

Regularity in ideal grill topological space

Alyaa Yousef Khudayer Mutawek *

Department of Mathematics

College of Computer Science and Mathematics

University of Kufa

Kufa

Iraq

Raad Aziz Hussain Al-Abdulla [†]

Department of Mathematics

College of Science

University of Al-Qadisiyah

Al-Qadisiyah

Iraq

Abstract

Among the basic concepts that have a vital role in producing comprehensive topologies is a regular space. In this respect, a new definition is introduced in the current study of separation properties. The term $\mathcal{J}_g$ -regular is given to it. Then its important properties and connection with regular, $\mathcal{J}_g$ -compact and H-closed are presented.

Subject Classification: 05C10, 12J17.

Keywords: Compact, Ideals, Grills, $\mathcal{J}$ -regular, G-regular.

1. Introduction

In 1930, ideals in topological spaces were considered. The concept of ideal in topological spaces has been introduced by Kuratwaski [8] and Vaidyanathswamy [16]. In [7] Jankovic and Hamlett proposed a new topology from the topology space $(X, \mathfrak{T})$ induced by an ideal on X , described as follows:

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an ideal on a topological space $(X, \mathfrak{T})$, the operator $(\cdot)^*: p(X) \rightarrow p(X)$ is called a local function of $\mathcal{I}$ concerning $\mathfrak{T}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ [16], given by $\mathcal{I}^*(\mathcal{J}, \mathfrak{T}) = \{x: \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{U} \notin \mathcal{I}, \text{ for every open } \mathcal{U} \text{ of } x\}$. The collection $\{V - \mathcal{I}: V \in \mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{I} \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is a

* E-mail: alyaay.khudhair@uokufa.edu.iq (Corresponding Author)

[†] E-mail: raad.hussain@qu.edu.iq

base for the topology $\mathfrak{T}^*(\mathcal{I})$ on X which is strictly finer than $\mathfrak{T}$ in general. The concept of $\mathcal{I}$ -compact was studied by Newcomb[10] in 1967. Some contemporary researchers work in the field of ideal spaces for years in center, fuzzy, gem, frontier, and focal congested sets and we mention [1,2,9,12,17].

In 1947, Choquet [4] introduced the concept of the grill, following Choquet [4], a grill $\mathcal{G}$ on a space X is a collection of subsets of a non-empty set X such that:

- (i) $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{G}$
- (ii) $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ then $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{G}$
- (iii) $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L} \subseteq X$, and $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{G}$ then $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{G}$ or $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{G}$.

A topological space $(X, \mathfrak{T})$ with a grill $\mathcal{G}$ on X is represented by $(X, \mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{G})$. Roy and Mukherjee [13] created a new topology on a topology space $(X, \mathfrak{T})$ resulting from a grill on X as shown below:

Suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ be a grill on a topology space $(X, \mathfrak{T})$, such that the operator $\phi_{\mathcal{G}}: p(X) \rightarrow p(X)$ given by $\phi_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{A}) = \{x : \bigcup \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{G}, \text{ for every open } \mathcal{U} \text{ of } x\}$, where $\Psi_{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{A} \cup \phi_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{A})$, hence induced a topology $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{G}}$ on X which is strictly finer than $\mathfrak{T}$ in general. Then $\beta = \{\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{T} \text{ and } \mathcal{A} \notin \mathcal{G}\}$ is a base for the topology $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{G}}$. Roy and Mukherjee [14] defined a kind of compactness concerning the grill.

Hamlet and Jankovic [6] established the definition of $\mathcal{I}$ -regular space. Roy and Mukherjee [14] formulated the concept of $\mathcal{G}$ -regular space.

