

On semi-open sets in grill nano topological spaces

Ahmed Sh. Mohamed *

R. B. Esmael [†]

Department of Mathematics

College of Education for Pure Science (Ibn Al Haitham)

Baghdad University

Baghdad

Iraq

Abstract

Open sets may be viewed as an extension of semi-open sets by applying the notions of semi-open sets and grill nano to nGs-open sets, with the following four goals in mind: The objective is to characterize nGs-open sets by examining and proving numerous of its attributes and comments. And investigate and define new kinds of functions based on the concept of nGs-open sets.

Subject Classification: 18B30, 28C15.

Keywords: Nano Grill, Nano Grill semi-open, Grill nano topological space, ηG_s $\dot{O}$ -semi-open sets.

1. Introduction

The concept for grill topological spaces rests on using two operators: and. The pioneer of this concept was Choquet [1]. Some parallels have been discovered between the Choquet concept and ideas, nets, and filters. Several hypotheses and characteristics have been discussed in [2–5]. It allows for the growth of the topological assembly utilized to account for intangibles like love, intelligence, beauty, instructional quality, etc.

Additionally, it broadens the frontiers of Nano topological spaces by employing the concept of grill modifications in the lower approximation, the upper approximation, and the boundary region. First proposed in 1970 by Levine [6], enlarging closed sets is widely credited as a breakthrough in the field. Lower, higher, and boundary estimates of a subset of a cosmic set with an

* E-mail: shakr3394@gmail.com (Corresponding Author)

[†] E-mail: Ranamumosa@yahoo.com; Rana.b.i@ihcoedu.uobaghdad.edu.iq

important basis are the foundation on which the concept of Nano topological assemblage rests.

Also, the concept of Nano is used to introduce the definitions of the closed set, the interior set, and the closure set. Lellis [7] first developed this concept in 2013. The primary goal of this study is to embed a grill into a space consisting of a generalized closed Nano topology. We've established contact with some very important people.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1:[4] A Grill is a nonempty collection of nonempty subsets of a topological space χ .

1. $A \in G$ and $A \subseteq B \subseteq \chi$ then $B \in G$
2. $A, B \subseteq \chi$ and $A \cup B \in G$ then $A \in G$ or $B \in G$. [3], [8]

Assuming that χ is a nonempty set, the following sets are grills on χ .

- $\emptyset$ & $\mathcal{P}(\chi) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ are examples of trivial grills on χ .
- G_∞ is the grill of all infinite subset of χ .
- $G_{\mathbb{C}\emptyset}$ is the grill of all uncountable subsets of χ .
- $G_p = \{A: A \in \mathcal{P}(\chi), p \in A\}$ is a certain point grill on χ .
- $G_A = \{B: B \in \mathcal{P}(\chi), B \cap A^c \neq \emptyset\}$.

* If (χ, τ) is a topological space, and so the set of the all dense subset that does not already exist here is known as $G = \{A: \text{int}(\text{Cl}(A)) \neq \emptyset\}$ is one kind of grill on χ [4].

* Suppose that G a grill on (χ, τ) . A mapping $\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{P}(\chi) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\chi)$ is also known as $\mathcal{G}(A) = \{\chi \in \chi: A \cap \hat{u} \in G \text{ for every } \hat{u} \in \tau; \chi \in \hat{u}\}$ for every $A \in \mathcal{P}(\chi)$. A mapping $\psi: \mathcal{P}(\chi) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\chi)$ is also known as $\psi(A) = A \cup \mathcal{G}(A)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{P}(\chi)$. [9]

Kuratowski's Axioms of Closure for the map ψ are verified: [9], [3], [10]

1. $\psi(\emptyset) = \emptyset$,
2. when $A \subseteq B$, then $\psi(A) \subseteq \psi(B)$,
3. when $A \subseteq \chi$, then $\psi(\psi(A)) = \psi(A)$,
4. when $A, B \subseteq \chi$, then $\psi(A \cup B) = \psi(A) \cup \psi(B)$.

