

Inside of nano topological spaces : A novel generalized open and closed nano operator

Hawraa Sahib Abu Hamd *

Department of Mathematics

College of Computer Science and Mathematics

University of Kufa

Kufa 540011

Iraq

Abdulaziz S Hameed [†]

Ministry of Education-General Directorate for Breeding

Baghdad Third Karkh

Baghdad 10011

Iraq

Nabila I. Aziz [§]

Department of Physics

College of Education Tuzkhurmatu

University of Tikrit

Ti Saladin krit 34001

Iraq

Abstract

In this study, a novel class of operators in Nano topological spaces is defined a novel Open and Closed Nano operators (briefly, $\mathcal{N}^*(\tilde{A})$ and $\mathcal{N}^c(\tilde{A})$), proved properties and theorems in Nano topological spaces.

Subject Classification: 54A05, 54A10.

Keywords: : Nano operator open $\mathcal{N}^*(\tilde{A})$, Nano operator close $\mathcal{N}^c(\tilde{A})$.

* ORCID-ID: 0000-0003-3628-3324

§ ORCID-ID: 0000-0003-2686-8580

* E-mail: lazizsaad201357@gmail.com (Corresponding Author)

† E-mail: Abdulaziz.S.Hameed@gmail.com

§ E-mail: nabila.be@tu.edu.iq

1. Introduction

Crossley and Hildebrand [3] published their study in 1971 semi Closure. The closure operator C^* idea was defined by Dunham [9]. with some properties. In 2011 S. Bhattacharya [2] defined operator of regular closed sets. Savita R. [4], study the Soft topological spaces with both soft ω -Cl. and soft ω -int. When examining Soft g^* closed in "Soft topological spaces", Kalavathi, A. and Krishnan, G. [1]. In topological spaces, Λ regular generalized* operators are a novel class of operators introduced by Siham I. Aziz and Nabila I. Aziz [5, 6, 7]. closure regular generalized*(α, β) Closure Regular Generalized* and introduce a new class operators on regular generalized closed sets respectively. Nano closure and Nano Interior are developed in (2014) in "Nano topological spaces" by Thivagar M. Lellis and Carmel Rechard [8-13]. In this work, a new class of operators will be defined. These nano topological spaces are referred to as a Nano operator open and Nano operator close. (briefly, $(\hat{N}(\bar{A}))$ and $\check{N}(\bar{A})$) Nano topological theories and properties have been proven.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 [1]: Let $\mathfrak{R}$ be a relation of equivalence on U , and let U be the universe., and $X \subseteq U$. According to X , the Nano topology on U is defined by $\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{R}(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{R}(X), \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{R}(X), \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{R}(X)\}$ and $(U, \mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{R}(X))$

Definition 2.2 [1]: With respect to X , $X \subseteq U$, $(U, \mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{R}(X))$ constitute a Nano topological space.. If $U \supseteq \Phi$, then the Nano interior of is the Union of all Nano open subsets included in Φ ., which is indicated by $nint(\Phi)$. the meeting point of all nano-closure-containing subsets of Φ recognized as the nano closure of and it is represented by the symbol $ncl(\Phi)$.

Definition 2.3 [2]: Given that $(X, \mathfrak{T})$ is a topological space and the operator $\Lambda_{GR}(\mathfrak{W}) = \bigcap \{\mathcal{L}: \mathfrak{W} \subseteq \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L} \text{ is generalized regular open set} \subseteq X\}$

3. Inside of Nano topological spaces, a novel, generalized Open and Closed Nano operators.

Definition 3.1: Assume that $\dot{U} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, $(\mathcal{U}, \mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{R}(X))$ is a nano topological space. We defined $1-\check{N}(\dot{U}) = \text{Intersection } \{\mathfrak{w}: \dot{U} \subseteq \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w} \text{ element of } \mathcal{N}o(\mathcal{U}, X)\}$,

$$2-\check{N}(\dot{U}) = \text{Union } \{\mathfrak{w}: \mathfrak{w} \subseteq \dot{U}, \mathfrak{w} \text{ element of } \mathcal{N}c(\mathcal{U}, X)\}.$$

Example 3.2: $\mathcal{U} = \{\omega, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{q}\}$ with $\mathcal{U}/\mathfrak{R} = \{\{\omega, \mathfrak{p}\}, \{\mathfrak{e}\}, \{\mathfrak{q}\}\}$, $\chi = \{\mathfrak{e}\}$,

$$\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{R}(X) = \{\mathcal{U}, \{\mathfrak{e}\}, \phi\}, \dot{U} = \{\mathfrak{e}\}, \hat{N}(\dot{U}) = \{\mathfrak{e}\}, \check{N}(\dot{U}) = \phi.$$

Lemma 3.3: Consider the space of nano topology $(\mathcal{U}, \mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{R}(X))$.

