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\} + \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\xi - \mathfrak{n}_{tn}, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ by Theorem 4.1 [2],

$$\leq \max \left[\left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}, \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_{tn} - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\} \right]$$

$$\text{implies, } \leq \max \left[0, \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_{tn} - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\} \right]$$

Thereby, we obtain that $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_n \|\mathfrak{n}_{tn}, f\| = \|\xi, f\|$. Then for $\varepsilon > 0$ & nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{F}$, $\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_{tn} - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$.

Have natural density zero. So, we have where $\varepsilon > 0$, $\left\{t, n \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \mathfrak{n}_{tn}, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ has natural density zero and for each nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{F}$. Conversely, let for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{F}$, so $\left\{t, n \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \mathfrak{n}_{tn}, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ has natural density zero. To show that the sequence $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{n}_t, f\| = \|\xi, f\|$. We will write for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{F}$, the set $\left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(2\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\} = \left\{t, n \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(2\varepsilon, \|(\mathfrak{n}_t - \mathfrak{n}_{tn}) + (\mathfrak{n}_{tn} - \xi), f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\} \leq \left\{t, n \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \mathfrak{n}_{tn}, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\} + \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_{tn} - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\} \leq \max \left[\left\{t, n \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \mathfrak{n}_{tn}, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}, \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_{tn} - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\} \right] \leq \max \left[0, \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_{tn} - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\} \right].$

Also, by (4) we have for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{F}$, the set $\left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}\left(2\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \xi, f\|\right) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ has natural density zero. Hence $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{n}_t, f\| = \|\xi, f\|$.

Theorem 3.7: Let $(\mathfrak{n}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in an $F2\text{-NRS}(\mathfrak{F}, \|\bullet, \bullet\|)$. A sequence $(\mathfrak{n}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Fsc_n^2 -Convergent iff there are subsets such as $\wp = \{t_1 < t_2 < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ s.t $\delta(\wp) = 1$ & $\lim_{t \in \wp, t \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{n}_t = \xi$.

Proof: Assume that $(\mathfrak{n}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Fsc_n^2 -Convergent to an element ξ . Put $\wp_f = \left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}\left(\frac{1}{f}, \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \xi, f\|\right) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ and $\mathfrak{F}_f = \left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}\left(\|\mathfrak{n}_t - \xi, f\|, \frac{1}{f}\right) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$, ($f=1, 2, \dots$). From this we have that $\delta(\wp_f) = 0$. And $\mathfrak{F}_1 \supset \mathfrak{F}_2 \supset \dots \supset \mathfrak{F}_t \supset \mathfrak{F}_{t+1} \supset \dots$. $\delta(\mathfrak{F}_f) = 1$, $f=1, 2, 3, \dots$

Conversely, to prove that $\lim_{t \in \mathfrak{F}_f, t \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{n}_t = \xi$. We will assume that the sequence $(\mathfrak{n}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not convergent to ξ . Thereby there is $\varepsilon > 0$ and nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{F}$, the set $\left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$. In particular, we can write for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{F}$, the set $\mathfrak{F}(\varepsilon) = \left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\|\mathfrak{n}_t - \xi, f\|, \varepsilon) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$, also $\dot{\mu}\left(\frac{1}{f}, \varepsilon\right) > \frac{1}{2}$, $f=1, 2, 3, \dots$. From this, it follows that $\delta(\mathfrak{F}(\varepsilon)) = 0$.

So we obtain that $\mathfrak{F}_f \subset \mathfrak{F}(\varepsilon)$. Implies that $\delta(\mathfrak{F}_f) = 0$. Therefore $\lim_{t \in \wp, t \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{n}_t = \xi$.

Conversely, assume there is $\wp = \{t_1 < t_2 < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ s.t $\delta(\wp) = 1$ & $\lim_{t \in \wp, t \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{n}_t = \xi$, that is, there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t for $\varepsilon > 0$ & nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{F}$, $\dot{\mu}(\|\mathfrak{n}_t - \xi, f\|, \varepsilon) > \frac{1}{2}$, for every $t \geq k$. Consequently, so $\wp(\varepsilon) = \left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\} \subset \mathbb{N} - \{t_{k+1} < t_{k+2} < \dots\}$. Thus $\dot{\mu}(\delta(\wp(\varepsilon)), 0) > \frac{1}{2}$. Hence $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{n}_t, f\| = \|\xi, f\|$.

Theorem 3.8: Let $(\mathfrak{n}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\mathfrak{m}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two sequences in $F2\text{-NRS}$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{F}$. $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{n}_t = \xi$ and $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{m}_t - 0, f\| = 0$, then $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{n}_t + \mathfrak{m}_t, f\| = \|\xi, f\|$.

Proof: Let $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{n}_t = \xi$, i.e., $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \xi, f\| = 0$

Also, from $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{m}_t - 0, f\| = 0$, where $\varepsilon > 0$,

$\left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{m}_t, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ have the natural density which is zero for each $f \in \mathfrak{F}$. Now, put $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{n}_t + \mathfrak{m}_t, f\| = \|\psi, f\|$ so for $\varepsilon > 0$ & nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{F}$,

$\left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|(\mathfrak{n}_t + \mathfrak{m}_t) - \psi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ have natural density zero. Consequently, when $\varepsilon > 0$ & nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{T}$ $\left|\lim_t \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \psi, f\| + \left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{m}_t, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right| = 0$. So, for $\varepsilon > 0$ & nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{T}$ $\max \left[\lim_t \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \psi, f\|, \left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{m}_t, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right] = 0$ that is $\max \left[\lim_t \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \psi, f\|, 0\right] = 0$, using (9) which implies that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \psi, f\| = 0$. Hence $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{n}_t = \eta$. But $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \xi, f\| = 0$. Thus, $\xi = \psi$.
So, we get the required which $\text{Fsc}_n^2 - \lim_t \|\mathfrak{n}_t + \mathfrak{m}_t, f\| = \|\xi, f\|$.

