

$\acute{P}$ -compact and $\acute{P}$ -closed spaces and some of its properties

Bushra Jaralla Tawfeeq *

Sanaa Hamdi Jasem †

Department of Mathematics

College of Education

Mustansiriyah University

Baghdad

Iraq

Abstract

This work introduces a new class of spaces called $\acute{P}$ -compact and $\acute{P}$ -closed spaces, and various characterizations of $\acute{P}$ -set and $\acute{P}$ -compact subspace in topological spaces.

Subject Classification: 54E45, 54B05, 54C10.

Keywords: $\acute{P}$ -compact, $\acute{P}$ -closed spaces, $\acute{P}$ -set and $\acute{P}$ -compact subspace.

1. Introduction

Not just general topology, also other fields of mathematics. Nowadays, compactness indicates the most major, advantageous and substantial concept. Additionally, the main characteristics of compactness have been discussed completely by many academics' researchers. However, there are many results in the academic institutions educational books concerning the analysis and general topology. Moreover, many mathematicians have ended their researches with particular conclusions concerning this topic. The class of semi-generalized closed sets was established by [8]. A subset K of a space $(X^*, \mathcal{T})$ is said to be a semi open (shortened: S-O) set [7] (resp. Regular open (shortened: R-O) set [3], [14] if $K \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(K))$ (resp. $K = \text{int}(\text{cl}(K))$). A semi open set D of topological space $(X^*, \mathcal{T})$ is said to be $\mathcal{S}_{gs}^*$ -open [16], if for each $x \in D$, $\exists$ a $\mathcal{S}_{gs}^*$ -closed set F s.t. $x \in F \subseteq D$, then $D \subseteq X^*$ is $\mathcal{S}_{gs}^*$ -closed set, if W^c is an $\mathcal{S}_{gs}^*$ -open set. A topological space $(X^*, \mathcal{T})$ is said to be almost regular [13], [1] (resp. s-regula [3], [4]) if and only if for each R-O set (resp. open) set M containing d ,

* E-mail: bush.jar@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq (Corresponding Author)

† E-mail: hamdi_sanaa@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq

$\exists$ a open (resp. S-O) set H^* s.t. $d \in H^* \subseteq cl(H^*) \subseteq M$ (resp. $d \in H^* \subseteq scl(H^*) \subseteq M$). If every open subset of X^* is closed, then X^* is called locally indiscrete (shortened: Loc-ind.) [6]. The space X^* is called to be semi compact [9], [12], [15] (resp. nearly compact [10], [2] if every semi open (resp. regular open) cover of X^* has a finite subcover. The researchers adopted a new category of spaces that are known as P' -compact and P' -closed spaces based on the notion of S_{gs}^* -closed sets, obtaining new characterizations of P' -compact and P' -Closed spaces, as well as more preservation theorems. Many researchers have studied the compactness property, and some conclusions have been drawn from their work recently, see:[5], [11, 17]). In this paper we refer by topological space, open cover, closed cover, finite subcover, such that, semi open, regular open (for shutting by T.SP, O.C.,CL.C.,F.S.C.,s.t.,S-O.,R-O.)

2. Preliminaries

Now go over the definitions that are relevant in the research and will be utilized in the subsequent parts:

Definition 1: [16] $f: (X^*, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y^*, \mathcal{S})$ is said to be S_{gs}^* -continuous if the inverse image $f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})$ is a S_{gs}^* -O set in X^* for each open set $\mathcal{P}$ of Y^* .

Definition 2: [16] $f: (X^*, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y^*, \mathcal{S})$ is said to be S_{sg}^* -irresolute, if for each S_{gs}^* -O set $\mathcal{K}$ of Y^* , the inverse image $f^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ is a S_{gs}^* -O set in X^* .

Definition 3: [16] $f: (X^*, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y^*, \mathcal{S})$ is said to be almost S_{gs}^* -continuous, if for each regular open set V of Y^* , the inverse image $f^{-1}(V)$ is a S_{gs}^* -O set in X^* .

Proposition 4: [16] Every S-O set is a S_{gs}^* -O set if $(X^*, \mathcal{T})$ is Loc. Ind.

Proposition 5: [16] If D is a clopen, $D \subseteq X^*$ and P is S_{gs}^* -open in X^* , then $D \cap P$ is S_{gs}^* -open set in X^* .