The operator must satisfy the following conditions, and that operator is denoted by

$$\widehat{N}: \wp(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \wp(\mathcal{X}).$$

- i. $\widehat{N}(\text{Empty set}) = \text{Empty set}, \widehat{N}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X}.$
- ii. $\widehat{N}(\dot{Y}) \supseteq \dot{Y}.$
- iii. $\widehat{N}\widehat{N}(\dot{Y}) = \widehat{N}(\dot{Y}).$
- iv. If $\dot{Y} \subseteq K, \widehat{N}(\dot{Y}) \subseteq \widehat{N}(K).$
- v. $\widehat{N}(\dot{Y} \cap K) \subseteq \widehat{N}(\dot{Y}) \cap \widehat{N}(K).$
- vi. $\widehat{N}(\dot{Y} \cup K) \supseteq \widehat{N}(\dot{Y}) \cup \widehat{N}(K).$
- vii. $[\mathcal{U} - \widehat{N}(\dot{Y})] = \widehat{N}(\mathcal{U} - \dot{Y}).$

Proof:

- i. $\dot{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{W}, \text{ and } \mathcal{W} \text{ is an open nano set} \Rightarrow \widehat{N}(\dot{Y}) \supseteq \dot{Y}.$
- iii. $\widehat{N}\widehat{N}(\dot{Y}) = \cap [\cap \{\mathcal{W}: \dot{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W} \text{ element of } \mathcal{N}o(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X})\}] = \cap \{\mathcal{W}: \dot{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W} \text{ element of } \mathcal{N}o(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X})\} = \widehat{N}(\dot{Y}).$
- iv. If $\dot{Y} \subseteq K, \widehat{N}(\dot{Y}) \subseteq \widehat{N}(K), \because \dot{Y} \subseteq K, \cap \{\mathcal{W}: \dot{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W} \text{ element of } \mathcal{N}o(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X})\} \subseteq \cap \{\mathcal{W}: K \subseteq \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W} \text{ element of } \mathcal{N}o(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X})\} \Rightarrow \widehat{N}(\dot{Y}) \subseteq \widehat{N}(K).$
- v. $\because \dot{Y} \cap K \subseteq \dot{Y}, \dot{Y} \cap K \subseteq K. \text{ Then } \widehat{N}(\dot{Y} \cap K) \subseteq \widehat{N}(\dot{Y}), \widehat{N}(\dot{Y} \cap K) \subseteq \widehat{N}(K)$
Hence $\widehat{N}(\dot{Y} \cap K) \subseteq \widehat{N}(\dot{Y}) \cap \widehat{N}(K).$
- vi. Since $\dot{Y} \cup K \supseteq \dot{Y}, K \cup \dot{Y} \supseteq K$ then $\widehat{N}(\dot{Y} \cup K) \supseteq \widehat{N}(\dot{Y}), \widehat{N}(K \cup \dot{Y}) \supseteq \widehat{N}(K).$ Thus $\widehat{N}(\dot{Y} \cup K) \supseteq \widehat{N}(\dot{Y}) \cup \widehat{N}(K).$
- vii. $\mathcal{U} - \widehat{N}(\dot{Y}) = \widehat{N}(\mathcal{U} - \dot{Y}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{U} - \widehat{N}(\dot{Y}) = \mathcal{U} - [\cap \{\mathcal{W}: \dot{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{N}o(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X})\}] = \cup \{\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{W}: \mathcal{U} - \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{U} - \dot{Y}, (\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{W}) \in \mathcal{N}c(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X})\} = \widehat{N}(\mathcal{U} - \dot{Y}).$

Lemma 3.4: Consider the nano topological space $\widehat{N}: P(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow P(\mathcal{X})$ in $(\mathcal{U}, \mathfrak{TR}(\mathcal{X}))$. Be an operator with the following characteristics.

