

Bi-nano topological spaces with grill bi-open sets

Rana H. Jasim *

Department of Mathematics

College of Computer Science and Mathematics

Tikrit University

Tikrit

Iraq

Rana B. Yaseen ⁺

Department of Mathematics

College of Education for Women

Tikrit University

Tikrit

Iraq

Ali A. Shihab [§]

Department of Mathematics

College Education for Pure Sciences

Tikrit University

Tikrit

Iraq

Abstract

The study introduces a new concept in Nano topological spaces is said Grill Bi-Nano topological spaces via studying three issues disjoint of each other rely on the number of equivalence relations for the universe set and its subset and also present definitions for the $GN_{1,2}$ -interior and $GN_{1,2}$ -closure of sets with this framework and we briefly discuss some characteristics of local functions.

Subject Classification: 18B30, 28C15.

Keywords: Grill bi-nano-topological space, Grill bi-nano interior, Grill bi-nano closure, Multi - Granular bi-nano topology new class of, Grill bi-nano closed sets.

* E-mail: rana.hazim@tu.edu.iq (Corresponding Author)

⁺ E-mail: zain2016@tu.edu.iq

[§] E-mail: draliabd@tu.edu.iq

1. Introduction

In (1947), Choquet [5] was the first who put forward the concept of Grills on a topological space. Since then, researchers have extensively studied grills and their applications in conjunction with separation axioms, compactness and connectedness all fundamental tools in general topology ([12, 13, etc.]). The year (2013) marked a significant development with the introduction of Nano topological spaces by Thivagar et al. [13] This concept, built upon the notion of Nano open sets, paved the way for Nano continuous function and its real-world applications [12,10,9,etc.] the exploration of Nano-related topologies continued with Bhanuvan Vari's definition of Nano Bi-topological spaces in (2016) [4], also saw the introduction of Multi-Granular Nano topological spaces by Thivagar [13] and Grill Nano generalized closed sets presented by Azzam [3]. In (2021), Abd El Fattah El Atik delved deeper into Grill Nano topological spaces, investigating of continuity functions [1]. The research remains active, as evidenced by Suliman and Esmaeel's work in (2022), which explored various topological spaces within the Grill setting and their applications([6]).Most recently, Ahmed et al presented a generalization of the semi-open set concept in Grill Nano spaces in (2023) [2], The concept's development progressed and it became linked to other concepts as evidenced by Esmaeel et al ([7]). In this paper devoted new styles of Grill Nano space is said to Grill Bi- $T_{\pi 1,2}(K)$ -spaces and the presented the concepts $GN_{1,2}$ -interior and $GN_{1,2}$ -closure and discussed their basic properties.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1: [5] A collection G of non-empty subsets of a topological space $\mathbb{H}$ is said to be a Grill if it is satisfying: 1. $B \in G$, If $A \in G$ and $A \subseteq B \subseteq \mathbb{H}$. 2. $A \in G$ or $B \in G$, if $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ & $A \cup B \in G$. Since $\mathbb{H} \neq \emptyset$ then the following families are Grill's on $\mathbb{H}$ [18]. So, $\emptyset$ and $P(\mathbb{H}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ are Grills on $\mathbb{H}$,

- $G_A = \{B : B \in P(\mathbb{H}), A \cap B^c = \emptyset\}$ and $G_e = \{B : B \in P(\mathbb{H}), e \in B\}$ is a specific pointe Grill on $\mathbb{H}$.(when $e \in \mathbb{H}$) and G_∞ all infinite subsets Grill of $\mathbb{H}$ (when $\mathbb{H}$ is infinite set).
- $G = \{A : \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \neq \emptyset\}$ is one kinds of Grill on $\mathbb{H}$ [5, 8].

A mapping $\phi: P(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow P(\mathbb{H})$ such that $\phi(A) = \{w \in \mathbb{H} : (A \cap U) \in G, \forall U \in T, w \in U\}, \forall A \in P(\mathbb{H})$ and $\Psi(A): P(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow P(\mathbb{H}) \ni \Psi(A) = A \cup \phi(A)$ is satisfy Kuratowski closure axioms [4].

