

Study of d -interior and d -closure

Luma S. Abdalbaqi *

Hassan H. Ebrahim †

Department of Mathematics

College of Computer Science & Mathematics

University of Tikrit

Tikrit

Iraq

Ali Al-Fayadh §

Department for Mathematics & Computer Applications

College of Science

University of Al-Nahrain

Baghdad 10072

Iraq

Abstract

A main goal for this article is to generalize the notion open set by introduce an idea d – open set to obtains the d – space which is stronger than classical topological space. Some examples are provided that aim to explain the scientific contribution of the suggested generalization, as well as sundry features of d – open set are presented. The concept of d -closure is introduced. Characterizations and examples for a proposed idea are present and several different properties for d – closure were shown.

Subject Classification: 00A05, 00A06.

Keywords: Analysis, Interior point, Algebra, Open set and topology.

1. Introduction

Originally coming from questions in analysis and measure [1]–[3] by now topologies permeates mostly in all field for math contains algebra, and combinatorics, and logic and plays a fundamental role in algebraic geometry as known its today. Let $(\mathcal{U}, \mathfrak{J})$ be a topological space and $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. Then $\mathfrak{D}$ is called

* E-mail: lumahhany1977@tu.edu.iq (Corresponding Author)

† E-mail: hassan1962pl@tu.edu.iq

§ E-mail: aalfayadh@yahoo.com

d – open set if $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{int}(\mathcal{D}) \quad \forall \mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{I} \text{ \& } \mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{U}$. We shall denote the family of d – open set by $d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}}$ and the ordered pair $(\mathcal{U}, d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is named d – space. While we call a complement of d – open set by d – closed set and the $d\mathcal{C}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}}$ denote to the family of d – closed set.

An idea for semi-open was studied by Levine [4] in 1963 which is a generalization of an open set. For any topological space $(\mathcal{U}, \mathfrak{I})$, then $d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a topology on $\mathcal{U}$. Njastad in 1965 [5] was first introduced α – open set concept as a generalization of open set. There are many authors have been studied some types of classes of sets which are generalizations of σ –algebra and some concepts related to topological spaces for example Ahmed, Ebrahim, and Al-Fayadh, [6] and Sameer and Abdalbaqi [7] and Abdulqader, Esmaeel, and Arif [8].

2. Results

Definition 2.1: Let us assume we have a d – space $(\mathcal{U}, d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}})$ & $v \in \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. Then we call v is d – interior point of $\mathcal{A}$ if $\exists \mathcal{D} \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}} \ni v \in \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

Notation 2.2: We shall denote the set of d – interior points of $\mathcal{A}$ by $\text{int}_d(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 2.3: In d – space $(\mathcal{U}, d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}})$, we have

$$\text{int}_d(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \left\{ \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} : \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}} \\ \text{and } \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \quad \forall \alpha \in I \end{array} \right\} \text{ whenever } \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{U}.$$

Proof: Let $v \in \text{int}_d(\mathcal{A})$, then $\exists \mathcal{D} \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}} \ni v \in \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. So,

$$v \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \left\{ \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} : \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}} \\ \text{and } \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \quad \forall \alpha \in I \end{array} \right\}.$$

$$\text{Hence, } \text{int}_d(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \left\{ \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} : \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}} \\ \text{and } \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \quad \forall \alpha \in I \end{array} \right\}.$$

Now, let us assume $v \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \left\{ \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} : \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}} \\ \text{and } \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \quad \forall \alpha \in I \end{array} \right\}$. Then at least $\exists \mathcal{D}^* \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}} \text{ \& } \mathcal{D}^* \subseteq \mathcal{A} \ni v \in \mathcal{D}^*$, hence $v \in \mathcal{A}$. So, $v \in \text{int}_d(\mathcal{A})$. Hence,

$$\text{int}_d(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \left\{ \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} : \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}} \\ \text{and } \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \quad \forall \alpha \in I \end{array} \right\}.$$

$$\text{Thus } \text{int}_d(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \left\{ \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} : \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}} \\ \text{and } \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \quad \forall \alpha \in I \end{array} \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.4: In the d – space $(\mathcal{U}, d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}})$, if any subset $\mathcal{A}$ of a universal $\mathcal{U}$, then $\text{int}_d(\mathcal{A})$ must be a largest d – open set which included in $\mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 2.5: If $(\mathcal{U}, d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is d – space & $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, then $\mathcal{A} \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}}$ iff $\text{int}_d(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 2.6: If $(\mathcal{U}, d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is d – space & $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. Then

1. $\text{int}_d(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{int}_d(\mathcal{B})$ for $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$.
2. $\text{int}_d(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}) = \text{int}_d(\mathcal{A}) \cap \text{int}_d(\mathcal{B})$.

