

## Some results about (Micro)topological spaces

Hula M. Salih \*

*Department of Mathematics*

*College of Education*

*Mustansiriya University*

*Baghdad*

*Iraq*

Ghufran Hussein Auda <sup>†</sup>

*Department of Mathematics*

*Open Educational College*

*Ministry of Education-Wasit Education Directorate*

*Suwairah*

*Iraq*

---

### Abstract

This work introduces and investigates the properties for (Micro)-Ri Spaces ( $i = 0, 1$ ) and (Micro)-Ti Spaces ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ), as well as identify relationships between existing spaces.

---

**Subject Classification:** Primary 69C60, Secondary 90D54.

**Keywords:** (Micro)- $R_0$  spaces, (Micro)- $R_1$  spaces, (Micro)- $T_0$  spaces, (Micro)- $T_1$  spaces, (Micro)- $T_2$  spaces.

### 1. Introduction

Thivagar and Richard [1] introduced (Nano) topology in 2013. Many researchers have presented new results about (Nano)topology, including the author of this research [2] we also used [3]. (Nano)topology has a maximum of 5 (Nano)open sets and a minimum of 3, such as  $U$  and  $\varnothing$ . If we want to include more open sets, we can apply Levine's straightforward extension idea. This topology is referred to (Micro) topology ( $\mu$ icTopology). All (Nano) topologies are (Micro)topologies Chandrasekar [4] introduced and studied a notion for (Micro)topology, which was define as (micro)closed, (micro)open, (micro) interior, and (micro) closure. Ibrahim [5] and Sathishmohan et al. [6] introduce a

---

\* E-mail: [hlg2b3m4m5@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq](mailto:hlg2b3m4m5@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq) (Corresponding Author)

<sup>†</sup> E-mail: [ghufran.hussein468@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq](mailto:ghufran.hussein468@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq)

new concepts of (Micro)separation axioms. In this research we present new findings on the concepts mentioned in the sources, this essay seeks to present and examine additional properties for (Micro)-Ri Spaces ( $i = O, 1$ ) and (Micro)-Ti Spaces ( $i = O, 1, 2$ ), it was not mentioned or addressed in [5] as well as identify relationships between existing spaces.

## 2. Preliminary

**Definition 2.1:** [4] Here  $\tau R(X)$  is (Nano) topological  $\mu_{ic}R(X) = \{\mathcal{N} \cup (\mathcal{N}_0 \cap \mu_{ic})\}$

$\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}_0 \in \tau R(X)$  and named it  $\mu_{ic}$  Topology of  $\tau R(X)$  by  $\mu_{ic}$  where  $\mu_{ic} \notin \tau R(X)$ .

**Definition 2.2:** [4]  $\mu_{ic}-cl(S)$  is the symbol for (Micro) closure for  $S$ , which is described as  $\mu_{ic}-cl(S) = \cap \{G: G \text{ is (Micro) closed and } S \subseteq G\}$ .  $\mu_{ic}-int(S)$  represents (Micro) interior for  $S$ , which is defined as  $\mu_{ic}-int(S) = \cup \{G: G \text{ is (Micro) open and } S \supseteq G\}$ .

**Lemma 2.3:** [7] In a  $\mu_{ic}$  Topological space  $U$ , if  $G_i: i \in I$  family for (micro) open set. so,  $\cup G_i \in \mu_{ic}-O(U)$ .

**Remark 2.4:** [5] The combination of two weaker axioms is the definition of each (Micro) separation axiom  $\mu_{ic}-T_k$  space =  $\mu_{ic}-R_{k-1}$  space and  $\mu_{ic}-T_{k-1}$  space =  $\mu_{ic}-R_{k-1}$  space and  $\mu_{ic}-T_0$  space

