

Existence and UML-stability results for fractional Duffing equation with sequential Caputo-Riemann-Liouville derivatives

Mohamed Houas

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Sciences of Matter and Computer Science

Khemis Miliana University

Khemis Miliana

Algeria

and

Laboratory FIMA

Khemis Miliana University

Khemis Miliana

Algeria

Abstract

In this article, we study a Duffing problem include two sequential fractional derivatives, we prove the existence and uniqueness results using some classical fixed point theorems. The UML-stability of the proposed problem is examined. We conclude our work by presenting an application.

Subject Classification (2010): 30C45, 34C15, 39B72.

Keywords: Fractional derivative, Fixed point, Existence, Duffing equation, Ulam-Mittag-Leffler-stability.

1. Introduction and fractional calculus

Differential equations include fractional calculus are considered as active field of research due to their applications in numerous areas including computer science biological sciences and dynamics, we refer to the works [16, 17, 24] and the references therein. Many interesting topics concerning research for differential problems with fractional derivatives are devoted to the existence, Ulam-stability (U-stability) and UML-stability of the solutions, see [2, 6, 11, 18,

ORCID-ID: 0000-0001-6256-0511

E-mail: m.houas.st@univ-dbk.m.dz

22, 29]. Recently several authors have interested in the existence and stability of fractional boundary value problem, we refer to the monographs [1, 12, 14, 22, 27, 28]. In this paper, we study the sequential Duffing problem of arbitrary orders. The classical form of the equation [5]

$$D^2 z(\iota) + \lambda D^1 z(\iota) = \phi(\iota) - \varphi(\iota, z(\iota)), 0 \leq \iota \leq 1, \lambda > 0,$$

with the boundary value condition: $z(0) = d_1$, $D^1 z(0) = d_2$, $d_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2$, this equation has many applications in chaotic phenomena, for more information, we cite the works [3, 4, 7, 20, 21]. In the last years, some authors have studied the above problem with fractional derivative, for instance see [8, 10, 13, 15, 23, 26]. The following Duffing problem with sequential fractional derivatives is the subject of the paper

$${}_c D^\vartheta [{}^{RL} D^\delta z(\iota)] = \phi(\iota) - \Delta \varphi(\iota, z(\iota), {}^{RL} D^\beta z(\iota)) - \psi(\iota, z(\iota), I^\alpha z(\iota)), \quad (1)$$

with initial values ${}^{RL} D^\delta z(0) = \theta$, $z(1) - I^\gamma z(\omega) = 0$, $z(0) = 0$ for $1 < \vartheta \leq 2$, $0 < \beta < \delta \leq 1$, $\alpha, \gamma \geq 0$, $\Delta > 0$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \eta < 1$, $\iota \in \Xi$, $\Xi = [0, T]$, where ${}_c D^\vartheta, {}^{RL} D^\delta$ denote the Caputo and Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives, $\varphi, \psi: \Xi \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi: \Xi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given continuous functions. ${}_c D^\vartheta$ [16, 17], is defined by

$${}_c D^\vartheta [z(\iota)] = I^{n-\vartheta} [z^{(n)}(\iota)], \vartheta > 0,$$

and ${}^{RL} D^\delta$ [16, 17], is defined by

$${}^{RL} D^\delta [z(\iota)] = \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^n (I^{n-\delta} [z(\iota)]).$$

The R-L fractional integral [16, 17] of order $\vartheta > 0$, stated as

$$I^\vartheta [z(\iota)] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_0^\iota (\iota - s)^{\vartheta-1} z(s) ds, \iota > 0.$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Euler gamma function.

Lemma 1: [16, 25] For $\vartheta > 0$, we have

$$I^\vartheta [{}_c D^\vartheta z(\iota)] - z(\iota) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i^i \iota,$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 2: [16, 25] For $z \in C(0, T) \cap L^1(0, T)$ and ${}^{RL} D^\delta z \in C(0, T) \cap L^1(0, T)$, we can write

$$I^\delta [{}^{RL} D^\delta z(\iota)] - z(\iota) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \iota^{\delta-i}, n = [\delta] + 1.$$

Theorem 3: [19] For $\iota \in \Xi'$, we can write

$$y(\iota) - b(\iota) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(\iota) \int_0^\iota (\iota - s)^{\varepsilon_i-1} y(s) ds,$$

with $\varepsilon_i > 0$, a_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are the bounded and monotonic increasing functions on $\Xi' = [0, T)$, then

$$y(\iota) - b(\iota) \leq \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left(\sum_{i'=1}^n \sum_{j'=1}^\infty \frac{\prod_{i=1}^j [a_{i'}(\iota) \Gamma(\varepsilon_{i'})]}{\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^j \varepsilon_{i'})} \int_0^\iota (\iota - s)^{\sum_{i=1}^j \varepsilon_{i'}-1} b(s) ds \right).$$

Remark 4: For $n = 2$, if $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0, a_1, a_2 \geq 0, b(\iota)$ is nonnegative and locally integrable on Ξ' and $z(\iota)$ is nonnegative and locally integrable on Ξ' with

$$y(\iota) - b(\iota) \leq a_1 \int_0^\iota (\iota - s)^{\varepsilon_1 - 1} y(s) ds + a_2 \int_0^\iota (\iota - s)^{\varepsilon_2 - 1} y(s) ds,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} y(\iota) - b(\iota) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(a_1 \Gamma(\varepsilon_1))^j}{\Gamma(j\varepsilon_1)} \int_0^\iota (\iota - s)^{j\varepsilon_1 - 1} k(s) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(a_2 \Gamma(\varepsilon_2))^j}{\Gamma(j\varepsilon_2)} \int_0^\iota (\iota - s)^{j\varepsilon_2 - 1} k(s) ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5: Under the conditions of Remark 6, let $b(\iota)$ is a nondecreasing function on Ξ' . Then we have