3. $\text{int}_d(\text{int}_d(\mathcal{A})) = \text{int}_d(\mathcal{A})$.
4. $\text{int}_d(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and $\text{int}_d(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}$.

Theorem 2.7: If $\mathcal{A}$ is any subset of a universal $\mathcal{U}$, then $\text{int}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \text{int}_d(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof: Since $\text{int}(\mathcal{A})$ is open set & $\text{int}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. Then $\text{int}(\mathcal{A})$ is d -open set, but $\text{int}_d(\mathcal{A})$ is largest d -open set that included in $\mathcal{A}$ by theorem (2.4), so we get $\text{int}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \text{int}_d(\mathcal{A})$.

Remark 2.8: In general $\text{int}(\mathcal{A}) \neq \text{int}_d(\mathcal{A})$.

Example 2.3.9: If $\mathcal{U} = \{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4\}$ and $\mathfrak{I} = \left\{ \emptyset, \mathcal{U}, \{d_1\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_1, d_2, d_3\} \right\}$

$$\text{Then } d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}} = \left\{ \emptyset, \{d_1\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}, \{d_4\}, \{d_1, d_4\}, \{d_1, d_2, d_4\}, \{d_1, d_3, d_4\}, \mathcal{U} \right\}$$

Now, $\text{int}_d(\{d_3, d_4\}) = \{d_4\}$ and $\text{int}(\{d_3, d_4\}) = \emptyset$, so we note that $\text{int}(\{d_3, d_4\}) \subset \text{int}_d(\{d_3, d_4\})$, but $\text{int}_d(\{d_3, d_4\}) \neq \text{int}(\{d_3, d_4\})$.

This example verifies the equality in Remark (2.8) not hold in general.

Remark 2.10: In the following Theorem we consider the necessary condition of equality to be true in Remark (2.8).

Theorem 2.11: If the subset $\mathcal{A}$ of a universal set $\mathcal{U}$ is an open set, then $\text{int}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{int}_d(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof: Let us assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is open set, then $\mathcal{A} = \text{int}(\mathcal{A})$. Since an open set implies to d -open set, so $\mathcal{A}$ is d -open set. Now, by using theorem (2.5), then we get $\mathcal{A} = \text{int}_d(\mathcal{A})$. Hence, $\text{int}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{int}_d(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition 2.12: In the d -space $(\mathcal{U}, d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}})$ we define the d -closure of arbitrary subset $\mathfrak{D}$ of a universal $\mathcal{U}$ as $cl_d(\mathfrak{D}) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \left\{ \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha} : \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha} \in d\mathcal{C}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}} \text{ and } \mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha} \forall \alpha \in I \right\}$.

Theorem 2.13: $cl_d(\mathfrak{D})$ is the smallest d -closed set including $\mathfrak{D}$.

Corollary 2.14: In the d -space $(\mathcal{U}, d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}})$, subset $\mathfrak{D}$ in universal $\mathcal{U}$ is d -closed iff $\mathfrak{D} = cl_d(\mathfrak{D})$.

Theorem 2.15: If $(\mathcal{U}, d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is d -space & $\mathfrak{D}_1, \mathfrak{D}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. Then

1. $\mathfrak{D}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_2$, then $cl_d(\mathfrak{D}_1) \subseteq cl_d(\mathfrak{D}_2)$.
2. $cl_d(\mathfrak{D}_1 \cup \mathfrak{D}_2) = cl_d(\mathfrak{D}_1) \cup cl_d(\mathfrak{D}_2)$.
3. $cl_d(\mathfrak{D}_1 \cap \mathfrak{D}_2) \subseteq cl_d(\mathfrak{D}_1) \cap cl_d(\mathfrak{D}_2)$.
4. $cl_d(cl_d(\mathfrak{D})) = cl_d(\mathfrak{D})$, for any $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.
5. $cl_d(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and $cl_d(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}$.

