

Soft P -field with some of their properties

Hind F. Abbas *

Hassan H. Ebrahim †

Department of Mathematics

College of Computer Science and Mathematics

Tikrit University

Tikrit

Iraq

Ali Al-Fayadh §

Department of Mathematics and Computer Applications

College of Science

Al – Nahrain University

Baghdad

Iraq

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the notion of soft P -field. Characterization and different properties of this concept are studied as well as the restriction concept of soft P -field is introduced.

Subject Classification: 12J05, 12J10, 06D72.

Keywords: Measure, Outer measure, Fuzzy set, Soft set and σ -field.

1. Introduction

σ – field is of a significant topic in measure theory. Wang [1] and Ahmed et al. [2-6] have studied many types of classes of sets, which are generalizations of σ -field as β – field, σ -ring and α – σ – field as well as explained some important results of these notions in measure space. Endou [7] has studied the σ -ring concept as a family $\mathfrak{M}$ such

* E-mail: hind.f.abbas35386@st.tu.edu.iq (Corresponding Author)

† E-mail: aalfayadh@yahoo.com

§ E-mail: hassan1962pl@tu.edu.iq

that it is closed under countable union and the difference between any two sets. In 2021 Ahmed et al. [8] have been studied the σ -field concept to define an outer measure. The idea of σ -field and field are studied by [9]. The notion of the soft set was studied by [10], where $\mathcal{W}$ is a universal set, and the set of parameters is denoted by $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to $\mathcal{W}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a nonempty subset of $\mathcal{E}$. Then a set of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}} = \{(a, \mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{A}}(a)) : a \in \mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})\}$ is called a soft set on $\mathcal{W}$, where $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})$ such that $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = \Phi$ if a not belong to $\mathcal{A}$. The null soft set is $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}$ or $\tilde{\Phi}$. $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ or $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is denotes to $\mathcal{A}$ -universal soft set. If $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E}$, then the $\mathcal{A}$ -universal soft set is called a universal soft set and denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$ or $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}} \tilde{\cup} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is symbolized to soft union for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and defined as the approximate function $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \cup \mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{B}}(a) \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{E}$. $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}} \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is symbolized to soft intersection for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$ which is define by the approximate function $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \cap \mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{B}}(a) \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{E}$.

2. The main results

We will involve the definition of soft set in the field, so we introduced the definition of *soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field* and proof many propositions and gave important examples.

Definition 2.1: A universal set $\mathcal{W}$ and a set of parameters $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to $\mathcal{W}$ and let $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ be a class of soft subsets of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$ ($\tilde{\mathfrak{M}} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}})$). Then $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ is called *soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field* on $\mathcal{W}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$ for short (*soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field* on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$) if the following statement holds:

- 1- $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$.
- 2- If $\mathcal{F}_{M_1}, \mathcal{F}_{M_2}, \dots \in \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{M_i} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$.
- 3- If $\mathcal{F}_{M_1}, \mathcal{F}_{M_2}, \dots \in \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{M_i} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$.

Definition 2.2: $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{M}})$ is called soft measurable space over soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field, and each member in $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ is said soft measurable set, where $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is universal soft set and $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ is soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Example 2.3: Let $\mathcal{W} = \{\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2, \mathfrak{h}_3\}$ and $\mathcal{E} = \{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$. Define $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ as follows :

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{M}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}, \{(c_1, \{\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2\}), (c_2, \{\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_3\}), (c_3, \{\mathfrak{h}_1\})\}, \\ \{(c_1, \{\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_3\}), (c_2, \{\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2\}), (c_3, \{\mathfrak{h}_1\})\}, \\ \{(c_1, \{\mathfrak{h}_1\}), (c_2, \{\mathfrak{h}_1\}), (c_3, \{\mathfrak{h}_1\})\}, \\ \{(c_1, \mathcal{W}), (c_2, \mathcal{W}), (c_3, \{\mathfrak{h}_1\})\}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}} \end{array} \right\}. \quad \text{Then, } \tilde{\mathfrak{M}} \text{ is a soft } \mathcal{P}\text{-field on } \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

Proposition 2.4: Let $\{\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a collection of soft $\mathcal{P}$ -fields on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$. Then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\alpha}$ also, is the *soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field* on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$

Definition 2.5: A universal set $\mathcal{W}$ and a set of parameters $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to $\mathcal{W}$. If $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}})$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}})$ is the *soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field* generated by $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ which is the soft intersection of all *soft $\mathcal{P}$ -fields* on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$, that contains $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$.

Proposition 2.6: $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}})$ is smallest *soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$, that contains $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$.