$$y(\iota) \leq b(\iota) (E_{\varepsilon_1} [a_1 \Gamma(\varepsilon_1) \iota^{\varepsilon_1}] + E_{\varepsilon_2} [a_2 \Gamma(\varepsilon_2) \iota^{\varepsilon_2}]),$$

where E_ε [18] is defined by: $E_\varepsilon[x] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^\varepsilon}{\Gamma(j\varepsilon+1)}, x \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let $Z = \{z: z \in C(\Xi, \mathbb{R}) \text{ and } {}_{RL}D^\beta z \in C(\Xi, \mathbb{R})\}$, the space $(Z, \|\cdot\|_Z)$ is a Banach space, with the norm

$$\|z\|_Z = \|z\| + \|{}^{RL}D^\beta z\|,$$

where

$$\|z\| = \sup_{\iota \in \Xi} |z(\iota)| \text{ and } \|{}^{RL}D^\beta z\| = \sup_{\iota \in \Xi} |{}^{RL}D^\beta z(\iota)|.$$

We define the stability for (1). For $\varpi > 0$, we give:

$$\left| {}^C D^\vartheta [{}^{RL}D^\beta y(\iota)] - \left(\Phi(\iota) - \Delta_1 \chi_y(\iota) - {}_2\chi_y(\iota) \right) \right| \leq \varpi, \iota \in \Xi, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\left| {}^C D^\vartheta [{}^{RL}D^\beta y(\iota)] - \left(\Phi(\iota) - \Delta_1 \chi_y(\iota) - {}_2\chi_y(\iota) \right) \right| \leq \varpi \chi(t), t \in \Xi, \quad (3)$$

where $\chi \in C(\Xi, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and ${}_1\chi_y(\iota) = \varphi(\iota, y(\iota), {}^{RL}D^\beta y(\iota))$ and ${}_2\chi_y(\iota) = \psi(\iota, y(\iota), I^\alpha y(\iota))$.

Definition 6: The fractional Duffing equation (1) is UHML-stability with respect to $E_{\vartheta+\delta}$ if $\forall \varpi > 0$ and for any $y \in Z$ of (2), there exists $z \in Z$ of (1) and $\exists \sigma > 0$, with

$$|y(\iota) - z(\iota)| \leq \sigma \varpi E_{\vartheta+\delta}[\iota], \iota \in \Xi.$$

Definition 7: The fractional Duffing equation (1) is UHRML-stable, with respect to $\chi E_{\vartheta+\delta}$ if $\forall \varpi > 0$ and for all $y \in Z$ of (3), there exists $z \in Z$ of (1) $\exists \sigma_\chi > 0$, with

$$|y(\iota) - z(\iota)| \leq \sigma_\chi \varpi \chi(\iota) E_{\vartheta+\delta}[\iota], \iota \in \Xi.$$

Remark 8: $y \in Z$ is a solution of (2) iff $g: \Xi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which depend on z , with $|g(t)| \leq \varpi, t \in \Xi$ and

$${}^c D^\vartheta [{}^{RL} D^\beta y(t)] = \left(\phi(t) - \Delta_1 \chi_y(t) - {}_2 \chi_y(t) \right) + g(t), t \in \Xi.$$

2. Existence theorems

Lemma 9: For a given $h(t) \in C(\Xi, \mathbb{R})$, the problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D^\vartheta [{}^{RL} D^\delta z(t) - h(t) = 0, t \in \Xi] \\ {}^{RL} D^\delta z(0) = z(1) = I^\gamma z(\omega), z(0) = 0, \\ 1 < \vartheta \leq 2, 0 < \delta < 1, 0 < \omega < 1, \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

has a unique solution

$$\begin{aligned} z(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\vartheta+\delta-1} h(s) ds \\ & + \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)t^{\delta+1}}{\Pi\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta+\gamma)} \int_0^\omega (\omega-s)^{\vartheta+\delta+\gamma-1} h(s) ds \\ & - \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)t^{\delta+1}}{\Pi\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta)} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\vartheta+\delta-1} h(s) ds \\ & + \frac{\theta\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)}{\Pi} \left(\frac{\omega^{\delta+\gamma}}{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+1)} - \frac{T^\delta}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} \right) t^{\delta+1} + \frac{\theta}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} t^\delta, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\Pi := \Gamma(\delta+2)\omega^{\delta+\gamma+1} - T^{\delta+1}\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)$, $\omega^{\delta+\gamma+1} \neq \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)}{T^{\delta+1}\Gamma(\delta+2)}$.

Proof: Using Lemma 1, we can write

$${}^{RL} D^\delta z(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\vartheta-1} h(s) ds + c_0 + c_1 t, \quad (6)$$

where $c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, applying Lemma 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} z(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\vartheta+\delta-1} h(s) ds + c_0 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} t^\delta \\ & + c_1 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta+2)} t^{\delta+1} + c_2 t^{\delta-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $z(0) = 0$, we have $c_2 = 0$, and by ${}^{RL} D^\delta z(0) = \theta$, we obtain $c_0 = \theta$.

Now, using $z(T) = I^\gamma z(\eta)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 = & \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)\Gamma(\delta+2)}{\Pi} \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta+\gamma)} \int_0^\omega (\omega-s)^{\vartheta+\delta+\gamma-1} h(s) ds \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta)} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\vartheta+\delta-1} h(s) ds + \theta \left(\frac{\eta^{\delta+\gamma}}{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+1)} - \frac{T^\delta}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By placing coefficients c_0, c_1 and c_2 in (7) we get (4).

