

Fuzzy scl–semi 2–ABSO submd and related concepts

Mustafa B. Salman *

Wafaa H. Hanoon [†]

Department of Mathematics

College of Education

University of Kufa

Kufa

Iraq

Abstract

The F -semi-2-ABSO and the F -scl are compared in this study to see how they interact. In order to respond to this inquiry, we present a new notion called as fuzzy socle semi-2-ABSO submd. The findings revealed a number of characteristics that lent credence to the new concept. The connection between F -semi-2-ABSO and F -scl-2-ABSO is also discovered using straightforward algebraic techniques. In addition, we investigated the F -scl-semi-2-ABSO submd structure for F -direct sum and homomorphic picture. It also covered how F -scl-semi-2-ABSO submd relates to other F -submd types. The findings from this research are important for the definition of new F -scl semi-2-ABSO concepts.

Subject Classification: Primary 08A72, Secondary 06D72.

Keywords: F -semi prime submds, F -Scl-2-ABSO submds, F -scl–semi-2-ABSO submds, F -scl-semi 2-ABSO ideal.

Introduction

A F -subset A of X was described in 1965 by Zadeh [10] as a function from X to the unit interval $[0,1]$. Ago then, the notion of F -sets has been explored in other domin. This concept has applications in artificial intelligence, computer science, decision theory, logic, control engineering, medicine, expert systems, and other domains. A historical branch of pure mathematics, algebra, inserted the concept of F -sets. Rosenfeld [1] introduced F -subgroups and the F -subgroupoid in 1971. The concepts of F -modls and F -submd were first

* E-mail: mustafaalghazalialghazali@gmail.com (Corresponding Author)

[†] E-mail: wafaah.hannon@uokufa.edu.iq

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-3543-7814

introduced by Ralescu and Negoita in 1975 [2]. F-quotient modls and F-finitely produced submd [3]. Therefore, Saikia an[8] constructed F-essential submd and investigated their properties. This was also examined in this article, all rings with identity are commutative. Modl theory over a commutative ring takes a significant turn thanks to prime submd [4, 15]. The idea of a *prime fuzzy submodule* was inserted by Rabi [7] in (2001): Let X be a F-modl of a W-modl G , a F-submd U of X is pointed to as prime fuzzy if $r_b m_t \subseteq U$, together with r_b is F-singl. of W and $m_t \subseteq X$, leading to one of two $m_t \subseteq U$ or $r_b \subseteq [U : X]$ for every $t, b \in [0, 1]$. Hadi [9] in 2004 proposed the idea of semiprime F-submd: Let $P \subset X$ a F-submd of a F-modl X of a W-modl G . P is pointed to as a semiprime F-submd if for each F-singl. r_k of W and $x_v \subseteq X$ such that $r_k^2 x_v \subseteq P$ implies $r_k x_v \subseteq P$. Saad [14], first proposed the concepts of F-scl-prime submd in 2022. A F-Scl-prime (also referred to as a F-Scl-prime) submd of X if when $r_b m_t \subseteq U$, with r_b is F-singl. of W and $m_t \subseteq X$, leading to one of two $m_t \subseteq U + F - Scl(X)$ or $r_b \subseteq [U + F - Scl(X) : X]$ for every $t, b \in [0, 1]$. Saad [14], also discussed the F-scl-semiprime submd: Let q_s F-singl. of W and m_t is F-singl. of X , then $U \subset X$ of a W-modl G is pointed to as a F-Scl-semi-prime (for short F-Scl-semi prime) submd of X if whenever $q_s^n m_t \subseteq U$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, implying that $q_s m_t \subseteq U + F - Scl(X)$ for each $t, s \in [0; 1]$. In (2019), Wafaa[5], introduced the idea of 2-ABSO F-submd: Let X be a F-modl of a W-modl G , a F-submd U of X is pointed to as 2-ABSO if $q_s r_b m_t \subseteq U$, with q_s, r_b are F-singl. of W and m_t is F-singl. of X , leading to one of two $q_s m_t \subseteq U$ or $r_b m_t \subseteq U$ or $q_s r_b \subseteq [U : X]$ for each $t, b, s \in [0; 1]$. Wafaa [16] proposed the idea of a semi-2-ABSO F-submd in (2019) as well: Let X be a F-modl of a W-modl G , a F-submd U of X is pointed to as a semi-2-ABSO if $q_s^2 m_t \subseteq U$, with q_s F-singl. of W and m_t is F-singl. of X , implying that either $q_s m_t \subseteq U$ or $q_s^2 \subseteq [U :_R X]$ for each $t, s \in [0, 1]$. In 2022, Saad [14] introduced the F-Scl-2-ABSO submd concept: Let $U \subset X$ of a W-modl G is pointed to as a F-scl-2-Absorbing (for abbreviation F-Scl-2-ABSO) submd of X if when $r_b s_q m_t \subseteq U$, with r_b, s_q is F-singl. of W and $m_t \subseteq X$, leading that to one of three $r_b m_t \subseteq U + F - Scl(X)$ or $s_q m_t \subseteq U + F - Scl(X)$ or $r_b \subseteq [U + F - Scl(X) : X]$ every $t, b, q \in [0, 1]$. A F-modl X is F-simple if and only if X has only itself and 0_1 [13, 16]. If modl X is a summation of simple F-submd, So X is pointed to as a semi-simple. Moreover, a F-Scl-of X is pointed to as sum of simple F-submd of X and denoted by $F - Scl(X)$, that is X is r semi-simple if $X = F - Scl(X)$, [12]. Every non-empty F-submd A of a F-modl X of a W-modl G such that $A = (A :_R X)X$ if and only if X is multip. [12, 6]. Let P be a F-submd of a F-modl X of a W-modl G , then A is referred to as an irreducible F-submd if for all two F-submd B and K such that $B \cap K = A$ then $B = A$ or $K = A$, another way A is defined as reducible, [12].