Definition 2.7: Assume $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ is a *soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field* on $\mathcal{W}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi} \neq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}} \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$, then a restriction of $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ over $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is:

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} = \{ \mathcal{F}_N : \mathcal{F}_N = \mathcal{F}_M \widetilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B, \text{ where } \mathcal{F}_M \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}} \}.$$

Proposition 2.8: Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ is a soft $\mathcal{P}$ - field on $\mathcal{W}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and

$\mathcal{F}_{\Phi} \neq \mathcal{F}_B \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$, then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$ is a soft $\mathcal{P}$ - field on $\mathcal{W}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}_B$.

Proof: Since $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi} = \mathcal{F}_{\Phi} \widetilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B, \mathcal{F}_B = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}} \widetilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B$, Then $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{N_1}, \mathcal{F}_{N_2}, \dots \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$, then $\mathcal{F}_{N_k} = \mathcal{F}_{M_k} \widetilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B$ where $\mathcal{F}_{M_k} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}} \forall k = 1, 2, \dots$ then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{N_k} &= \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{F}_{M_k} \widetilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B) = (\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{M_k}) \widetilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B \text{ and} \\ \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{N_k} &= \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{F}_{M_k} \widetilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B) = (\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{M_k}) \widetilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B. \text{ But} \end{aligned}$$

$\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ is a soft $\mathcal{P}$ - field on $\mathcal{W}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{M_k} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}} \forall k = 1, 2, \dots$

Then $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{M_k}, \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{M_k} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$. So, we have $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{N_k}, \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{N_k} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$. Therefore, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$ is a soft $\mathcal{P}$ - field on $\mathcal{W}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}_B$.

Remark 2.9: A universal set $\mathcal{W}$ and a set of parameters $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to $\mathcal{W}$. If $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}})$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi} \neq \mathcal{F}_B \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}})|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$ is soft $\mathcal{P}$ - field on $\mathcal{W}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}_B$.

Lemma 2.10: A universal set $\mathcal{W}$ and a set of parameters $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to $\mathcal{W}$. If $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}})$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi} \neq \mathcal{F}_B \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$. Define a class $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}) = \widetilde{\cap} \{ \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\alpha}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} : \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\alpha}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} \text{ is a soft } \mathcal{P} - \text{field on } \mathcal{F}_B \text{ and } \tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\alpha}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} \forall \alpha \in I \}$, then $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B})$ is smallest soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field on $\mathcal{F}_B$ which contain $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$.

Proof: Since $\forall \alpha \in I$, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\alpha}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$ is a soft $\mathcal{P}$ - field on $\mathcal{F}_B$, then from the definition of soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field directly $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B})$ is soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field on $\mathcal{F}_B$.

Assume $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\alpha}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$ is a soft $\mathcal{P}$ - field on $\mathcal{F}_B$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\alpha}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} \forall \alpha \in I$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\alpha}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$, hence $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B})$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$ is a soft $\mathcal{P}$ - field on $\mathcal{F}_B$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$. Then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} \supseteq \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B})$. Therefore, the prove is complete.

Proposition 2.11 > A universal set $\mathcal{W}$ and a set of parameters $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to $\mathcal{W}$. If $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}})$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi} \neq \mathcal{F}_B \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$. Define a class $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}} = \{ \mathcal{F}_M \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{F}_M \widetilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}) \}$, then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ also is a soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field on $\mathcal{W}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Proof: $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B})$ is a soft $\mathcal{P}$ - field on $\mathcal{F}_B$ from the previous lemma.

So, $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}, \mathcal{F}_B \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B})$. Since $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi} = \mathcal{F}_{\Phi} \widetilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B$ and $\mathcal{F}_B = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}} \widetilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B$, then we get $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$. Assume that $\mathcal{F}_{M_1}, \mathcal{F}_{M_2}, \dots \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$. Then $(\mathcal{F}_{M_i} \widetilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B) \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}) \forall i=1, 2, \dots$. Now, $(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{M_i}) \widetilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{F}_{M_i} \widetilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B)$ and $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{M_i}) \widetilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{F}_{M_i} \widetilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B)$. Since $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B})$ is a soft $\mathcal{P}$ - field on $\mathcal{F}_B$ and $(\mathcal{F}_{M_i} \widetilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B) \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}) \forall i=1, 2, \dots$ Then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{F}_{M_i} \widetilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B) \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B})$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{F}_{M_i} \widetilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B) \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B})$. Thus $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{M_i} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{M_i} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$.

Therefore, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ is a soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field on $\mathcal{W}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Theorem 2.12: A universal set $\mathcal{W}$ and a set of parameters $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to $\mathcal{W}$. If $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}})$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi} \neq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}} \tilde{\subset} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$. Then $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}}) = \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}})|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}}$.

Proof: From Proposition 2.6: we will get $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}})$ is a soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field on $\mathcal{W}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$. Hence from Proposition 2.8: we will have $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}})|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}}$ is a soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Assume $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{N}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$ where $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}$. But $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}})$, so we have $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}})$ and thus $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{N}} \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}})|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}}$.

