

## **Phantom set in grill topological spaces and illumination of their properties**

Elaf Ali Sfook \*

*Department of Mathematics  
College of Education for Women  
Tikrit University  
Tikrit  
Iraq*

Luay A. Al-Swidi <sup>†</sup>

*Department of Mathematics  
College of Education for Pure Sciences  
University of Babylon  
Babylon  
Iraq*

---

### **Abstract**

The first intervention in the current study is the concept of  $\bowtie$ -operator, which the researcher defined using the concept of  $\Phi_\Gamma$ -operator and  $\Gamma_\Gamma$ -operator in grill topological spaces, in addition this concept has important properties and it has impact on the tool with which it is defined it. On the other hand, through the definition of the phantom set with its mathematical properties, the necessary and sufficient condition is obtained for the closed of  $\Gamma_\Gamma$ -operator under the influence of finite unions.

---

**Subject Classification (2020):** 54Fxx, 06B30, 06F30, 18F60.

**Keywords:**  $\Phi_\Gamma$ -operator,  $\Gamma_\Gamma$ -operator,  $\bowtie$ -operator and phantom set.

---

\* ORCID-ID: 0009-0004-3589-9375

<sup>†</sup> ORCID-ID: 0000-0001-5641-1616

---

\* E-mail: elaf.ali23@st.tu.edu.iq (Corresponding Author)

<sup>†</sup> E-mail: pure.leal.abd@uobabylon.edu.iq

## 1. Introduction

In the current study, a new concept is constructed and its topological implication are determined using an old mathematical concept. This concept is the grill identified in 1947[6]. In 2007 [5], Roy defined the  $\Phi_f$ -operator, which is the local function using a grill, but in 2008 Roy [4] defined a new operator ( $\Gamma_f$ -operator) using the first concept, giving its algebraic and topological properties with example. The local function on the ideal topological spaces[1] on proximity topological spaces[2] on  $i$ -topological proximity space[3], and other spaces, have been of focus for many researchers, also  $Y_f^\tau$  mean the topological space and a grill topological space (simply GTS) respectively. The notion  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $\text{int}(\mathcal{B})$  are the closure and interior with respect to topology  $\tau$ , respectively [7].

## 2. Preliminaries

The concept of  $\Phi_f$  operator and  $\Gamma_f$ -operator and their characteristic are needed in this work.

**Definition 2.1:** [5] Let  $Y_f^\tau$  be a GTS, the operator  $\Phi_f: P(Y) \rightarrow P(Y)$ , is defined by  $\Phi_f(A) = \Phi_f(A, \tau) = \{Y \in Y, A \cap B \in \mathcal{G} \forall B \in \tau(Y)\}$ . Where,  $P(Y)$  is power sets and  $\tau(\mathfrak{r}) = \{B \in \tau, \mathfrak{r} \in B\}$ . The topology  $\tau_g$  on  $Y$  with respect to grill  $f$  defined by  $\tau_f = \{A \subseteq Y: \Psi_f(Y \setminus A) = Y \setminus A\}$ , when  $\Psi_f(A) = A \cup \Phi_f(A)$  and  $\tau_f$  is finer than  $\tau$ . Also we see that  $\Phi_f(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  and if  $A_1 \subseteq A_2$ , then  $\Phi_f(A_1) \subseteq \Phi_f(A_2)$ .

There are many properties of  $\Phi_f$ -operator, as in the following Theorem.

**Theorem 2.2:** [5] For any subset  $A, B, N$  of GTS  $Y_f^\tau$ ,

- 1) (i)  $\Phi_f(B \cup N) = \Phi_f(B) \cup \Phi_f(N)$ ,  
(ii)  $\Phi_f(\Phi_f(B)) \subseteq \Phi_f(B) = \text{cl}(\Phi_f(B)) \subseteq \text{cl}(B)$
- 2) If  $B \notin f$ , then  $\Phi_f(N) = \Phi_f(N \setminus B) = \Phi_f(N \cup B)$ .
- 3) If  $B \notin f$ , then  $\Phi_f(B) = \emptyset$  5) If  $A \in \tau$ , then  $A \cap \Phi_f(B) = A \cap \Phi_f(A \cap B)$
- 4)  $\Phi_f(B \setminus N) \setminus \Phi_f(N) = \Phi_f(B) \setminus \Phi_f(N)$  6) If  $\tau \setminus \{\emptyset\} \subseteq f$ , then for all  $A \in \tau, A \subseteq \Phi_f(A)$