In this research, we introduce a new definition of ideal grill spaces, namely $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -regular space. Also, we give useful characterizations of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -regular space.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1[10]: A subset $\mathcal{K}$ of ideal space $(X, \mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{I})$ is called $\mathcal{I}$ -compact if for every open cover $\{\mathfrak{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}} : \tilde{\alpha} \in \Omega\}$ of $\mathcal{K}$, there exists a finite subsets Ω_0 of Ω such that $\mathcal{K} - \bigcup_{\tilde{\alpha} \in \Omega_0} \mathfrak{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}} \in \mathcal{I}$. The space $(X, \mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{I})$ is called $\mathcal{I}$ -compact if X is $\mathcal{I}$ -compact a subset. So $(X, \mathfrak{T})$ is compact if and only if $(X, \mathfrak{T}, \{\emptyset\})$ is $\{\emptyset\}$ -compact.

Definition 2.2[14]: A grill space $(X, \mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{G})$ is called $\mathcal{G}$ -compact if every open cover $\{\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\alpha}} : \tilde{\alpha} \in \Lambda\}$ of X , there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $X - \bigcup_{\tilde{\alpha} \in \Lambda_0} \mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\alpha}} \notin \mathcal{G}$.

Remark 2.3 [10]: If $(X, \mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{I})$ is an ideal space and $\mathfrak{K}$ is a subset of X , then $(\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{K}}, \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{K}})$ where $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{K}}$ is the relative topology on $\mathfrak{K}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{K}} = \{\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{K}} \cap \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is an ideal topological subspace.

Lemma 2.4[10]: Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be function then :

- i. If $\mathcal{F}: (X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U})$ is one to one function where $\mathcal{I}$ is ideal on X , then $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}): \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is an ideal on Y .
- ii. If $\mathcal{F}: (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{J})$ is one to function where $\mathcal{J}$ is ideal on Y , then $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{J}) = \{\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{B}): \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{J}\}$ is an ideal on X .

Proposition 2.5 [5]: Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be function then:

- i. If $f: (X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U})$ is one to one where $\mathcal{G}$ is a grill on X , then $f(\mathcal{G}) = \{f(\mathcal{D}): \mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is an grill on Y ,
- ii. If $f: (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G}')$ is one to function where $\mathcal{G}'$ is a grill on Y , then $f^{-1}(\mathcal{G}') = \{f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}): \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{G}'\}$ is a grill on X .

Theorem 2.6[15]: If $G_i \in \mathcal{G}$ for all $i \in I$ then $\bigcup_{i \in I} G_i \in \mathcal{G}$.

Definition 2.7[3]: A subset $\mathfrak{M}$ of ideal grill space $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G})$ is called ideal grill compact (briefly $\mathcal{I}_\mathcal{G}$ -compact) if for every open cover $\{\check{G}_{\check{\alpha}}: \check{\alpha} \in \Lambda\}$ of $\mathfrak{M}$, there exists a finite subset $\{\check{G}_{\check{\alpha}_j}: j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $\mathfrak{M} - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \check{G}_{\check{\alpha}_j} \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \check{G}_{\check{\alpha}_j} \in \mathcal{G}$. The space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is called be $\mathcal{I}_\mathcal{G}$ -compact if X is $\mathcal{I}_\mathcal{G}$ -compact.

Remark 2.8[3]:

- (i) Every compact space is $\mathcal{I}_\mathcal{G}$ -compact for any ideal grill.
- (ii) Every $\mathcal{I}_\mathcal{G}$ -compact space is $\mathcal{I}$ -compact and $\mathcal{G}$ -compact.

Remark 2.9[3]:

- (i) A space $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G})$ is called an ideal grill topological space such that $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are ideal grill on X and denoted by $\mathcal{I}_\mathcal{G}$ -topological space.
- (ii) It is clear that $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is compact iff $\{\emptyset\}_{p(X) - \{\emptyset\}}$ - compact space such that $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ and $\mathcal{G} = p(X) - \{\emptyset\}$.

Definition 2.10[11]: A space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is called quasi H - closed (QHC), if for every open cover $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathcal{E}_{\rho_i}: \rho_i \in \Lambda\}$ of X , there is a finite subset $\{\mathcal{E}_{\rho_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ such that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathcal{E}_{\rho_i}$. A space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is said to be H - closed if its Hausdorff and (QHC).

