

Micro-generalized regular-minimal closed sets in micro-topological spaces

Nabila I. Aziz *

*Department of Physics
College of Education-Tuzkhurmatu
Tikrit University
Tikrit 34001
Iraq*

Siham I. Aziz [†]

*Ministry of Education General Directorate
Kirkuk Province Education
Kirkuk 36001
Iraq*

Abstract

The goal of this research is to create and investigate a new class of sets in micro-topological-spaces called micro-minimal-closed-sets and micro-generalized regular-minimal-closed sets are examined for their basic features.

Subject Classification (2020): 18F60, 28C15, 54A05.

Keywords: Micro-min-open sets, Micro-min-closed sets, Micro-generalized-regular-min-closed sets.

1. Introduction

Beginning N. Levine [5] inserts some things in semi-in 1963, open sets. The term generalized semiclosed sets was coined in 1990 the first developed by Arya et al. [8]. [3] Some properties of maximal closed and minimal closed sets,

* ORCID-ID: 0000-0003-2686-8580

† ORCID-ID: 0000-0002-6828-6418

* E-mail: nabila.be@tu.edu.iq (Corresponding Author)

† E-mail: suham_70100@yahoo.com

published in 2006, were discovered N. Oda and F. Nakaoka. S. S. Benchalli [2] In the year 2010, generalized minimal closed sets in topological spaces were developed. S. Bhattacharya [9] wrote a paper in 2011 on generalized minimal closed sets. Thivagar M. [10] was the first to demonstrate nano topology in 2013. In 2017, Bhuvaneswari and colleagues [1] proposed and looked into nano-generalized semi-closed sets S. Chandrasekar [7] published. On micro topological spaces In 2019, it was announced that the notion of Nano topology would be extended to Micro topology. In the year 2020, Micro-open sets were added to Micro topology by H. Z. Ibrahim [4]. A novel class of sets in micro-topological-spaces called micro-minimal-closed-sets and micro-generalized-regular-minimal-closed sets., as well as some of their properties, are introduced in these papers [11-20].

2. Preliminaries

Let's go over the **Definition** s below, which will come in handy later.

Definition [7]: If $(\phi, \mathcal{TR}(X))$ If a Nano-topological-space, $\mathcal{MR}(X) = \bigcup (G \cap M): \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{TR}(X)$ is referred to as the Micro topology of $\mathcal{TR}(X)$ by means of $\mathcal{MR}(X) \notin \mathcal{TR}(X)$.

Definition [7]: The following axioms apply to the Micro topology $\mathcal{MR}(X)$

1. ϕ and $\emptyset \in \mathcal{MR}(X)$
2. $\mathcal{MR}(X)$ brings together any sub-items collection's in $\mathcal{MR}(X)$
3. If $\mathcal{MR}(X)$ is the intersection of the elements of any finite sub collection of $\mathcal{MR}(\check{x})$, then $\mathcal{MR}(X)$ is Micro-topology on X .

The trinity $(\phi, \mathcal{TR}(X), \mathcal{MR}(X))$ known as Micro-topology-space, as well as the elements $\mathcal{MR}(X)$ known as Micro-open sets, whereas a Micro-closed sets is known as the inverse of a Micro-open sets.

Definition [6]: $(\phi, \mathcal{TR}(X), \mathcal{MR}(X))$ is Micro-topology-space. If $p = \text{Wic. int.}(\text{Wic. cl.}(p))$, p is a subset of ϕ , then p is Micro-regular open. The complement of a micro regular open set of a space ϕ is called micro regular closed set in ϕ .

Definition [3]: $\text{Wic.R.cl.}(p)$ is the intersection of all micro regular-closed sets contains p .

Definition [5]: Minimal-open (resp. minimal-closed) set E is either empty or a non-empty subset of a topological space; that is, any open (resp. closed) subset of X included in E .

Definition [2, 9]: If $\mathcal{C}(\hat{w}) \subseteq U$, where $\hat{w} \subseteq \hat{u}$ and $\hat{u}$ is a minimal open set in X , then subset $\hat{w}$ of the topological space X is a generalized minimal closed set.