**Definition 2.3:** [4] Let  $Y_f^\tau$  be a GTS, the operator  $\Gamma_f: P(Y) \rightarrow P(Y)$ , given by

$$\Gamma_f(B) = Y \setminus \Phi_f(Y \setminus B) = \{x \in Y; \exists N \in \tau(x) \ni N \cap Y \setminus B \notin f\}$$

**Example 2.4:** Let  $Y = \{Y, z, \mathfrak{r}\}$   $\tau = \{\emptyset, Y, \{Y\}, \{z, \mathfrak{r}\}\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, Y, \{Y\}, \{z, \mathfrak{r}\}\}$   
 $f = \{Y, \{Y\}, \{\mathfrak{r}\}, \{Y, \mathfrak{r}\}, \{Y, z\}, \{z, \mathfrak{r}\}\}$ , then  $\Gamma_f(\{z, \mathfrak{r}\}) = Y \setminus \Phi_f(Y \setminus \{Y\}) = \{z, \mathfrak{r}\}$

**Note 2.5:** [4] From Theorem 2.2,  $\Gamma_f \in \tau$  and  $\Gamma_f(B) \subseteq \Gamma_f(N)$  for  $B \subseteq N$ ,  $\Gamma_f(Y) = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 2.6:** [4] Let  $A, N, B$  subset of GTS  $Y_f^\tau$

1. If  $A \in \tau$ , then  $A \subseteq \Gamma_f(A)$

2. If  $H \notin \mathcal{I}$  (i)  $\Gamma_f(H) = Y \setminus \Phi_f(Y)$ , (ii)  $\Gamma_f(N) = \Gamma_f(N \setminus B) = \Gamma_f(N \cup B)$
3.  $\Gamma_f(B \cap N) = \Gamma_f(B) \cap \Gamma_f(N)$
4.  $\tau_f - \text{int}(B) = B \cap \Gamma_f(B)$ .
5.  $\Gamma_f(B) \cup \Gamma_f(N) \subseteq \Gamma_f(B \cup N)$

**Theorem 2.7:** [4] *The following are equivalent, for any  $Y_f^T$*

1.  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$
2.  $\tau_f(\emptyset) = \emptyset$
3. If  $Y \setminus N \in \tau$ , Then  $\Gamma_f(N) \setminus N = \emptyset$
4. If  $B \in P(Y)$ , then  $\text{int}(\text{cl}(Y)) = \Gamma_f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(Y)))$
5. If  $Y = \text{int}(\text{cl}(B))$ , then  $\Gamma_f(B) = B$
6. If  $A \in \tau$ , then  $\Gamma_f(A) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \subseteq \Phi_f(A)$

### 3. The Phantom Set And $\bowtie$ -operator

New and interrelated concepts are highlighted in this study along with their characteristics and relationships.

**Definition 3.1:** The  $\bowtie$ -operator of any subset  $A$  OF  $Y_f^T$ , we defined by  $\bowtie(A) = A \cup \Gamma_f(Y \setminus A)$

**Example 3.2:** Let  $(R, U)$  be usual topology, let  $r$  be any real number,  $\mathcal{I}_r = \{N \subseteq R; r \in N\}$  and  $A \subseteq R$  then  $\bowtie(A) = R \setminus \{r\}$ , if  $r \in A$ , and  $\bowtie(A) = R$ , if  $r \notin A$ .