Proposition 6: [7] Let N be a subset of T. SP $(X^*, \mathcal{T})$, if $N \in \mathcal{T}$ then $scl(N) = \text{int } cl(N)$

3. $\hat{P}$ -Compact and $\hat{P}$ -Closed spaces

Definition 7: A collection $\{U_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of S_{gs}^* -open in a T.SP $(X^*, \mathcal{T})$ is called S_{gs}^* -open cover (briefly, S_{gs}^* -O.C) of a subset p^* of X^* if $p^* \subseteq \{U_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$.

Definition 8: A T. SP $(X^*, \mathcal{T})$ is said to be $\hat{P}$ -compact spaces if for every S_{gs}^* -O.C $\{U_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of X^* , $\exists$ a finite Λ_0 subset of Λ s.t. $X^* = \cup \{U_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}$.

Definition 9: A T.SP $(X^*, \mathcal{T})$ is said to be $\dot{P}$ -closed spaces if for every S_{gs}^* -O.C $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of X^* , $\exists$ a finite Λ_0 subset of Λ s.t. $X^* = \bigcup \{S_{gs}^* \text{cl} U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}$

Proposition 10: If every gs^* -CL.C. of a space X^* has a F.S.C, then X^* is $\dot{P}$ -compact

Proof: Assuming that $b^* \in X$ and $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be any S_{gs}^* -O.C of X^* , after that for each $b^* \in U_{\alpha(b^*)}$, $\exists$ a sg^* -closed set $G_{\alpha(b^*)}$ s.t. $b^* \in G_{\alpha(b^*)} \subseteq U_{\alpha(b^*)}$, then the family $\{G_{\alpha(b^*)} : b^* \in X^*\}$ is a sg^* -CL.C. of X^* . By hypothesis $\{G_{\alpha(b^*)} : b^* \in X^*\}$ has F.S.C. $X^* = \bigcup \{G_{\alpha(b^*)} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \subseteq \bigcup \{U_{\alpha(b^*)} : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$. Thus X^* is $\dot{P}$ -compact. Then $X^* = \{U_{\alpha(b^*)} : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$

Proposition 11:

1. If $(X^*, \mathcal{T})$ is semi-compact, then it is an $\dot{P}$ -compact spaces.
2. If $(X^*, \mathcal{T})$ is s-closed, then it is an $\dot{P}$ -closed spaces.

Proof:

1. Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be any S_{gs}^* -O.C. of X^* . Then $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ semi O.C. of X^* . Because X^* is semi-compact, $\text{scl} U_\alpha \subseteq S_{gs}^* \text{cl} U_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, hence $\exists$ a finite Λ_0 subset of Λ s.t. $X^* = \bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda_0\} \subseteq \bigcup \{S_{gs}^* \text{cl} U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}$, then X^* is $\dot{P}$ -compact spaces.
2. Let $\{M_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be any S_{gs}^* -O.C. of X^* . Then $\{M_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ semi O.C. of X^* . Since X^* is s-closed, since $\text{scl} M_\alpha \subseteq S_{gs}^* \text{cl} M_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, hence $\exists$ a finite Λ_0 subset of Λ s.t. $X^* = \bigcup \{\text{scl} M_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda_0\} \subseteq \bigcup \{S_{gs}^* \text{cl} M_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}$, then X^* is $\dot{P}$ -closed spaces.

The succeeding example shows Proposition (11) part (1) converse to be true in general

Example 12: Let $X^* = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{J} = \{p \in \mathbb{R}; p < 0\} \cup \{X, \varphi\}$, $\text{SO}(X^*) = \{p \in \mathbb{R}; p < 0\} \cup \{X^*, \varphi\}$ and $S_{gs}^* \text{O}(X^*) = \{X^*, \varphi\}$. Then $(X^*, \mathcal{J})$ is an $\dot{P}$ -compact (resp. $\dot{P}$ -closed) space, but not s-compact.