We define $O: Z \rightarrow Z$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} Oz(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\vartheta+\delta-1} (\phi(s) - \Delta_1 \chi_z(s) - {}_2 \chi_z(s)) ds \\ & + \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)t^{\delta+1}}{\Pi\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta+\gamma)} \int_0^\omega (\omega-s)^{\vartheta+\delta+\gamma-1} (\phi(s) - \Delta_1 \chi_z(s) - {}_2 \chi_z(s)) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)\iota^{\delta+1}}{\Pi\Gamma(\theta+\delta)}\int_0^T (T-s)^{\theta+\delta-1}(\phi(s)-\Delta_1\chi_z(s)-{}_2\chi_z(s))ds \\
& +\frac{\theta\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)}{\Pi}\left(\frac{\omega^{\delta+\gamma}}{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+1)}-\frac{T^\delta}{\Gamma(\delta+1)}\right)\iota^{\delta+1}+\frac{\theta}{\Gamma(\delta+1)}\iota^\delta,
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}^{RL}D^\beta O_Z(\iota) \\
& =\frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta+\delta-\beta)}\int_0^\iota (\iota-s)^{\theta+\delta-\beta-1}(\phi(s)-\Delta_1\chi_z(s)-{}_2\chi_z(s))ds \\
& +\frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)\Gamma(\delta+2)\iota^{\delta-\beta+1}}{\Pi\Gamma(\theta+\delta+\gamma)\Gamma(\delta-\beta+2)}\int_0^\omega (\omega-s)^{\theta+\delta+\gamma-1}(\phi(s)-\Delta_1\chi_z(s)-{}_2\chi_z(s))ds \\
& -\frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)\Gamma(\delta+2)\iota^{\delta-\beta+1}}{\Pi\Gamma(\theta+\delta)\Gamma(\delta-\beta+2)}\int_0^T (T-s)^{\theta+\delta-1}(\phi(s)-\Delta_1\chi_z(s)-{}_2\chi_z(s))ds \\
& +\frac{\theta\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)\Gamma(\delta+2)}{\Pi\Gamma(\delta-\beta+2)}\left(\frac{\omega^{\delta+\gamma}}{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+1)}-\frac{T^\delta}{\Gamma(\delta+1)}\right)\iota^{\delta-\beta+1}+\frac{\theta}{\Gamma(\delta-\beta+1)}\iota^{\delta-\beta},
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

We give the notations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_1 &:= \frac{T^{\theta+\delta}}{\Gamma(\theta+\delta+1)} + \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)T^{\delta+1}\omega^{\theta+\delta+\gamma}}{|\Pi|\Gamma(\theta+\delta+\gamma+1)} + \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)T^{\theta+\delta+1}}{|\Pi|\Gamma(\theta+\delta+1)}, \\
\Lambda_1 &:= \frac{|\theta|T^\delta}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} + \frac{|\theta|\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)}{|\Pi|}\left(\frac{\omega^{\delta+\gamma}}{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+1)} + \frac{T^\delta}{\Gamma(\delta+1)}\right)T^{\delta+1}, \\
\nabla_2 &:= \frac{T^{\theta+\delta-\beta}}{\Gamma(\theta+\delta-\beta+1)} + \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)\Gamma(\delta+2)T^{\delta+1}\omega^{\theta+\delta+\gamma}}{|\Pi|\Gamma(\theta+\delta+\gamma+1)\Gamma(\delta-\beta+1)} \\
& + \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)\Gamma(\delta+2)T^{\theta+2\delta-\beta+1}}{|\Pi|\Gamma(\theta+\delta+1)\Gamma(\delta-\beta+2)}, \\
\Lambda_2 &:= \frac{|\theta|\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)\Gamma(\delta+2)T^{\delta-\beta+1}}{|\Pi|\Gamma(\delta-\beta+2)}\left(\frac{\omega^{\delta+\gamma}}{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+1)} + \frac{T^\delta}{\Gamma(\delta+1)}\right) + \frac{|\theta|T^{\delta-\beta}}{\Gamma(\delta-\beta+1)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Now, we prove that O has a fixed point.

Theorem 10: *If $\varphi, \psi: \mathcal{E} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\phi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions and let $(H_1): \exists \mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$ which for all $t \in \mathcal{E}$ and $y_i, z_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2$, the following inequalities hold true*

$$|\varphi(\iota, z_1, z_2) - \varphi(\iota, y_1, y_2)| \leq \mu_1(|z_1 - y_1| + |z_2 - y_2|),$$

$$|\psi(\iota, z_1, z_2) - \psi(\iota, y_1, y_2)| \leq \mu_2(|z_1 - y_1| + |z_2 - y_2|).$$

If

$$\mu_2\left(1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\right) < (\nabla_1 + \nabla_2)^{-1} - \Delta\mu_1, \tag{11}$$

is valid, then (1) has one and only one solution on $\mathcal{E}$.

Proof: Define

$$\varepsilon \geq \frac{N(\Delta+2)+\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2}{1-\left[\Delta\mu_1+\mu_2\left(1+\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\right)\right](\nabla_1+\nabla_2)}, N = \max\{N_1, N_2, N_3\},$$

where $N_i < \infty$ such that

$$N_1 = \sup_{t \in \Xi} |\varphi(t, 0, 0)|, N_2 = \sup_{t \in \Xi} |\psi(t, 0, 0)| \text{ and } N_3 = \sup_{t \in \Xi} |\phi(t)|.$$