3. Micro generalized regular minimal closed sets in Micro topological spaces.

Definitions: (Micro minimal, Micro generalized regular minimal) closed sets, as well as some of their features, are covered in this section.

[micro-topological-space, micro-open-micro-closed, Micro-minimal-closed, Micro-minimal regular-closed, micro generalized-regular-closed, micro generalized-minimal-regular closed] sets is referred to as $[(\phi, \mathcal{T}R(X), \mathcal{M}R(X)), \mathcal{M}-o(X), \mathcal{M}-c(X), \mathcal{M}min-o(X), \mathcal{M}min-c(X), \mathcal{M}min-R-c(X), \mathcal{M}jic-g-R-C(X), \mathcal{M}jic-g-min-R-C(X)]$

Definition: Micro minimal Open set (in contrast to Micro minimal Closed) is Micro-open (as opposed to Micro-closed) $\leftrightarrow$ subset of ϕ included in p either p or $\emptyset$, it is an element in a micro topological space that is not empty $(\phi, \mathcal{T}R(X), \mathcal{M}R(X))$.

Example: Assume that $\mathcal{H} = \{\emptyset, X, \mathcal{U}, z\}$, $\mathcal{H}/R = \{x, \{\mathcal{U}\}, \{\emptyset, z\}$, $X = \{\emptyset, X\}$, $\mathcal{M} = \{\emptyset\}$ $\mathcal{T}R(X) = \{\emptyset, \mathcal{H}, \{x\}, \{\emptyset, z\}, \{x, \emptyset, z\}\}$, $\mathcal{M}R(X) = \{\emptyset, \mathcal{H}, \{\emptyset\}, \{x\}, \{\emptyset, x\}, \{\emptyset, z\}, \{x, \emptyset, z\}\}$

$$\mathcal{M}min-o(X) = \{\{\emptyset\}, \{x\}\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}min-c(X) = \{\{\mathcal{U}\}\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}R-c(X) = \{\emptyset, \mathcal{H}, \{x\}, \{\emptyset, z\}\}$$

Example: If we take $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{U}\}$ for instance (3.2) the micro-open sets is

$$\mathcal{M}R(X) = \{\emptyset, \mathcal{H}, \{\mathcal{U}\}, \{x\}, \{\mathcal{U}, x\}, \{\emptyset, z\}, \{\mathcal{U}, \emptyset, z\}, \{x, \emptyset, z\}\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}min-o(X) = \{\{\mathcal{U}\}, \{x\}, \{\emptyset, z\}\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}R-c(X) = \{\emptyset, \mathcal{H}, \{\mathcal{U}\}, \{x\}, \{\mathcal{U}, x\}, \{\emptyset, z\}, \{\mathcal{U}, \emptyset, z\}, \{x, \emptyset, z\}\}$$

Definition: If $\text{Mic. Rcl}(K) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_u$, $K \subseteq \mathcal{H}_u$, $\mathcal{H}_u$ is micro-open-sets, $K \subseteq (\phi, \mathcal{T}R(X), \mathcal{M}R(X))$ is a $\mathcal{M}jic-g-R-c(X)$.

Example: The Micro generalized Regular closed sets (3.2) is a good example.

$$\mathcal{M}R(X) = \{\emptyset, \mathcal{H}, \{\mathcal{U}\}, \{x\}, \{\mathcal{U}, x\}, \{\emptyset, z\}, \{\mathcal{U}, \emptyset, z\}, \{x, \emptyset, z\}\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}jic-g-R-C(X) = \{\emptyset, \mathcal{H}, \{x\}, \{\emptyset\}, \{z\}, \{\emptyset, z\}, \{\mathcal{U}, x, z\}\}$$

Definition: If $\text{Mic. Rcl}(K) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_u$, $K \subseteq \mathcal{H}_u$, $\mathcal{H}_u$ is $\mathcal{M}min-o(X)$, $K \subseteq (\phi, \mathcal{T}R(X), \mathcal{M}R(X))$, K is a $\mathcal{M}jic-g-min-R-c(X)$. Recall example 3.2: $\mathcal{M}jic-g-min-R-C(X) = \{\emptyset, \{x\}, \{\emptyset\}, \{z\}\}$

Theorem:

1. Assume that X is a $\mathcal{M}jic-g-min-R-c(X)$ and that Y is a $\mathcal{M}jic-g-s-c(X)$. Then either $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ or $X \subset Y$.