Proposition 13: If X^* is an s-regular and S_{gs}^* -closed and T_1 -space, then X^* is $\dot{P}$ -compact

Proof: Let $\{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be any O.C. of an s-regular and S_{gs}^* -closed and T_1 -space, then for each $z \in X^* \exists \alpha(z) \in \Lambda$ s.t. $z \in B_{\alpha(z)}$. Since X^* is an s-regular and T_1 -space, there exist, $P_z \in \text{SO}(X^*)$ and $z \in P_z \subseteq \text{Scl } P_z \subseteq B_{\alpha(z)}$, then $P_z \in S_{gs}^* \text{O}(X^*)$ and $S_{gs}^* \text{cl } P_z \subseteq B_{\alpha(z)}$, implies that the family $\{P_z : z \in X^*\}$ is

an S_{gs}^* -O.C. of X^* . Because X^* is an S_{gs}^* -closed space, $\{P_{zi}: i=1,2,\dots,n\}$ is subfamily s.t. $X^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^n S_{gs}^* cl P_{zi} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_{\alpha(Z_i)}$. Therefore X^* is $\dot{P}$ -compact.

The succeeding example clarifies needles of proposition (13) converse to be generally true

Example 14: In Example (12), since the only non –empty S_{gs}^* - open set in $(X^*, \mathcal{J})$ is X^* itself, $(X^*, \mathcal{J})$ is neither s-regular nor -compact, but $(X^*, \mathcal{J})$ is S_{gs}^* -closed.

Proposition 15: If $(X^*, \mathcal{J})$ is Loc. Ind and X^* is $\dot{P}$ -compact, then it is semi-compact.

Proof: Concludes with Proposition 4.

Proposition 16: If X^* is $\dot{P}$ -compact and almost regular space, then X^* is compact.

Proof: Let $\{\beta_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be any regular O.C. of X^* , since X^* is an almost regular space, then for any $g \in X^*$ and each regular open set $\beta_{\alpha(g)}$, then $\exists$ an open set E_g s.t. $g \in E_g \subseteq cl E_g \subseteq \beta_{\alpha(g)}$, but $cl E_g$ is regular closed for any $g \in X^*$, it follows $X^* = \bigcup_{g \in X} cl E_g = \bigcup_{\alpha(g) \in \Lambda} U_{\alpha(g)}$ and $\{E_g: g \in X\}$ is an S_{gs}^* -O.C. of X^* . Since X^* is $\dot{P}$ -compact, then $\{cl E_{gi}: i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is subfamily s.t. $X^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^n cl E_{gi} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \beta_{\alpha(g_i)}$. Therefore X^* is compact.

Proposition 17: If X^* be a s-regular -closed space, then X^* is $\dot{P}$ -compact

Proof: Let $\{Y_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be any S_{gs}^* -O.C. of X^* , then Y_α is semi-open for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Since X^* be a regular semi for each $m \in X^*$ and $Y_{\alpha(m)}$, then $\exists$ a semi-open set D_m s.t. $m \in D_m \subseteq scl D_m \subseteq Y_{\alpha(m)}$. So, the family $\{D_m: m \in X^*\}$ is a semi-O.C. of X^* . Since X^* is an s-closed space, then $\{D_{(mi)}: i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is subfamily s.t. $X^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^n scl D_{(mi)} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_{\alpha(m_i)}$. Therefore X^* is a $\dot{P}$ -compact.

Proposition 18: If $(X^*, \mathcal{J})$ is T_1 -space and S_{gs}^* -closed, then it is nearly compact.

Proof: Let $\{Z_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be O.C. of X^* . Then by Proposition (6), we have $int cl Z_\alpha = S_{gs}^* cl Z$ for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Since X is S_{gs}^* -closed space, $\exists$ a finite Λ_0 subset of Λ s.t. $X^* = \bigcup \{int cl Z_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}$. So X^* is nearly compact.

Proposition 19: Let $H: X^* \rightarrow Y^*$ be a surjective almost S_{gs}^* -continuous function. If X^* is $\dot{P}$ -compact, then Y^* is nearly compact.

Proof: Let $\{U_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be any regular O.C. of Y^* . Since H is almost S_{gs}^* -continuous function, then $H^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ is S_{gs}^* -open in X^* for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Since H is surjective, Then $H(X^*) = Y^* = \bigcup \{H^{-1}(U_\alpha): \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ and $\{H^{-1}(U_\alpha): \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is an S_{gs}^* -O.C. of X^* . Since X^* is S_{gs}^* -compact, then $\exists$ a finite $\Lambda_\circ$ subset of Λ s.t. $X^* = \bigcup \{H^{-1}(U_\alpha): \alpha \in \Lambda_\circ\}$. Then $Y^* = \bigcup \{U_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda_\circ\}$. After which Y^* is nearly compact.