Definition 2.2: [6] A special topology $T_G = \{U \subseteq \mathbb{H}, \Psi(\mathbb{H} - U) = (\mathbb{H} - U)\}$, when $\forall A \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ that corresponds to a grill G on $(\mathbb{H}, T)$, if $u \in T_G$ then u is G -open set. As the complement is G -closed set. Thus, $\Psi(A)$ is a closure of set (A) with respect to T_G and $T \subseteq T_G$.

Remarks 2.3: 1. $T_G = T$ only if $G = P(\mathbb{H}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ and $B(\mathbb{H}, T_G) = \{V - A; V \in T, A \notin G\}$ is basic on T_G [6]. 2. Every open sets is Grill-open sets [13].

Definition 2.2: [12] Suppose that $U \neq \emptyset$ is universe set and π is an equivalence relation on U , where $K \subseteq U$. The lower adducting of K for π is denoted by $\mathbb{L}_\pi(K)$, when $\mathbb{L}_\pi(K) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{\pi(x) : \pi(x) \subseteq K\}$. The upper adducting of K for π is denoted by $\mathbb{U}_\pi(K)$, when $\mathbb{U}_\pi(K) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{\pi(x) : \pi(x) \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$. Also $\mathbb{B}_\pi(K) = \mathbb{U}_\pi(K) - \mathbb{L}_\pi(K)$ is the boundary region of K for π .

Definition 2.5: [11] Let $U \neq \emptyset$ and π be an equivalence relation on U , $T_\pi(K) = \{U, \emptyset, \mathbb{L}_\pi(K), \mathbb{U}_\pi(K), \mathbb{B}_\pi(K)\}$ is Nano topology for U and the pair $(U, T_\pi(K))$ is Nano topological space. So, the elements of $T_\pi(K)$ are Nano-open sets (N-O).

Definition 2.6: [13] A space $(U, T_\pi(K))$ with a Grill is called Grill Nano topological space $(U, T_\pi(K), G)$ denoted by $GT_\pi(K)$, if $v \in GT_\pi(K)$ then v is Grill Nano-open set (GN-open set) and $(U - v)$ is said Grill Nano-closed set (GN-closed set). The operator $\phi_G(A, T_\pi) = \{v \in U : (A \cap v) \in G, \text{ for all } v \in T_\pi(K)\}$ is the local function associated with the Grill G and $T_\pi(K)$, so, $\Psi_G(A) = A \cup \phi_G(A) = CL_{GN}(A)$, where $CL_{GN}(A)$ is closure of set (A) with respect to $GT_\pi(K)$. So, Every N-O set is GN-open set.

3. On Grill Bi-Nano Topological Spaces

We submit define of Grill bi-nano topology relying on Grill bi-nano open set, also will be investigated defined multi-Granular bi-nano open sets.

Definition 3.1: Let $U \neq \emptyset$ universe set together two different Nano topology $T_{\pi_1}(K), T_{\pi_2}(K)$ on U , with respect to K and $(U, T_{\pi_{1,2}}(K))$ is bi-nano topological spaces briefly $(T_{\pi_{1,2}})$. Elements of the $T_{\pi_{1,2}}$ are bi-nano open sets $(N_{1,2} - o)$ and $(T_{\pi_{1,2}}(K))^c$ are called bi-nano closed sets.

Example 3.2: Let $U = \{n, m, \ell, k\}$, with $U/\pi = \{\{n\}, \{m, k\}, \{\ell\}\}$, where $K_1 = \{m, \ell\}$ and $K_2 = \{n\}$. We get $T_{\pi_1}(K) = \{U, \emptyset, \{\ell\}, \{m, k\}, \{m, \ell, k\}\}$ and $T_{\pi_2}(K) = \{U, \emptyset, \{n\}\}$, then Nano open sets = $\{U, \emptyset, \{\ell\}, \{m, k\}, \{m, \ell, k\}, \{n\}\}$, but not from a Nano topology because $\{m, k\} \cup \{n\} = \{m, k, n\}$ not in N-O sets. It is called consisting of $N_{1,2} - o$, fulfilling the conditions of a supremum space in Bi- Nano o topology $(T_{\pi_{1,2}} - \text{topology})$ on with respect to K , as $(U, T_{\pi_{1,2}}(K))$ is $T_{\pi_{1,2}}$.