Definition 2.2: [9] There exists a special topology $t_G = \{\hat{u} \subseteq \chi: \psi(\chi - \hat{u}) = (\chi - \hat{u})\}$, when for any $A \subseteq \chi$ that corresponds inside the topological space, to a grill G (χ, τ) .

$$\psi(A) = A \cup \mathcal{G}(A) = t_G - \text{Cl}(A) \text{ and } \tau \subseteq t_G.$$

Remark 2.3: [4] If $G = \mathcal{P}(\chi) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, then $t_G = \tau$.

Remark 2.4: [3] We can find t_G by using the base as follows

$$\mathcal{B}(t_G, \tau) = \{\chi - A; \chi \in \tau, A \notin G\}$$

Definition 2.5:[9] Let $\chi \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{R}$ be an equivalence relation on χ , $\mathbb{A} \subseteq \chi$.

1. The upper approximation of $\mathbb{A}$ for $\mathbb{R}$ is denoted by $\overline{\mathbb{R}_w}(\mathbb{A})$, where

$$\overline{\mathbb{R}_w}(\mathbb{A}) = \cup_{\chi \in \chi} \{\mathbb{R}(\chi) : \mathbb{R}(\chi) \cap \mathbb{A} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

2. The lower approximation of $\mathbb{A}$ for $\mathbb{R}$ is denoted by $\underline{\mathbb{R}_w}(\mathbb{A})$, where

$$\underline{\mathbb{R}_w}(\mathbb{A}) = \cup_{\chi \in \chi} \{\mathbb{R}(\chi) : \mathbb{R}(\chi) \subseteq \mathbb{A}\}.$$

3. The boundary region of $\mathbb{A}$ for $\mathbb{R}$ is denoted by $\mathbb{B}_w(\mathbb{A})$, where

$$\mathbb{B}_w(\mathbb{A}) = \overline{\mathbb{R}_w}(\mathbb{A}) - \underline{\mathbb{R}_w}(\mathbb{A}).$$

Definition 2.6:[7] Let $\chi \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{R}$ be an equivalence relation on χ and

$\mathbb{t}_w(\mathbb{A}) = \{\chi, \emptyset, \overline{\mathbb{R}_w}(\mathbb{A}), \underline{\mathbb{R}_w}(\mathbb{A}), \mathbb{B}_w(\mathbb{A})\}$, where $\mathbb{A} \subseteq \chi$. Then $\mathbb{t}_w(\mathbb{A})$ is a topology on χ named nano topology for $\mathbb{A}$ ($\chi, \mathbb{t}_w(\mathbb{A})$ space is known as nano topological. The components of $\mathbb{t}_w(\mathbb{A})$ are called nano-open sets denoted by η - open sets. The supplement of a η - open sets is named a nano-closed set denoted by η - closed sets.

Definition 2.7:[7], [11] Let $(\chi, \mathbb{t}_w)$ be N.T.S (nano topological space) and $\mathbb{A} \subseteq \chi$. The nano closure (respectively, nano interior) of $\mathbb{A}$ which is short $\eta\mathbb{C}l_w(\mathbb{A})$ (respectively, $\eta\text{int}_w(\mathbb{A})$) is defined by; $\eta\mathbb{C}l_w(\mathbb{A}) = \cap \{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^c \in \mathbb{t}_w, \mathbb{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F}\}$, (resp., $\eta\text{int}_w(\mathbb{A}) = \eta\text{int}_w(\mathbb{A}) = \{\hat{u}; \hat{u} \in \mathbb{t}_w, \hat{u} \in \mathbb{A}\}$).

Remark 2.8: We said the triple $(\chi, \mathbb{t}_w, \mathbb{G})$ G.N.T.S (Grill nano topological space).

Definition 2.9:[7] Let $(\chi, \mathbb{t}_w)$ be an N.T.S, a subset $\mathbb{A}$ of χ is named N.S.O (nano semi-open set) if $\mathbb{A} \subseteq \eta\mathbb{C}l_w(\eta\text{int}_w(\mathbb{A})) \Leftrightarrow \exists \hat{u} \in \mathbb{t}_w; \hat{u} \subseteq \mathbb{A} \subseteq \eta\mathbb{C}l_w(\hat{u})$. A subset $\mathbb{B}$ of χ is called N.S.C(nano semi-closed) if $(\chi - \mathbb{B})$ is N.S.O set The collection of all N.S.O (respectively, N.S.C) sets in a nano topological space $(\chi, \mathbb{t}_w)$. will be symbolized by $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{O}(\chi)$ (respectively, $\eta\mathcal{G}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}(\chi)$).