In this article, the notion of *semi 2-ABSO F-submd* is generalized to *F-Scl-semi-2-Absorbing submd*. Two sections make up this article. We offer some fundamental definitions and attributes that we will request in the following section in section one. The *F-Scl-semi-2-ABSO submd's* many fundamental properties, outputs, and findings are tested in section 2. Note:

o.w., I, F-set, F-submd, F-ideal, F-modl, F-2-ABSO, F-singl., and $F - Scl - 2 - ABSO$ submd are abbreviations for *otherwise*, $[0,1]$, F-sets, ideals, submd, modls, and singl..

2. F – Scl– semi – 2– ABSO Submds

As a generalization of the F-Scl-2-ABSO submd, we provide the idea of a F – Scl – semi – 2 – ABSO submd in this section. Additionally, several F-Scl-semi-2-ABSO submd characterizations are offered.

Definition 2.1: Let a $F - modl$ X of a W -modl G and $P \subset X$, then P is known as $F - Scl - semi - 2 - ABSO$ submd of X if $a_n^2 z_m \subseteq X, \forall n, m \in I$, implies either $a_n x_m \subseteq P + F - Soc(X)$ or $a_n^2 \subseteq (P + F - Soc(X):X)$.

Furthermore, A proper F-ideal L of W is known as F-Scl-semi-2-ABSO ideal of W if $a_n^2 r_b \subseteq L, \forall n, b \in I$, implies either $a_n r_b \subseteq L + F - Scl(W)$ or $a_n^2 \subseteq L + F - Scl(W)$.

Definition 2.2: A proper F-submd K of a $F - modl$ X of a $W - modl$ G is called F-Scl-quasi prime submd of X if whenever $r_b s_q m_t \subseteq K$, with r_b, s_q are $F - singl.$ of W and $m_t \subseteq X$, implying that either $r_b m_t \subseteq K + F - Scl(X)$ or $s_q m_t \subseteq K + F - Scl(X)$

Remarks and Examples 2.3

1. For each $F - Scl - prime$ submd is F-Scl-semi-2-ABSO submd.

However, the opposite of (1) is not generally true, as in:

Let $X: Z \rightarrow I$ like that $X(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \in Z \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$

X is obviously F-modl of Z as Z -modl

Let $K: Z \rightarrow I$ such that $K(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = 0 \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } y \in 16Z - (0) \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$

It is evident K is F-submd of X

$$F - Scl(X)(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } y \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad K + F - SclX(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = 0 \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } y \in 16Z - (0) \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$$

$$(K + F - SclX(y):X)(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \in 16Z \\ 0 & \text{if } y \notin 16Z \end{cases}$$

Where $(16Z + Scl(Z):Z) = (16Z:Z) = 16Z$, K is F-Scl-semi-2-ABSO since $4\frac{2}{2} \cdot 2\frac{1}{3} = 32\frac{1}{3} \subseteq K$, since $(32) = \frac{3}{4} > \frac{1}{3}$, then $4\frac{2}{2} = 16\frac{1}{2} \subseteq (K + F - Scl(X):X)$, K , however, is not an F-Scl-prime submd since

$8\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2\frac{1}{3} = 16\frac{1}{3} \subseteq K$ since $K(16) = \frac{3}{4} > \frac{1}{3}$, $8\frac{1}{2} \notin K + F - Scl(X)$, and $2\frac{1}{3} \notin (K + F - Scl(X):X)$.