2. Allow for a $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$ X and W . Then either $X \cap W = \emptyset$ or $X = W$.

Proof:

1. Assume Y is $\text{mic-g-R } c(X)$, $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. Because X is $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$, and Since $X \cap Y$ Subset from X , $X \cap Y$ is equal X holds. Therefore X subset from Y . It follows that each and every $\text{mic-g-min-R-}c(X)$ is also a $\text{mic-g-R-}c(X)$
2. X, Y are $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$, $X \cap W \neq \emptyset$, $X \subset W$, $W \subset X$ by (1). Therefore $X=W$.

Theorem: $M \subset (\tilde{U}, \overline{TR}(\dot{x}), \overline{MR}(\dot{x}))$, M is $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$, if it is $M_{\min} - c(X)$ and Micro-regular-closed set.

Proof: Assume that the collection $\mathcal{U}$ is $M_{\min} - o(X)$ with M and $\text{mic-R } c(X)$. So $\text{mic.Rcl}(M) \subseteq \text{mic.Rcl}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}$ (Because $\mathcal{U}$ is a $\text{mic-R } c(X)$). $\Rightarrow \text{mic.Rcl}(M) \subseteq (\mathcal{U})$ for $M \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{U}$ is $M_{\min} - o(X)$. Then, according to the definition $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$, M is $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$.

Proposition: $(\phi, \overline{TR}(X), \overline{MR}(X))$ Micro-topological space, $W \subset \phi$ and W is $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X) \Rightarrow W$ is $\text{mic-g-R } c(X)$.

Proof: W is the closed-set object. that is $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$. We have a $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$, by definition . A subset $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\text{Mic.Rcl}(W)$, W inside $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ is $M_{\min} - o(X)$. $\mathfrak{g}$, a tiny open set, on the other hand, because each $M_{\min} - o(X)$ is a $M - o(X)$. As a result, $\text{Mic.Rcl}(W) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$, $W \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g}$ stands for $M - o(X)$ As a result, W is $\text{mic-g-min-R-}c(X)$.

Remark: Proposition 3.9's inverse does not have to be true. As shown in example 3.5. $\{x, y, z\}$ is a $\text{mic-g-R-}c(X)$, but not $\text{mic-g-min-R-}c(X)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mic-g-R-}C(X) &= \{\emptyset, H, \{x\}, \{\omega\}, \{z\}, \{\omega, z\}, \{y, x, z\}\} \\ \text{mic-g-min-R-}c(X) &= \{\emptyset, H, \{x\}, \{\omega\}\} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: Consider the $(\tilde{U}, \overline{TR}(\dot{x}), \overline{MR}(\dot{x}))$ micro-topological space . Then $\emptyset$, despite the fact that is $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$, U isn't.

Proof: Since $\text{Mic.Rcl}(\emptyset) = \emptyset \subseteq M_{\min} - o(X) \subseteq \emptyset$. $\emptyset$ is $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$. But $U \not\subseteq M_{\min} - o(X)$, $\Rightarrow U$ isn't $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$.

Theorem (p) and (q) be subsets in $(\phi, \overline{TR}(X), \overline{MR}(X))$. If (p) and (q) are $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$, then $(p) \cap (q)$ is $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$ in $(\phi, \overline{TR}(X), \overline{MR}(X))$.

