

An analytical innovation from foundations to modern applications of advancing measure theory via approach structure

Ali Abed Hammood Fahd *

Arkan Jassim Mohammed †

Department of Mathematics

College of Science

University of Mustansiriyah

Bagdad 10052

Iraq

Boushra Y. Hussein §

Department of Postgraduate Studies

University of Al-Qadisiyah

Al-Qadisiyah 58002

Iraq

Abstract

In this research, we define and prove various features of a new measure based on the idea of approach structure, known as the approach measure. We then discuss the relationship between the new and traditional measures. We introduce another new notion, the semi-approach measure, and investigate the relation between these two concepts.

Subject Classification (2020): 28A33, 46E27.

Keywords: Approach space, Approach measure space, Semi approach measure.

1. Introduction

Measure theory is one of the fundamental pillars of modern mathematics and extends to many different branches and applications in the natural and engineering sciences. Measure theory is an important topic in mathematical

* ORCID-ID: 0000-0003-4575-2356

† ORCID-ID: 0000-0001-9641-2066

§ ORCID-ID: 0000-0003-4909-0126

* E-mail: a183_math@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq (Corresponding Author)

† E-mail: drarkanjasim@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq

§ E-mail: boushra.alshebani@qu.edu.iq

analysis. In 1966, Taylor [1] gave introduction to measure and integration. In 1990 Dalang and Willinger [2] made clear no-arbitrage in stochastic securities markets models and equivalent martingale measures. In 1994 Backeland and Lowen [3] explained separability and Lindelof in approach spaces. In 2007 Hussein [4] presented equivalent measure under the process is martingale. then in 2020 Hussein [5] introduced equivalent measure in ordered Banach algebra with locally Martingale of the deflator process. In 2021 and 2022, Abbas and Hussein [6-7] presented results on normed approach space and Banach space. In 2022 [8] gave results about topological approach vector space. In 2022 Hussein and Abd [9] studied normed approach space. In 2022 Hussein and Wshayeh [10] defined space of measurable studied symmetric approach t^w -Banach algebra with some results. In 2022 Neamah and Hussein [11-14] presented results of compAssume thation t^w normed approach space [15-21].

2. Preliminary

Definition 2.1 [22, 23]: Assume that $\aleph$ be a non-empty set and F be a collection of sub set of $\aleph$ then F is called σ -field iff:

- 1) $\aleph \in F$.
- 2) If $\beta \in F$ then $\beta^c \in F$.
- 3) If $A_1, A_2, \dots \in F$, we have $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in F$.

A measurable space is a pair $(\aleph, F)$.

Definition 2.2 [22, 23]: If (Ω, F) measurable space. A set function $\mu: F \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ called **measure on (Ω, F)** , if

- 1- $E(\emptyset) = 0$
- 2- $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$, where $\{A_n\}$ sequence of disjoint sets in F .

Definition 2.3 [22, 23]: If (Ω, F) is measurable space and $\check{A}$ is collection of subsets of Ω , we call F generated by $\check{A}$ if F is the smallest σ -field containing $\check{A}$.

Definition 2.4 [22, 23]: Assume that $\aleph$ be a non-empty set and $\check{G}$ be a collection of sub set of $\aleph$ then $\check{G}$ is called d -system (Dynkin class) iff:

- 1) $\emptyset \in \check{G}$.
- 2) If $\beta \in \check{G}$ then $\beta^c \in \check{G}$.
- 3) if $\{A_n\}$ sequence of disjoint sets in $\check{G}$ then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \check{G}$

The collection $\check{K}$ of subsets of $\aleph$ is π – system on $\aleph$ if for each $K, \beta \in \check{K}$ then $K \cap \beta \in \check{K}$.

Theorem 2.5 [22, 23]: If $\aleph$ is a non-empty set and $\mathcal{D}$ is π – system of sub sets of $\aleph$ then the σ -field generated by $(\mathcal{D})$ coincides with d -system generated by $(\mathcal{D})$.

3. Approach Measure Space

Definition 3.1: If $\aleph$ is non-empty set, and F σ -filed. A function $E_\delta: F \times 2^\aleph \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called approach measure on $\aleph$ if the following conditions are met

- 1- $E_\delta(\emptyset, \beta) = 0, \beta \in 2^\aleph$
- 2- $E_\delta(K, \beta) = \infty$ if $K = \beta^c, K \in F, \beta \in 2^\aleph, K \neq \emptyset$
- 3- $E_\delta(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n, \beta) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty E_\delta(K_n, \beta)$ where $\{A_n\}$ is sequence of disjoint sets in F . The triple $(\aleph, F, E_\delta)$ said to be approach measure space and denoted by AMS.