We prove that $TB_\varepsilon \subset B_\varepsilon$, $B_\varepsilon = \{z \in Z: \|z\|_Z \leq \varepsilon\}$. Using (H_1) , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |{}_1\chi_z(t)| &= \left| \varphi\left(t, z(t), {}^{RL}D^\beta z(t)\right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \varphi\left(t, z(t), {}^{RL}D^\beta z(t)\right) - \varphi(t, 0, 0) \right| + |\varphi(t, 0, 0)| \\ &\leq \mu_1(|z(t)| + |{}^{RL}D^\delta z(t)|) + N_1 \leq \mu_1(\|z\| + \|{}^{RL}D^\delta z\|) + N_1 \\ &\leq \mu_1\|w\|_W + N_1 \leq \mu_1\varepsilon + N, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |{}_2\chi_z(t)| &= |\psi(t, z(t), I^\alpha z(t))| \\ &\leq |\psi(t, z(t), I^\alpha z(t)) - \psi(t, 0, 0)| + |\psi(t, 0, 0)| \\ &\leq \mu_2(|z(t)| + |I^\alpha z(t)|) + N_2 \leq \mu_2\left(\|z\| + \frac{\|z\|}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\right) + N_2 \\ &\leq \mu_2\left(1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\right)\|z\|_Z + N_2 \leq \mu_2\left(1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\right)\varepsilon + N, \end{aligned}$$

For $z \in B_\varepsilon$ and by (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Oz(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta+\delta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\theta+\delta-1} |\phi(s) - \Delta_1\chi_z(s) - {}_2\chi_z(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)t^{\delta+1}}{|\Pi|\Gamma(\theta+\delta+\gamma)} \int_0^\omega (\omega-s)^{\theta+\delta+\gamma-1} |\phi(s) - \Delta_1\chi_z(s) - {}_2\chi_z(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)t^{\delta+1}}{|\Pi|\Gamma(\theta+\delta)} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\theta+\delta-1} |\phi(s) - \Delta_1\chi_z(s) - {}_2\chi_z(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{|\theta|}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} t^\delta + \frac{|\theta|\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)}{|\Pi|} \left(\frac{\omega^{\delta+\gamma}}{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+1)} + \frac{T^\delta}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} \right) t^{\delta+1} \\ &\leq \left(\left[\Delta\mu_1 + \mu_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right) \right] \varepsilon + N(\Delta+2) \right) \\ &\quad \left[\frac{T^{\theta+\delta}}{\Gamma(\theta+\delta+1)} + \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)T^{\delta+1}\omega^{\theta+\delta+\gamma}}{|\Pi|\Gamma(\theta+\delta+\gamma+1)} + \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)T^{\theta+\delta+1}}{|\Pi|\Gamma(\theta+\delta+1)} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{|\theta|\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)}{|\Pi|} \left(\frac{\omega^{\delta+\gamma}}{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+1)} + \frac{T^\delta}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} \right) T^{\delta+1} + \frac{|\theta|T^\delta}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} \\ &= \left[\Delta\mu_1 + \mu_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right) \right] \nabla_1 \varepsilon + N(\Delta+2)\nabla_1 + \Lambda_1, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|Oz\| \leq \left[\Delta\mu_1 + \mu_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right) \right] \nabla_1 \varepsilon + N(\Delta+2)\nabla_1 + \Lambda_1.$$

Using (9) and (10), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &\|{}^{RL}D^\delta Oz\| \\ &\leq \left[\frac{T^{\theta+\delta-\beta}}{\Gamma(\theta+\delta-\beta+1)} + \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)\Gamma(\delta+2)T^{\delta+1}\omega^{\theta+\delta+\gamma}}{\Pi\Gamma(\theta+\delta+\gamma+1)\Gamma(\delta-\beta+1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)\Gamma(\delta+2)T^{\theta+2\delta-\beta+1}}{\Pi\Gamma(\theta+\delta+1)\Gamma(\delta-\beta+2)} \right] \left(\left[\Delta\mu_1 + \mu_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right) \right] \varepsilon + N(\Delta+2) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{|\theta|\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)\Gamma(\delta+2)T^{\delta-\beta+1}}{\Pi\Gamma(\delta-\beta+2)} \left(\frac{\omega^{\delta+\gamma}}{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+1)} + \frac{T^\delta}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} \right) + \frac{|\theta|T^{\delta-\beta}}{\Gamma(\delta-\beta+1)} \\
& = \left[\Delta\mu_1 + \mu_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right) \right] \nabla_2 \varepsilon + N(\Delta + 2) \nabla_2 + \Lambda_2.
\end{aligned}$$

From the definition of $\|\cdot\|_Z$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|O(z)\|_Z &= \|O(z)\| + \|{}^{RL}D^\delta O_z(t)\| \\
&\leq \left[\Delta\mu_1 + \mu_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right) \right] (\nabla_1 + \nabla_2) \varepsilon + N(\Delta + 2) (\nabla_1 + \nabla_2) \\
&\quad + \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 \leq \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $OB_\varepsilon \subset B_\varepsilon$. Now for $y, z \in B_\varepsilon, \iota \in \Xi$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Oz(\iota) - Oy(\iota)| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta)} \int_0^\iota (\iota - s)^{\vartheta+\delta-1} (\Lambda |{}_1\chi_z(s) - {}_1\chi_y(s)| + |{}_2\chi_z(s) - {}_2\chi_y(s)|) ds \\
& + \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)t^{\delta+1}}{\Pi\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta+\gamma)} \int_0^\omega (\omega - s)^{\vartheta+\delta+\gamma-1} (\Lambda |{}_1\chi_z(s) - {}_1\chi_y(s)| + |{}_2\chi_z(s) - {}_2\chi_y(s)|) ds \\
& + \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)t^{\delta+1}}{\Pi\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta)} \int_0^T (T - s)^{\vartheta+\delta-1} (\Lambda |{}_1\chi_z(s) - {}_1\chi_y(s)| + |{}_2\chi_z(s) - {}_2\chi_y(s)|) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

So, applying (H_1) , we get

$$\|Oz - Oy\| \leq \left[\Delta\mu_1 + \mu_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right) \right] \nabla_1 \|z - y\|_Z, \quad (13)$$

also, we have

$$\|{}^{RL}D^\delta Oz - {}^{RL}D^\beta Oy\| \leq \left[\Delta\mu_1 + \mu_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right) \right] \nabla_2 \|z - y\|_Z. \quad (14)$$

From (13) and (14), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Oz - Oy\|_Z &= \|Oz - Oy\| + \|{}^{RL}D^\delta Oz - {}^{RL}D^\beta Oy\| \\
&\leq \left[\Delta\mu_1 + \mu_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right) \right] (\nabla_1 + \nabla_2) \|z - y\|_Z,
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof is achieved.