**Definition 2.5:** [5] Let  $U$  be a  $\mu_{ic}$  Topological. So  $U$  is:

- (1) (Micro) $T_0$ space( $\mu_{ic}-T_0$  space) if  $\forall (r, s)$  and  $r \neq s: r, s$  in  $U$ ,  $\exists$  one (Micro) open  $K \ni$  either  $r \in K, s \notin K$  or  $r \notin K$  and  $s \in K$ .
- (2) (Micro) $T_1$ space( $\mu_{ic}-T_1$  space) if  $\forall (r, s)$  and  $r \neq s (s.t) r, s$  in  $U$ ,  $\exists$  two (Micro) open sets  $K_1$  &  $K_2 \ni r \in K_1$  but  $s \notin K_1$  and  $s \in K_2$  but  $r \notin K_2$
- (3) (Micro) $T_2$ space( $\mu_{ic}-T_2$ space) if  $\forall (r, s)$  and  $r \neq s: r, s$  in  $U$ ,  $\exists$  two distinct (Micro) open sets  $K_1$  &  $K_2$  which contain  $r$  &  $s$  correspondingly.

**Definition 2.6:** [5] A  $\mu_{ic}$  Topological space is called a (Micro)  $R_0$ - space ( $\mu_{ic}-R_0$  space) if  $\forall$  (Micro) open set  $K$  and  $r \in K$  then  $\mu_{ic}-cl\{r\} \subseteq K$ .

**Definition 2.7:** [5] A  $\mu_{ic}$  Topological space is called a (Micro)  $R_1$ - space ( $\mu_{ic}-R_1$ space) if  $\forall (r, s)$  and  $r \neq s: r, s$  in  $U$  with  $\mu_{ic}-cl\{r\} \neq \mu_{ic}-cl\{s\}$ ,  $\exists$  disjoint (Micro) open sets  $K_1, K_2 \ni \mu_{ic}-cl\{r\} \subseteq K_1$  and  $\mu_{ic}-cl\{s\} \subseteq K_2$ .

**Definition 2.8:** [8] Let  $U$  be a  $\mu_{ic}$  Topological space and  $\mathbb{F} \subset U$ . Next, the (Micro) kernel of  $\mathbb{F}$  indicated by  $\mu_{ic}-ke(\mathbb{F})$  is refers to the set  $\mu_{ic}-ker(\mathbb{F}) = \cap \{l \in \mu_{ic}R(X): \mathbb{F} \subseteq l\}$ .

## 3. Some new results on the (Micro)-R<sub>i</sub> Spaces ( i = O, 1)

**Theorem 3.1:** A  $\mu_{ic}$  Topological space is a  $\mu_{ic}-R_0$  space iff  $\forall F$  (Micro) closed set and  $r \in F$  then  $\mu_{ic}-\{r\} \subseteq F$ .

**Proof:** Let a  $\mu_{ic}$ Topological space be a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $R_0$  space and  $\mathbb{F}$  be a (Micro) closed set and  $r \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then  $\forall s \notin \mathbb{F}$  implies  $s \in \mathbb{F}^c$  is (Micro) open set, then  $\mu_{ic-cl}\{s\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^c$ , so  $r \notin \mu_{ic-cl}\{s\}$ , so  $s \notin \mu_{ic-ker}\{r\}$ . Thus  $\mu_{ic-ker}\{r\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ . Contrariwise,  $\forall \mathbb{F}$  (Micro) closed set and  $r \in \mathbb{F}$  then  $\mu_{ic-ker}\{r\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}$  and let  $K \in U$ ,  $r \in K$  then  $\forall s \notin K$  implies  $s \in K^c$  is a (Micro)closed set implies  $\mu_{ic-ker}\{s\} \subseteq K^c$ . Therefore  $r \notin \mu_{ic-cl}\{s\}$  and  $s \notin \mu_{ic-cl}\{r\}$ , so  $\mu_{ic-cl}\{r\} \subseteq K$ , thus  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $R_0$  space.