Remark 3.3: we will discuss the following three cases and for the account Bi-Nano topology.

1-Let U / π be identicalness class on U and two subsets of U . We will prove this

Example 3.4: Suppose that $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $U / \pi = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}\}$ with

	$\mathbb{L}_\pi(\mathbf{K})$	$\mathbb{U}_\pi(\mathbf{K})$	$\mathbb{B}_\pi(\mathbf{K})$	$\mathbf{T}_\pi(\mathbf{K})$
$\mathbf{K}_1 = \{1\}$	$\emptyset$	$\{1,2\}$	$\{1,2\}$	$\{U, \emptyset, \{1,2\}\}$
$\mathbf{K}_2 = \{3,4\}$	$\{3,4\}$	$\{3,4\}$	$\emptyset$	$\{U, \emptyset, \{3,4\}\}$

Then $\mathbf{T}_{\pi_{1,2}}(\mathbf{K}) = \{U, \emptyset, \{1,2\}, \{3,4\}\}$.

2-Using U/π two equivalence class defined on U and two subsets of U .

Example 3.5: $U/\pi_1 = \{\{1\}, \{2,4\}, \{3\}\}$ and $U/\pi_2 = \{\{2\}, \{1,4\}, \{3\}\}$ on U ,

	$\mathbf{K}_{B=1,2}$	$\mathbb{L}_\pi(\mathbf{K})$	$\mathbb{U}_\pi(\mathbf{K})$	$\mathbb{B}_\pi(\mathbf{K})$	$\mathbf{T}_\pi(x)$
U/π_1	$\{2,3\}$	$\{3\}$	$\{2,3,4\}$	$\{2,4\}$	$\{U, \emptyset, \{3\}, \{2,4\}, \{2,3,4\}\}$
U/π_2	$\{2,4\}$	$\{2\}$	$\{1,2,4\}$	$\{1,4\}$	$\{U, \emptyset, \{2\}, \{1,4\}, \{1,2,4\}\}$

$\mathbf{T}_{\pi_{1,2}}\{U, \emptyset, \{2,3\}, \{1,2,4\}, \{3,4\}, \{1,3,4\}, \{2,3,4\}, \{1,2,4\}, \{3\}, \{2\}, \{4\}, \{1,4\}, \{2,4\}\}$

3. Using U/π two equivalence class defined on U and one subsets of U .

Example 3.6: Let $U = \{1,2,3,4,5\}$, $K = \{3,4\}$, so $U/\pi_1 = \{\{1,2\}, \{3\}, \{4,5\}\}$ and $U/\pi_2 = \{\{1,3\}, \{2,4\}, \{5\}\}$, $N_{1,2} - o = \{U, \emptyset, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{4,5\}, \{3,4\}, \{3,4,5\}, \{1,2,3,4\}\}$

	U/π_1	U/π_2
$\mathbb{L}_{\pi_B}(\mathbf{K})$	$\{3\}$	$\emptyset$
$\mathbb{U}_{\pi_B}(\mathbf{K})$	$\{3,4,5\}$	$\{1,2,3,4\}$
$\mathbb{B}_{\pi_B}(\mathbf{K})$	$\{4,5\}$	$\{1,2,3,4\}$

By adding condition for the adducting of the third issues by multiple equivalence relation in the universe set, is a obtained the multi -Granular bi-nano topological spaces that is named as $\mathcal{MG}-\pi$.

