

A study on third-order subordination of holomorphic functions using a combined convolutions operator

Mustafa I. Hameed *

Muthanna Mishlish †

Department of Mathematics

College of Education for Pure Sciences

University of Anbar

Ramadi 31001

Iraq

Kayode Oshinubi §

School of Informatics

Computing and Cyber System

Northern Arizona University

Flagstaff AZ

U.S.A.

Hussaini Joshua ‡

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

University of Maiduguri

Borno 600004

Nigeria

Abstract

In the present study, our team demonstrated novel findings through the use of the new operator, which was obtained via the convolution product of the four amora-arus. Includes

* ORCID-ID: 0000-0003-0726-3180

† ORCID-ID: 0009-0002-6071-5705

§ ORCID-ID: 0000-0003-4598-8510

‡ ORCID-ID: 0000-0001-8236-6900

* E-mail: mustafa8095@uoanbar.edu.iq (Corresponding Author)

† E-mail: muthana.kh.m@uoanbar.edu.iq

§ E-mail: oshinubik@gmail.com

‡ E-mail: jhussaini@unimaid.edu.ng

certain differential subordination results of the third degree, including the operator $MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\tau, \varrho, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho)$, and this is obtaining the dependence. We also looked into the group for embraced functions, such as the government relations office's subordination derivative as well as the Srivastava operator. Additionally, find the operator's third difference level $MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\tau, \varrho, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho)$ and prove a number of theorems to identify the optimal subordinate. In the final section of the study, some sandwich-type theories were addressed, as well as determining the best dominant and subordinate ones. keeps its geometric functions. Initialization results can be obtained within the unit disk by imposing limitations on functions that belong to subclass properties.

Subject Classification: 30C45.

Keywords: Holomorphic functions, Univalent functions, Differential subordination, Convolution operator, Convolution product, Admissible functions.

1. Introduction

In alongside derived operators, the purpose of this work is to investigate differential subordination as well as superordination with further univalent functions. Consequently, the results were noteworthy in terms of geometrical characteristics, such as the upper and lower limits for univalent functions and coefficient estimates, as derived from Gronwall [1]. Convex functions also produced the associated outcomes. Unaware of these developments, Finkelstein [2] re-examined the issue and obtained comparable results with the exception of a wrong lower bound. Tepper [3] used a fixed second coefficient to derive equivalent estimates for starlike optimistic order functions, while Padmanabhan [4] did the same for convex optimistic order functions. Geometric function theory has been studied extensively, it is used in a variety of fields, including physics (e.g., fluid mechanics, nonlinear systems, and partial differential equation theory), mathematics, engineering, and medicine. Duren [5] and Goodman [6] are conventional books cover univalent functions in detail. The theory of subordinations is presented in detail by Miller as well as Mocanu [7], along with references to its numerous uses in univalent function theory. The multifaceted theory of superordination was previously discussed by Miller along with Mocanu [8], and Bulboaca's monograph outlines some developments in this area. Let K be the class, and X be the unit open disk, for $\nu \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $e \in \mathbb{C}$ the subclass $K(X)$ given by

$$Y(\rho) = \rho + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} e_{\nu} \rho^{\nu}, (\rho \in X). \quad (1)$$

And $g \in \Lambda$ it is defined to be

$$g(\rho) = \rho + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} \alpha_{\nu} \rho^{\nu}, (\rho \in X). \quad (2)$$

The convolution product of $Y(\rho)$ and $g(\rho)$ is defined to be

$$(Y * g)(\rho) = \rho + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} e_{\nu} \alpha_{\nu} \rho^{\nu} = (g * Y)(\rho), (\rho \in X).$$

Say Y is subordinate to g ,

$$g(\rho) < Y(\rho), (\rho \in X).$$

If function $\eta(0) = 0$ and $|\zeta(\rho)| < 1$ so $Y(\rho) = g(\zeta\eta(\rho))$, ($\rho \in X$), it is widely accepted [9-11]

$Y(\rho) < g(\rho)$, ($\rho \in X$) $\Rightarrow Y(0) = g(0)$ and $Y(X) \subset g(X)$,
and if g represents an univalent function in X , subsequently holds [12,13],

$$Y(\rho) < g(\rho), (\rho \in X) \Leftrightarrow Y(0) = g(0) \text{ and } Y(X) \subset g(X).$$

Definition 1.1: [14]. If $\omega \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\tau, \alpha \geq 0$, $\beta, \delta, \varrho > 0$ with $\tau \neq \delta$, the differential operator $N_{\beta, \delta, \alpha}^{\omega}(\tau, \varrho): \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$, so

$$N_{\beta, \delta, \alpha}^{\omega}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) = \rho + \sum_{v=2}^{\tau} \left[1 + \frac{(\omega-1)(\delta-\tau)\varrho+\omega\alpha}{\beta+\delta} \right]^{\omega} e_v \rho^v. \quad (3)$$