**Theorem 3.2:** A  $\mu_{ic}$ Topological space is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $R_1$ space iff  $\forall r \neq s \in U$  with  $\mu_{ic-ker}\{r\} \neq \mu_{ic-ker}\{s\}$  then  $\exists$  (Micro)closed sets  $K_1, K_2 \ni \mu_{ic-ker}\{r\} \subseteq K_1, \mu_{ic-ker}\{s\} \subseteq K_2$  and  $\mu_{ic-ker}\{s\} \cap K_1 = \emptyset$  and  $\mu_{ic-ker}\{r\} \cap K_2 = \emptyset$  and  $K_1 \cup K_2 = U$

**Proof:** Let a  $\mu_{ic}$ Topological space be an  $\mu_{ic}$ - $R_1$  space. Then  $\forall r \neq s \in U$  with  $\mu_{ic-ker}\{r\} \neq \mu_{ic-ker}\{s\}$ . Since every  $\mu_{ic}$ - $R_1$  space is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $R_0$  space,  $\mu_{ic-cl}\{r\} \neq \mu_{ic-cl}\{s\}$ , then  $\exists$  (Micro) open sets  $G_1, G_2 \ni \mu_{ic-cl}\{r\} \subseteq G_1$  and  $\mu_{ic-cl}\{s\} \subseteq G_2$  and  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$  [Since  $U$  is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $R_1$  space], then  $G_1^c$  and  $G_2^c$  are (Micro) closed sets  $\ni G_1^c \cup G_2^c = U$ . Put  $K_1 = G_1^c$  and  $K_2 = G_2^c$  Thus  $x \in G_1 \subseteq K_2$  and  $s \in G_2 \subseteq K_1$  so that  $\mu_{ic-ker}\{r\} \subseteq G_1 \subseteq K_2$  and  $\mu_{ic-ker}\{s\} \subseteq G_2 \subseteq K_1$ . Contrariwise, Let  $\forall r \neq s \in U$  with  $\mu_{ic-ker}\{r\} \neq \mu_{ic-ker}\{s\}$ ,  $\exists$  (Micro) closed sets  $K_1, K_2$  such that  $\mu_{ic-ker}\{r\} \subseteq K_1, \mu_{ic-ker}\{r\} \cap K_2 = \emptyset$  and  $\mu_{ic-ker}\{s\} \subseteq K_2, \mu_{ic-ker}\{s\} \cap K_1 = \emptyset$  and  $K_1 \cup K_2 = U$ , then  $K_1^c$  and  $K_2^c$  are (Micro)open sets  $\ni K_1^c \cap K_2^c = \emptyset$ . Put  $K_1^c = G_2$  and  $K_2^c = G_1$ . Thus  $\mu_{ic-ker}\{r\} \subseteq G_1$  and  $\mu_{ic-ker}\{s\} \subseteq G_2$  and  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$ , so that  $r \in G_1$  and  $s \in G_2$  implies  $r \notin \mu_{ic-cl}\{s\}$  and  $s \notin \mu_{ic-cl}\{r\}$ , then  $\mu_{ic-cl}\{r\} \subseteq G_1$  and  $\mu_{ic-cl}\{s\} \subseteq G_2$ . Thus  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $R_1$  space.

**Corollary 3.3:**  $\mu_{ic}$ Topological space is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $R_1$  space iff  $\forall r \neq s \in U$  with  $\mu_{ic-cl}\{r\} \neq \mu_{ic-cl}\{s\}$  then  $\exists$  disjoint (Micro)open sets  $K_1, K_2$  such that  $\mu_{ic-cl}(\mu_{ic-ker}\{r\}) \subseteq K_1$  and  $\mu_{ic-cl}(\mu_{ic-ker}\{s\}) \subseteq K_2$ .