#### Remark 3.3:

1. For any  $Y_f^T$ ,  $\bowtie(Y) = \bowtie(\emptyset) = Y$ , also  $\bowtie(A) = Y \setminus \Phi_f(A) \setminus A$ . For any  $A \subseteq Y$ .
2.  $\bowtie(B) \cap \bowtie(Y \setminus B) = Y \setminus \Phi_f(B) \cup \Gamma_f(Y \setminus B) \setminus B \cup [\Gamma_f(B) \cap B]$
3. For any  $A \subseteq Y$ ,  $\bowtie(A) \neq \bowtie(Y \setminus A)$ , if we take  $Y = \{Y, z, r\}$ ,  $\mathcal{I} = \{\{z\}, \{r\}, \{Y, z\}, \{Y, r\}, \{z, r\}, Y\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, Y, \{Y, z\}\}$ , then  $\bowtie(\{z, r\}) = Y$  and  $\bowtie(Y \setminus \{z, r\}) = \emptyset$ .
4.  $\bowtie(\Phi_f(A)) = Y$ , for any  $A$  in  $Y_f^T$  also  $\bowtie(A) \cup \bowtie(Y \setminus A) = Y$ ,
5. If  $\bowtie(A)$  is a non-empty set, for any  $A$  in  $Y_f^T$ , then  $\exists Y \ni \{Y\} \in \mathcal{I}$
6. For any closed set  $F$ ,  $\bowtie(F) = Y$ ,
7. If  $A$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -dense iif  $\Phi_f(A) = Y$ . Then  $\bowtie(A) = A$ . Also for any  $A \notin \mathcal{I}$ , then we have  $\bowtie(A) = Y$ .

**Proposition 3.4:** For any  $Y_f^T$  GTS, and  $A, N$  are subset of  $Y$ , the following is true.

1.  $\ltimes (A \cup N) = [A \cup \ltimes (N)] \cap [N \cup \ltimes (A)],$
2.  $Y \setminus \ltimes (A \cap N) \subseteq [\ltimes (N) \setminus \ltimes (A)] \cup [\Phi_f(A) \setminus \ltimes (N)]$  or  
 $\ltimes (A \cap N) \supseteq [\ltimes (N) \cup \Gamma_f(Y \setminus A)] \cap [\ltimes (N) \cup \Gamma_f(Y \setminus N)],$
3.  $\ltimes (\ltimes (A)) = \ltimes (A).$

**Proof:** (3).  $\ltimes (\ltimes (A)) = \ltimes [Y \setminus (Y \setminus A \cap \Phi_f(A))] = Y \setminus [\Phi_f(Y \setminus [\Phi_f(A) \cap Y \setminus A])] \cap Y \setminus Y(\Phi_f(A) \cap Y \setminus A) = Y \setminus [\Phi_f(Y \setminus \Phi_f(A) \cup \Phi_f(A)) \cup Y \setminus \Phi_f(A) \cup A = [Y \setminus \Phi_f(Y \setminus \Phi_f(A)) \cap Y \setminus \Phi_f(A)] \cup Y \setminus \Phi_f(A) \cup A = Y[\Phi_f(A) \cap Y \setminus A] = \ltimes (A).$

**Proposition 3.5:** For any subset  $A$  of  $Y_f^\tau$ , then the following is true

$$1) \tau_f - \text{ext}(A) = Y \setminus [\pi(A) \cap A] \cap \Gamma_f(Y \setminus A) \quad 2) \tau_f - \text{ext}(A) = \ltimes (A) \cap \tau_f - \text{ext}(A)$$

**Proof:** (1). From Theorem 2.6(4), we have  $\tau_f - \text{ext}(A) = \tau_f - \text{int}(Y \setminus A) = Y \setminus A \cap \Gamma_f(Y \setminus A) \dots 3-1$

$$\text{Now, } X \setminus Y(\ltimes (A) \cap A) \cap \Gamma_f(Y \setminus A) = \Gamma_f(Y \setminus A) \cap [Y \setminus (A \cup \Gamma_f(Y \setminus A)) \cup Y \setminus A] = \Gamma_f(Y \setminus A) \cap [(Y \setminus A \cap Y \setminus \Gamma_f(Y \setminus A)) \cap Y \setminus A] = \Gamma_f(Y \setminus A) \cap Y \setminus A \dots 3-2$$

From equation 3-1 and 3-2, complete the proof.

**Theorem 3.6:** For any  $N$  in  $Y_f^\tau$  and  $B \in \tau$ , the following is true.

$$1) B \subseteq [\ltimes (A) \cup \Phi_g(B \cap A)] \quad 2) \text{ If } B \cap A \in \mathcal{I}, \text{ then } \ltimes (A) = A$$

**Proof:** (2) First we must prove that  $\Gamma_f(Y \setminus A) = \emptyset$  iff  $\forall \emptyset \neq N \in \tau, N \cap A \in \mathcal{I}$ .