Definition 2.10: There exists a special topology $\eta\mathbb{t}_{w\mathbb{G}} = \{\hat{u} \subseteq \chi : \psi(\chi - \hat{u}) = (\chi - \hat{u})\}$, when for any $\mathbb{A} \subseteq \chi$ that corresponds to a nano grill $\mathbb{G}$ on the topological space $(\chi, \mathbb{t}_w)$.

$$\psi(\mathbb{A}) = \mathbb{A} \cup \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A}) = \eta\mathbb{t}_{w\mathbb{G}} - \mathbb{C}l(\mathbb{A}) \text{ and } \mathbb{t}_w \subseteq \eta\mathbb{t}_{w\mathbb{G}}.$$

Definition 2.11: Let $(\chi, \mathbb{t}_w)$ be N.T.S and $\mathbb{A} \subseteq \chi$. The nano closure (respectively, nano interior) of $\mathbb{A}$ which is short $\eta\mathbb{C}l_w(\mathbb{A})$ (respectively, $\eta\text{int}_w(\mathbb{A})$) is defined by; $\eta\mathbb{C}l_{w\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{A}) = \cap \{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^c \in \mathbb{t}_w, \mathbb{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F}\}$, (resp., $\eta\text{int}_{w\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{A}) = \eta\text{int}_w(\mathbb{A}) = \{\hat{u}; \hat{u} \in \mathbb{t}_w, \hat{u} \in \mathbb{A}\}$).

3. On semi-open in grill nano topological spaces

Definition 3.1: For any G.T.S (Grill topological space) $(\chi, \mathbb{t}_G)$ and $\mathbb{A} \subseteq \chi$; $\mathbb{A}$ nano Grill is considered semi-open if it exists $\hat{u} \in \mathbb{t}_w; \hat{u} - \mathbb{A} \notin \mathbb{G}$ and $\mathbb{A} - \eta\mathbb{C}l_{w\mathbb{G}}(\hat{u}) \notin \mathbb{G}$. And $\mathbb{A}$ denoted by $\eta\mathcal{G}\mathcal{S}$ -open. $\chi - \mathbb{A}$ is a nano Grill semi-

closed and denoted by ηG_S -closed the collection of all ηG_S -open presently by $\eta G_S \check{O}(\chi)$ the collection of all ηG_S -closed presently by $\eta G_S \check{C}(\chi)$

Example 3.2: Let (χ, t_w, G) be an N.G.T.S to be a nano grill

$$\begin{aligned}\chi &= \{\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \chi_4\}, \\ G &= \{\hat{u} \subseteq \chi; \chi_2 \in \hat{u}\} \\ G &= \{\{\chi_2\}, \{\chi_1, \chi_2\}, \{\chi_3, \chi_2\}, \{\chi_4, \chi_2\}, \{\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3\}, \{\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_4\}, \{\chi_2, \chi_3, \chi_4\}, \chi\} \\ R &= \{(\chi_1, \chi_1), (\chi_2, \chi_2), (\chi_3, \chi_3), (\chi_4, \chi_4), (\chi_2, \chi_4), (\chi_4, \chi_2)\} \\ R \setminus [\chi] &= \{\{\chi_1\}, \{\chi_2, \chi_4\}, \{\chi_3\}\} \\ w &\subseteq \chi, w = \{2, 3\}, \\ t_w &= \{\chi, \emptyset, \{3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}\} \\ B &= \{v - A; v \in t_w \wedge A \notin G\} \\ B &= \{\chi, \emptyset, \{\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_4\}, \{\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3\}, \{\chi_2, \chi_3, \chi_4\}, \{\chi_2, \chi_3\}, \{\chi_2, \chi_4\}, \{\chi_1, \chi_2\}, \{\chi_2\}, \{\chi_3\}\} \\ &= t_{wG} \\ \therefore \eta G_S \check{O}(\chi) &= \mathcal{P}(\chi)\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.3:

1. Every nano open set is a ηG_S -open sets.
2. Every nano closed set is a ηG_S -closed.

Proposition 3.4: Let A be a ηG_S -open sets and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \chi$ for each $\hat{u} \in t_w$. $A \subseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \eta G_S \check{C}_w(\hat{u})$ then $\mathcal{D} \in \eta G_S$ -open sets, whenever $\hat{u} \neq \emptyset \neq \mathcal{D}$

Remark 3.5: The concepts ηG_S -open sets set and nano semi-open set are independent See [Example (3.6) and Example (3.7)].