Now we are in a position to prove the existence of at least one solutions for (1) by using Lemma of [9].

Theorem 11: Suppose that $\varphi, \psi: \Xi \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi: \Xi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous. Assume that:

(H_2) : Let $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\rho_0, \varrho_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ which for real numbers $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, the following inequalities are satisfied

$$|\varphi(\iota, z_1, z_2)| \leq \rho_0 + \rho_1 |z_1| + \rho_2 |z_2|,$$

and

$$|\psi(\iota, z_1, z_2)| \leq \varrho_0 + \varrho_1 |z_1| + \varrho_2 |z_2|.$$

(H_3) : Let $\beta_\phi > 0$, with

$$|\phi(\iota)| \leq \beta_\phi, \forall \iota \in \Xi$$

If

$$\Delta(\rho_1 + \rho_2) + (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) < (\nabla_1 + \nabla_2)^{-1}. \quad (15)$$

Then the Duffing problem (1) admits one solution at least.

Proof: We begin by showing that O is completely continuous (O is continuous).

For $F \subset Z$ and $L_i > 0, i = 1, 2$, we get

$$|\varphi(t, y, z)| \leq L_1, |\psi(t, y, z)| \leq L_2, \text{ for each } y, z \in F.$$

Then for any $z \in F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Oz(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\vartheta+\delta-1} |\phi(s) - \Delta_1 \chi_z(s) - {}_2\chi_z(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)t^{\delta+1}}{\Pi\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta+\gamma)} \int_0^\omega (\omega-s)^{\vartheta+\delta+\gamma-1} |\phi(s) - \Delta_1 \chi_z(s) - {}_2\chi_z(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)t^{\delta+1}}{\Pi\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta)} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\vartheta+\delta-1} |\phi(s) - \Delta_1 \chi_z(s) - {}_2\chi_z(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\theta\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)}{\Pi} \left(\frac{\omega^{\delta+\gamma}}{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+1)} + \frac{T^\delta}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} \right) t^{\delta+1} + \frac{\theta t^\delta}{\Gamma(\delta+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

using (H_3) , we can write

$$\|Oz\| \leq [\beta_\phi + \Delta L_1 + L_2] \nabla_1 + \Lambda_1.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\|{}^{RL}D^\delta O(z)\| \leq [\beta_\phi + \Delta L_1 + L_2] \nabla_2 + \Lambda_2.$$

Hence for $z \in$, we obtain $\|O\|_Z < \infty$.

Now, we prove that O is equi-continuous sets of Z . Let $z \in F$ and $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq 1$, then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &|Oz(t_2) - Oz(t_1)| \\ &\leq \frac{(\beta_\phi + \Delta L_1 + L_2)}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta+1)} [(t_2 - t_1)^{\vartheta+\delta} + |t_2^{\vartheta+\delta} - t_1^{\vartheta+\delta}|] \\ &\quad + (\beta_\phi + \Delta L_1 + L_2) \left[\frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)\omega^{\vartheta+\delta+\gamma}}{\Pi|\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta+\gamma+1)} + \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)T^{\vartheta+\delta}}{\Pi|\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta+1)} \right] |t_2^{\delta+1} - t_1^{\delta+1}| \\ &\quad + \frac{|\theta|\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)}{|\Pi|} \left(\frac{\omega^{\delta+\gamma}}{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+1)} + \frac{T^\delta}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} \right) |t_2^{\delta+1} - t_1^{\delta+1}| \\ &\quad + \frac{|\theta|}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} |t_2^\delta - t_1^\delta|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &|{}^{RL}D^\delta Oz(t_2) - {}^{RL}D^\beta Oz(t_2)| \\ &\leq \frac{(\beta_\phi + \Delta L_1 + L_2)}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta-\beta+1)} [(t_2 - t_1)^{\vartheta+\delta-\beta} + |t_2^{\vartheta+\delta-\beta} - t_1^{\vartheta+\delta-\beta}|] \\ &\quad + \left[(\beta_\phi + \Delta L_1 + L_2) \frac{(k+\Lambda N_1+N_2)\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)\Gamma(\delta+2)\omega^{\vartheta+\delta+\gamma}}{|\Pi|\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta+\gamma+1)\Gamma(\delta-\beta+2)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(\beta_\phi + \Delta L_1 + L_2)\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)\Gamma(\delta+2)T^{\vartheta+\delta}}{|\Pi|\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta+1)\Gamma(\delta-\beta+2)} \right] |t_2^{\delta-\beta+1} - t_1^{\delta-\beta+1}| \\ &\quad + \frac{|\theta|\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+2)\Gamma(\delta+2)}{|\Pi|\Gamma(\delta-\beta+2)} \left(\frac{\omega^{\delta+\gamma}}{\Gamma(\delta+\gamma+1)} - \frac{T^\delta}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} \right) |t_2^{\delta-\beta+1} - t_1^{\delta-\beta+1}| \\ &\quad + \frac{|\theta|}{\Gamma(\delta-\beta+1)} |t_2^{\delta-\beta} - t_1^{\delta-\beta}|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the operator O is equicontinuous.