Proposition 20: Let $d: X^* \rightarrow Y^*$ be a surjective S_{gs}^* -continuous function. If X^* is $\dot{P}$ -compact, then Y^* is compact.

Proof: First let $\{t_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be O.C. of Y^* . Since P^* is S_{gs}^* -continuous function, so by Definition (7), $d^{-1}(t_\alpha)$ is S_{gs}^* -open in X^* for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$. So that $\{t_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is S_{gs}^* -O.C. of X^* . As X^* is $\dot{P}$ -compact, then $\exists$ a finite $\Lambda_\circ$ subset of Λ s.t. $X^* = \bigcup \{d^{-1}(t_\alpha): \alpha \in \Lambda_\circ\}$, then $Y^* = d(X^*) = d(\bigcup \{d^{-1}(t_\alpha): \alpha \in \Lambda_\circ\}) = (\bigcup \{d(d^{-1}(t_\alpha)): \alpha \in \Lambda_\circ\}) = \bigcup \{t_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda_\circ\}$. As a result, Y^* is $\dot{P}$ -compact

Proposition 21: Let $h: X^* \rightarrow Y^*$ be a surjective S_{gs}^* -irresolute function. If X^* is semi-compact, then Y^* is $\dot{P}$ -compact.

Proof: Now let $\{U_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be any O.C. of Y^* , implies that $Y^* = \bigcup \{U_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$, since h is S_{gs}^* -irresolute function, then by Definition (1) $h(U_\alpha)$ is semi-open in X^* for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Since h is surjective, $X^* = h^{-1}(Y^*) = h^{-1}(\bigcup \{U_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}) = \bigcup \{h^{-1}(U_\alpha): \alpha \in \Lambda\}$. So $\{h^{-1}(U_\alpha): \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is an semi-O.C. of X^* . When X^* is semi-compact, therefore $\exists$ a finite $\Lambda_\circ$ subset of Λ s.t. $X^* = \bigcup \{h^{-1}(U_\alpha): \alpha \in \Lambda_\circ\}$. Then $X^* = h^{-1}(Y^*) = h(\bigcup \{h^{-1}(U_\alpha): \alpha \in \Lambda_\circ\}) = \bigcup \{h(h^{-1}(U_\alpha)): \alpha \in \Lambda_\circ\} = \bigcup \{U_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda_\circ\}$. Thus Y^* is $\dot{P}$ -compact

Definition 22: A subset T^* of a T.SP $(X^*, \mathcal{J})$ is called $\dot{P}$ -set, if for every cover $\{q_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of T^* by S_{gs}^* -O subsets of X^* , $\exists$ a finite subset $\Lambda_\circ$ of Λ s.t. $S^* \subseteq \bigcup \{q_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda_\circ\}$.

Definition 23: A subset V^* of a T.SP $(X^*, \mathcal{J})$ is called $\dot{P}$ -compact subspace if for every cover $\{U_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of V^* by S_{gs}^* -O subsets relative to V^* , $\exists$ a finite subset $\Lambda_\circ$ of Λ s.t. $V^* = \bigcup \{U_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda_\circ\}$.

Proposition 24: If every cover of B by gs^* -closed subset of X^* (resp., gs^* -closed subset of P) has a F.S.C., then U is $\dot{P}$ -set (resp. $\dot{P}$ -compact subspace)

Proof: Let $\{\hat{M}_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be any O.C. of B by gs^* -closed subset of X^* (resp., gs^* -closed subset of B), then for each $j \in X^*$ (resp., $j \in B$), $\exists \alpha \in \Lambda$, $j \in \hat{M}_{\alpha(j)}$ and $H^*_{\alpha(j)}$ is gs^* -closed subset of X^* s.t. $j \in H^*_{\alpha(j)} \subseteq \hat{M}_{\alpha(j)}$ and $\{H^*_{\alpha(j)}: j \in X\}$ is a cover of B by gs^* -closed subset of X^* (resp., gs^* -closed subset of B), the family has a F.S.C. s.t. $B \subseteq \{H^*_{\alpha(ji)}: i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \subseteq$

$\{\hat{M}_{\alpha(ji)}: i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Therefore $B \subseteq \cup \{\hat{M}_{\alpha(ji)}: i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ (resp., $P = \cup \{\hat{M}_{\alpha(ji)}: i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$). Thus B is $\hat{P}$ -set (resp. $\hat{P}$ -compact subspace)

Proposition 25: Let $K \subseteq X^*$ be a clopen set, K is $\hat{P}$ -compact subspace of X^* iff K is $\hat{P}$ -set.