2. For each $F - Scl - semi\ prime\ submd$ is $F - Scl - semi - 2 - ABSO\ submd$.

But the reverse, not true in general, as in:

Let $X:Z \rightarrow I$ like that $X(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \in Z \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$

X is obviously $F - modl$ of Z as $Z - modl$

Let $K:Z \rightarrow I$ like that $K(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = 0 \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } y \in 4Z - (0) \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$

K is clearly the $F - submd$ of X

$$F - Scl(X)(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } y \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad K + F - Scl(X)(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = 0 \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } y \in 4Z - (0) \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$$

$$(K + F - Scl(X):X)(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \in 4Z \\ 0 & \text{if } y \notin 4Z \end{cases}$$

where $(4Z + Scl(Z):Z) = (4Z:Z) = 4Z$, K is $F - Scl - semi - 2 - ABSO$ since $2\frac{1}{2} \cdot 1\frac{1}{3} = 4\frac{1}{3} \subseteq K$, then $2\frac{1}{2} = 4\frac{1}{3} \subseteq (K + F - Scl(X):X)$, but K is not $F - Scl - semi\ prime\ submd$, since $2\frac{1}{2} \cdot 1\frac{1}{3} \notin K + F - Scl(X)$ since $(K + F - Scl(X))(2) = \frac{1}{4} \not\geq \frac{1}{3}$

3. For each $F - Scl - 2 - ABSO\ submd$ is $F - Scl - semi - 2 - ABSO\ submd$.

However, the opposite is not generally true, as in:

Let $X:Z \rightarrow I$ like that $X(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \in Z \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$

It is plainly that X is $F - modl$ of Z as $Z - modl$

Let $K:Z \rightarrow I$ like that $K(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = 0 \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } y \in 36Z - (0) \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$

K is clearly the $F - submd$ of X

$$F - SclX(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } y \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$K + F - SclX(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = 0 \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } y \in 36Z - (0) \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$$

$$(K + F - SclX:X)(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \in 36Z \\ 0 & \text{if } y \notin 36Z \end{cases}$$

Where $(36Z + Scl(Z):Z) = (36Z:Z) = 36Z$

K is F-Scl-semi-2-ABSO since $6\frac{2}{2} \cdot 2\frac{1}{3} = 72\frac{1}{3} \subseteq K$, then $6\frac{2}{2} = 36\frac{1}{2} \subseteq (K + F - Soc(X):X)$, but K is not F-Scl-2-ABSO, pick $6\frac{1}{2}, 2\frac{1}{3}$ are F-singl. of Z and $3\frac{1}{3} \subseteq X$, where $6\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2\frac{1}{3} \cdot 3\frac{1}{3} = 36\frac{1}{3} \subseteq K$ ago $K(36) = \frac{3}{4} > \frac{1}{3}$, but $6\frac{1}{2} \cdot 3\frac{1}{3} = 18\frac{1}{3} \not\subseteq K + F - Scl(X)$, $2\frac{1}{3} \cdot 3\frac{1}{3} = 6\frac{1}{3} \not\subseteq K + F - Scl(X)$, and $6\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2\frac{1}{3} = 12\frac{1}{3} \not\subseteq (K + F - SclX:X)$

4. For each $F - Scl - quasi\ prime\ submd$ is $F - Scl - semi - 2 - ABSO\ submd$.

the reverse not true see example in part (3)

5. For each F-semi-2-ABSO submd is F-Scl-semi 2-ABSO submd. The example below demonstrates how erroneous the converse is

Let $X:Z \rightarrow I$ like that $X(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \in Z_{36} \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$
 X is obviously the $F - modl$ of Z_{36} as $Z - modl$

Let $K:Z \rightarrow I$ such that $K(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } y \in (\overline{12}) \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$

K is clearly the $F - submd$ of X

$$F - Scl(X)(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \in (\overline{4}) \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } y \notin (\overline{4}) \end{cases} \quad K + F - Scl(X)(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \in (\overline{4}) \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } y \notin (\overline{4}) \end{cases}$$

$$(K + F - Scl(X):X)(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \in 4Z \\ 0 & \text{if } y \notin 4Z \end{cases}$$

$$(K:X)(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \in 12Z \\ 0 & \text{if } y \notin 12Z \end{cases}$$

Where $((\overline{12}) + Scl(Z_{36}):Z_{36}) = (12Z:Z) = 12Z$, K is $F - Scl - semi - 2 - ABSO\ submd$ since $2\frac{2}{2} \cdot 3\frac{1}{3} = 12\frac{1}{3} \subseteq K$ since $K(12) = \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{3}$, then $2\frac{2}{2} = 4\frac{1}{2} \subseteq (K + F - Scl(X):X)$, since $(K + F - Scl(X):X)(4) = 1 > \frac{1}{2}$, however K isn't F-semi-2-ABSO submd since $2\frac{2}{2} \cdot 3\frac{1}{3} = 12\frac{1}{3} \subseteq K$, but $2\frac{2}{2} \not\subseteq (K:X)$ since $(K:X)(2^2) = 0 \not> \frac{1}{2}$ and $2\frac{1}{2} \cdot 3\frac{1}{3} = 6\frac{1}{3} \not\subseteq K$ since $K(6) = 0 \not> \frac{1}{3}$

Proposition 2.4: Any F -submd of a semi-simple F -modl X is a F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO submd.