Assume  $\Gamma_f(Y \setminus A) = \emptyset$  if possible  $\exists \emptyset \neq B \in \tau \ni B \cap A \notin \mathcal{I}$ , so for any  $r \in B, r \notin \Phi_f(A) = Y \setminus \Gamma_f(Y \setminus A)$ , which contradiction the assumption. Conversely, if possible  $\Gamma_f(Y \setminus A) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \exists r \notin \Phi_f(A)$ , so  $\exists U \in \tau(r) \ni U \cap A \notin \mathcal{I}$  which is also contradiction. Now we have for any  $B \in \tau, B \cap A \in \mathcal{G}$ , then  $\Gamma_f(Y \setminus A) = \emptyset$ , but by Definition 3.1 and Remark 3.2, we get  $\ltimes (A) = A$ .

**Definition 3.7:** For any given grill topological space  $Y_f^\tau$ , the operator  $\text{Ph}: P(Y) \rightarrow P(Y)$  is defined by  $\text{Ph}(A) = \Gamma_f(A) \cup \Gamma_f(Y \setminus A)$  and is called phantom of the set  $A$ .

From example 2.2,  $\text{Ph}(A) = R$ , for any  $A \subseteq R$ , and for example the Remark 2.3(3),  $\text{Ph}(\{Y, r\}) = \{Y, z\}$

**Remark 3.8:**

1.  $Y \setminus \text{Bd}_{\tau_f}(A) = \kappa(A) \cap \kappa(Y \setminus A) \cap \text{Ph}(A)$ , for any  $A \subseteq Y$  (when  $\text{Bd}_{\tau_f}(Y)$  is the boundary of  $A$  with respect to topology  $\tau_f$ )
2. For any  $A \subseteq Y$ ,  $\text{Ph}(Y) = \text{Ph}(Y \setminus A)$
3. Through Theorem 2.2 and 2.7  $\text{Ph}(Y) = \text{Ph}(\emptyset) = Y$  also  $\text{Ph}(A) \in \tau$
4. Among the common properties associated with  $\Phi_f$  and  $\Gamma_f$ , the following relationship are true: (i)  $\text{Ph}(B) \subseteq \Gamma_f(\text{Ph}(B))$  and  $\text{Ph}(B) \subseteq \text{Ph}(\text{Ph}(B))$ , (ii)  $\text{Ph}(B) \cap \Phi_f(A) \subseteq \Phi_f[A \cap \Gamma_f(B)] \cup \Phi_f[A \cap \Gamma_f(A \setminus B)]$
5. If  $B$  is  $f$ -dense, then  $\text{Ph}(B) = \Gamma_g(B)$
6. For any closed set  $B$  and  $\tau \setminus \{\emptyset\} \subseteq f$ , then  $\text{Ph}(B) \subseteq \kappa(Y \setminus B)$ .

**Proposition 3.9:** For any  $Y_C^\tau, B \subseteq Y$ , then the following relationship are true

1. (i)  $\text{Ph}(B) \cup \kappa(B) = \kappa(B) \cup \Gamma_f(B)$ ,  
(ii)  $\text{Ph}(B) \cup \kappa(Y \setminus B) = \kappa(Y \setminus B) \cup \Gamma_f(Y \setminus B)$
2. (i)  $\text{Ph}(B) \cap \kappa(B) = \text{Ph}(B) \setminus B \cup \Gamma_f(B)$ ,  
(ii)  $\text{Ph}(B) \cap \kappa(Y \setminus B) = \text{Ph}(B) \setminus B \cup \Gamma_f(Y \setminus B)$ .

An important property of the phantom set is as shown in the following Theorem :

**Theorem 3.10:** Let  $\tau \setminus \{\emptyset\} \subseteq f$ , then the following relationship are true

1.  $\text{Ph}(B) = Y$  iff  $\Phi_f(B) = \Gamma_f(B)$
2.  $\Phi_f(B) \cap \text{Ph}(B) = \Gamma_f(B)$
3.  $\text{Ph}(B) \subseteq \kappa(Y \setminus B)$ , for  $Y \setminus B \in \tau$

**Proof:** (3) First, we shall prove that  $\Gamma_f(B) \subseteq \Phi_f(B)$ , let  $x \in \Gamma_f(B) \Rightarrow \exists A \in \tau(x)$