**Proof:** Let  $U$  be a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $R_1$ space and let  $r \neq s \in U$  with  $\mu_{ic-cl}\{x\} \neq \mu_{ic-cl}\{y\}$  then  $\exists$  disjoint (Micro)open sets  $K_1, K_2$  such that  $\mu_{ic-cl}\{r\} \subseteq K_1$  and  $\mu_{ic-cl}\{s\} \subseteq K_2$ . Also  $U$  is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $R_0$  space, implies  $\forall r \in U$ , then  $\mu_{ic-cl}\{r\} = \mu_{ic-ker}\{r\}$ , but  $\mu_{ic-cl}\{r\} = \mu_{ic-cl}(\mu_{ic-cl}\{r\}) = \mu_{ic-cl}(\mu_{ic-ker}\{r\})$ . Thus  $\mu_{ic-cl}(\mu_{ic-ker}\{r\}) \subseteq K_1$  and  $\mu_{ic-cl}(\mu_{ic-ker}\{s\}) \subseteq K_2$ . Contrariwise, Let  $\forall r \neq s \in U$  with  $\mu_{ic-cl}\{r\} \neq \mu_{ic-cl}\{s\}$  then  $\exists$  disjoint (Micro)open sets  $K_1, K_2$  such that  $\mu_{ic-cl}(\mu_{ic-ker}\{r\}) \subseteq K_1$  and  $(\mu_{ic-ker}\{s\}) \subseteq K_2$ . Since  $\{r\} \subseteq \mu_{ic-ker}\{r\}$  then  $\mu_{ic-cl}\{r\} \subseteq \mu_{ic-cl}(\mu_{ic-ker}\{r\})$ ,  $\forall r \in K_1$ . So, we get  $\mu_{ic-cl}\{r\} \subseteq K_1$  and  $\mu_{ic-cl}\{s\} \subseteq K_2$ . Therefore  $\mu_{ic-cl}\{r\} \neq \mu_{ic-cl}\{s\}$ .

#### 4. Some new results on the (Micro)- $T_i$ Spaces ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ )

**Theorem 4.1:** If  $K_1$  is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_0$  space and  $K_2 \subseteq K_1$  then  $K_2$  is also  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_0$  space.

**Proof:** Assume  $K_1$  be  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_0$  space. To demonstrate that  $K_2$  is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_0$  space. Select distinct points.  $r, s$  from  $K_2$  and  $r \neq s$ , since  $K_2 \subseteq K_1$ , we have  $r, s \in K_1$ .

But  $K_1$  is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_0$  space, so  $\exists$  (Micro) open  $\mathbb{F} \ni r \in \mathbb{F} \ \& \ s \notin \mathbb{F}$ . So  $K_2 \cap \mathbb{F}$  (micro) open in  $K_2 \ni r \in K_2 \cap \mathbb{F} \ \& \ s \notin K_2 \cap \mathbb{F}$ . As a result,  $K_2$  is also a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_0$  space.

**Theorem 4.2:** *A  $\mu_{ic}$ Topological space is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_0$  space if and only if either  $s \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-}\{r\}$  or  $r \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\}$ ,  $\forall \ r \neq s \in U$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_0$  space then  $\forall \ r \neq s \in U$ ,  $\exists$  a (Micro) open set  $\mathbb{F}$  s.t  $r \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $s \notin \mathbb{F}$  or  $r \notin \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\& \ s \in \mathbb{F}$ . Thus, either  $r \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\& \ s \notin \mathbb{F}$  implies  $s \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\}$  or  $r \notin \mathbb{F}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{F}$  demonstrates  $r \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\}$ . Contrariwise, Let either  $s \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\}$  or  $r \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\}$ ,  $\forall \ r \neq s \in U$ . Then  $\exists$  a (Micro)open set  $\mathbb{F}$  such that  $r \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\& \ s \notin \mathbb{F}$  or  $r \notin \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\& \ s \in \mathbb{F}$ , as result  $U$   $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_0$  space.

**Theorem 4.3:** *If  $K_1$  is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space and  $K_2 \subseteq K_1$  then  $K_2$  is also  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space.*

**Proof:** Let  $K_1$  be  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space. To demonstrate that  $K_2$  is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$ space, let's  $K_2 \subseteq K_1$ . Let's  $r \neq s$  in  $K_2$ , since  $K_2 \subseteq K_1$ , we possess  $r, s \subseteq K_1$ . However,  $K_1$  is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$ space, Consequently, a (Micro)open sets  $Q_1, Q_2 \ni r \in Q_1$ ,  $s \notin Q_1$ ,  $s \in Q_2$ ,  $r \notin Q_2$ . Let  $N_1 = K_2 \cap Q_1$  and  $N_2 = K_2 \cap Q_2$ . Then  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are (Micro)open sets  $r \in N_1$  but  $s \notin N_1$ , as a result  $K_2$  is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space.