Proof: If P is a F -submd of X , let $h_a^2 x_v \subseteq P$ for F -singl. h_a of W and $x_v \subseteq X$, where $a, v \in I$, however X is semi-simple F -modl, for that $F\text{-Scl}(X)=X$, then $x_v \subseteq X = F - \text{Scl}(X) \subseteq P + F - \text{Scl}(X)$, hence $h_a x_v \subseteq h_a X = h_a F - \text{Scl}(X) \subseteq h_a(P + F - \text{Scl}(X)) \subseteq P + F - \text{Scl}(X)$. So that P is a F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO submd of X .

Proposition 2.5: Let P, H be a F -submds of a W -modl X of a W -modl G . Then P is a F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO submd if and only if

$r_s^2 H \subseteq P$ for F -singl. r_s of W , implies $r_s H \subseteq P + F - \text{Scl}(X)$ or $r_s^2 \subseteq (P + F - \text{Scl}(X):X)$

Proof: $\Rightarrow$ let $r_t^2 H \subseteq P$ for a F -singl. r_t of W .

Suppose there exists $x_v \subseteq H$ such that $r_t x_v \not\subseteq P + F - \text{Scl}(X)$, since $r_t^2 H \subseteq P$, hence $r_t^2 x_v \subseteq P$, but P is a F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO submd and $r_t x_v \not\subseteq P + F - \text{Scl}(X)$. Then $r_t^2 \subseteq [P + F - \text{Scl}(X):X]$

$\Leftarrow$ It is clear.

Proposition 2.5: Let X be a F -modl of a W -modl G and $P \subset X$. if P is a F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO submd of X , then $(P:X)$ is a F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO ideal.

Proof: Let $h_a k_b$ be a F -singl. of W , like that $h_a^2 k_b \subseteq (P:X)$, hence

$h_a^2 k_b X \subseteq P$, then $h_a^2 k_b x_v \subseteq P$ for $x_v \subseteq X$ and assume that $h_a^2 \not\subseteq (P:X)$. Since P is a F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO submd, hence $h_a k_b x_v \subseteq P + F - \text{Scl}(X)$. So that $h_a k_b \subseteq (P:X)$. Then $(P:X)$ is a F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO ideal.

We now provide the lemma that will be required in the following proposition.

Lemma 2.6: Let L and H be a F -ideals of W . Then L is F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO ideal of W if and only if $r_s^2 H \subseteq L$ for F -singl. r_s of W , implies $r_s H \subseteq L + F - \text{Scl}(W)$ or $r_s^2 \subseteq (P + F - \text{Scl}(W))$

Proof: $\Rightarrow$ let $r_s^2 H \subseteq L$ for a F -singl. r_s of W . Suppose there exists $b_v \subseteq H$ such that $r_s b_v \not\subseteq L + F - \text{Scl}(W)$, since $r_s^2 H \subseteq L$, hence $r_s^2 b_v \subseteq L$, but L is a F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO ideal, and $r_s b_v \not\subseteq L + F - \text{Scl}(W)$. Then $r_s^2 \subseteq L + F - \text{Scl}(W)$. Thus, L is F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO ideal of W

$\Leftarrow$ It's evident.

The opposite of Proposition 2.5 is true for the F-modl class of multip.:

Proposition 2.7: Let X be a F - *multip. modl* of a W - *modl* G and $P \subseteq X$. If $(P:X)$ is a F - semi - 2 - ABSO ideal, then P is a F - Scl - semi - 2 - ABSO submd.

Proof: Let $h_a^2 x_v \subseteq P$ for F. singl. h_a of W and $x_v \subseteq X$.

Then $h_a^2 < x_v > \subseteq P$. But $< x_v > = LX$ for a few of F - ideal of W since X is a F - *multip. modl*. Then $h_a^2 L \subseteq (P:X)$. But $(P:X)$ is a F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO ideal, then one of two $h_a L \subseteq (P:X) + F - Scl(W)$ or $h_a^2 \subseteq (P:X) + F - Scl(W)$ by Lemma 2.6. Then $h_a LX \subseteq P + F - Scl(X)$ or $h_a^2 X \subseteq P + F - Scl(X)$. Then $h_a < x_v > \subseteq P + F - Scl(X)$ or $h_a^2 \subseteq (P + F - Scl(X):X)$

So that, P is a F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO submd.

Theorem 2.8: Assume that K is an irreducible F -submd of F -modl X of a W -modl G . Consequently, these formulations are equivalent:

- 1) K is F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO submd and $(K + F - Scl(X):X)$ is F -semiprime ideal;
- 2) K is a F -Scl-prime submd;
- 3) If $F - Scl(X) \subseteq K$, then K is a $F - Scl - \text{semiprime submd}$;
- 4) If $F - Scl(X) \subseteq K$, then K is a $F - Scl - \text{quasi prime submd}$.