Proof: Assume (p) and (q) are two $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$ in $(\phi, \text{TR}(X), \text{MR}(X))$. Allow U to be a $\text{Mmin} - o(X)$ in $(\ddot{U}, \text{TR}(\dot{x}), \text{MR}(\dot{x}))$ such that $p \subseteq U$ and $q \subseteq U$, it suggests that $(p) \cap (q) \subseteq U$. As (p) and (q) are $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$ in $(\phi, \text{TR}(X), \text{MR}(X))$, $\text{Mic. Rcl } (p) \subseteq U$ and $\text{Mic. Rcl } (q) \subseteq U$. Now, $\text{Mic. Rcl } (p \cap q) = \text{Mic. Rcl } (p) \cap \text{Mic. Rcl } (q) \subseteq U \Rightarrow \text{Mic. Rcl } (p \cap q) \subseteq U$, $(p) \cap (q) \subseteq U$ and U is $\text{Mmin} - o(X)$ in $(\phi, \text{TR}(X), \text{MR}(X)) \Rightarrow (p) \cap (q)$ is $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$.

Remark: A $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$ does not always follow from the union of two $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$. Let's use the number 3.5 as an example. $\eta = \{\omega\}$, $B = \{x\}$, $\eta \cup B = \{\omega\} \cup \{x\} = \{\omega, x\}$.

Theorem: W is $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$ subset of $(\phi, \text{TR}(X), \text{MR}(X))$. If $W \subseteq B \subseteq \text{Mic. Rcl } (W)$ is true, then B is an $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$ subset of $(\phi, \text{TR}(X), \text{MR}(X))$.

Proof: Assume Ω is a $\text{Mmin} - o(X)$ subset of a $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$ subset of $(\phi, \text{TR}(X), \text{MR}(X))$, $K \subseteq G$, $W \subseteq K \Rightarrow W \subseteq G$. Due to the fact that W is a $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$, $\text{Mic. scl } (W) \subseteq G \Rightarrow \text{KMic. Rcl } (W) \Rightarrow \text{Mic. Rcl } (K) \subseteq \text{Mic. Rcl } (W)$. But $\text{Mic. Rcl } (W) \subseteq G \Rightarrow \text{Mic. Rcl } (K) \subseteq G$, $K \subseteq G$, G is $\text{Mmin} - o(X)$. As a result, K is a $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$, $\subseteq (\phi, \text{TR}(X), \text{MR}(X))$.

Theorem: If Ω is $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$ in $(\phi, \text{TR}(X), \text{MR}(X))$. Then for each $X \in \text{Mic Rcl } (\Omega)$, $\text{Mic. Rcl } \{X\} \cap (\Omega) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof: Choose any Ω is $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X)$ in $(\phi, \text{TR}(X), \text{MR}(X))$. in order that $\forall X \in \text{Mic. Rcl } (\Omega)$. Let $\text{Mic. Rcl } \{X\} \cap (\Omega) = \emptyset$. Then $\Omega \subseteq [\text{Mic. Rcl } \{X\}]^c$, $[\text{Mic. Rcl } \{X\}]^c$ is a $\text{Mmin} - o(X)$ in $(\ddot{U}, \text{TR}(\dot{x}), \text{MR}(\dot{x}))$. By the Theorem 3.9, Ω is $\text{mic-g-min-R } c(X) \Rightarrow \text{Mic. scl } (\Omega) \subseteq \text{Mic. Rcl } \{X\}$, It is in direct opposition to the fact that $X \in \text{Mic. Rcl } (\Omega) \Rightarrow \text{Mic. Rcl } \{X\} \cap (\Omega) \neq \emptyset$.

4. Conclusions

A new kind of open set, called (micro-minimal-closed-sets and micro-generalized regular-minimal-closed sets in micro topological spaces and study some of their properties with some important theorems. As well as the definition can generalized on (semi, pre, α , β and b) open sets in micro topological spaces.

References

- [1] A. Ezhilarasi and K. Bhuvaneswari, "Properties of Nano generalized semi closed sets in nano topological space," *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, vol. 116, no. 12, pp. 167-176 (2017).