**Theorem 4.4:** *A  $\mu_{ic}$ Topological space is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space iff  $\forall \ r \neq s \in U$ .  $s \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\}$  and  $r \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\}$*

**Proof:** Let  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space then  $\forall \ r \neq s \in U$ ,  $\exists$  a (Micro)open sets  $Q_1, Q_2 \ni r \in Q_1$ ,  $s \notin Q_1$  or  $s \in Q_2$ ,  $r \notin Q_2$ . Implies  $s \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\}$  and  $r \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\}$ . Contrariwise, Let  $s \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\}$  and  $r \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\}$ ,  $\forall \ r \neq s \in U$ . Then  $\exists$  a (Micro) open sets  $Q_1, Q_2$  such that  $r \in Q_1$ ,  $s \notin Q_1$  and  $s \in Q_2$ ,  $r \notin Q_2$ . As a result,  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space.

**Theorem 4.5:** *A  $\mu_{ic}$ Topological space is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space iff  $\forall \ r \in U$  then  $\mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\} = \{r\}$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space and let  $\mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\} \neq \{r\}$ , then  $\mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\}$  includes a different point, say  $s$ , from  $r$ . So  $s \in \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\}$ , hence  $U$  is not a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space. This is in inconsistency. Thus  $\mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\} = \{r\}$ . Contrariwise, Let  $\mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\} = \{r\}$ ,  $\forall \ r \in U$  and let  $U$  is not a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space. Then  $s \in \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\}$ , implies  $\mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\} \neq \{r\}$ , This is in inconsistency. As a result,  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space.

**Theorem 4.6:** *A  $\mu_{ic}$ Topological space is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space iff  $\forall \ r \neq s \in U$  implies  $\mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\} \cap \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\} = \emptyset$ .*

**Proof:** Let a  $\mu_{ic}$ Topological space  $U$  be a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space. Then  $\mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\} = \{r\}$  and  $\mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\} = \{s\}$ . Thus  $\mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\} \cap \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\} = \emptyset$ . Contrariwise, Let  $\forall \ r \neq s \in U$  implies  $\mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\} \cap \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\} = \emptyset$  and let  $U$  is not  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space Then  $\forall \ r \neq s \in U$  implies  $s \in \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\}$  or  $r \in \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\}$ , The  $\mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\} \cap \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\} \neq \emptyset$ . This is in inconsistency. As a result,  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space.

**Theorem 4.7:** Every  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_2$  space is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space.

**Proof:** Let  $U$  is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_2$  space. Let  $r \neq s \in U$ . So, there are two (Micro) open sets  $K_1, K_2$ ,  $\exists K_1 \cap K_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $r \in K_1$  but  $s \notin K_1$  and  $s \in K_2$  but  $r \notin K_2$ . So,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are (Micro) open sets  $\exists$  either  $r \in K_1$  but  $s \notin K_2$  or  $s \in K_2$  but  $r \notin K_1$ . So  $U$  is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space.

Here's an example demonstrating that the converse of 4.8 is not true in overall.

**Example 4.8:** Let  $U = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5\}$ ,  $U/R = \{\{t_1\}, \{t_2, t_3, t_4\}, \{t_5\}\}$  and  $X = \{t_1, t_2\} \subset U$ . Then  $\tau R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \{t_1\}, \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}, \{t_2, t_3, t_4\}\}$ . Then  $\mu_{ic} = \{t_5\}$ . Then  $\mu_{ic}(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \{t_1\}, \{t_5\}, \{t_1, t_5\}, \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}, \{t_2, t_3, t_4\}, \{t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5\}\}$ . Then  $U$  is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space and not  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_2$  space

**Theorem 4.9:** If  $K_1$  is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_2$  space and  $K_2 \subseteq K_1$  then  $K_2$  is also  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_2$  space.

**Proof:** Let  $K_1$  be  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_2$  space. To demonstrate that  $K_2$  is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_2$  space, suppose  $K_2 \subset K_1$ ,  $r \neq s \in K_2$ . Since  $K_2 \subseteq K_1$ , we currently possess  $r, s \in K_1$ . But  $K_1$  is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_2$  space, so  $\exists$  two (Micro)open sets  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$   $\exists Q_1 \cap Q_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $r \in Q_1$ ,  $s \in Q_2$ . Thus, we have  $Q_1 \cap K_2$ ,  $Q_2 \cap K_2$  are (Micro)open sets in  $K_2$   $\exists (Q_1 \cap K_2) \cap (Q_2 \cap K_2) = (Q_1 \cap Q_2) \cap K_2 = \emptyset \cap K_2 = \emptyset$ . Also,  $r \in Q_1 \cap K_2$  and  $s \in Q_2 \cap K_2$ , hence  $K_2$  is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_2$  space.