Theorem 2.11[14]: Let $(X, \mathcal{T})$ be grill space such that $\mathcal{T} - \{\emptyset\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. If $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is $\mathcal{G}$ -compact, then $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is QHC.

Definition 2.12[6]: A space $(X, \mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{I})$ is called $\mathcal{I}$ -regular, if for each closed set $\mathfrak{H}$ with $c \notin \mathfrak{H}$, there exist open subsets $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{N}$ of X such that $c \in \mathfrak{C}$, $\mathfrak{H} - \mathfrak{N} \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{N} = \emptyset$. If $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ then $\mathcal{I}$ -regular and regular are identical.

Definition 2.13[14]: A space $(X, \mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{G})$ is called $\mathcal{G}$ -regular, if for each closed set with $\mathfrak{d} \notin \mathfrak{H}$, there exist disjoint open sets $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{N}$ such that $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{H} - \mathfrak{N} \notin \mathcal{G}$.

3. $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -Regular space

Definition 3.1: Let $(X, \mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G})$ be $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -topological space. A space $(X, \mathfrak{T})$ is called $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -regular if for any closed set $\mathfrak{Q}$ in X with $d \notin \mathfrak{Q}$, there exist disjoint open sets $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathfrak{K}$ such that $d \in \mathfrak{M}$, $\mathfrak{Q} - \mathfrak{K} \in \mathcal{I}$, and $\mathfrak{Q} - \mathfrak{K} \notin \mathcal{G}$.

Example 3.2: Every discrete space is $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -compact space for any ideal $\mathcal{I}$ and grill $\mathcal{G}$.

Remark 3.3:

- (i) Every regular space is $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -regular for any ideal $\mathcal{I}$ and grill.
- (ii) Every $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -regular space is $\mathcal{I}$ -regular resp. $\mathcal{G}$ -regular.
- (iii) Every $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -regular space iff $\mathcal{I}$ -regular and $\mathcal{G}$ -regular.
- (iv) If $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ and $\mathcal{G} = p(X) - \{\emptyset\}$, then the concepts of regular space and $\mathcal{I}$ -regular correspond.

The opposite of Remark (3.3, i, ii) is not generally true, as shown in the following examples.

Example 3.4: Let $(X, \mathfrak{T})$ be co-finite space on $\mathcal{I}$ infinite set. Then $(X, \mathfrak{T})$ is $(\mathcal{I}_f)_{\mathcal{G}_{\infty}}$ regular space, but not regular space.

Example 3.5: Let $X = \{c, d, \mathfrak{d}\}, \mathfrak{T} = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{d\}\}$ be topological space. Then $(X, \mathfrak{T})$ is $\mathcal{I}$ -regular space and it's not $(\mathcal{I}_f)_{\mathcal{G}_0}$ -regular.

Proposition 3.6: Every subspace $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -regular space $(X, \mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G})$ is $(\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{C}})_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$ -regular.

Proof: Suppose that $\mathfrak{C}$ be a subset of a space X and $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{C}$. Let $\mathfrak{N}$ be a closed subset of $\mathfrak{C}$ such that $\mathfrak{d} \notin \mathfrak{N}$, there exists a closed set $\mathfrak{E}$ in X with $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{E}$ and $\mathfrak{d} \notin \mathfrak{E}$. Given X is $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -regular space, then there exist disjoint open sets $\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{K}$ such that $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{E} - \mathfrak{K} \in \mathcal{I}$, and $\mathfrak{E} - \mathfrak{K} \notin \mathcal{G}$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{E} - \mathfrak{K}$, then $\mathfrak{E} \subseteq \mathfrak{H} \cup \mathfrak{K}$ and $\mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{E} \subseteq \mathfrak{C} \cap (\mathfrak{H} \cup \mathfrak{K})$, thus $\mathfrak{N} - (\mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{K}) \subseteq \mathfrak{C} \cap (\mathfrak{E} - \mathfrak{K})$.