Proof: (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let $r_n(r_n x_v) \subseteq K$ for a F -singl. r_n of W and $x_v \subseteq X$. Since K is $F - Scl - \text{semi} - 2 - \text{ABSO submd}$, then $r_n x_v \subseteq K + F - Scl(X)$ or $r_n^2 \subseteq (K + F - Scl(X):X)$. If $r_n x_v \subseteq K + F - Scl(X)$, since X a F -semi-simple modl, hence $X = F - Scl(X)$ then $x_v \subseteq X = F - Scl(X) \subseteq K + F - Scl(X)$. Now, if $r_n^2 \subseteq (K + F - Scl(X):X)$, then $r_n \subseteq (K + F - Scl(X):X)$ since $(K + F - Scl(X):X)$ is a F -semi prime ideal. Thus K is a F -Scl-prime submd

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Let $r_n^2 x_v \subseteq K$ for F -singl. r_n of W and $x_v \subseteq X$. Ago K is a F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO submd, then $r_n x_v \subseteq K + F - Scl(X)$ or $r_n^2 \subseteq (K + F - Scl(X):X)$. If $r_n x_v \subseteq K$ the proof is complete. If $r_n^2 \subseteq (K + F - Scl(X):X)$, then $r_n \subseteq (K + F - Scl(X):X)$ since $(K + F - Scl(X):X)$ is a F -semiprime ideal. Hence $r_n x_v \subseteq K + F - Scl(X)$. Thus, K is F -Scl-semiprime submd.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) By [14], we have the outcome

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) let K be F -irreducible submd and K be Scl-semiprime submd such that $F - Scl(X) \subseteq K$, to prove K is Scl-prime submd. Suppose that K is not F -Scl-prime submd, this mean there exists F -singl. r_n of W and $x_v \subseteq X$ such that $r_n x_v \subseteq K$ and $x_v \not\subseteq K + F - Scl(X)$ and $r_n \not\subseteq (K + F - Scl(X):X)$, since $r_n \not\subseteq (K + F - Scl(X):X)$, then there exist $m_h \subseteq X$ such that $r_n m_h \not\subseteq K + F - Scl(X)$, then $r_n m_h \not\subseteq K$, we claim that $L_1 \cap L_2 = K$ where $L_1 = K + (r_n$
 $L_2 = K + < x_v >$. Now, let $y_s \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$, this mean $y_s \subseteq K + < r_n m_h >$ and $y_s \subseteq K + < x_v >$, therefore, there exists $f_q, u_z \subseteq K$ and F -singl. a_s, b_l of W such that $y_s = f_q + a_s t_n m_h = u_z + b_l x_v$, then $u_z - f_q + b_l x_v = a_s r_n m_h$, hence

$r_n u_z - r_n f_q + r_n b_l x_v = a_s r_n^2 m_h$, so that $a_s r_n^2 m_h \subseteq K$ since $u_z, f_q \subseteq K$ then $r_n u_z - r_n f_q \subseteq K$ and $r_n x_v \subseteq K$, hence $r_n b_l x_v \subseteq K$, but K is F -Scl-semiprime submd, then $a_s r_n m_h \subseteq K + F - Scl(X)$ implies that $a_s r_n m_h \subseteq K$ since $F - Scl(X) \subseteq K$, hence $y_s \subseteq K$, thus $L_1 \cap L_2 \subseteq K$ it is observe that $K \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$, this mean $K = L_1 \cap L_2$, this contradiction with K is F -irreducible submd. Thus, K is F -Scl-prime submd.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) let $m_a n_b x_t \subseteq K$ where $m_a n_b$ are F -singl. of W and $x_t \subseteq X$ assume that $m_a x_t \not\subseteq K + F - Scl(X)$ and $n_b x_t \not\subseteq K + F - Scl(X)$ let $T = K + F - Scl(X) + (m_a x_t)$ and $U = K + F - Scl(X) + (n_b x_t)$ then T and U are F -submd of X . It is clear that $K + F - Scl(X) \subseteq T$ and $K + F - Scl(X) \subseteq U$ and hence $K + F - Scl(X) \subseteq T \cap U$, let $y_r \subseteq T \cap U$, then $y_r \subseteq T$ and $y_r \subseteq U$, then there exists $i_f + j_h \subseteq K + F - Scl(X)$ and s_q, v_d are F -singl. of W such that $i_f + v_d m_a x_t = i_h + s_q n_b x_t$ then:

$$n_b i_f + n_b v_d m_a x_t = n_b s_q n_b x_t + j_h n_b, n_b i_f + n_b v_d m_a x_t = n_b j_h + s_q n_b^2 x_t, n_b i_f - n_b j_h + v_d m_a n_b x_t = s_q n_b^2 x_t \subseteq K + F - Scl(X) \text{ since } (n_b i_f - n_b j_h) \subseteq K + F - Scl(X) \text{ and } v_d m_a n_b x_t \subseteq K + F - Scl(X), \text{ so that } n_b^2 s_q x_t \subseteq K + F - Scl(X) \text{ since } F - Scl(X) \subseteq K, \text{ hence } n_b^2 s_q x_t \subseteq K, \text{ but } K \text{ is } F\text{-Scl-semiprime implies } n_b s_q x_t \subseteq K + F - Scl(X). \text{ So, } y_r \subseteq K, \text{ that is } T \cap U \subseteq K, \text{ therefore, } K = T \cap U \text{ which is contradiction, since } K \text{ is } F\text{-irreducible. Hence, either } m_a x_t \subseteq K + F - Scl(X) \text{ or } n_b x_t \subseteq K + F - Scl(X). \text{ For that, } K \text{ is a } F - Scl - \text{quasi prime submd.}$$