**Theorem 4.10:** A  $\mu_{ic}$ - $R_1$  space is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_2$  space iff at least one of these conditions applies: (1)  $\forall r \in U$ ,  $\mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\} = \{r\}$ , (2)  $\forall r \neq s \in U$ ,  $\mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\} \neq \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\} \Rightarrow \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\} \cap \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\} = \emptyset$ , (3)  $\forall r \neq s \in U$ , either  $r \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\}$  or  $s \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\}$ , (4)  $\forall r \neq s \in U$ , then  $r \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\}$  and  $s \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\}$ .

**Proof:** (1) Let  $U$  be a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_2$  space. Then  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space and  $\mu_{ic}$ - $R_1$  space. Hence,  $\mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\} = \{r\} \forall r \in U$ . Contrariwise, let  $\forall r \in U$ ,  $\mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\} = \{r\}$ , then,  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space, also  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $R_1$  space, hence  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_2$  space.

**Proof:** (2) Let  $U$  be a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_2$  space. Then  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space. Hence  $\mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\} \cap \{s\} = \emptyset$ ,  $\forall r \neq s \in U$ . Contrariwise, Assume that  $\forall r \neq s \in U$ ,  $\mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\} \neq \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\}$  implies  $\mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\} \cap \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\} = \emptyset$ , so the  $\mu_{ic}$  Topological space is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space, also  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $R_1$  space. Hence,  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_2$  space.

**Proof:** (3) Let  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_2$  space. Then  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_0$  space, hence  $r \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\}$  or  $s \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\} \forall r \neq s \in U$ . Contrariwise, Assume that  $\forall r \neq s \in U$ , either  $r \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\}$  or  $s \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\} \forall r \neq s \in U$ . So,  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_0$  space also  $U$  is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $R_1$  space, thus  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_2$  space.

**Proof:** (4) Let  $U$  be a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_2$  space. Then  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space and a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $R_1$  space, hence,  $r \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\}$  and  $s \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\}$ . Contrariwise, Let  $\forall r \neq s \in U$  then  $r \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{s\}$  and  $s \notin \mu_{ic}\text{-ker}\{r\}$ . Then,  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_1$  space, also  $U$  is  $\mu_{ic}$ - $R_1$  space. Hence,  $U$  is a  $\mu_{ic}$ - $T_2$  space.

## References

- [1] M. Lellis Thivagar and Carmel Richard, "On nano forms of weakly open sets," *Int. J. Math. Stat. Invent.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 31–37 (2013).
- [2] H. M. Salih and R. Ibrahim, "On some nano open subsets of ideal nano topological spaces  $(U, N\alpha, I\alpha)(U, N\alpha, I\alpha)$ ," in *AIP Conf. Proc.*, vol. 080010, pp. 1–7 (2023).
- [3] L. K. Shaakir and E. S. Abdulwahid, "The class of poly-normal operators," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 25, pp. 2519–2525 (2022).
- [4] S. Chandrasekar, "On micro topological spaces," *J. New Theory*, vol. 26, pp. 23–31 (2019).
- [5] H. Z. Ibrahim, "Micro separation axioms," *Int. J. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 572–585 (2020).
- [6] P. Sathishmohan, G. Poongothai, K. Rajalakshmi, and S. S. Roshan, "Separation axioms in micro semi pre-topological spaces," *Adv. Non-linear Var. Inequal.*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 83–91 (2025).
- [7] H. Z. Ibrahim, "Micro bb-open sets in micro topology," *Gen. Lett. Math.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 8–15 (2020).
- [8] H. Z. Ibrahim, "On micro  $T_1/2T_1/2$  space," *Int. J. Appl. Math.*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 369–384 (2020).

*Received December, 2024*