Proof: Suppose that K is an $\hat{P}$ -set and $\{M_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be any O.C. of K with $M_\alpha \in S_{gs}^* O(K)$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Since K is clopen, then $M_\alpha \in S_{gs}^* O(X^*)$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$, also since K is an $\hat{P}$ -set, then $\exists$ a finite Λ_0 subset of Λ s.t. $K \subseteq \cup \{M_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}$, but $M_\alpha \in S_{gs}^* O(K)$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$, This gives $K = \cup \{M_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}$. Hence K is $\hat{P}$ -compact subspace.

Conversely, Let K be $\hat{P}$ -compact subspace of X^* and $\{M_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be any O.C. of K and $M_\alpha \in S_{gs}^* O(X^*)$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Since K is clopen, then by Proposition (5), $K \cap U_\alpha \in S_{gs}^* O(X)$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$, also since K is open, then $K \cap M_\alpha \in S_{gs}^* O(K)$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$, its follows that the family $\{K \cap U_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is O.C. of K by gs^* -closed subset of K . Since K is an $\hat{P}$ -compact subspace of X , then $\exists$ a finite Λ_0 subset of Λ s.t. $K = \cup \{K \cap M_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda_0\} \subseteq \cup \{M_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}$. Thus, K is an $\hat{P}$ -set.

Proposition 26: Let $D \subseteq K^*$ and $K^* \subseteq X^*$ be a clopen set. Then D is an $\hat{P}$ -set of X^* if and only if D is an $\hat{P}$ -set of K^*

Proof: First let $\{O_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be any O.C. of D . Since K^* is clopen, then by Proposition (5), for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$, then $\exists$ an S_{gs}^* -open set S_α of X^* s.t. $O_\alpha = S_\alpha \cap K^*$, Furthermore $D \subseteq \cup \{O_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\} = \cup \{S_\alpha \cap K^*: \alpha \in \Lambda\} \subseteq \cup \{S_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$. Then the family $\{S_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is a cover of D and S_α is an S_{gs}^* -O set of X^* . Since D is an $\hat{P}$ -set of X^* , $\exists$ finite Λ_0 subset of Λ s.t. $D \subseteq \cup \{S_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}$, since $D \subseteq K^*$, then $D \subseteq \cup \{S_\alpha \cap K^*: \alpha \in \Lambda_0\} \subseteq \cup \{O_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}$. Then D is an $\hat{P}$ -set of K^*

Conversely: Assuming that D is an $\hat{P}$ -set of K^* and $\{S_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}$ be O.C. of D where S_α is an $\hat{P}$ -set of X^* . Since $D \subseteq K^*$, then $D \subseteq \cup \{S_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\} \cap K^* = \{S_\alpha \cap K^*: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$. Since K^* is clopen, then then by Proposition (5), $\exists$ S_{gs}^* -open set O_α of K^* s.t. $O_\alpha = S_\alpha \cap K^*$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Then the family $\{O_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is a cover of D and O_α is an S_{gs}^* -open set of K^* . Since D is an S_{gs}^* -O set, $\exists$ finite Λ_0 subset of Λ s.t. $D \subseteq \cup \{O_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda_0\} \subseteq \{S_\alpha \cap K^*: \alpha \in \Lambda_0\} \subseteq \cup \{S_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}$. Thus, D is an $\hat{P}$ -set of X^* .

Proposition 27: Every proper S_{gs}^* -closed subset of a space X^* is $\hat{P}$ -set iff X^* is $\hat{P}$ -compact.

Proof: $\Rightarrow$ First let T be any proper S_{gs}^* -closed set of X^* , let $\{D_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be any O.C. of T . Since F is an S_{gs}^* -closed set, then $X^* - T$ is an S_{gs}^* -open set,

then the family $\{D_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\} \cup X^* - T$ is an S_{gs}^* -O.C. of T . Since X^* is $\tilde{P}$ -compact, $\exists$ a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ s.t. $X^* = \{D_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda_0\} \cup (X^* - T)$. We get $T \subseteq \{D_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}$. Hence F is an $\tilde{P}$ -set.