Definition 3.7: Let $U \neq \emptyset$ be universe set and any two equivalence relations on U , where $K \subseteq U$. so, $\mathbb{L}_{\pi_{1,2}}(k) = \cup_{x \in U} \{[x]: \pi_1(x) \subseteq k \text{ or } \pi_2(k) \subseteq K\}$ is Multi-lower adducting of K for $\pi_{1,2}$. And $\mathbb{U}_{\pi_{1,2}}(K) = \cup_{x \in U} \{[x]: \pi_1(K) \cap K \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \pi_2(K) \cap k \neq \emptyset\}$ is Multi- upper adducting of K for $\pi_{1,2}$ when $\mathbb{B}_{\pi_{1,2}}(K) = \mathbb{U}_{\pi_{1,2}}(K) - \mathbb{L}_{\pi_{1,2}}(K)$ is Multi- bounds area of K for $\pi_{1,2}$. From example (3.6), hence $\mathbf{T}_{\pi_{1,2}}(K) = \{U, \emptyset, \{3\}, \{3,4\}, \{4\}\}$.

Remark 3.8: It is noted these issues are independent in terms of fundamental formation of $\mathbf{T}_{\pi_{1,2}}$. Now Grill concept is used with the bi-nano topology concept to credit the $(U, \mathbf{T}_{\pi_{1,2}}(K), G)$ is called Grill bi-nano topological spaces. Then $\phi_G^\# : P(U) \rightarrow P(U)$ is defined as follows: $\phi_G^\#(A) = \phi_G^\#(A, \mathbf{T}_{\pi_{1,2}}) = \{y \in U : (A \cap V) \in G, \forall V \in \mathbf{T}_{\pi_{1,2}}(K), A \in P(U)\}$ is “the local function associated with grill G ” and $\mathbf{T}_{\pi_{1,2}}$. Also, the map $\Psi_G^\# : P(U) \rightarrow P(U)$ such that $\Psi_G^\#(A) = A \cup \phi_G^\#(A), \forall A \subseteq U$.

Properties 3.9: Let $(U, T_{\pi 1,2}(K))$ be a bi-nano topological spaces. “then the satisfies: If G is any Grill on U , then $\phi_G^\#$ is an growing function in the logic that $Q \subseteq S$ indicates $\phi_G^\#(Q, T_{\pi 1,2}) \subseteq \phi_G^\#(S, T_{\pi 1,2})$ and any Grill G on U , if $Q \notin G$ then $\phi_G^\#(Q, T_{\pi 1,2}) = \emptyset$.

- “ $\phi_G^\#(A) \cup \phi_G^\#(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \phi_G^\#(A \cup \mathcal{H})$ ”.
- “ $\phi_G^\#(\phi_G^\#(A)) \subseteq Cl_{T_{\pi 1,2}}(\phi_G^\#(A)) = \phi_G^\#(A)$ ”.

Definition 3.10: A special topology $GT_{\pi 1,2} = \{V \subseteq U, \Psi^\#(U-V) = (U-V)\}$, when for any $A \subseteq U$ that corresponds to a grill on $(U, T_{\pi 1,2})$, if $v \in GT_{\pi 1,2}$ then v is $GN_{1,2}$ –open set and the complement is said $GN_{1,2}$ –closed set, so the family of all $(GN_{1,2}-O)$ $(GN_{1,2}-C)$ subset of $T_{\pi 1,2}(K)$, will be denoted by $GN_{1,2}-O(U)$ $(GN_{1,2}-C(U))$.

Theorem 3.11: Let $(U, T_{\pi 1,2}(K), G)$ be $GT_{\pi 1,2}(K)$, then the collection $\beta(G, T_{\pi 1,2}) = \{Y - A : Y \in T_{\pi 1,2}, A \notin G\}$ is Nano open basis for $GT_{\pi 1,2}$.

Proof: Since $w \in GT_{\pi 1,2}(K)$ and $\in w$, then $Y \setminus w$ is $GN_{1,2}$ -closed, $\Psi^\#_G(Y \setminus w) = (Y \setminus w)$ and $\phi_G^\#(Y \setminus w) \subseteq Y \setminus w$, so, $x \in \phi_G^\#(Y \setminus w)$ and there exist $Y \in T_{\pi 1,2}(K) \ni (Y \setminus w) \cap Y \notin G$. Let $A = (Y \setminus w) \cap Y \rightarrow x \notin A \wedge A \notin G$. Thus $x \in Y \setminus A = Y - (Y \setminus w) \cap Y = Y - (Y \setminus w) \subseteq Y$, where $Y - A \in \beta(G, T_{\pi 1,2})$.