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1) let $r_n a_s x_v \subseteq K$ for F -singl. r_n, a_s of W and $x_v \subseteq X$, then $r_n x_v \subseteq K + F - Scl(X)$ or $a_s x_v \subseteq K + F - Scl(X)$ since K is $F - Scl - \text{quasi} - \text{prime submd}$. So that K is $F - Scl - 2 - ABSO$ submd. Now, to prove $(K + F - Scl(X):X)$ is F -semiprime ideal, let $r_n^2 \subseteq (K + F - Scl(X):X)$, for F -singl. r_n of W , then $r_n^2 X \subseteq K + F - Scl(X)$, but $F - Scl(X) \subseteq K$, then $r_n^2 X \subseteq K$, hence $r_n^2 x_v \subseteq K$ for all F -singl. $x_v \subseteq X$, then $r_n x_v \subseteq K + F - Scl(X)$ since K is Scl -quasi-prime, hence $r_n X \subseteq K + F - Scl(X)$, so that $r_n \subseteq (K + F - Scl(X):X)$. Thus, $(K + F - Scl(X):X)$ is F -semiprime ideal.

Proposition 2.9: Let X_1, X_2 be F -modls of W -modls G_1, G_2 resp. Let an epimorphism $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$, and K is $F - Scl - \text{semi} - 2 - ABSO$ submd of X_1 like that $F - \ker f \subseteq K$. Then $f(K)$ is $F - Scl - \text{semi} - 2 - ABSO$ submd of X_2 .

Proof: Let $r_s^2 z_b \subseteq f(K)$ for F -singl. r_s of W , and $z_b \subseteq X_2$, since f is onto, so $z_b = f(x_v)$ for $x_v \subseteq X$ then $r_s^2 f(x_v) = f(s_a)$ for a F -singl. $s_a \subseteq K$. then $r_s^2 x_v - s_a \subseteq F - \ker f \subseteq K$, thus $r_s^2 x_v \subseteq K$. But K is a $F - Scl - \text{semi} - 2 - ABSO$ submd, hence $r_s x_v \subseteq K + F - Scl(X_1)$ or $r_s^2 \subseteq (K + F - Scl(X_1):X_1)$. If $r_s^2 x_v \subseteq K + F - Scl(X_1)$ then $r_s f(x_v) \subseteq f(K) + F - Scl f(X_1)$, hence $r_s z_b \subseteq f(K) + F - Scl(X_2)$ if $r_s^2 \subseteq (K + F - Scl(X_1):X_1)$, then $r_s^2 X_1 \subseteq K + F - Scl(X_1)$, hence $r_s^2 f(X_1) \subseteq f(K) + F - Scl f(X_1)$, $r_s^2 X_2 \subseteq f(K) + F - Scl(X_2)$, since f is onto, thus $r_s^2 \subseteq (f(K) + F - Scl(X_2):X_2)$. Thus, $f(K)$ is $F - Scl - \text{semi} - 2 - ABSO$ submd of X_2 .

Remark 2.10: The following example demonstrates how the preceding proposition cannot be true without the condition f , which is an epimorphism:

Let $X_1: Z \rightarrow I$ like that $X_1(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g \in Z \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$

Let $X_2: Z \rightarrow I$ like that $X_2(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g \in Z \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$

It is evident that X_1, X_2 are $F - \text{modl of } Z - \text{modl } Z$.

Let $K: Z \rightarrow I$ such that $K(g) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } g \in 4Z \\ 0 & \text{if } g \notin 4Z \end{cases}$

It is evident that K are $F - \text{submd of } F - \text{modl } X_1$

$$F - Scl(X_1)(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g \in (0) \\ 0 & \text{if } g \notin (0) \end{cases}$$

$$K + F - Scl(X_1)(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g \in 4Z \\ 0 & \text{if } g \notin 4Z \end{cases}$$

$$(K + F - Scl(X_1): X_1)(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g \in 4Z \\ 0 & \text{if } g \notin 4Z \end{cases}$$

Let a F -homomorphism $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ if $f: Z \rightarrow Z$ with $f(n) = 9n$ be homomorphism, but not epimorphism, where $f(4Z) = 36Z$

$$\text{Let } f(K): Z \rightarrow I \text{ such that } K(g) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } g \in 36Z \\ 0 & \text{if } g \notin 36Z \end{cases}$$

It is evident that $f(K)$ are F -submd of F -modl X_2

$$F - Scl(X_2)(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g \in (0) \\ 0 & \text{if } g \notin (0) \end{cases}$$

$$K + F - Scl(X_2)(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g \in 36Z \\ 0 & \text{if } g \notin 36Z \end{cases}$$

$$(K + F - Scl(X_2): X_2)(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g \in 36Z \\ 0 & \text{if } g \notin 36Z \end{cases}$$