Hence $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}})|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}})|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}}$ is soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$ that contains $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}}$. But by Lemma 2.10: $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}})$ is smallest is soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$ that contains $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}}$, which implies that $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}}) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}})|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}}$.

Now, if we define $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ as below :

$\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}} = \{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\subset} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}} \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}})\}$, then by Proposition 2.11:

We will get $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ is a soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field on $\mathcal{W}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}$, then $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}}$, but $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}})$ implies that $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}) \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}})$, hence $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$.

Now, if $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{N}} \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}})|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}}$, then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$, where $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}})$.

But $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}) \subseteq \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$, leads to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$, hence $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{N}} \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}})$. Consequentially, $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}})|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}})$. This completes the proof.

Proposition 2.13: Suppose $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ is a soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field on $\mathcal{W}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{\subset} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}} \tilde{\subset} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}} \text{ such that } \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}, \text{ then } \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}}.$$

Proof: Since $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{\subset} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$, then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$, but $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$, hence $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}}$ by the definition of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}}$.

Note 2.14: Suppose $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ is soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field on $\mathcal{W}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$ &

$$\mathcal{F}_{\Phi} \neq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}} \tilde{\subset} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}. \text{ Then not necessarily that } \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}} \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}} \text{ and } \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}} \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}}.$$

The following examples explain these facts.

Example 2.15: Let $\mathcal{W} = \{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_3\}$ and $\mathcal{E} = \{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$. Define $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ as follows :

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}, \{(c_1, \{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2\}), (c_2, \{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_3\}), (c_3, \{\mathcal{H}_1\})\}, \\ \{(c_1, \{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_3\}), (c_2, \{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2\}), (c_3, \{\mathcal{H}_1\})\}, \\ \{(c_1, \{\mathcal{H}_1\}), (c_2, \{\mathcal{H}_1\}), (c_3, \{\mathcal{H}_1\})\}, \\ \{(c_1, \mathcal{W}), (c_2, \mathcal{W}), (c_3, \{\mathcal{H}_1\})\}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ is soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$. Put $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}} = \{(c_1, \{\mathcal{H}_1\}), (c_2, \{\mathcal{H}_2\}), (c_3, \{\mathcal{H}_3\})\}$, then $\{\mathcal{H}_k\} \subseteq \mathcal{W} \forall k = 1, 2, 3$. Hence $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}} \tilde{\subset} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$. So, we have $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi} = \mathcal{F}_{\Phi} \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}} \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \{(c_1, \{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2\}), (c_2, \{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_3\}), (c_3, \{\mathcal{H}_1\})\} \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}} &= \{(c_1, \{\mathcal{H}_1\})\}, \\ \{(c_1, \{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_3\}), (c_2, \{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2\}), (c_3, \{\mathcal{H}_1\})\} \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}} &= \{(c_1, \{\mathcal{H}_1\}), (c_2, \{\mathcal{H}_2\})\}, \\ \{(c_1, \{\mathcal{H}_1\}), (c_2, \{\mathcal{H}_1\}), (c_3, \{\mathcal{H}_1\})\} \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}} &= \{(c_1, \{\mathcal{H}_1\})\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\{(c_1, \mathcal{W}), (c_2, \mathcal{W}), (c_3, \{\mathcal{H}_1\})\} \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B = \{(c_1, \{\mathcal{H}_1\}), (c_2, \{\mathcal{H}_2\})\}.$$

$$\text{Thus } \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} = \{\mathcal{F}_\Phi, \{(c_1, \{\mathcal{H}_1\})\}, \{(c_1, \{\mathcal{H}_1\}), (c_2, \{\mathcal{H}_2\})\}, \mathcal{F}_B\}.$$

Now, $\{(c_1, \{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2\}), (c_2, \{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_3\}), (c_3, \{\mathcal{H}_1\})\}$ belong to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ but it does not belong to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$ and $\{(c_1, \{\mathcal{H}_1\}), (c_2, \{\mathcal{H}_2\})\}$ belong to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$ but it does not belong to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$.

In the following proposition, we put a necessary and sufficient condition for us to obtain $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$.

Proposition 2.16: Assume $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ is soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field on $\mathcal{W}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{F}_\Phi \neq \mathcal{F}_B \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$. If $\mathcal{F}_B \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$, then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} = \{\mathcal{F}_C \subseteq \mathcal{F}_B : \mathcal{F}_C \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}\}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$.

Proof: Assume $\mathcal{F}_N \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$, then $\mathcal{F}_N = \mathcal{F}_M \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B$, where $\mathcal{F}_M \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$.