Theorem 3.10: Let $(\mathfrak{T}, \|\bullet, \bullet\|)$ be a $F2$ -NRS. If a sequence $(\mathfrak{n}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathfrak{T}$ is Fsc_n^2 -Convergent, then $(\mathfrak{n}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Fsc_n^2 -Cauchy.

Proof: Suppose that $(\mathfrak{n}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Fsc_n^2 -Convergent to an element $\xi \in \mathfrak{T}$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $F_1(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) = \left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ has natural density zero for each $f \in \mathfrak{T}$, and if $i \in \mathbb{N}$ is chosen so that the set $F_2(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) = \left\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \xi, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ has natural density zero for each $f \in \mathfrak{T}$. Put $F_3(\varepsilon) = \left\{t, i \in \mathbb{N} : \dot{\mu}(\varepsilon, \|\mathfrak{n}_t - \mathfrak{n}_i, f\|) > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ for each $f \in \mathfrak{T}$. Then we have $F_3(\varepsilon) \subseteq F_1(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \cup F_2(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})$. Hence $\delta(F_3(\varepsilon)) \leq \delta(F_1(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})) + \delta(F_2(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})) = 0$. Therefore $(\mathfrak{n}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Fsc_n^2 -Cauchy.

Conclusion

This paper introduces and investigates fuzzy statistically convergent sequences in 2-norm within fuzzy 2-normed Riesz spaces. The concept of Fsc_n^2 -Cauchy sequences is defined, and fundamental properties are established. These results contribute to the development of convergence theory in fuzzy functional analysis.

References

- [1] H. Fast, "On statistical convergence," *Colloquium Mathematicae*, vol. 2, no. 3–4, pp. 241–244 (1951).
- [2] I. J. Schoenberg, "The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods," *The American mathematical monthly*, vol. 66, no. 5, pp. 361–775 (1959).

- [3] K. H. Khalaf, A. M. Salih, O. A. Abdullah, S. H. Asaad, and I. S. Ahmed, "Study of some properties for pre measure," *AIP Conf. Proc.*, vol. 2834, no. 1 (2023), doi: 10.1063/5.0162036.
- [4] S. Gähler, "2-metric spaces and their topological structure," *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 28, pp. 115–148 (1963).
- [5] S. A. H. Kadhum and S. A. H. Kadhum, "Order-Convergence for Filters in a Dedekind Complete Riesz Spaces (K-Spaces)," *Journal of physics: conference series*, vol. 1294, no. 3 (Sep. 2019).
- [6] I. S. Ahmed, H. H. Ebrahim, and A. Al-Fayadh, " γ -algebra of Sets and Some of its Properties," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 63, no. 11, pp. 4918–4927 (2022), doi: 10.24996/ij.s.2022.63.11.28.
- [7] S. Gähler, "Linear 2-normed spaces," *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 28, no. 1–2, pp. 1–43 (1964), doi: 10.1002/mana.19640280102.
- [8] Z. H. Abdulqader, R. B. Esmaeel, and N. E. Arif, "L-open set and some related concepts," *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 1715–1721 (2024).
- [9] S. N. Al-Khafaji, A. Al-Fayadh, A. H. Hussain, and S. A. Abbas, "Toeplitz Determinant whose Its Entries are the Coefficients for Class of Non-Bazilevič Functions," *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1660, no. 1 (Nov. 2020).
- [10] M. Y. Abed, "On semi and quasi γ pp-orthogonality in real normed space," *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 2585–2590 (2022).
- [11] N. E. Z. T. Abdulqader, R. B. Arif, and J. X. Esmaeel, "L – open and some of their generalizations," *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 1125–1130 (2025).
- [12] A. R. S. Juma, A. Al-Fayadh, S. P. Vijayalakshmi, and T. V. Sudharsan, "Upper bound on the third hankel determinant for the class of univalent functions using an integral operator," *Afrika Matematika*, vol. 33, no. 2, p. 56 (2022).
- [13] H. Gunawan and Mashadi, "On finite dimensional 2-normed spaces," *Soochow J. Math.*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 631–639 (2001).

- [14] I. Beg and M. U. Islam, "Fuzzy Riesz spaces," *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 211–241 (1994).
- [15] A. K. Shatha, "Fuzzy order convergence of double sequences in fuzzy Riesz spaces," *Journal of University of Babylon for Pure and Applied Sciences*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 99–128 (2020).
- [16] S. A. H. Kadhim and S. A. H. Kadhim, "On Order-Convergence of Filters in a Riesz Spaces," *Kirkuk University Journal for Scientific Studies*, vol. 15, no. 2 (2020).
- [17] W. Raymond, Y. Freese, and J. Cho, *Geometry of linear 2-normed spaces*. Huntington, USA: Nova Science Publishers (2001).

Received November, 2025