Finally, we verify that Σ defined by

$$\Sigma = \{z \in Z : z = \sigma O(z), 0 < \sigma < 1\},$$

is bounded. For $w \in \Sigma$ and $t \in \Xi$, we have

$$z(t) = \sigma O z(t).$$

By (H_2) , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & |z(t)| \\ & \leq [\beta_\phi + \Delta\rho_0 + \rho_0 + [\Delta(\rho_1 + \rho_2) + (\rho_1 + \rho_2)]] \|z\|_Z \nabla_1 + \Lambda_1. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |{}^{RL}D^\delta w(t)| \\ & \leq [\beta_\phi + \Delta\rho_0 + \rho_0 + [\Delta(\rho_1 + \rho_2) + (\rho_1 + \rho_2)]] \|z\|_Z \nabla_2 + \Lambda_2. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the above estimates, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\|_Z & \leq [\Delta(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)](\nabla_1 + \nabla_2) \|z\|_Z \\ & \quad + [\beta_\phi + \Lambda\lambda_0 + \gamma_0](\nabla_1 + \nabla_2) + \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2, \end{aligned}$$

which imply that

$$\|z\|_Z \leq \frac{[\beta_\phi + \Lambda\lambda_0 + \gamma_0](\nabla_1 + \nabla_2) + \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2}{1 - [\Delta(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)](\nabla_1 + \nabla_2)}.$$

Consequently, F is bounded.

We deduce that O admits one fixed point at least, which is a solution of (1).

3. UML-stability theorems

In the following theorems, we will prove the UHML-stability and UHRML-stability of (1).

Theorem 12: Let $\varphi, \psi \in C(\mathcal{E} \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, $\phi \in C(\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{R})$ and assume that (H_1) satisfies. Then the Duffing equation (1) is UHML-stable.

Proof: Let $y \in Z$ be a solution of (2). Then, by applying Lemma 11, we have

$$y(t) = I^{\theta+\delta} h_y(t) + \frac{b_0}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} t^\delta + \frac{b_1}{\Gamma(\delta+2)} t^{\delta+1} + b_2 t^{\delta-1},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| y(t) - I^{\theta+\delta} h_y(t) - \frac{b_0}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} t^\delta - \frac{b_1}{\Gamma(\delta+2)} t^{\delta+1} - b_2 t^{\delta-1} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\varpi}{\Gamma(\theta+\delta+1)} t^{\theta+\delta} \leq \frac{\varpi}{\Gamma(\theta+\delta+1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

From these relation, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t) - z(t)| &= \left| y(t) - I^{\theta+\delta} h_y(t) - \frac{b_0}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} t^\delta - \frac{b_1}{\Gamma(\delta+2)} t^{\delta+1} - b_2 t^{\delta-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + I^{\theta+\delta} [h_y(t) - h_z(t)] \right| \\ &\leq \left| y(t) - I^{\theta+\delta} h_y(t) - \frac{b_0}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} t^\delta - \frac{b_1}{\Gamma(\delta+2)} t^{\delta+1} - b_2 t^{\delta-1} \right| \\ & \quad + |I^{\theta+\delta} [h_y(t) - h_z(t)]|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h_y(t) = \phi(t) - \Lambda\varphi_y^*(t) - \psi_y^*(t),$$

and

$$h_z(t) = \phi(t) - \Lambda\varphi_z^*(t) - \psi_z^*(t),$$

So, by (H_1) , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t [h_y(s) - h_z(s)] ds \right| &\leq \frac{\Delta\mu_1}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\vartheta+\delta-1} \|y-z\|_Z ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu_2}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\vartheta+\delta-1} \|y-z\|_Z ds. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Thanks tot (16) and (17), we can state that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|y(s) - z(s)\|_Z \\ &\leq \frac{\varpi}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta+1)} + \frac{\Delta\mu_1}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\vartheta+\delta-1} \|y(s) - z(s)\|_Z ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu_2}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\vartheta+\delta-1} \|y(s) - z(s)\|_Z ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then, thanks to Remark 4 and Remark 5, conclude that

$$\|y - z\|_Z \leq \frac{\varpi}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta+1)} (E_{\vartheta+\delta}[\Delta\mu_1 t^{\vartheta+\delta}] + E_{\vartheta+\delta}[\mu_2 t^{\vartheta+\delta}]).$$

Theorem 13: Let $\varphi, \psi: \Xi \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \phi: \Xi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Assume (H_1) satisfies. If $\exists \chi \in \mathcal{C}(\Xi, \mathbb{R}_+)$ is increasing and $\exists \sigma_\chi > 0$ such that

$$I^{\vartheta+\delta}[\chi(t)] \leq \sigma_\chi \chi(t), t \in \Xi. \quad (18)$$

Then (1) is UHRML-stable with respect to $\chi E_{\vartheta+\delta}$.

Proof: Let $y \in Z$ be a solution of (3). Using Remark 10, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| y(t) - I^{\vartheta+\delta} h_y(t) - \frac{b_0}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} t^\delta - \frac{b_1}{\Gamma(\delta+2)} t^{\delta+1} - b_2 t^{\delta-1} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\varpi}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\vartheta+\delta-1} \chi(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$z(t) = I^{\vartheta+\delta} h_z(t) + \frac{c_0}{\Gamma(\delta+1)} t^\delta + \frac{c_1}{\Gamma(\delta+2)} t^{\delta+1} + c_2 t^{\delta-1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\|y(s) - z(s)\|_Z \\ &\leq \frac{\varpi}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\vartheta+\delta-1} \chi(s) ds + \frac{\Delta\mu_1}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\vartheta+\delta-1} \|y(s) - z(s)\|_Z ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu_2}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\vartheta+\delta-1} \|y(s) - z(s)\|_Z ds. \end{aligned}$$

By (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|y(s) - z(s)\|_Z \leq \varpi \pi_\chi \chi(t) + \frac{\Delta\mu_1}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\vartheta+\delta-1} \|y(s) - z(s)\|_Z ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu_2}{\Gamma(\vartheta+\delta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\vartheta+\delta-1} \|y(s) - z(s)\|_Z ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using Remark 4 and Remark 5, we have

$$\|y - z\|_Z \leq \varpi \sigma_\chi \chi(\iota) (E_{\vartheta+\delta} [\Delta \mu_1 \iota^{\vartheta+\delta}] + E_{\vartheta+\delta} [\mu_2 \iota^{\vartheta+\delta}]).$$

So, the Duffing equation (1) is UHRML-stable.