$\exists A \cap Y \setminus B \notin f$ ...3-3, if possible that  $x \notin \Phi_f(B) \Rightarrow \exists N \in \tau(x) \ni B \cap N \notin f$ ...3-4 put  $A = A \cap N \in \tau(x)$ , and from 3-3 and 3-4, we have  $\emptyset \neq A = A \cap (B \cup Y \setminus B) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap Y \setminus B) \notin f$ , which contradiction the assumption. Now  $\Phi_f(B) \cap \text{Ph}(B) = \Phi_f(B) \cap [\Gamma_f(B) \cup \Gamma_f(Y \setminus B)] = \Phi_f(B) \cap \Gamma_f(B) \cup \Phi_f(B) \cap \Gamma_f(Y \setminus B) = \Gamma_f(B)$ .

### The main Theorem

**Theorem 3.11:** Let  $\tau \setminus \{\emptyset\} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ , then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $\text{Ph}(\mathcal{B}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{N}) = \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{Y} \setminus \mathcal{B})$  and  $\text{Ph}(\mathcal{N}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{B}) = \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{Y} \setminus \mathcal{N})$
- (b)  $\text{Ph}(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N}) = [\text{Ph}(\mathcal{B}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{N})] \cap [\text{Ph}(\mathcal{N}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B})]$
- (c)  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N}) = \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{N})$

**Proof:**  $a \rightarrow b$ .  $[\text{Ph}(\mathcal{B}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{N})] \cap [\text{Ph}(\mathcal{N}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B})] = [\Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{Y} \setminus \mathcal{B}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N}) \cap [\Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{Y} \setminus \mathcal{N}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N})]] = \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{Y} \setminus (\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N})) = \text{Ph}(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N})$

$b \rightarrow c$ .  $[\text{Ph}(\mathcal{B}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{N})] \cap [\text{Ph}(\mathcal{N}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B})] = [\Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{Y} \setminus \mathcal{B}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{N})] \cap [\Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{N}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{Y} \setminus \mathcal{N}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B})] = [\Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{N})] \cup [\Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{Y} \setminus \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{Y} \setminus \mathcal{N})] = (\Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{N})) \cup (\mathcal{Y} \setminus \Phi_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N}) \cup [\Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{N})])$

Now from Theorem 3.10(3), we have  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N}) = \Phi_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N}) \cap \text{Ph}(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N}) = \Phi_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N}) \cap [(\mathcal{Y} \setminus \Phi_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{N}))] = \Phi_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N}) \cap [\Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{N})] = \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{N})$

$c \rightarrow a$ .  $\text{Ph}(\mathcal{B}) \cup \text{Ph}(\mathcal{N}) = \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{Y} \setminus \mathcal{B}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{N}) = \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N}) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{Y} \setminus \mathcal{B})$   
from (c)

Similarly, we can prove the part two of (a).

### Conclusion

Through Theorem 2.6(5), we conclude that that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}$  is not closed under the union and Theorem. The important question is, what condition must be met for opposite of part (5) to be true?. Thus, answer is given by the concept of Ph-operator. The importance of the concept built here is that we can engage many mathematical concept in researches [1, 2, 7] with them to produce insights indicated, as new search paths.

### References

- [1] L. A. Al-Swidi, L. S. Abdalbaqi, and M. Hasan, "New frontier set in ideal topological space," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 1461–1466 (2022).

- [2] Y. K. Altalkany and L. A. A. Al-Swidi, "On Some Types of Proximity  $\psi$ -set," *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1963, no. 1, p. 012076 (2021).
- [3] Y. K. Altalkany and L. A. Al-Swidi, "On proximity focal congested sets in topological proximity spaces," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 1415–1420 (2022).
- [4] B. Roy, M. Mukherjee, and S. Ghosh, "On a new operator based on a grill and its associated topology," *Arab J. Math. Sc*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 21–32 (2008).
- [5] B. Roy and M. N. Mukherjee, "On a typical topology induced by a grill," *Soochow J. Math.*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 771–786 (2007).
- [6] G. Choquet, "Sur les notions de file et grill," *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris*, vol. 224 (1947).
- [7] A.Y.K. Mutawek & R.A. H. Al-Abdulla, , "Ideal grill compactness space," *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 691–698 (Jan. 2023), doi: <https://doi.org/10.47974/jim-1496>.

*Received June, 2024*