Proposition 2.16: Every closed implies to d -closed.

Proof: Assume $\mathfrak{D}$ closed, so $\mathfrak{D}^c$ is open set, hence $\mathfrak{D}^c$ is d -open set, therefore $\mathfrak{D}$ is d -closed set.

Proposition 2.17: If $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, so $cl_d(\mathfrak{D}) \subseteq cl(\mathfrak{D})$.

Proof: Since $cl(\mathfrak{D})$ closed, so $cl(\mathfrak{D})$ d -closed. But $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq cl(\mathfrak{D})$ and $cl_d(\mathfrak{D})$ is smallest d -closed set containing $\mathfrak{D}$, so we have $cl_d(\mathfrak{D}) \subseteq cl(\mathfrak{D})$.

Remark 2.18: If $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, then it is not necessarily that $cl(\mathfrak{D}) = cl_d(\mathfrak{D})$.

Example 2.19: If $\mathcal{U} = \{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4\}$ and $\mathfrak{S} = \left\{ \emptyset, \mathcal{U}, \{d_1\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_1, d_2, d_3\} \right\}$

$$\text{Then } d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{U}} = \left\{ \emptyset, \{d_1\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{d_1, d_3\}, \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}, \{d_4\}, \{d_1, d_4\}, \{d_1, d_2, d_4\}, \{d_1, d_3, d_4\}, \mathcal{U} \right\}$$

Now, $cl_d(\{d_1\}) = \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}$ and $cl(\{d_1\}) = \mathcal{U}$, so $cl_d(\{d_1\}) \neq cl(\{d_1\})$. This example verifies the equality in Remark (2.18) is not hold.

Remark 2.20: In the following proposition we consider the necessary condition to obtain an equality in Remark (2.18).

Proposition 2.21: If subset $\mathfrak{D}$ in $\mathcal{U}$ is closed set, then $cl(\mathfrak{D}) = cl_d(\mathfrak{D})$.

Proof: Let us assume $\mathfrak{D}$ closed set, then $\mathfrak{D} = cl(\mathfrak{D})$. Since $\mathfrak{D}$ is closed set, then by Proposition (3.16), we get $\mathfrak{D}$ is d -closed set. Hence, $\mathfrak{D} = cl_d(\mathfrak{D})$ by Corollary (2.14). Therefore we are done.

Definition 2.22: Let us assume we have d -space $(\mathcal{U}, d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. A point $\nu \in \mathcal{U}$ is a d -limit point of $\mathfrak{D}$ if $\mathcal{N} - \{\nu\} \cap \mathfrak{D} \neq \emptyset \quad \forall \mathcal{N} \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{U}}$ containing ν . We shall denote a set for d -limit point of $\mathfrak{D}$ by $LP_d(\mathfrak{D})$.

Theorem 2.23: The subset $\mathfrak{D}$ is d -closed iff $LP_d(\mathfrak{D}) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$.

Proof: Assume $\mathfrak{D}$ is d -closed and $\nu \in LP_d(\mathfrak{D})$. If $\nu \notin \mathfrak{D}$, then $\nu \in \mathfrak{D}^c$, but $\mathfrak{D}^c \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{U}}$, then $\mathfrak{D}^c - \{\nu\} \cap \mathfrak{D} = \emptyset$, which implies that $\nu \notin LP_d(\mathfrak{D})$ contradiction. Hence $\nu \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $LP_d(\mathfrak{D}) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$.

Conversely: suppose $LP_d(\mathfrak{D}) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$. To prove $\mathfrak{D}$ is d -closed, we must prove $\mathfrak{D}^c \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{U}}$. We us

Now, let $\nu \in \mathfrak{D}^c$, then $\nu \notin \mathfrak{D}$, hence $\nu \notin LP_d(\mathfrak{D})$, thus $\exists \mathcal{N} \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{U}}$ containing ν s.t $\mathcal{N} - \{\nu\} \cap \mathfrak{D} = \emptyset$.