K is $F - Scl - \text{semi} - 2 - \text{ABSO submd}$ since $2\frac{2}{2} \cdot 1\frac{1}{3} = 4\frac{1}{3} \subseteq K$,
 since $K(4) = \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{3}$, then $2\frac{2}{2} = 4\frac{1}{2} \subseteq (K + F - Scl(X_1): X_1)$ since $(K + F - Scl(X_1): X_1)(4) = 1 > \frac{1}{2}$, however $f(K)$ isn't $F - Scl - \text{semi} - 2 - \text{ABSO submd}$ ago $2\frac{2}{2} \cdot 9\frac{1}{4} = 36\frac{1}{4} \subseteq f(K)$, since $f(K)(36) = \frac{1}{3} > \frac{1}{4}$, then $2\frac{2}{2} \cdot 9\frac{1}{4} = 18\frac{1}{4} \not\subseteq f(K) + F - Scl(X_2)$ since $f(K) + F - Scl(X_2)(18) = 0 \not> \frac{1}{4}$ and $2\frac{2}{2} = 4\frac{1}{2} \not\subseteq (K + F - Scl(X_2): X_2)$ since $(K + F - Scl(X_2): X_2)(4) = 0 \not> \frac{1}{2}$,

Proposition 2.11: Let X_1, X_2 be $F - \text{modls of } W - \text{modls } G_1, G_2$ resp. Let an epimorphism $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ and K is a $F - \text{semi} - 2 - \text{ABSO submd of } X_2$, then $f^{-1}(K)$ is a $F - Scl - \text{semi} - 2 - \text{ABSO submd of } X_1$.

Proof: Let $r_s^2 v_x \subseteq f^{-1}(K)$ for a F-singl. r_s of W and $v_x \subseteq X_1$, hence $f(r_s^2 v_x) \subseteq K$ so $r_s^2 f(v_x) \subseteq K$. Since K is a F -semi-2-ABSO submd, then one of two $r_s f(v_x) \subseteq K$ or $r_s^2 \subseteq (K: X_2)$, so that $r_s v_x \subseteq f^{-1}(K)$ or $r_s^2 f^{-1}(X_2) \subseteq f^{-1}(K)$, then $r_s v_x \subseteq f^{-1}(K) + F - Scl(X_1)$ or $r_s^2 f^{-1}(X_2) \subseteq f^{-1}(K) + F - Scl(X_1)$ $r_s v_x \subseteq f^{-1}(K) + F - Scl(X_1)$ or $r_s^2 \subseteq (f^{-1}(K) + F - Scl(X_1): X_1)$. For that, $f^{-1}(K)$ is a F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO submd of X_1 .

Proposition 2.12: Let X_1, X_2 be a F -modl of W -modls G_1, G_2 resp., and K_1, K_2 are F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO submd of X_1, X_2 resp. such that $(K_1 + F - Scl(X_1): X_1) = (K_2 + F - Scl(X_2): X_2)$, then $K = K_1 \oplus K_2$ is a F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO submd of $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$.

Proof: Let $r_s^2(v_x, h_y) \subseteq K_1 \oplus K_2$, so that $r_s^2 v_x \subseteq K_1$ and $r_s^2, h_y \subseteq K_2$. since K_1, K_2 are F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO submds, then $r_s v_x \subseteq K + F - Scl(X_1)$ or $r_s^2 \subseteq (K_1 + F - Scl(X_1): X_1)$ and $r_s, h_y \subseteq K_2 + F - Scl(X_2)$ or $r_s^2 \subseteq (K_2 + F - Scl(X_2): X_2) = (K_1 + F - Scl(X): X_1)$, hence $r_s v_x \subseteq K_1 + F - Scl(X_1)$ and $r_s, h_y \subseteq K_2 + F - Scl(X_2)$ or $r_s^2 \subseteq (K_1 + F - Scl(X_1): X_1)$. Then $r_s(v_x, h_y) \subseteq K + F - Scl(X_1) \oplus K_2 + F - Scl(X_2)$ or $r_s^2 \subseteq (K + F - Scl(X): X)$. For that, K is a F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO submds of X .

3. Discussion and Conclusion

The research concludes that for each F -Scl-prime. (F -Scl-semi prime), and F -Scl-2-ABSO(F -semi-2-ABSO, F -Scl-quasi prime) submd see Remarks and Examples(2.3), The following is the study's some findings:

If a F -modl X is semi-simple, then any F -submd is a F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO submd of X . If P, H be F -submds of X , then P is F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO submd if and only if $r_s^2 H \subseteq P$ for F . singl. r_s of W , implies $r_s H \subseteq P + F - Scl(X)$ or $r_s^2 \subseteq (P + F - Scl(X): X)$. Let P be a proper F . submd of multip. F -modl X of a W -modl G . Then P is a F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO submd of X , if and only if $(P: X)$ is a F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO ideal.