Definition 3.2: Assume that $\aleph$ be a non-empty set and F σ -filed. The function $\mu_\delta: F \times 2^\aleph \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called semi approach measure on $\aleph$ if the following conditions are met:

- 1) $\mu_\delta(\emptyset, \beta) = 0, \beta \in 2^\aleph$
- 2) $\mu_\delta(K, \beta) = \infty$ if $K \subseteq \beta^c, K \in F, \beta \in 2^\aleph, K \neq \emptyset$
- 3) $\mu_\delta(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n, \beta) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty E_\delta(K_n, \beta)$ for each sequence of disjoint sets $\{K_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $F, \beta \in 2^\aleph$. Then the triple $(\aleph, F, \mu_\delta)$ said to be **semi approach measure space** and denoted by SAMS. Note that every semi approach measure space is app-measure space.

Proposition 3.3: Assume that $(\aleph, F, E_\delta)$ be AMS then if $K \subseteq \beta^c, K, \beta \in F, K \neq \emptyset$ then $E_\delta(K \cup \beta, \beta^c) = \infty$

Proof: Since $K \subseteq \beta^c$ then $K \cap \beta = \emptyset$,

$$E_\delta(K \cup \beta, \beta^c) = E_\delta(K \cap \beta^c) + E_\delta(\beta, \beta^c)$$

$$E_\delta(K \cup \beta, \beta^c) = E_\delta(K, \beta^c) + \infty. \text{ Then } E_\delta(K \cup \beta, \beta^c) = \infty$$

Proposition 3.4: Assume that $(\aleph, F, E_\delta)$ be AMS, if $K \subseteq \beta$ then $E_\delta(K, C) \leq E_\delta(\beta, C)$ where $K, \beta \in F, C \in 2^\aleph$.

Proof: Assume that $A \in F$, that is $K^c \in F$, and so $K^c \cap \beta \in F$ (since F σ -filed). Since $K^c \cap \beta = B - A$, then $\beta - K \in F$ and $K \cup (\beta - K) \in F$. Then $E_\delta(\beta, C) = E_\delta(K \cup (\beta - K), C) = E_\delta(K, C) + E_\delta(\beta - K, C)$. Therefore $E_\delta(K, C) \leq E_\delta(\beta, C)$.

Proposition 3.5: Assume that $(\aleph, F, E_\delta)$ be AMS. Then

$$E_\delta(K \cup \beta, C) = E_\delta(K, C) + E_\delta(\beta, C) - E_\delta(K \cap \beta, C) \text{ where } K, \beta \in F, C \in 2^\aleph.$$

Proof: It is clear $K \cup \beta = K \cup (K^c \cap \beta)$. Since $A \cap (K^c \cap \beta) = (K \cap K^c) \cap \beta$, that is $K \cap (K^c \cap \beta) = \emptyset \cap \beta = \emptyset$. Then $E_\delta(K \cup \beta, C) = E_\delta(K \cup (K^c \cap \beta), C)$. Therefore $E_\delta(K \cup \beta, C) = E_\delta(K, C) + E_\delta(K^c \cap \beta, C)$. Since $\beta = (K \cap \beta) \cup (K^c \cap \beta)$ and $(K \cap \beta) \cap (K^c \cap \beta) = \emptyset$. That is $E_\delta(\beta, C) = E_\delta(K \cap \beta, C) + E_\delta(K^c \cap \beta, C)$. $E_\delta(K^c \cap \beta, C) = E_\delta(\beta, C) - E_\delta(K \cap \beta, C)$. Thus $E_\delta(K \cup \beta, C) = E_\delta(K, C) + E_\delta(\beta, C) - E_\delta(K \cap \beta, C)$.