Then $\mathfrak{N} - (\mathfrak{S} \cap \mathfrak{K}) \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{E}}$ and $\mathfrak{N} - (\mathfrak{S} \cap \mathfrak{K}) \notin \mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{E}}$ and $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{S} \cap \mathfrak{B}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{S}$ is $(\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{E}})_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{E}}}$ -regular.

Through the properties and definitions of continuous and open functions and the bijective property and definition (3.1), we get the following fact.

Proposition 3.7: If $E : (X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T})$ is a continuous, open, and bijective function. Then X is $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -regular space, then $\mathfrak{Y}$ is $E(\mathcal{I})_{E(\mathcal{G})}$ -compact space.

Proof: Let $\mathfrak{b} \in Y$ and $\mathfrak{Q}$ be closed subset of Y such that $\mathfrak{b} \notin \mathfrak{Q}$, thus $E^{-1}(\mathfrak{Q})$ is a closed set in X and $E^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}) \notin E^{-1}(\mathfrak{Q})$. By X is $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -regular space, then there exist disjoint open sets $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathfrak{K}$ such that $E^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}) \in \mathfrak{M}$, $E^{-1}(\mathfrak{Q}) - \mathfrak{K} \in \mathcal{I}$ and $E^{-1}(\mathfrak{Q}) - \mathfrak{K} \notin \mathcal{G}$. So $E(\mathfrak{M})$ and $E(\mathfrak{K})$ are disjoint open sets in $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{b} \in E(\mathfrak{M})$, then $E(E^{-1}(\mathfrak{Q}) - \mathfrak{K}) \in E(\mathcal{I})$ and $E(E^{-1}(\mathfrak{Q}) - \mathfrak{K}) \notin E(\mathcal{G})$. Thus $\mathfrak{Q} - E(\mathfrak{K}) \in E(\mathcal{I})$ and $\mathfrak{Q} - E(\mathfrak{K}) \notin E(\mathcal{G})$. Hence Y is $E(\mathcal{I})_{E(\mathcal{G})}$ -compact space.

Theorem 3.8: Let $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G})$ be $\mathfrak{T}_2 - \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -topological space. If $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -compact then it is $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -regular.

Proof: It is simple to prove by using the properties of $\mathfrak{T}_2$ -space and definitions of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -compact space.

Theorem 3.9: Let $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G})$ be $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -Topological space such that $\mathfrak{T} - \{\emptyset\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. If $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -compact, then $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is QHC.

Proof: By Remark (2.8, ii) and Theorem (2.11)

Corollary 3.10: Let $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G})$ be $\mathfrak{T}_2 - \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -topological space such that $\mathfrak{T} - \{\emptyset\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. If $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -compact, then $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is H-closed and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -regular.

Proof: By Theorem (3.9) and Theorem (3.8)

Main Theorem

Theorem 3.11: Let $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G})$ be $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -topological space such that $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is H-closed. If $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -regular space, then it is $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -compact.

Proof: Let $\mathfrak{B} = \{\mathfrak{B}_x : x \in X\}$ is an open cover of X , $\forall x \in X$, there exist $\mathfrak{B}_x \in \mathfrak{B}$ such that $x \in \mathfrak{B}_x$. Since $X - \mathfrak{B}_x$ is closed set and $x \notin X - \mathfrak{B}_x$, then there exist disjoint open sets $\mathfrak{S}_x$ and $\mathfrak{N}_x$ such that $x \in \mathfrak{S}_x$, $(X - \mathfrak{B}_x) - \mathfrak{N}_x \in \mathcal{I}$ and $(X - \mathfrak{B}_x) - \mathfrak{N}_x \notin \mathcal{G}$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{U}_x = (X - \mathfrak{B}_x) - \mathfrak{N}_x$, but $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{S}_x) \cap \mathfrak{N}_x = \emptyset$, then $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{S}_x) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}_x \cup \mathfrak{B}_x$. So $\{\mathfrak{S}_x : x \in X\}$ is an open cover of the H-closed space X ,

then there exist finite subsets $\{\mathfrak{S}_{x_\alpha} : \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^n \text{cl}(\mathfrak{S}_{x_\alpha})$. Thus $X - \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^n \mathfrak{B}_{x_\alpha} \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^n \mathfrak{A}_{x_\alpha}$, then $X - \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^n \mathfrak{B}_{x_\alpha} \in \mathcal{I}$ and $X - \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^n \mathfrak{B}_{x_\alpha} \notin \mathcal{G}$. So $\bigcup_{\alpha=1}^n \mathfrak{B}_{x_\alpha} \in \mathcal{G}$, hence X is $\mathcal{I}_G$ -compact space.

