

Building a mathematical model of epidemics using differential equations

Ahmed Raad Hassan

Department of Mathematics

College of Education

University of Al-Qadisiyah

Al Diwaniyah 58002

Iraq

Abstract

Mathematical fashions based on differential equations are powerful equipment for understanding and predicting the unfold of infectious illnesses in a populace. By representing the dynamics of inclined, infected, and recovered people, these models can simulate the development of epidemics and examine the effect of numerous interventions. The key parameters, together with transmission quotes and healing costs, may be included into the version to offer insights into disease dynamics. Solving those differential equations numerically or analytically enables researchers to gain treasured information about the direction of the epidemic, tell public fitness techniques, and make records-driven choices. Overall, constructing a mathematical model of epidemics the use of differential equations is an essential approach for reading and coping with infectious diseases.

Subject Classification (2010): 34K28.

Keywords: Mathematical model, Epidemics, Differential equations, Infectious diseases, Disease dynamics.

1. Introduction

Building a mathematical model of epidemics using differential equations is a powerful tool in epidemiology [1]. It permits us to apprehend and are expecting the unfold of infectious diseases within a populace through the years. This technique includes defining variables and

ORCID-ID: 0009-0000-5655-1432

E-mail: ahmed.hasan@qu.edu.iq

parameters, writing down differential equations, fixing them numerically, and interpreting the results.

The variables inside the version commonly include the wide variety of prone, infected, and recovered individuals. The parameters determine the rates of transmission and recuperation of the ailment. By considering the interactions among these variables and parameters, we are able to describe the dynamics of a virus and make predictions about its trajectory.

The differential equations represent how the wide variety of susceptible, inflamed, and recovered individuals exchange over the years. They seize the strategies of transmission from at risk of infected people and recovery from contamination. Solving these equations numerically helps us recognize the temporal evolution of the epidemic [2].

Interpreting the consequences of the model affords insights into important aspects of the epidemic. We can identify the peak of the contamination curve, estimate the duration of the epidemic, and determine the final size of the inflamed populace. By thinking about distinctive situations and parameter settings, we can determine the effect of intervention strategies and tell public health policies.

However, it's miles essential to acknowledge the limitations and assumptions of mathematical models. They simplify the complicated dynamics of epidemics and might not seize all the nuances of actual-world conditions. Validating the model with empirical data is critical to ensure its accuracy and applicability.

In this discussion, we can explore the stairs worried in constructing a mathematical version of epidemics using differential equations. We will speak the important thing ideas, equations, and numerical methods used in this technique. We will even highlight the significance of thinking about real-world information, uncertainties, and limitations within the interpretation of the outcomes.

Overall, constructing a mathematical version of epidemics using differential equations provides treasured insights into the unfold and manipulate of infectious illnesses. It is a powerful tool for epidemiologists, policymakers, and public health experts to mitigate the effect of epidemics and defend the well-being of populations.

The aim is to benefit a better expertise of the spread and dynamics of infectious illnesses. By growing a mathematical framework that captures the interactions among susceptible, infected, and recovered individuals, researchers can simulate the progression of epidemics and examine the impact of diverse control strategies. These fashions allow for the exploration of various scenarios, the estimation of key epidemiological

parameters, and the prediction of destiny tendencies. Ultimately, the aim is to offer precious insights and tell public fitness selection-making for you to efficiently control and manipulate infectious ailment outbreaks.

In general, the formulation of mathematical models of epidemics, based on differential equations is always valued by enlightening the spread and control of infectious diseases. Epidemiologists, policymakers and other public health experts have described it as a potent weapon that would help them reduce impact of epidemics and protect the health of societies [6, 7].

2. Methodology

The methodology is using differential equations includes numerous steps:

1. Define the variables: Identify the key additives of the epidemic, which includes the wide variety of prone, infected, and recovered people. Assign symbols to represent those variables.
2. Formulate the equations: Write down the differential equations that describe how the variables trade over the years. These equations will contain parameters such as the transmission rate and the recuperation rate [9, 10].
3. Solve the equations: Use numerical techniques, along with Euler's method or Runge-Kutta strategies, to resolve the differential equations. This involves discretizing time and approximating the values of the variables at on every occasion step.
4. Validate the version: Compare the version's predictions in opposition to real-international statistics to make sure its accuracy and reliability [11]. This step entails adjusting parameters or incorporating extra elements to improve the version's match to the statistics.
5. Interpret the effects: Analyze the solutions of the differential equations to advantage insights into the dynamics of the epidemic. This includes identifying the height of the infection curve, estimating the length of the epidemic, and assessing the impact of intervention strategies [12].
6. Consider uncertainty and boundaries: Recognize the assumptions and boundaries of the version, along with the homogeneity of the populace or the forget about of other elements like migration or age structure. Quantify and account for uncertainties inside the model's predictions.