Definition 3.12: $GN_{1,2}$ – internal of Q of $GT_{\pi 1,2}(K)$ is the combination of entirely $GN_{1,2} - O$ subset contained in Q , denoted by $IGN_{1,2}(Q)$ that is $IGN_{1,2}(Q)$ is the largest $GN_{1,2} - O$ sub set of Q . Also, “ $GN_{1,2}$ – closure of Q of $GT_{\pi 1,2}(K)$ is the intersection of all $GN_{1,2} - C$ ” sets containing by $(cl_{GN_{1,2}}(Q))$ is the smallest $GN_{1,2}$ -closet set containing Q .

Theorem 3.13: A space $(U, T_{\pi 1,2}(K), G)$ and $T_{\pi 1,2} \subseteq \beta(G, T_{\pi 1,2}) \subseteq GT_{\pi 1,2}$ & $T_{\pi 1,2} = \beta(G, T_{\pi 1,2}) = GT_{\pi 1,2}$ only if $G = P(U) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$.

Remark 3.14: We calculate a Grill bi-nano topological space, through Discussing three independent cases with Grill open sets.

1. From (3.4), we get $T_{\pi 1,2}(K) = \{U, \emptyset, \{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}\}$ with $G_{u_2} = \{U \subseteq U, u_2 \in U\}$, Thus $\beta(G, T_{\pi 1,2}) = U, \emptyset, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{2, 4\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, \{3, 4\}$ $GT_{\pi 1,2}(K) = \{U, \emptyset, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}\}$
2. From the example (3.5), we get $GT_{\pi 1,2}(K) = \{U, \emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 4\}, \{2, 3\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$.
3. From (3.6), we get $T_{\pi 1,2}(K) = \{U, \emptyset, \{3\}, \{3, 4\}, \{4\}\}$, with G_{u_2} and let $\beta(G, T_{\pi 1,2}) = \{U, \emptyset, \{3\}, \{2\}, \{4\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}\}$,

we $GT_{\pi 1,2}(K) = \{U, \emptyset, \{3\}, \{2\}, \{4\}, \{1,2\}, \{2,3\}, \{2,4\}, \{3,4\}, \{1,2,3\}, \{1,2,4\}, \{2,3,4\}\}$.

Proposition 3.15: Let $(U, T_{\pi 1,2}(K), G)$ be $GT_{\pi 1,2}$. If $m_j \in GT_{\pi 1,2}$, then $m_j \cap \phi_G^\#(A) = m_j \cap \phi_G^\#(m_j \cap A)$, any $A \subseteq U$.

Theorems 3.16: A subset A of $GT_{\pi 1,2}(K)$, the statements are correct;

1. If $T_{\pi 1,2} - \{\emptyset\} \subseteq G$, then $\forall N_{1,2} - o$ is $GN_{1,2}$ -open.
2. If $A \subseteq U$ is $GN_{1,2}$ -open set and $GN_{1,2}$ -closed, then A is $GN_{1,2}$ -open.
3. If $A \subseteq U$, is $GN_{1,2}$ -Closed then $\phi_G^\#(N_{1,2} - I(A)) \subseteq N_{1,2} - C(N_{1,2} - I(A)) \subseteq A$.

Proof:

1. Let A be a $N_{1,2}$ -open set and $A = GN_{1,2} - I(A) \subseteq GN_{1,2} - I(\emptyset_G^*(A))$.
2. Since A is $GN_{1,2} - C$, $A = GN_{1,2} - C(A) = A \cup \phi_G^\#(A) \Rightarrow \phi_G^\#(A) \subseteq A$ and since A is $GN_{1,2} - o$, $A \subseteq GN_{1,2} - I(\phi_G^\#(A)) \subseteq GN_{1,2} - I(A)$. Thus $A = GN_{1,2} - I(A)$.
3. Assume A is $GN_{1,2}$ -closed, $U - A$ is $GN_{1,2} - o$, $U - A \subseteq GN_{1,2} - I(\phi_G^\#(U - A)) \subseteq \phi_G^\#(U - A)$. By proposition (3.15), hence $\phi_G^\#(U - A) = GN_{1,2} - C(U - A) = U - GN_{1,2} - I(A)$, now $U - A \subseteq GN_{1,2} - I(\phi_G^\#(U - A)) \subseteq GN_{1,2} - I(U - GN_{1,2} - I(A)) \subseteq U - GN_{1,2} - C(GN_{1,2} - I(A))$. Thus $clo GN_{1,2}(GN_{1,2} - int I(A)) \subseteq A$, also $\phi_G^\#(GN_{1,2} - I(A)) \subseteq GN_{1,2} - C(GN_{1,2} - I(A)) \subseteq GN_{1,2} - C(GN_{1,2} - I(A)) \subseteq A$.

Remark 3.17: Notice that $GN_{1,2}$ -open sets need not necessarily from a bi-nano topology. As the example (3.5) and every Grill – Nano open set is Grill Bi-Nano open set. But the convers is not true. As the example (3.5).

4. Discussion and Conclusion

Grill bi-nano topological present via three different Nano topology together with a Grill. It is noted this case are independent of terms of structural creation of $T_{\pi 1,2}$. Also, by adding some condition approximations of Nano topology in the third issues. Hence new $GT_{\pi 1,2}(K)$ and called $\mathcal{MG} - \pi$ spaces. And $G-o$ set is $GN_{1,2}$ -open set, in particular if $G = P(U) / \{\emptyset\}$ then $T_{\pi 1,2} = \beta(G, T_{\pi 1,2}) = GT_{\pi 1,2}$.

References

- [1] A. Abd El Fattah El Atik, "On grill nano topological space and its related nano continuity," *Journal of Physics* (2021).

- [2] A. Sh. M. Ahmed and R. B. Esmaeel, "On semi-open sets in grill nano topological spaces," *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics* (Jul. 2023).
- [3] A. A. Azzam, "Grill nano topological spaces with grill nano generalized closed set," *Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 164-166 (2017).
- [4] K. Bhuvaneswari and H. R. Banu, "On nano (1,2)*-generalized α -closed sets in nano bi-topological space," *International Journal of Science and Research*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 1292-1295 (2016).
- [5] G. Choquet, "Sur les notions de filter et grill," *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris*, vol. 224, pp. 171-173 (1947).
- [6] R. B. Esmaeel and M. O. Mustafa, "Separation axioms with grill-topological open set," *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1879, no. 2, p. 022107 (May 2021).
- [7] R. B. Esmaeel and S. S. Suliman, "On some new types of nano topological spaces and their applications," *Journal of Advanced Research in Dynamical and Control Systems*, vol. 14, Special Issue 10, pp. 1441-1454 (2022).
- [8] E. Hatir and S. Jafari, "On some new classes of sets and a new decomposition of continuity via grills," *Journal of Advanced Mathematical Studies*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 33-41 (2010).
- [9] I. Furxhi, A. G. Lynch, S. T. Howard, M. J. Harper, M. Zabeo, and T. Puzyn, "Artificial augmented dataset for the enhancement of nano-QSARs models: A methodology based on topological projections," *Nanotoxicology*, vol. 17, no. 6-7, pp. 758-774 (Oct. 2023).
- [10] S. Ghobadi, M. Ghods, and M. Ghorbani Nejad, "Hyper and multiple f-index of nano star dendrimers," *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, vol. 26, no. 6 (May 2022).
- [11] M. L. Thivagar and C. Richard, "On nano continuity," *Mathematical Theory and Modeling*, vol. 3, no. 7, pp. 32-37 (2013).
- [12] M. L. Thivagar and V. S. Devi, "Computing technique for recruitment process via nano topology," *Sohag Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 37-45 (2016).
- [13] N. Taheri and A. K. K. Kaleel, "Symbolic dynamics and topological complexity in discrete dynamical systems," *Babylonian Journal of Mathematics*, pp. 66-71 (2023).

Received October, 2024