4. Example

We give the Duffing equation

$$\begin{cases} {}_c D^{\frac{4}{3}} [{}^{RL} D^{\frac{1}{2}} z(\iota)] + \frac{1}{25e^\pi} \left(\frac{\sin z(\iota)}{2\sqrt{\pi}(120+\iota)} + \frac{|{}^{RL} D^{\frac{1}{3}} z(\iota)|}{2\sqrt{\pi}(120+\iota) \left(|{}^{RL} D^{\frac{1}{3}} z(\iota)| \right)} \right) \\ \quad + \frac{e^{-3\iota}}{240} \left(\cos z(\iota) + I^{\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}} z(\iota) + \frac{1}{7} \right) = \frac{3}{5} \iota^{e^{2t+1}} \\ z(0) = R, {}^{RL} D^{\frac{1}{2}} z(0) = \frac{\ln 2}{5}, z(1) = I^{\frac{e}{2}} z\left(\frac{1}{5}\right), \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \left| {}_c D^{\frac{4}{3}} [{}^{RL} D^{\frac{1}{2}} z(\iota)] - \frac{3}{5} \iota^{e^{2t+1}} - \frac{1}{25e^\pi} \varphi \left(\iota, z(\iota), {}^{RL} D^{\frac{1}{3}} z(\iota) \right) - \psi \left(\iota, z(\iota), I^{\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}} z(\iota) \right) \right| \leq \varpi, \\ \left| {}_c D^{\frac{4}{3}} [{}^{RL} D^{\frac{1}{2}} z(\iota)] - \frac{3}{5} \iota^{e^{2t+1}} - \frac{1}{25e^\pi} \varphi \left(\iota, z(\iota), {}^{RL} D^{\frac{1}{3}} z(\iota) \right) - \psi \left(\iota, z(\iota), I^{\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}} z(\iota) \right) \right| \leq \varpi F(t), \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \varphi \left(\iota, z(\iota), {}^{RL} D^{\frac{1}{3}} z(\iota) \right) = \frac{\sin z(\iota)}{2\sqrt{\pi}(120+\iota)} + \frac{|{}^{RL} D^{\frac{1}{3}} z(\iota)|}{2\sqrt{\pi}(120+\iota) \left(1 + |{}^{RL} D^{\frac{1}{3}} z(\iota)| \right)}, \\ \psi \left(\iota, z(\iota), I^{\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}} z(\iota) \right) = \frac{e^{-3\iota}}{240} \left(\cos z(\iota) + I^{\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}} z(\iota) + \frac{1}{7} \right), \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and } \vartheta = \frac{4}{3}, \delta = \frac{1}{2}, \Delta = \frac{1}{25e^\pi}, \beta = \frac{1}{3}, \alpha = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}, \omega = \frac{1}{5}, \gamma = \frac{e}{2}, \theta = \frac{\ln 2}{5}.$$

We have

$$\nabla_1 = 1.162, \nabla_2 = 1.4674.$$

For all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $y_j, z_j \in \mathbb{R}, j = 1, 2$, we have

$$|\varphi(\iota, z_1(\iota), z_2(\iota)) - \varphi(\iota, y_1(\iota), y_2(\iota))| \leq \frac{1}{240\sqrt{\pi}} (|z_1 - y_1| + |z_2 - y_2|),$$

and

$$|\psi(\iota, z_1(\iota), z_2(\iota)) - \psi(\iota, y_1(\iota), y_2(\iota))| \leq \frac{1}{240} (|z_1 - y_1| + |z_2 - y_2|).$$

Hence condition (H_1) holds with $\mu_1 = \frac{1}{240\sqrt{\pi}}$ and $\mu_2 = \frac{1}{240}$ respectively.

Thus condition

$$\left[\Delta\mu_1 + \mu_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right) \right] (\nabla_1 + \nabla_2) = 0.02134 \ 3 < 1,$$

is satisfied. Then (19) admits one solution on $[0,1]$ and is UHML-stable with

$$\|y - z\|_Z \leq \frac{\varpi}{\Gamma(\frac{11}{6})} \left(E_{\frac{11}{6}} \left[\frac{1}{25e^\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{240\sqrt{\pi}} \iota^{\frac{11}{6}} \right] + E_{\frac{11}{6}} \left[\frac{1}{240} \iota^{\frac{11}{6}} \right] \right), 0 \leq \iota \leq 1.$$

Let $\chi(\iota) = \iota^{\frac{1}{2}}$,

$$\iota^{\frac{4}{3}+\frac{1}{2}} \left[\iota^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\frac{10}{3})} \iota^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{4}{3}+\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\frac{10}{3})} \iota^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sigma_\chi \chi(\iota).$$

Hence (18) is satisfied, then by theorem 13 the problem (19) is UHRML-stable with

$$\|y - z\|_Z \leq \frac{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\frac{10}{3})} \varpi \iota^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(E_{\frac{11}{6}} \left[\frac{1}{25e^\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{240\sqrt{\pi}} \iota^{\frac{11}{6}} \right] + E_{\frac{11}{6}} \left[\frac{1}{240} \iota^{\frac{11}{6}} \right] \right), 0 \leq \iota \leq 1.$$