Since $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ is soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field on $\mathcal{W}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{F}_M, \mathcal{F}_B \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$, then $\mathcal{F}_N = \mathcal{F}_M \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$, hence $\mathcal{F}_N \in \{\mathcal{F}_C \subseteq \mathcal{F}_B : \mathcal{F}_C \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}\}$.

Therefore, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} \subseteq \{\mathcal{F}_C \subseteq \mathcal{F}_B : \mathcal{F}_C \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}\}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_D \in \{\mathcal{F}_C \subseteq \mathcal{F}_B : \mathcal{F}_C \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}\}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_D \subseteq \mathcal{F}_B$ and $\mathcal{F}_D \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$. Hence $\mathcal{F}_D = \mathcal{F}_D \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_B$, thus $\mathcal{F}_D \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$ because $\mathcal{F}_D \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$. So, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} \supseteq \{\mathcal{F}_C \subseteq \mathcal{F}_B : \mathcal{F}_C \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}\}$. Then, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} = \{\mathcal{F}_C \subseteq \mathcal{F}_B : \mathcal{F}_C \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}\}$. To claim $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$, assume $\mathcal{F}_C \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$, then $\mathcal{F}_C \subseteq \mathcal{F}_B$ and $\mathcal{F}_C \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$.

Remark 2.17: Suppose $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ is soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field on $\mathcal{W}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$ &

$$\mathcal{F}_\Phi \neq \mathcal{F}_B \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon, \text{ if } \mathcal{F}_B = \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon, \text{ then } \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}.$$

Proof: Since $\mathcal{F}_B = \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$, then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} = \{\mathcal{F}_C \subseteq \mathcal{F}_B : \mathcal{F}_C \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}\}$.

Hence for $\mathcal{F}_C \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$, we get $\mathcal{F}_C \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$. For any $\mathcal{F}_M \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$, then $\mathcal{F}_M \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{F}_B$. Hence $\mathcal{F}_M \in \{\mathcal{F}_C \subseteq \mathcal{F}_B : \mathcal{F}_C \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}\} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$. Thus $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$.

Conclusion

The main results of this work are if $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ is a soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field on $\mathcal{W}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$ & $\mathcal{F}_\Phi \neq \mathcal{F}_B \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$, then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$ is a soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field on $\mathcal{W}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}_B$. $\mathcal{P}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B})$ is smallest soft $\mathcal{P}$ -field on $\mathcal{F}_B$ which contain $\widetilde{\mathfrak{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$ as well as where A universal set $\mathcal{W}$ and a set of parameters $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to $\mathcal{W}$. If $\widetilde{\mathfrak{J}} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon)$ and $\mathcal{F}_\Phi \neq \mathcal{F}_B \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$. Then $\mathcal{P}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{J}}|_{\mathcal{F}_B}) = \mathcal{P}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{J}})|_{\mathcal{F}_B}$.

References

- [1] Z. Wang and G. Klir, Generalized Measure Theory, 1st ed. Springer Science and Business Media, LLC, New York (2009).
- [2] I. S. Ahmed and H. H. Ebrahim, "Generalizations of σ -field and new collections of sets noted by δ -field," AIP Conf. Proc., vol. 2096, no. 20019, p. 020019-1 - 020019-6 (2019), doi: 10.1063/1.5097816.

- [3] I. S. Ahmed and H. H. Ebrahim, "On α -field and β -field," *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 1294, no. 3 (2019), doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1294/3/032015.
- [4] S. H. Asaad, I. S. Ahmed, and H. H. Ebrahim, "On Finitely Null-additive and Finitely Weakly Null-additive Relative to the σ -ring," *Baghdad Sci. J.*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 1148–1154 (2022).
- [5] I. S. Ahmed and H. H. Ebrahim, "On measure relatively to fuzzy σ -algebra," *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 2322, no. 1 (2022), doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/2322/1/012020.
- [6] I. S. Ahmed, H. H. Ebrahim, and A. Al-Fayadh, " γ - algebra of Sets and Some of its Properties," *Iraqi J. Sci.*, vol. 63, no. 11, pp. 4918–4927 (2022), doi: 10.24996/ijsc.2022.63.11.28.
- [7] N. Endou, K. Nakasho, and Y. Shidama, " σ -ring and σ -algebra of Sets," *Formaliz. Math.*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 51–57 (2015), doi: 10.2478/forma-2015-0004.
- [8] I. S. Ahmed, S. H. Asaad, and H. H. Ebrahim, "Some new properties of an outer measure on a σ -field," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 947–952 (2021), doi: 10.1080/09720502.2021.1884386.
- [9] R. B. ASH, *Real Analysis and Probability*, 1st ed. Academic Press (1972).
- [10] N. Çağman, S. Karata, and S. Enginoglu, "Soft topology," *Comput. Math. with Appl.*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 351–358 (2011), doi: 10.1016/j.camwa.2011.05.016.