Thus $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathfrak{D} = \emptyset$ since $\nu \notin \mathfrak{D}$. Consequentially, $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}^c$. Hence proved.

Theorem 2.24: In a d -space $(\mathcal{U}, d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{U}})$, if $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, then $LP_d(\mathfrak{D})$ is d -closed set.

Proof: To prove $LP_d(\mathfrak{D})$ is d -closed set, we must prove $LP_d(LP_d(\mathfrak{D})) \subseteq LP_d(\mathfrak{D})$. Let $v \in LP_d(LP_d(\mathfrak{D}))$. Then v is a d -limit point of $LP_d(\mathfrak{D})$. Hence $\mathcal{N}-\{v\} \cap LP_d(\mathfrak{D}) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall \mathcal{N} \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{U}}$ containing v , thus $\mathcal{N}-\{v\} \cap \mathfrak{D} \neq \emptyset \quad \forall \mathcal{N} \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{U}}$ containing v , which implies v is a d -limit point of $\mathfrak{D}$. So, $v \in d\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{D})$. Therefore $LP_d(\mathfrak{D})$ is d -closed set.

Theorem 2.25: If $(\mathcal{U}, d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is d -space & $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, then $\mathfrak{D}ULP_d(\mathfrak{D})$ is d -closed set.

Proof: To prove $\mathfrak{D}ULP_d(\mathfrak{D})$ is d -closed set, we must prove $(\mathfrak{D}ULP_d(\mathfrak{D}))^c$ is d -open set. We use Theorem (2.1.11) to prove this claim. Suppose that $v \in (\mathfrak{D}ULP_d(\mathfrak{D}))^c$, then $v \notin \mathfrak{D}ULP_d(\mathfrak{D})$, thus $v \notin \mathfrak{D}$ and $v \notin LP_d(\mathfrak{D})$. This implies that there is $\mathcal{N} \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{U}}$ containing v s.t. $\mathcal{N}-\{v\} \cap \mathfrak{D} = \emptyset$, but $v \notin \mathfrak{D}$, then $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathfrak{D} = \emptyset$. To claim $\mathcal{N} \cap LP_d(\mathfrak{D}) = \emptyset$. Let $z \in \mathcal{N}$. Since $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathfrak{D} = \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{N}-\{z\} \cap \mathfrak{D} = \emptyset$, hence $z \notin LP_d(\mathfrak{D})$. So, we get $\mathcal{N} \cap LP_d(\mathfrak{D}) = \emptyset$. Now, since $\mathcal{N} \cap [\mathfrak{D}ULP_d(\mathfrak{D})] = [\mathcal{N} \cap \mathfrak{D}] \cup [\mathcal{N} \cap LP_d(\mathfrak{D})] = \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{N} \subseteq [\mathfrak{D}ULP_d(\mathfrak{D})]^c$. Hence, $(\mathfrak{D}ULP_d(\mathfrak{D}))^c$ is the d -neighborhood of its own points. Thus, we have $(\mathfrak{D}ULP_d(\mathfrak{D}))^c$ is d -open set. Hence proved.

Theorem 2.26: If $(\mathcal{U}, d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is d -space & $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, then $cl_d(\mathfrak{D}) = \mathfrak{D}ULP_d(\mathfrak{D})$.