- [2] S. S. Benchalli, S. N. Banasode, and G. P. Siddapur, "Generalized minimal closed sets in topological spaces," *J. Comput. Math. Sci.*, vol. 1, no. 6, pp. 710-715 (2010).
- [3] F. N. and N. O., "Minimal closed sets and maximal closed sets," *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, vol. 2006, Article ID 18647, pp. 1-6 (2006).
- [4] Z. I. Hariwan, "Micro β -open sets in Micro topology," *Gen. Lett. Math.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 8-15 (2020).
- [5] N. Levine, "Semi open sets and semi continuity in topological spaces," *Amer. Math. Monthly*, vol. 70, pp. 36-41 (1963).
- [6] S. Chandrasekar and G. Swathi, "Micro-alpha-open sets in micro topological spaces," *Int. J. Res. Advent Technol.*, vol. 6, no. 10, pp. 2633-2637 (2018).
- [7] C. Sakkraveeranan, "On micro topological spaces," *J. New Theory*, vol. 26, pp. 23-31 (2019).
- [8] S. P. Arya and T. Nouri, "Characterizations of s-normal spaces," *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 717-719 (1990).
- [9] S. Shrmistha, "On generalized minimal closed sets," *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci.*, vol. 2011, pp. 153-160 (2011).
- [10] M. L. Thivagar and R. C. Richard, "On nano forms of weakly open sets," *Int. J. Math. Stat. Inven.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 31-37 (2013).
- [11] M. R. Farahani, S. Jafari, S. A. Mohiuddine, and M. Cancan, "Intuitionistic fuzzy stability of generalized additive set-valued functional equation via fixed point method," *Math. Statist. Eng. Appl.*, vol. 71, no. 3s3, pp. 142-154 (2022). [Online]. Available: <https://www.philstat.org.ph/index.php/MSEA/article/view/355>.
- [12] M. R. Farahani, J. E. N. Valdes, M. Cancan, S. Jafari, and C. Park, "Fuzzy stability in the sense of Hyers-Ulam-Rassias of a functional equation on quadratic forms," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1355-1365 (2023). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.47974/JIM-1633>.
- [13] S. M. Kadham, M. A. Mustafa, N. K. Abbass, and S. Karupusamy, "Comparison between of fuzzy partial H-transform and fuzzy partial Laplace transform in x-ray images processing of acute interstitial pneumonia," *International Journal of System Assurance Engineering and Management*, Vol 2023, pp 1-9 (2023), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13198-023-02001-3>.

- [14] A.M. Darweesh, R.H. Hasan, and S.M. Kadham, "Results of Fourth-Order Differential Superordination and Subordination for Univalent Functions Defined by Integral Operator," *Mathematical Modelling and Engineering Problems*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 385–392 (Feb. 2024), doi: <https://doi.org/10.18280/mmep.110210>.
- [15] S. M. Kadham, "Acute interstitial pneumonia image enhancement using fuzzy partial transforms," *Applied Geomatics*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 35–39 (May 2023), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12518-023-00509-8>.
- [16] M. S. D. Mohsen, "Some generalizations of fuzzy soft (k^*A) -quasinormal operators in fuzzy soft Hilbert spaces," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1133–1143 (2023). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.47974/JIM-1612>.
- [17] S. Jafari, M. R. Farahani, and M. Rajesh N., "Double fuzzy rarely continuous functions," *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Informatics*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 35–45.
- [18] M. B. Salman and W. H. Hanoon, "Fuzzy scl-semi 2-ABSO submd and related concepts," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1231–1242 (2023). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.47974/JIM-1621>.
- [19] A. S. Abed and A. T. Hameed, "The fuzzy results on SA-algebra," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1273–1280 (2023). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.47974/JIM-1625>.
- [20] K. M. M. Al-Abrahemee, "A novel hybridized neuro-fuzzy model for solving fuzzy singular perturbation problems with initial conditions," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1287–1301 (2023). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.47974/JIM-1627>.

Received June, 2024