Proposition 3.12: If $f : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G})$ is a continuous, bijective, and open function such that $(Y, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G})$ is $\mathcal{I}_G$ -regular space, then $(X, \mathcal{T}, f^{-1}(\mathcal{I}), f^{-1}(\mathcal{G}))$ is $f^{-1}(\mathcal{I})_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{G})}$ -regular space.

Proof: A similar proof to the proposition (3.7).

Corollary 3.13: Let $(X, \mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G})$ be $\mathcal{I}_G - \mathfrak{T}_2$ -Topological space such that $\mathfrak{T} - \{\emptyset\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. Then $(X, \mathfrak{T})$ is $\mathcal{I}_G$ -compact iff $(X, \mathfrak{T})$ is H -closed and $\mathcal{I}_G$ -regular.

Proof: By Corollary (3.10) and Theorem (3.11)

Conclusion

1. The researchers have presented a new definition for $\mathcal{I}_G$ -regular space and studied the relationship between $\mathcal{I}_G$ -regular space and $\mathcal{I}$ -regular, $\mathcal{G}$ -regular, and $\mathcal{I}_G$ -compact space.
2. There are future works to enlarge the separation properties, which correspond to our new definition.

Reference

- [1] Abdulsauda, D. A and L. A. A, Compatibility of center ideals with center Topology, IOP Conference Series: *Materials Science and Engineering* 928(4) (2020).
- [2] AL-Ethary, M. A and L. A. A, Compactness with Gem set, *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 8(21-24), pp. 1105-1117 (2014).
- [3] Choquet, G., Sur les notion de filter et de grill, *Compte Rendus. Sci. Paris*, 171-173 (1947).
- [4] Gupta, M. K and Gaur, M, New forms of Compactness via grill, *IJMTT*, Vol. 48 (2017).
- [5] Hamlett, T. R and Jankovic, D, On weaker forms of paracompactness, countable compactness, and Lindelofness", *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* 728, 41-49 (1994).

- [6] Jankovic, D. S and Hamlett, T. R, New topologies form old via ideals, *Amer. Math Monthly*, 97, 295-310 (1990).
- [7] Kuratwaski, K, Topology, Vol. I, New York: Academic Press (1966).
- [8] Luma, S. A, Hadi, M. H and L. A. A, New frontier set in ideal topological spaces, *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics* (2022).
- [9] Newcomb, R, L, Topologies which are compact modulo an ideal, PH. D. Dissertations. Univ. of cal. at Santa Barbara (1967).
- [10] Porter, J. R and Thomas, J. D, On H-closed and minimal Hausdorff space, *Trans. Amer. Math. So.* 138, 159-170 (1969).
- [11] Reyadh, D. A, Hadi, M. H and L. A. A, On fuzzy intense separation axiom in fuzzy ideal, *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics* (2022).
- [12] Roy, B and Mukherjee, M. N, On typical topology induced by a grill, *Soochow. J of Math*, 33 (4), 771-786 (2007).
- [13] Roy, B and Mukherjee, M. N, On a type of compactness via grills, *Math, vesnik*, 59, 13-120 (2007).
- [14] Throm, W. J, Proximity Structures and Grills, *Math. Ann.* 206, 35-62 (1937).
- [15] Vaidyanathswamy, R, The localization theory in a set topology, *Indian Acad.* 20, 51-61 (1945).
- [16] Yeiezi, K. A, and L. A. A, On proximity focal congested sets in i-topological proximity spaces, *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics* (2022).

Received February, 2022

Revised April, 2022