- i. $\widehat{N}(\emptyset) = \emptyset, \widehat{N}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}.$
- ii. $\mathfrak{X} \supseteq \widehat{N}(\mathfrak{X}).$
- iii. $\widehat{N}\widehat{N}(\mathfrak{X}) = \widehat{N}(\mathfrak{X}).$
- iv. If $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathcal{D}, \widehat{N}(\mathfrak{X}) \subseteq \widehat{N}(\mathcal{D}).$
- v. $\widehat{N}(\mathfrak{X} \cap \mathcal{D}) \subseteq \widehat{N}(\mathfrak{X}) \cap \widehat{N}(\mathcal{D}).$
- vi. $\widehat{N}(\mathfrak{X} \cup \mathcal{D}) \supseteq \widehat{N}(\mathfrak{X}) \cup \widehat{N}(\mathcal{D}).$
- vii. $[\mathcal{U} - \widehat{N}(\mathfrak{X})] = \widehat{N}(\mathcal{U} - \mathfrak{X}).$

Proof: This case can be demonstrated by describing oneself and using the same evidence as the prior case.

Remark 3.5: The following example demonstrates that lemma [3. 3, 3. 4]'s converse part (5-6) is untrue.

Example 3.6: $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m}\}$, $\mathcal{U}/\hat{R} = \{\{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{p}\}, \{\mathfrak{n}\}, \{\mathfrak{m}\}\}$, $\hat{X} = \{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{n}\}$, $\hat{T} \hat{R}(\mathcal{X}) = \{\mathcal{U}, \emptyset, \{\mathfrak{p}\}, \{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{n}\}, \{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{n}\}\}$. $\hat{T}^C \hat{R}(\mathcal{X}) = \{\mathcal{U}, \emptyset, \{\mathfrak{m}\}, \{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{m}\}, \{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m}\}\}$.

- 1- $\mathcal{W} = \{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{n}\}$, $\mathcal{Z} = \{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{p}\}$, $\hat{N}(\mathcal{W}) = \{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{n}\}$, $\hat{N}(\mathcal{Z}) = \{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{n}\}$, $\hat{N}(\mathcal{W}) \cap \hat{N}(\mathcal{Z}) = \{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{n}\}$.
 $\hat{N}(\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{Z}) = \hat{N}(\{\mathfrak{p}\}) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$, then $\hat{N}(\mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{Z})$ is not equal $\hat{N}(\mathcal{W}) \cap \hat{N}(\mathcal{Z})$.
- 2- $\hat{N}(\mathcal{W} \cup \mathcal{Z}) = \hat{N}(\mathcal{W}) \cup \hat{N}(\mathcal{Z})$. $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathfrak{s}\}$, $\mathcal{K} = \{\emptyset\}$, $\hat{N}(\mathcal{H}) = \{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{n}\}$, $\hat{N}(\mathcal{K}) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$,
 $\hat{N}(\mathcal{H}) \cup \hat{N}(\mathcal{K}) = \{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}\}$, $\hat{N}(\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K}) = \{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{n}\}$, then $\hat{N}(\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{K})$ is not equal $\hat{N}(\mathcal{H}) \cup \hat{N}(\mathcal{K})$.
- 3- $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{m}\}$, $\mathcal{K} = \{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m}\}$, $\check{N}(\mathcal{H}) = \{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{m}\}$, $\check{N}(\mathcal{K}) = \{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m}\}$, $\check{N}(\mathcal{H}) \cap \check{N}(\mathcal{K}) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$,
 $\check{N}(\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K}) = \check{N}(\{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{m}\}) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, then $\check{N}(\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K})$ is not equal $\check{N}(\mathcal{H}) \cap \check{N}(\mathcal{K})$.
- 4- $\check{E} = \{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{m}\}$, $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m}\}$, $\check{N}(\check{E}) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, $\check{N}(\mathcal{D}) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, $\check{N}(\check{E}) \cup \check{N}(\mathcal{D}) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$,
 $\check{N}(\check{E} \cup \mathcal{D}) = \{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m}\}$, then $\check{N}(\check{E} \cup \mathcal{D})$ is not equal $\check{N}(\check{E}) \cup \check{N}(\mathcal{D})$.

Theorem 3.7: $\mathcal{Q}$ Is the open set of Nano, and $\hat{N}(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathcal{Q}$. $\mathcal{N}o(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X})$ in a nutshell

Proof: Make $\mathcal{Q}$ a Nano open set.