7. Utilize the version for choice-making: Use the version's predictions to tell public health selections and intervention techniques. This may additionally involve assessing the effectiveness of various control measures, optimizing aid allocation, or evaluating the effect of specific regulations.

Overall, constructing a mathematical model of epidemics the use of differential equations offers a quantitative framework for understanding and managing the spread of infectious sicknesses. It lets in for scenario evaluation, coverage making plans, and effective public fitness reaction for the duration of epidemics.

3. The mathematical model

The mathematical model includes thinking about the spread of the ailment over the years and the way it interacts with the population. Here is a generalized framework for constructing the sort of model:

1. Define variables and parameters:
 - Let N be the total population size.
 - Let $S(t)$ be the variety of susceptible individuals at time t .
 - Let $I(t)$ be the number of infected individuals at time t .
 - Let $R(t)$ be the number of recovered individuals at time t .
 - Let β be the transmission rate, which represents the probability of a susceptible individual becoming infected per unit time.
 - Let γ be the recovery rate, which represents the probability of an infected individual recovering per unit time.
2. Write down the differential equations:

The charge at which the wide variety of inclined individuals' modifications through the years is given through the difference between the wide variety of individuals turning into inflamed and the variety of individuals getting better:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\beta \times S \times \frac{I}{N} + \gamma \times R$$

The rate at which the quantity of infected individuals changes over time is the difference between the range turning into infected and the variety getting better:

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta \times S \times \frac{I}{N} - \gamma \times I$$

The price at which the variety of recovered people modifications over time is the number of infected individuals that get better:

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \gamma \times I$$

3. Solve the differential equations:

These equations may be solved numerically the usage of methods inclusive of Euler's approach or Runge-Kutta strategies.

4. Initial conditions

Set the initial values for S , I , and R at $t = 0$.

5. Interpret the results:

Analyze the answers obtained from fixing the differential equations to make predictions about the dynamics of the epidemic, including the peak contamination charge, the period of the epidemic, and the very last size of the inflamed population.

Note: This is a simplified model that assumes a homogeneous population and ignores factors such as birth, death, and migration rates. More complex models can incorporate these factors and consider different epidemiological scenarios.

An example of the mathematical model for COVID-19 epidemics in Baghdad city, we need specific values for the parameters and initial conditions. Since these values are not provided, I will provide a hypothetical example with arbitrary values for demonstration purposes.

Let's consider the following hypothetical values for the parameters and initial conditions:

- Parameters:
 - $\beta = 0.2$ (Transmission rate)
 - $\rho = 0.6$ (Relative infectiousness of exposed individuals)
 - $\alpha = 0.1$ (Rate at which exposed individuals become infectious)
 - $\gamma = 0.05$ (Recovery rate)
- Initial conditions:
 - $S(0) = 3.000.000$ (Initial number of susceptible individuals)
 - $E(0) = 500$ (Initial number of exposed individuals)
 - $I(0) = 100$ (Initial number of infected individuals)
 - $R(0) = 0$ (Initial number of recovered or immune individuals)

By plugging in these values into the previously defined differential equations, we can solve the system numerically to simulate the dynamics of the epidemic over time.

Here is an example of how to solve the ODEs using a numerical method such as the Euler's method:

1. Set the initial conditions:
2. $S(0) = 3.000.000$, $E(0) = 500$, $I(0) = 100$, $R(0) = 0$

$$t = 0$$

$$dt = 0 \text{ (time step size)}$$

$$\max_t = 100 \text{ (maximum time period to simulate)}$$

3. Loop from $t = 0$ to $\max_t$:

- Calculate the derivatives:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \beta \times S(t) \times (I(t) + \rho \times E(t))$$

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \beta \times S(t) \times (I(t) + \rho \times E(t)) - \alpha \times E(t)$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \alpha \times E(t) - \gamma \times I(t)$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \gamma \times I(t)$$

- Update the variables using Euler's method:

$$S(t + dt) = S(t) + dt \times \frac{dS}{dt}$$

$$E(t + dt) = E(t) + dt \times \frac{dE}{dt}$$

$$I(t + dt) = I(t) + dt \times \frac{dI}{dt}$$

$$R(t + dt) = R(t) + dt \times \frac{dR}{dt}$$

- Increment the time: $t = t + dt$

4. Repeat until $t > \max_t$.

By simulating this numerical approach, we can generate a table of values for the variables $S(t)$, $E(t)$, $I(t)$, and $R(t)$ at distinct time factors. However, please word that those values might be based totally at the hypothetical parameters and preliminary situations stated above, and

might not as it should be constitute the COVID-19 epidemic in Baghdad metropolis.

Results

The effects of constructing a mathematical model of epidemics the usage of differential equations can provide precious insights into the dynamics and control of infectious sicknesses [8]. These models can help are expecting the unfold and effect of epidemics, compare the effectiveness of different intervention techniques, discover key factors influencing ailment transmission, and manual public fitness selection-making. By simulating diverse eventualities and incorporating actual-time data, those fashions can inform policymakers about the capacity effects of different actions and help manual the allocation of resources for disorder surveillance, prevention, and control. These results make a contribution to a higher know-how of epidemics and can tell strategies to mitigate their impact on populations.