Example 3.6: Let (χ, t_w, G) any nano grill topology and $\chi = \{\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}G &= \{\hat{u}; \chi_1 \in \hat{u}\}, G = \{\{\chi_1\}, \{\chi_1, \chi_2\}, \{\chi_1, \chi_3\}, \chi\} \\ R &= \{(\chi_1, \chi_1), (\chi_2, \chi_2), (\chi_3, \chi_3), (\chi_2, \chi_3), (\chi_3, \chi_2), \\ R \setminus [\chi] &= \{\{\chi_2, \chi_3\}, \{\chi_1\}\}, \text{let } w = \{\chi_1, \chi_3\} \\ t_w &= \{\chi, \emptyset, \{\chi_1\}, \{\chi_2, \chi_3\}\} \\ \eta G_S \check{O}(\chi) &= \mathcal{P}(\chi), \eta G_S \check{O}(\chi) \in \hat{u}\end{aligned}$$

Hence, it's clear that $\{\chi_2\} \in \eta G_S \check{O}(\chi)$ but $\{\chi_2\} \notin \eta G_S \check{O}(\chi)$.

Example 3.7: Let (χ, t_w, G) any nano grill topology and $\chi = \{\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \chi_4\}$

$$\begin{aligned}G &= \mathcal{P}(\chi) \setminus \{\chi_3, \emptyset\} \\ R &= \{(\chi_1, \chi_1), (\chi_2, \chi_2), (\chi_3, \chi_3), (\chi_4, \chi_4), (\chi_1, \chi_4), (\chi_4, \chi_1)\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R \setminus [\chi] &= \{\{\chi_1, \chi_4\}, \{\chi_3\}, \{\chi_2\}\} \\
w &= \{\chi_1, \chi_3\} \\
t_w &= \{\chi, \emptyset, \{\chi_3\}, \{\chi_1, \chi_4\}, \{\chi_1, \chi_3, \chi_4\}\} \\
B &= \{\chi, \emptyset, \{\chi_3\}, \{\chi_1, \chi_4\}, \{\chi_1, \chi_3, \chi_4\}, \{\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_4\}\} = \eta t_{wG} \\
\text{Let } A &= \{\chi_2, \chi_3\}, \exists \hat{u} \in t_w; \hat{u} - A \notin G \wedge A - \eta \zeta_{l_{wG}}(\hat{u}) \notin G \\
\{\chi_2, \chi_3\} &\in \eta \check{S}\check{O}(\chi), \text{ since } \zeta_{l_{wG}}\{\chi_2, \chi_3\} = \{\chi_2, \chi_3\} \text{ but } \{\chi_2, \chi_3\} \notin \eta G_{\check{S}}\check{O}(\chi)
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.8: If $G = \mathcal{P}(\chi) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, then $t_w = t_{wG}$

Proposition 3.9: Let (χ, t_w, G) be an N.G.T. (nano grill topological space) and A is a subset of χ . If $G = \mathcal{P}(\chi) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. $\eta G_{\check{S}} - \text{open sets} \leftrightarrow A$ is Nano semi-open set. Then A is $\eta G_{\check{S}} - \text{open sets}$

Lemma 3.10: $\cup_{i \in \Lambda} (\eta \zeta_{l_{wG}}(A_i)) \subseteq (\eta \zeta_{l_{wG}}(\cup_{i \in \Lambda} A_i))$

Proof: $A_i \subseteq \cup_{i \in \Lambda} A_i, \eta \zeta_{l_{wG}}(A_i) \subseteq \eta \zeta_{l_{wG}}(\cup_{i \in \Lambda} A_i), (\eta \zeta_{l_{wG}}(A_i)) \subseteq (\eta \zeta_{l_{wG}}(\cup_{i \in \Lambda} A_i)), \cup_{i \in \Lambda} (\eta \zeta_{l_{wG}}(A_i)) \subseteq (\eta \zeta_{l_{wG}}(\cup_{i \in \Lambda} A_i))$

Theorem 3.11: The union of any family of $\eta G_{\check{S}} - \text{open sets}$ is a $\eta G_{\check{S}} - \text{open sets}$.