Finally, let X_1, X_2 be a F -modl of W -modls G_1, G_2 resp. and K_1, K_2 are F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO submd of X_1, X_2 resp., such that $(K_1 + F - Scl(X_1): X_1) = (K_2 + F - Scl(X_2): X_2)$. then $K = K_1 \oplus K_2$ is a F -Scl-semi-2-ABSO submd of $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$.

References

- [1] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy groups, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 35(3), 512-517 (1971), [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X\(71\)90199-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(71)90199-5).

- [2] C. V. Naegoita, D. A. Ralescu, Alication of Fuzzy Sets in System Analysis, *Interdisciplinary Systems Research Series*, 11, Birkhaeuser, Basel, Stuttgart and Halsted Press, New York, (1975), <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-5921-9>.
- [3] F.Z. Pan, Fuzzy finitely generated modules, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 21(1), 105-113 (1987), [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(87\)90156-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(87)90156-4)
- [4] H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, The Dual Notions of Some Generalizations of prime Submodules, *Communications in Algebra* 39(7), 2396-2416 (2011), <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2010.488684>.
- [5] H.H. Wafaa, Y. K. Hatam, T-Abso and T-Abso Quasi Primary Fuzzy Submodules, *Ibn Al-Haitham Journal for Pure and Alication Science*, 32(1); (2019), <https://doi.org/10.30526/32.1.1930>.
- [6] H.H. Wafaa, M.A. Safaa, S.F. Mahmood, Nearly 2-Absorbing and weakly nearly 2-Absorbing fuzzy submodules, *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, 23(5), 1025-1037,(2020), <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720529.2020.1714885>.
- [7] H.J. Rabi, Prime Fuzzy Submodand Prime Fuzzy Modules, M. Sc. Thesis, University of Baghdad, (2001).
- [8] H.K. Saikia and M. C. Kalita, On fuzzy essential submodules, *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, 17(1), 109-117 (2009).
- [9] I.M. Hadi, Semiprime Fuzzy Submodules of Fuzzy Modules, *Ibn-Al-Haitham J. For Pure and Al. Sci.*, 17(3), 112-123 (2004).
- [10] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, *Information and Control*, 8(3), 338-353, (1965), [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958\(65\)90241-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X).
- [11] M.C. Kalita, A study of fuzzy algebraic structures: some special types, Ph.D Thesis, Gauhati University, Gauhati, India, (2007).
- [12] M.R Mohammed, On Fuzzy Semiprime Submodules, *International Journal of Innovation and Allied Studies*, 13(4), 929-934 (2015).
- [13] P. K. Sharma, Semi-simple Intuitionistic Fuzzy G-Modules, *International Journal of Pure and Allied Researches*, 1(2); 101-108 (2016).
- [14] S.M. Saad, Fuzzy Soc-Prime Submodules and Fuzzy Soc-T-ABSO Submodules with of Their Generalization, Ph.D. Dissertation University of Baghdad, (2022).
- [15] T. Yucel and A.Mustafa, Prime Modules and Submodules, *Communications in Algebra*. 31(11), 5253-5261 (2003), <https://doi.org/10.1081/AGB-120023953>.

- [16] Y.K. Hatam, H.H. Wafaa, Semi-T-ABSO Fuzzy Submodules and Semi-T-ABSO Fuzzy Modules, Second International Conference For Allied and Pure Mathematics, (2019).
- [17] S.M. Seyed Joula, A.R. Gilani, E. Arfa, Fuzzy BCK-module on t-norm, *Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences*, 41(4), 2020, 1163-1174. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02522667.2020.1781390>.
- [18] I. Rosyida, T.S.N. Asih, S.B. Waluya Sugiyanto. Fuzzy shortest path approach for determining public bus route (Case study: Route planning for Trans Bantul bus" in Yogyakarta, Indonesia). *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*. 24(2), 2021. 557-577. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720529.2021.1891692>.
- [20] R.F. Kadam, K.M. Mohammed Al-Abrahemee. Neuro-fuzzy system for solving fuzzy singular perturbation problems. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*. 25(5), 1509-1524, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720502.2022.2079229>.
- [21] D.E. Abdulrasool, A.N. Alkiffai. Population growth equation by fuzzy common integral transforms. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*. 25(6), 2022,1909-1918. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720502.2022.2095960>.
- [22] M.R. Farahani, S. Jafari, S.A.Mohiuddine, M. Cancan.Intuitionistic Fuzzy Stability of Generalized Additive Set-Valued Functional Equation via Fixed Point Method. *Mathematical Statistician and Engineering Applications*, 71(3s3), 142-154 (2022). <https://www.philstat.org.ph/index.php/MSEA/article/view/355>.
- [23] A. Alsinai, H.M.U. Rehman, Y. Manzoor, M. Cancan, Z. Taş, M.R. Farahani, Sharp upper bounds on forgotten and SK indices of cactus graph, *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, 1-22 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720529.2022.2027605>.