Theorem 3.6: *From any measure space it is possible construct Approach measure space.*

Proof: Assume that (X, F, E) be a measure space. We define $E_\delta: F \times 2^X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by:

$$E_\delta(A, B) = \begin{cases} E(A) & A = \emptyset \\ \infty & A \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$

We must proof E_δ is approach measure:

- 1) $E_\delta(\emptyset, B) = E(\emptyset) = 0$. Then $E_\delta(\emptyset, B) = 0$
- 2) Assume that $K, B \in 2^X$ where $K \neq \emptyset$ such that $K = B^c$
By definition of (E_δ) we get $E_\delta(K, B) = \infty$
- 3) If $\{K_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ a sequence of disjoint sets in F . If $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n = \emptyset$

Then, $E_\delta(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n, B) = E(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n)$ $E_\delta(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n, B) = E(K_1) + E(K_2) + E(K_3) + \dots$ Since $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n = \emptyset$, then $K_n = \emptyset$ for $n=1, 2, \dots$

Since $E_\delta(K_n, B) = E(K_n)$ for $n=1, 2, \dots$ That is $\sum_{n=1}^\infty E_\delta(K_n, B) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty E(K_n)$, and so $\sum_{n=1}^\infty E_\delta(K_n, B) = E(K_1) + E(K_2) + E(K_3) + \dots$ Then, $E_\delta(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n, B) = E(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n)$. If $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n \neq \emptyset$ that is $E_\delta(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n, B) = \infty$. Since $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n \neq \emptyset$, then there exist at least one set say $K_t \in \{K_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $K_t \neq \emptyset$ ($1 \leq t < \infty$) so that $E_\delta(K_t, B) = \infty$ and we have $\sum_{n=1}^\infty E_\delta(K_n, B) = E_\delta(K_1, B) + E_\delta(K_2, B) + \dots + E_\delta(K_t, B) + \dots$ Hence, $\sum_{n=1}^\infty E_\delta(K_n, B) = E_\delta(K_1, B) + E_\delta(K_2, B) + \dots + \infty + \dots$, that is $\sum_{n=1}^\infty E_\delta(K_n, B) = \infty$. Then $E_\delta(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n, B) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty E_\delta(K_n, B)$. Therefore, (X, F, E_δ) AMS.

Theorem 3.7: *Assume that (X, F_1, E_{s1}) , (X, F_2, E_{s2}) be two semi approach measure spaces. If $F_1 \cup F_2$ is σ -field then $(X, F_1 \cup F_2, E_\delta)$ is AMS. where $E_\delta(K \cup B, C) = E_{s1}(K, C) + E_{s2}(B, C)$ for all $K \in F_1, B \in F_2, C \in 2^X$.*

Proof: We must proof E_δ is App-measure:

- 1) Assume that $C \in 2^X$, $E_\delta(\emptyset, C) = E_{s1}(\emptyset, C) + E_{s2}(\emptyset, C) = 0 + 0 = 0$. 1
- 2) Assume that $K \in F_1, B \in F_2, C \in 2^X$ such that $K \cup B = C^c$.
We must proof $E_\delta(K \cup B, C) = \infty$. Since $K \subseteq K \cup B$ then $K \subseteq C^c$ and $B \subseteq K \cup B$ then $B \subseteq C^c$. Therefor $E_{s1}(K, C) = \infty$ and $E_{s2}(B, C) = \infty$ therefore $E_\delta(K \cup B, C) = \infty + \infty = \infty$
- 3) Assume that $\{K_n \cup B_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be sequence of disjoint sets in $F_1 \cup F_2$ where $A_n \in F_1, B_n \in F_2, C \in 2^X$ $n=1, 2, \dots$
that is $E_\delta(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (K_n \cup B_n), C) = E_\delta(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n, C)$
and so $E_\delta(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (K_n \cup B_n), C) = E_{s1}(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n, C) + E_{s2}(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n, C)$
 $E_\delta(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (K_n \cup B_n), C) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty E_{s1}(K_n, C) + \sum_{n=1}^\infty E_{s2}(B_n, C)$
 $E_\delta(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (K_n \cup B_n), C) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty (E_{s1}(K_n, C) + E_{s2}(B_n, C))$

Then, $E_\delta (U_{n=1}^\infty (K_n \cup \beta_n), C) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty E_\delta (K_n \cup \beta_n, C)$

Hence, E_δ is app.measure. $(\aleph, F_1 \cup F_2, E_\delta)$ is AMS.

4. Restriction of semi approach measure

Theorem 4.1: Assume that $(\aleph, F, E_\delta)$ be semi approach measure space and $Y \in F$, if we define $E_\delta \downarrow_Y : F \times 2^\aleph \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by $E_\delta \downarrow_Y(A, \beta) = E_\delta (K \cap Y, \beta)$, $A \in F, \beta \in 2^\aleph$, Then $E_\delta \downarrow_Y$ is approach measure on $\aleph$ known the Y -restriction of E_δ .