As a result of $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \hat{N}(\mathcal{Q})$, $\text{int}(\mathcal{Q}) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$, $\mathfrak{P}$ being a Nano open set, $\hat{N}(\mathcal{Q}) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ and so $\hat{N}(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathcal{Q}$.

Alternatively: if $\hat{N}(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathcal{Q}$. showing that $\mathcal{Q}$ is a Nano open set

Due to the fact that The Nano open set includes every intersection. $\mathcal{Q}$ is then an open set for Nano.

Theorem 3.8: $\check{N}(\mathfrak{K}) = \mathfrak{K}$ is a member of the closed nano set. In a nutshell, $\mathcal{N}c(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X})$

Proof: A closed nano set is an example $\mathfrak{K}$. $\check{N}(\mathfrak{K}) = \mathfrak{K}$ because

$\check{N}(\mathfrak{K}) \subseteq \mathfrak{K}$, $\check{G} \subseteq \text{Cl}(\mathfrak{K})$, $\check{G}$ are Nano closed sets, respectively.

In contrast, if $\check{N}(\mathfrak{K}) = \mathfrak{K}$. To demonstrate that $\mathfrak{K}$ is a nano closed set Due to the fact that each union of a nano closed set is a nano closed set. As a result, $\mathfrak{K}$ is a Nano closed set.

4. Conclusion

Obtaining a new operators in Nano topological spaces, called Nano operator open and Nano operator close (briefly, $\hat{N}(\bar{A})$ and $\check{N}(\bar{A})$) and expecting that there are relationships between them in Nano topological spaces.

References

- [1] A. Kalavathi and G. Krishnan, "Soft g^* closed and soft g^* open sets in soft topological spaces," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 65-82 (2016). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720502.2015.1103110>.
- [2] S. Bhattacharya, "On generalized regular closed sets," *Contemp. Math. Sciences*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 145-152 (2011).
- [3] S. G. Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand, "Semi-closure," *Texas Journal Sci.*, vol. 22, pp. 99-112 (1971).
- [4] S. Rathee, R. Girdhar, and K. Dhingra, "On soft ω -interior and soft ω -closure in soft topological spaces," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 23, no. 6 (2020). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720502.2020.1815399>.
- [5] S. I. Aziz and N. I. Aziz, "On some generalized recent operators in topological spaces," *Tikrit Journal of Pure Science*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 92-94 (2022). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.25130/tjps.v27i4.40>.
- [6] S. I. Aziz and N. I. Aziz, "On some generalized α and β closure in topological spaces," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 27, no. 7, pp. 1851-1854 (2021). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720502.2021.1963521>.
- [7] S. I. Aziz and N. I. Aziz, "New generalized operator in topological spaces," V. International Scientific Congress of Pure, Applied and Technological Sciences (2022).
- [8] S. M. Kadham, M. A. Mustafa, N. K. Abbass, and S. Karupusamy, "Comparison between of fuzzy partial H-transform and fuzzy partial Laplace transform in x-ray images processing of acute interstitial pneumonia," *International Journal of System Assurance Engineering and Management*, Vol 2023, pp 1-9 (2023), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13198-023-02001-3>.
- [9] M. A. Mustafa, M. A. M. M. Rahman, S. M. S. Islam, S. M. A. R. Iqbal, and A. M. A. S. Hossain, "A novel fuzzy M-transform technique for

- sustainable ground water level prediction," *Appl. Geomatics*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 9–15 (Jan. 2023), doi: 10.1007/s12518-022-00486-4.
- [10] A. H. Alwan, "Small intersection graph of subsemimodules of a semi-module," *Commun. Combinatorics Cryptogr. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 2022, no. 1, pp. 15-22 (2022).
- [11] S. Ediz, M. Cancan, and M. R. Farahani, "Eccentric connectivity and connective eccentricity indices of generalized Petersen graphs," *Commun. Combinatorics Cryptogr. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 2021, no. 1, pp. 1-6 (2021).
- [12] R. Ponraj, S. Prabhu, and M. Sivakumar, "Pair mean cordial labeling of total graph of some graphs and prism-related graphs," *Commun. Combinatorics Cryptogr. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 2024, no. 2, pp. 181-192 (2024).
- [13] F. O. Oduol and I. O. Okoth, "Counting vertices among all noncrossing trees by levels and degrees," *Commun. Combinatorics Cryptogr. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 2024, no. 2, pp. 193-212 (2024).

Received June, 2024