Remark 3.12: The intersection of any two $\eta G_{\check{S}} - \text{open sets}$ need not be $\eta G_{\check{S}} - \text{open sets}$.

Example 3.13: Let $\chi = \{\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \chi_4\}$, $G = \mathcal{P}(\chi) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$

$$R = \{(\chi_1, \chi_1), (\chi_2, \chi_2), (\chi_3, \chi_3), (\chi_4, \chi_4), (\chi_1, \chi_4), (\chi_4, \chi_1)\}$$

$$R \setminus [\chi] = \{\{\chi_1, \chi_4\}, \{\chi_2\}, \{\chi_3\}\} \text{ Let } w = \{\chi_1, \chi_3\}$$

$$t_w = \{\chi, \emptyset, \{\chi_1, \chi_4\}, \{\chi_3\}, \{\chi_1, \chi_3, \chi_4\}\}$$

Let $A = \{\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_4\}$, and let $D = \{\chi_2, \chi_3\}$ $\{\chi_2, \chi_3\} \in \eta G_{\check{S}}\check{O}(\chi)$, $\{\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_4\} \in \eta G_{\check{S}}\check{O}(\chi)$, but $A \cap D = \{\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_4\} \cap \{\chi_2, \chi_3\} = \{\chi_2\} \notin \eta G_{\check{S}}\check{O}(\chi)$

$$\text{So, } \{\chi_2, \chi_4\} \in \eta \check{S}\check{O}(\chi) = \eta G_{\check{S}}\check{O}(\chi).$$

Remark 3.14: The family of all $\eta G_{\check{S}} - \text{open sets}$ is represented supra topology.

4. Conclusion

In this work, a new type of open set was studied using the concept of nano-topology and grill, called $\eta G_{\check{S}}$ -open sets. The properties of this set were studied. It was found that $\eta \check{S}\check{O}(\chi)$ represents a supra-topology space. Applying this notion defined new forms of functionality, and the relationship between these functions was found.

References

- [1] G. Choquet, Sur Les notions de filter et. grill, *Completes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris* 224, 171–173 (1947).
- [2] Al-Omari, T. Noiri, Decomposition of continuity via grilles, *Jordan J. Math. Stat.* 4 (1), 33–46 (2011).
- [3] Mustafa, M. O., Esmaeel, R.B. Some properties in grill-topological open and closed sets, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1897(1), 012038 (2021).
- [4] G. Choquet, Sur les notions de filtre et grille, *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris*, 224, 171-173 (1947).
- [5] Crossley, S. G. : Semi-closure. *Texas J. Sci.*, 22, 99-112 (1971).
- [6] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topological spaces, *Rend Circ. Mat. Palemo* 19, 89–96 (1970).
- [7] M. L. Thivagar, C. Richard, On Nano forms of weakly open sets, *Int. J. Math. Stat. Invention* 1 (1), 31–37 (2013).
- [8] A. J. Mahmood, A.I. Nasir, Connectedness via Generalizations of Semi-Open Sets, *Ibn Al Haitham Journal for Pure and Applied Sciences*, 35(4), 235-240 (2022).
- [9] Z. pawlak, Rough sets, *Int. J. Comput. Inf. Sci.* 11 (5), 341–356 (1982).
- [10] E. Hatir and S. Jafari, On some new decomposition of continuity via grills, *J. Adv. Math. Studies*, 3(1), 33-40 (2010).
- [11] Esmaeel, R. B., Mohammad, R. J. On Nano soft J-semi-g-closed sets, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1591(1), 012071 (2020).
- [12] R. A. Muneshwar & K. L. Bondar. Some results of inclusion graph of a topology, *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, 25:1, 135-145 (2022), DOI: 10.1080/09720529.2021.1969752.