Proof: We must proof $\mu_\delta \downarrow_Y$ is App-measure:

- 1) $E_\delta \downarrow_Y(\emptyset, \beta) = E_\delta (\emptyset \cap Y, \beta) = E_\delta (\emptyset, \beta)$ that is $E_\delta \downarrow_Y(\emptyset, \beta) = 0$.
- 2) Assume that $K \in F, \beta \in 2^\aleph$ such that $K = \beta^c, K \neq \emptyset$. Since $E_\delta \downarrow_Y(K, \beta) = E_\delta (K \cap Y, \beta)$ and $K \cap Y \subseteq K$ then $K \cap Y \subseteq \beta^c$ Then $E_\delta (K \cap Y, \beta) = \infty$ (since E_δ is a semi approach measure) $E_\delta \downarrow_Y (K, \beta) = \infty$
- 3) If $\{K_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ a sequence of disjoint sets in $F, E_\delta \downarrow_Y (U_{n=1}^\infty K_n, \beta) = E_\delta (U_{n=1}^\infty K_n \cap Y, \beta)$. That is $E_\delta \downarrow_Y (U_{n=1}^\infty K_n, \beta) = E_\delta (U_{n=1}^\infty (K_n \cap Y), \beta)$. Then, $E_\delta \downarrow_Y (U_{n=1}^\infty K_n, \beta) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty E_\delta (K_n \cap Y, \beta)$, and $E_\delta \downarrow_Y (U_{n=1}^\infty K_n, \beta) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty E_\delta \downarrow_Y (K_n, \beta)$ Then $E_\delta \downarrow_Y$ is approach measure on $\aleph$.

Theorem 4.2: (Approach measure in terms of restriction) Assume that $(\aleph, F_1, E_{s1}), (\aleph, F_2, E_{s2})$ be two semi approach measure spaces, if $F_1 \cup F_2$ σ -field and $Y \in F_1 \cap F_2$ then $(\aleph, F_1 \cup F_2, M)$ is approach measure space, where $E_\delta (K \cup \beta, C) = E_{s1} \downarrow_Y (K, C) + E_{s2} \downarrow_Y (\beta, C)$ $K \in F_1, \beta \in F_2, C \in 2^\aleph$.

Proof: We must proof E_δ is Appr-measure:

We can proof the first and third conditions of appr-measure by the same way of the proof in theorem (3.7)

3) Assume that $K \in F_1, \beta \in F_2, C \in 2^\aleph$ such that $A \cup \beta = C^c$ We must proof $E_\delta (K \cup \beta, C) = \infty$ Since $E_\delta (K \cup \beta, C) = E_{s1} \downarrow_Y (K, C) + E_{s2} \downarrow_Y (\beta, C)$ then $E_\delta (K \cup \beta, C) = \mu_{s1} (K \cap Y, C) + E_{s2} (\beta \cap Y, C)$, Since $K \subseteq K \cup \beta$ and $\beta \subseteq K \cup \beta$ then $K \subseteq C^c$ and $\beta \subseteq C^c$. Since $K \cap Y \subseteq K$ and $\beta \cap Y \subseteq \beta$ then $K \cap Y \subseteq C^c$ and $\beta \cap Y \subseteq C^c$. Therefore $\mu_{s1} (A \cap Y, C) = \infty$ and $\mu_{s2} (\beta \cap Y, C) = \infty$. Hence $E_\delta (K \cup \beta, C) = \infty + \infty = \infty$. Then E_δ is approach measure, therefore $(\aleph, F_1 \cup F_2, E_\delta)$ AMS.

5. Application of Approach measure on Dynkin class

Proposition 5.1: Assume that $(\aleph, F, E_\delta)$ be AMS, if $B \subseteq K \in F$ then $E_\delta (K - B, C) = E_\delta (K, C) - E_\delta (B, C)$ where $K, B \in F, C \in 2^\aleph$.

Proof: It is clear that.