References

- [1] M. I. Abbas, "Existence and uniqueness of Mittag-Leffler-Ulam stable solution for fractional integrodifferential equations with nonlocal initial conditions," *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 478-498 (2015).
- [2] A. H. Ganie, M. Houas, and M. M. AlBaidani, "Solvability and Mittag-Leffler-Ulam stability for fractional Duffing problem with three sequential fractional derivatives," *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 1807-1822 (2024).
- [3] M. Bezziou, I. Jebiril, and Z. Dahmani, "A new nonlinear Duffing system with sequential fractional derivatives," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 151, Art. no. 111247, pp. 1-7 (2021).
- [4] H. Chen and Y. Li, "Rate of decay of stable periodic solutions of Duffing equations," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 236, pp. 493-503 (2007).
- [5] S. Chandrasekhar, "An introduction to the study of stellar structure," *Ciel et Terre*, vol. 55, pp. 412-415 (1939).
- [6] J. Deng and L. Ma, "Existence and uniqueness of solutions of initial value problems for nonlinear fractional differential equations," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 676-680 (2010).

- [7] G. Duffing, *Forced Oscillations with Variable Natural Frequency and Their Technical Significance*. Braunschweig, Germany: Vieweg (1918).
- [8] C. L. Ejikeme, M. O. Oyesanya, D. F. Agbebaku, and M. B. Okofu, "Solution to nonlinear Duffing oscillator with fractional derivatives using Homotopy Analysis Method (HAM)," *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 14, no. 10, pp. 1363-1383 (2018).
- [9] A. Granas and J. Dugundji, *Fixed Point Theory*. New York, NY, USA: Springer-Verlag (2003).
- [10] Y. Gouari, Z. Dahmani, and I. Jebril, "Application of fractional calculus on a new differential problem of Duffing type," *Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal*, vol. 9, pp. 10989-11002 (2020).
- [11] M. Houas and M. E. Samei, "Existence and stability of solutions for linear and nonlinear damping of q-fractional Duffing-Rayleigh problem," *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 20, no. 3, Art. no. 148, pp. 1-28 (2023).
- [12] M. Houas, "Solvability and stability for fractional differential equations involving two Riemann-Liouville fractional orders," *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, vol. 26, no. 8, pp. 1699-1715 (2023).
- [13] M. Houas and M. E. Samei, "Existence and Mittag-Leffler-Ulam stability results for Duffing type problem involving sequential fractional derivatives," *International Journal of Applied and Computational Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 4, Art. no. 185, pp. 1-24 (2022).
- [14] M. Houas and M. Bezziou, "Existence and stability results for fractional differential equations with two Caputo fractional derivatives," *Facta Universitatis, Series: Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 341-357 (2019).
- [15] M. Houas, M. E. Samei, S. S. Santra, and J. Alzabut, "On a Duffing-type oscillator differential equation on the transition to chaos with fractional q-derivatives," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2024, Art. no. 12, pp. 1-28 (2024).
- [16] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier (2006).
- [17] V. Lakshmikantham and A. S. Vatsala, "Basic theory of fractional differential equations," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 69, pp. 2677-2682 (2008).
- [18] K. D. Kucche and S. T. Sutar, "Analysis of nonlinear fractional differential equations involving Atangana-Baleanu-Caputo derivative," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 143, Art. no. 110556, pp. 1-9 (2021).

- [19] S. Y. Lin, "Generalized Gronwall inequalities and their applications to fractional differential equations," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2013, Art. no. 549, pp. 1-9 (2013).
- [20] A. C. Lazer and P. J. McKenna, "On the existence of stable periodic solutions of differential equations of Duffing type," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 110, pp. 125-133 (1990).
- [21] J. Niu, R. Liu, Y. Shen, and S. Yang, "Chaos detection of Duffing system with fractional order derivative by Melnikov method," *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science*, vol. 29, pp. 123-126 (2019).
- [22] A. U. K. Niazi, J. Wei, M. U. Rehman, and P. Denghao, "Ulam-Hyers-Mittag-Leffler stability for nonlinear fractional neutral differential equations," *Sbornik: Mathematics*, vol. 209, no. 9, pp. 1337-1350 (2018).
- [23] P. Pirmohabbati, A. H. Refahi Sheikhan, H. Saberi Najafi, and A. Abdolazadeh Ziabari, "Numerical solution of full fractional Duffing equations with cubic-quintic-heptic nonlinearities," *AIMS Mathematics*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 1621-1641 (2020).
- [24] P. Pirmohabbati, A. H. R. Sheikhan, H. S. Najafi, and A. A. Ziabari, "Numerical solution of strongly nonlinear full fractional Duffing equation," *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, vol. 23, no. 8, pp. 1531-1551 (2020).
- [25] L. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*. New York, NY, USA: Academic Press (1999).
- [26] J. Sunday, "The Duffing oscillator: Applications and computational simulations," *Asian Research Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 1-13 (2017).
- [27] K. Tablennhas and Z. Dahmani, "A three sequential fractional differential problem of Duffing type," *Applied Mathematics E-Notes*, vol. 21, pp. 587-598 (2021).
- [28] J. Wang and Y. Zhou, "Mittag-Leffler-Ulam stabilities of fractional evolution equations," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 25, pp. 723-728 (2012).
- [29] J. Wang and Y. Zhang, "Ulam-Hyers-Mittag-Leffler stability of fractional-order delay differential equations," *Optimization*, vol. 63, no. 8, pp. 1181-1190 (2014).

Received July, 2024