$\Leftarrow$ suppose that every proper S_{gs}^* -closed subset of X^* is an S_{gs}^* -set and let $\{D_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be any O.C. of X^* with $D_\alpha \in S_{gs}^* O(X^*)$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$, and $X^* \neq D_{\alpha_S} \neq \emptyset$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$, then $X^* - D_\alpha$ is a proper S_{gs}^* -closed subset of X^* . By hypothesis, $\exists$ a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ s.t. $X^* - D_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup \{D_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}$. Now, we obtain $X^* = \bigcup \{D_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda_0 \cup \{\alpha_S\}\}$. This gives X^* is $\tilde{P}$ -compact.

4. Conclusion

We created types for of compact spaces and closed spaces in our work. Additionally, by employing S_{gs}^* -open, we were able to derive a number of weak and strong relation of compact spaces and closed spaces. These relations, if defined, will be powerful formulas for the concepts of of $\tilde{P}$ -compact and $\tilde{P}$ -closed spaces, and they will directly relate to the compact's paces and closed spaces in subsequent research.

References

- [1] B. Abdullah, S. Askandar, and R. Balo, "h α -Open Sets in Topological Spaces," *J. Educ. Sci.*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 91–98 (2022), doi: 10.33899/edusj.2022.134241.1251.
- [2] A. B. AL-Nafee, A. Y. Shakir, and R. D. Ali, "New Soft Compact Spaces," *AIP Conf. Proc.*, vol. 2414, no. February (2023), doi: 10.1063/5.0114660.
- [3] S. S. Benchalli and P. G. Patil, "A New Class of Generalized Closed Sets in," vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 331–345 (2017).
- [4] D. E. Cameron, "PROPERTIES OF 5-CLOSED SPACES," vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 581–586 (1978).
- [5] J. Chakraborty, B. Das, P. Mahato, and B. Bhattacharya, "Unification of Generalized pre-regular closed Sets on Topological Spaces," *Iraqi J. Sci.*, vol. 64, no. 9, pp. 4557–4567 (2023), doi: 10.24996/ij.2023.64.9.21.
- [6] J. Dontchev, "Survey on preopen sets," pp. 1–18 (1998), [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/9810177>
- [7] J. Dontchev, M. Ganster, and T. Noiri, "ON p-CLOSED SPACES," vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 203–212 (2000).

- [8] A. I. El-Maghrabi and A. A. Nasef, "Between semi-closed and GS-closed sets," *J. Taibah Univ. Sci.*, vol. 2, no.1, pp. 78–87 (2009), doi: 10.1016/S1658-3655(12)60010-7.
- [9] M. Ganster, "Some Remarks on Strongly Compact Spaces and Semi Compact Spaces," vol. 2, no. 10, pp. 1–7 (1987).
- [10] F. H. Khedr, "on semi compact spaces," vol. 8, no. 2, July (1984).
- [11] A. M. S. Naief and H. J. Ali, "Nb-Compact and Nb-Lindelöf Spaces," *Iraqi J. Sci.*, vol. 64, no. 11, pp. 5756–5765 (2023), doi: 10.24996/ijsc.2023.64.11.23.
- [12] M. H. Page and V. T. Hosamath^K, "A View on Compactness and Connectedness in Topological Spaces," *J. Comput. Math. Sci.*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 1261–1268 (2019), doi: 10.29055/jcms/1114.
- [13] R. Rajeswari, S. Darathi, and D. Deva Margaret Helen, "Regular Strongly Compactness and Regular Strongly Connectedness in Topological Space," *Int. J. Eng. Res.*, vol. V9, no. 02, pp. 547–550 (2020), doi: 10.17577/ijertv9is020255
- [14] C. Ronse, "Regular open or closed sets," *Philips Res. Lab. Ser. Brussels*, vol. WD59, no. January, pp. 1–8 (1990).
- [15] J. Sanabria, E. Rosas, and C. Blanco, "Properties of nearly S-para-compact spaces," *Proyecciones*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 91–103 (2023), doi: 10.22199/issn.0717-6279-5482.
- [16] B. J. Tawfeeq, " S_{gs}^* -Continuous Functions and Almost - Continuous Functions in Topological Spaces," vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 123–139 (2016).
- [17] M. M. Abbood, H. H. Ebrahim, A. Al-Fayadh, and A. J. Obaid, "Measure defined on Γ -algebra and some of their generalizations," *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 821–827 (2023), doi: 10.47974/JIM-1502.

Received October, 2024