Theorem 5.2: Assume that $(\aleph, F, E_{\delta_1}), (\aleph, F, E_{\delta_2})$ be two AMS where $E_{\delta_1}(\aleph, \aleph) = E_{\delta_2}(\aleph, \aleph)$, If F is σ -field generated by (D) where D is π -system

of sub sets of $\aleph$ and $E_{\delta_1}(K, \beta) = E_{\delta_2}(K, \beta)$ for each $K \in \mathcal{D}$, $\beta \in 2^{\aleph}$ then $E_{\delta_1}(K, \beta) = E_{\delta_2}(K, \beta)$ for each $K \in F$, $\beta \in 2^{\aleph}$

Proof: Define $\mathcal{T} = \{K \in F: E_{\delta_1}(K, \beta) = E_{\delta_2}(K, \beta)\}$

We must proof $\mathcal{T}$ is d-system

- 1) Since $\emptyset \in F$, $E_{\delta_1}(\emptyset, \beta) = E_{\delta_2}(\emptyset, \beta) = 0$. Then $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}$.
- 2) Assume that $K \in \mathcal{T}$, we must proof $K^c \in \mathcal{T}$, $E_{\delta_1}(K^c, \beta) = E_{\delta_1}(\aleph - K, \beta)$

By Proposition (5.1) we get:

$E_{\delta_1}(K^c, \beta) = E_{\delta_1}(\aleph - K, \beta) = E_{\delta_1}(\aleph, \beta) - E_{\delta_1}(K, \beta)$ since $K \subseteq \aleph$, $E_{\delta_1}(\aleph, \beta) = E_{\delta_2}(\aleph, \beta)$ and $K \in \mathcal{T}$.

Then $E_{\delta_1}(K^c, \beta) = E_{\delta_2}(\aleph, \beta) - E_{\delta_2}(K, \beta)$ Therefore $E_{\delta_1}(K^c, \beta) = E_{\delta_2}(K^c, \beta)$. Hence $K^c \in \mathcal{T}$.

3) Assume that $\{K_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a family of disjoint sets in $\mathcal{T}$ $E_{\delta_1}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n, \beta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{\delta_1}(K_n, \beta)$, Since $K_n \in \mathcal{T}$ for $n=1, 2, \dots$ Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{\delta_1}(K_n, \beta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{\delta_2}(K_n, \beta)$ Hence $E_{\delta_1}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n, \beta) = E_{\delta_2}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n, \beta)$. Therefore $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \in \mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{T}$ is d-system Since $E_{\delta_1}(K, \beta) = E_{\delta_2}(K, \beta)$ for each $K \in \mathcal{D}$, $\beta \in 2^{\aleph}$. Then $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ Since F is σ -field generated by $(\mathcal{D})$, by theorem (2.6) we get $F \subseteq \mathcal{T}$.

Hence $E_{\delta_1}(K, \beta) = E_{\delta_2}(K, \beta)$ for each $K \in F$, $\beta \in 2^{\aleph}$.

Theorem 5.3: Assume that $(\aleph, F, E_{\delta})$ be AMS and $K \in F$ then the set

$\mathcal{Y} = \{\beta \in F: E_{\delta}(K \cap \beta, C) = E_{\delta}(K, C)E_{\delta}(\beta, C)\} \cup \{\beta^c\}$ is d-system, $C \in 2^{\aleph}$

Proof:

- 1) Since $\emptyset \in F$, $E_{\delta}(K \cap \emptyset, C) = E_{\delta}(\emptyset, C) = 0 = E_{\delta}(K, C)E_{\delta}(\emptyset, C)$ Then $\emptyset \in \mathcal{Y}$.
- 2) Assume that $\beta \in \mathcal{Y}$ then $\beta^c \in \mathcal{Y}$ by definition of the set $\mathcal{Y}$
- 3) Assume that $\{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a family of disjoint sets in $\mathcal{Y}$.

$E_{\delta}(K \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n, C) = E_{\delta}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K \cap \beta_n, C) = E_{\delta}(K \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n, C) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{\delta}(K \cap \beta_n, C)$ Since $\beta_n \in \mathcal{Y}$ then $E_{\delta}(K \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n, C) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{\delta}(K, C)E_{\delta}(\beta_n, C) = E_{\delta}(K, C) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{\delta}(\beta_n, C) = E_{\delta}(K, C)E_{\delta}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n, C) = E_{\delta}(K, C)E_{\delta}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n, C)$ Therefore $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n \in \mathcal{Y}$ Hence $\mathcal{Y}$ is d-system

6. Conclusion

This research is to create a new structure for the concept of measurement that differs from classical measurement and find relationship between them, semi approach measure space and construct the restriction of semi approach measure approach measure is Dynkin Class under conditions and construct some results in this manner.