Proof: By Theorem (2.25), we get $\mathfrak{D}ULP_d(\mathfrak{D})$ is d -closed set. Since $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}ULP_d(\mathfrak{D})$ and $cl_d(\mathfrak{D})$ is the smallest d -closed set which includes $\mathfrak{D}$ then we get $cl_d(\mathfrak{D}) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}ULP_d(\mathfrak{D})$. To prove $\mathfrak{D}ULP_d(\mathfrak{D}) \subseteq cl_d(\mathfrak{D})$. Since $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq cl_d(\mathfrak{D})$, then it only remains to prove $LP_d(\mathfrak{D}) \subseteq cl_d(\mathfrak{D})$, that is we must prove $LP_d(\mathfrak{D}) \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \{\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha} : \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha} \text{ is } d\text{-closed \& } \mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha}\}$. Let $v \in LP_d(\mathfrak{D})$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha}$ is d -closed & $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha}$. Then $\mathcal{N}-\{v\} \cap \mathfrak{D} \neq \emptyset \quad \forall \mathcal{N} \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{U}}$ containing v . This mean that there exist at least $m \in \mathcal{N}-\{v\} \cap \mathfrak{D} \quad \forall \mathcal{N} \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{U}}$ containing v , so $m \in \mathcal{N}-\{v\}$ and $m \in \mathfrak{D}$. Since $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha} \quad \forall \alpha \in I$, then $m \in \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha} \quad \forall \alpha \in I$, hence $m \in \mathcal{N}-\{v\} \cap \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha}$, thus $\mathcal{N}-\{v\} \cap \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset \quad \forall \mathcal{N} \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{U}}$ containing $v, \forall \alpha \in I$. Now, since $v \in LP_d(\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha})$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha}$ is d -closed set $\forall \alpha \in I$, then by Theorem (2.23), we get $LP_d(\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha}) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha} \quad \forall \alpha \in I$, hence $\forall \alpha \in I, v \in \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha} \supseteq \mathfrak{D}$. Thus $v \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \{\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha} : \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha} \text{ is } d\text{-closed that include } \mathfrak{D}\}$, so $v \in cl_d(\mathfrak{D})$ which implies that $LP_d(\mathfrak{D}) \subseteq cl_d(\mathfrak{D})$. So, we have $\mathfrak{D}ULP_d(\mathfrak{D}) \subseteq cl_d(\mathfrak{D})$. Therefore, $cl_d(\mathfrak{D}) = \mathfrak{D}ULP_d(\mathfrak{D})$.

Conclusion

The main results are obtained are:

1. $int_d(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \left\{ \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha} : \begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha} \in d\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{U}} \\ \text{and } \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \end{array} \forall \alpha \in I \right\}$ whenever $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.

2. In the d -space $(\mathcal{U}, d\mathcal{OS}_{\mathcal{U}})$, if any subset $\mathcal{A}$ of a universal $\mathcal{U}$, then $int_d(\mathcal{A})$ must be a largest d -open set which included in $\mathcal{A}$.
3. If the subset $\mathcal{A}$ of a universal set $\mathcal{U}$ is open set, then $int(\mathcal{A}) = int_d(\mathcal{A})$.
4. Every closed set is d -closed set.
5. If $(\mathcal{U}, d\mathcal{OS}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is d -space & $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, then $\mathcal{D}ULP_d(\mathcal{D})$ is d -closed set.

References

- [1] A. Hillah, L. S. Abdalbaqi, and M. H. Hadi, "New frontier set in ideal topological spaces," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 1461–1466 (2022), doi: 10.1080/09720502.2022.2052570.
- [2] S. H. Asaad, I. S. Ahmed, and H. H. Ebrahim, "On Finitely Null-additive and Finitely Weakly Null-additive Relative to the σ -ring," *Baghdad Sci. J.*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 1148–1154 (2022).
- [3] I. S. Ahmed and H. H. Ebrahim, "On measure relatively to fuzzy σ -algebra," *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 2322, no. 1 (2022), doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/2322/1/012020.
- [4] N. Levine, "Semi-Open Sets and Semi-Continuity in Topological Spaces," *Am. Math. Mon.*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 36–41 (1963), doi: 10.1080/00029890.1963.11990039.
- [5] O. Njastad, "On some classes of nearly open sets," *Pacific J. Math.*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 961–970 (1965).
- [6] I. S. Ahmed, H. H. Ebrahim, and A. Al-Fayadh, " γ - algebra of Sets and Some of its Properties," *Iraqi J. Sci.*, vol. 63, no. 11, pp. 4918–4927 (2022), doi: 10.24996/ij.s.2022.63.11.28.
- [7] Z. T. Sameer and L. S. Abdalbaqi, "Some properties of an v -open set," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 873–879 (2023), doi: 10.47974/JIM-1519.
- [8] Z. T. Abdulqader, R. B. Esmaeel, and N. E. Arif, "L - open set and some related concepts," *J. Discret. Math. Sci. Cryptogr.*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 1715–1721 (2024).

Received October, 2024