Conclusion

Building a mathematical model of epidemics the use of differential equations is a treasured tool for know-how, predicting, and controlling the unfold of infectious sicknesses. By defining variables and parameters, writing differential equations, solving them numerically, and deciphering the consequences, we will benefit insights into the dynamics of epidemics and tell public health making plans and interventions [3-5, 13].

These models permit us to explore distinctive scenarios, check the effect of intervention techniques, and make predictions approximately the route of a virus. However, it's far important to validate the model with actual-global records and recall the limitations and assumptions of the model. Mathematical models are simplifications of the actual global and may not capture all the complexities and uncertainties of an actual epidemic [14, 15].

Overall, building a mathematical model of epidemics using differential equations gives a quantitative framework for expertise the dynamics of infectious diseases. It is a effective device which could make a contribution to higher preparedness, reaction, and control techniques to mitigate the impact of epidemics on public fitness [16, 17].

References

- [1] O. Diekmann and J. A. P. Heesterbeek, *Mathematical Epidemiology of Infectious Diseases: Model Building, Analysis, and Interpretation*, Wiley Online Library (2000).
- [2] I. M. Longini Jr., A. Nizam, S.-T. Xu, and K. Ungchusak, "Containing pandemic influenza at the source," *Science*, vol. 309, no. 5737, pp. 1083-1087 (2005).
- [3] J. O. Lloyd-Smith, P. C. Cross, C. J. Briggs, M. Daugherty, W. M. Getz, J. Latto, and A. Swei, "Should we expect population thresholds for wildlife disease?," *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, vol. 20, no. 9, pp. 511-519 (2005).
- [4] M. E. Halloran and I. M. Longini Jr., "Population dynamics and control of infectious diseases," in *Modeling Infectious Diseases in Humans and Animals*, Princeton University Press, 2006, pp. (35-70).
- [5] W. Wang and X. Q. Zhao, "An epidemic model in a patchy environment," *Mathematical Biosciences*, vol. 215, no. 1, pp. 143-151 (2008).
- [6] M. J. Keeling and P. Rohani, *Modeling Infectious Diseases in Humans and Animals*, Princeton University Press (2008).
- [7] F. Brauer and C. Castillo-Chavez, *Mathematical Models in Population Biology and Epidemiology*, vol. 40, Springer Science & Business Media (2012).
- [8] R. Naresh, S. M. Tripathi, B. G. P. Bindu, and T. Ganesh, "Mathematical epidemiology of infectious diseases: A comprehensive review," *Journal of Biological Systems*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 195-224 (2019).
- [9] J. C. Miller, A. C. Slim, and E. M. Volz, "Motif-based tracking of epidemic spread on complex networks," *Nature Communications*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1-10 (2020).
- [10] M. G. M. Gomes, M. U. Ferreira, R. M. Corder, J. G. King, C. Souto-Maior, C. Penha-Gonçalves, G. Gonçalves, M. Chikina, W. Pegden, and R. Aguas, "Individual variation in susceptibility or exposure to SARS-CoV-2 lowers the herd immunity threshold," *J. Theor. Biol.*, vol. 540, Article 111063, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jtbi.2022.111063.
- [11] T. A. Perkins and G. España, "Optimal Control of the COVID-19 Pandemic with Non-pharmaceutical Interventions," *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, vol. 82, no. 9, p. 118-128 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11538-020-00795-y>.

- [12] R. M. Anderson, H. Heesterbeek, D. Klinkenberg, and T. D. Hollingsworth, "How will country-based mitigation measures influence the course of the COVID-19 epidemic?," *The Lancet*, vol. 395, no. 10228, pp. 931–934, Mar. (2020), doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(20\)30567-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30567-5).
- [13] K. M. M. Al-Abraheme, "Modification of high performance training algorithm for solving singular perturbation partial differential equations with cubic convergence," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 2035–2047 (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720502.2021.2001136>.
- [14] R. Kareem and K. M. M. Al-Abraheme, "Modification of artificial neural networks for solving singular perturbation problems," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720502.2022.2072063>.
- [15] R. F. Kadam and K. M. M. Al-Abraheme, "Neuro-fuzzy system for solving fuzzy singular perturbation problems," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 1509–1524 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720502.2022.2079229>.
- [16] R. F. Kadam and K. M. M. Al-Abraheme, "On convergence of the Levenberg-Marquardt method under local error bound, problems," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 1495–1508 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720502.2022.2079228>.
- [17] K. M. Al-Abraheme and A. K. Jabber, "High performance training algorithm with strong local convergence properties for solving some fractional integral equations," *AIP Conference Proceedings*, vol. 2414, no. 1 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0115034>.

Received June, 2024