References

- [1] S.J. Taylor, "Introduction to measure and integration", Cambridge University press (1966).
- [2] R. Dalang, A. Morton and W. Willinger, Equivalent Martingale Measures and No-Arbitrage in Stochastic Securities Market Models. *Stochastics and Stochastic Reports*, vol 29, pp. 185-201 (1990).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/17442509008833613>.
- [3] R. Baekeland and R. Lowen, "Measures of Lindelöf and separability in approach spaces.," *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 597-606 (1994). <https://eudml.org/doc/47159>.
- [4] B.Y. Hussein, On Equivalent martingale measure, Ph.D. thesis University of Al-Mustnsiryah (2007).
- [5] B.Y. Hussein, Equivalent locally Martingale Measure for the deflator process on ordered Banach algebra, *J. Math.* vol. 2020, pp. 1-7 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5785098>.
- [6] R.K. Abbas and B.Y. Hussein, New Results of Normed Approach Space, *Iraqi J. Sci.*, Vol. 63, No. 5, pp. 2103-2113 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.24996/ij.s.2022.63.5.25>.
- [7] R.K. Abbas and B.Y. Hussein, New Results of CompAssume thation Normed Approach Space, AIP conf. Proc. Of the 2rd International Scientific conferences of Pure Scinences, University of Al-Qadisiyah, (2021).
- [8] R.K. Abbas and B.Y. Hussein, "A new kind of topological vector space: Topological approach vector space," AIP conference proceedings, vol. 2386, pp. 060008-060008 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0066971>.
- [9] B.Y. Hussein and S.S. Abd, On New Results of Normed Approach Space Via β -Approach structure, *Iraqi J. Sci.*, Vol. 63, No. 5, pp. 3538-3550 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.24996/ij.s.2023.64.7.33>
- [10] B.Y. Hussein and H.A.A. Wshayeh, "On state space of measurable function in symmetric Δ -Banach algebra with new results," AIP Conference Proceedings, vol. 2398, p. 060064-060075 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0093486>.
- [11] M.A.A. Neamah and B.Y. Hussein, Some New Results of CompAssume thation tw Normed Approach Space, *Periodicals of Engineering and natural Sciences*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 82-89 (2022).
- [12] M.A.A. Neamah and B.Y. Hussein, New Type of topological vector space Via tw-Approach Structure, *J. Algebraic Statistics*, vol. 13, no.

- 3, pp. 2714-2724 (2022), <https://publishoa.com/index.php/journal/article/view/935>.
- [13] D.A. Kadhim and B.Y. Hussein, "New kind of Banach Algebra Via Proximit structure," *Wasit Journal for Pure Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 23-37 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.31185/wjps.95>.
 - [14] D.A. Kadhim, B.Y. Hussein, "A new kind of topological vector space via proximit structure," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1065-1075 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.47974/jim-1606>.
 - [15] S.M. Kadham and M.A. Mustafa, "Medical applications of the new-transform," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1341-1353 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.47974/jim-1632>.
 - [16] A.S. Maani, H.K. Alkhayyat and S.M. Kadham. "Enhance MRI images of lung cancer using hybrid transform," *J. Discrete Math. Sci. Cryptography*, vol. 26, no. 7, pp. 1897-1902 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.47974/jdm-sc-1682>.
 - [17] B.A. Hassan and A.A. Saad, "Elastic conjugate gradient methods to solve iteration problems," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 26, no. 6 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.47974/JIM-1619>.
 - [18] B.A. Hassan, H.N. Jabbar and Y.A. Laylani, "Upscaling parameters for conjugate gradient method in unconstrained optimization," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 26, no. 6 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.47974/JIM-1615>.
 - [19] B.A. Hassan and A.A. Saad, "Explaining new parameters conjugate analysis based on the quadratic model," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 26, no. 6 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.47974/JIM-1620>.
 - [20] A.H. Alwan, "Small intersection graph of subsemimodules of a semi-module," *Commun. Combinatorics Cryptogr. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 2022, no. 1, pp. 15-22 (2022).
 - [21] S. Ediz, M. Cancan and M.R. Farahani, "Eccentric connectivity and connective eccentricity indices of generalized Petersen graphs," *Commun. Combinatorics Cryptogr. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 2021, no. 1, pp. 1-6 (2021).
 - [22] R.B. Ash, *Real analysis and probability*, Academic process, NewYork (1992).
 - [23] R.B. Ash and C.A. Doleans-Dade, *Probabilty and Measure Theory*, Second Edition, with contributions from Catherine Doleans-Dade (1999).