From (3) it to be

$$H \left(N_{\beta}^{\omega} Y(\rho) \right)' = \frac{\beta+\delta}{(\delta-\tau)\varrho+\omega\alpha} N_{\beta, \delta, \alpha}^{\omega+1} Y(\rho) - \left(1 - \frac{\beta+\delta}{(\delta-\tau)\varrho} \right) N_{\beta}^{\omega} Y(\rho). \quad (4)$$

Definition 1.2: [15, 16]. Let $Y \in \Lambda$, The definition of the Srivastava-attiya standard operator is

$$M_{\mu, \gamma} = \rho + \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma+v} \right)^{\mu} e_v \rho^v, \quad (5)$$

and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}/r_0$ from (5) it is say

$$H \left(M_{\mu+1, \gamma} Y(\rho) \right)' = (1 + \gamma) M_{\mu, \gamma} Y(\rho) - \gamma M_{\mu+1, \gamma} Y(\rho) \quad (6)$$

Definition 1.3: The Amourah-Darus operative operator $N_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega}(\tau, \varrho)$ as well as the Srivastava-Attiya operative operator $M_{\omega, \mu}$ are convolved to establish the operator $MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho): \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$

$$MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) = \rho + \sum_{v=2}^{\tau} \left(\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma+v} \right)^{\mu} \left[1 + \frac{(\omega-1)(\delta-\tau)\varrho+\omega\alpha}{\beta+\delta} \right]^{\omega} e_v^2 \rho^v, \rho \in X, \quad (7)$$

by (7),

$$MN_{\beta-1, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega+1, \mu} Y(\rho) = (1 - \omega) MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu} Y(\rho) + \omega \rho \left(MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu} Y(\rho) \right)', \quad (8)$$

Definition 1.4: If Ω a set in $\mathbb{C}$ and $q \in Q_0 \cap A[0,1]$. The class $\Phi_v[\Omega, q]$ of function $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^4 \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, that meet requirements $\Phi(o, y, r, z; \rho) \notin \Omega$, so

$$O = q(\xi), y = \frac{k\xi q'(\rho) + \beta q(\rho)}{(\beta-1)}, \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(\beta-1)^2 r + \beta^2 o}{(y(\beta-1) + yO)} + 2\beta \right) \geq k \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi^2 q''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)} \right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(\beta-1)^2 \xi(\beta-1)z + 3(\beta-1)r - (2\beta^3 o - 3\beta^2 O)}{(y(\beta-1) + yO)} + 2\beta^2 + 4\beta - 1 \right) \\ & \geq k^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi^2 q'''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)} \right), \rho \in X, \xi \in \partial U/A(q) \text{ and } k \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1: *If Y is a function that belongs to Λ and q is a function that belongs to Q_0 , then the following requirements must be satisfied:*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\delta q''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)} \right) \geq 0, \quad \left| \frac{MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho)}{q'(\xi)} \right| \leq k \quad (9)$$

$$\left\{ \emptyset \left(MN_{\beta, \delta}^{\omega, \mu} Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-1, \delta}^{\omega, \mu} Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-2, \delta}^{\omega, \mu} Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-3, \delta}^{\omega, \mu} Y(\rho) \right) \right\} \subset \Omega$$

Then

$$MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) < q(\rho)$$

Proof: Be the relation (7) and (8), gives

$$\begin{aligned} MN_{\beta-1, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) = \\ \frac{\rho \left(MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) \right)' + \beta MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho)}{(\beta-1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

And $j(\rho)$ is

$$j(\rho) = MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho), \quad (11)$$

then

$$MN_{\beta-1, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) = \frac{\rho j'(\rho) + \beta j(\rho)}{(\beta-1)}, \quad (12)$$

using an analogous contention,

$$MN_{\beta-2, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) = \frac{\rho^2 j''(\rho) + (2\beta-1)\rho j'(\rho) + \beta^2 j(\rho)}{(\beta-1)^2}, \quad (13)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} MN_{\beta-3, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) = \\ \frac{\rho^3 j'''(\rho) + 2(\beta-1)\rho^2 j''(\rho) + (2\beta^2+3\beta-1)\rho j'(\rho) + \beta^3 j(\rho)}{(\beta-1)^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

transformation from $\mathbb{C}^4$ to $\mathbb{C}$ given by

$$O(\theta, 8, \beta, \partial) = \theta, X(\theta, \beta) = \frac{6+\beta\mu}{\beta-1}, 2(\theta, 6, \beta, \partial) = \frac{6+(3\beta-1)+\beta^2\mu}{(\beta-1)^2}, \quad (15)$$

and

$$z(\theta, 6, \beta, \partial) = \frac{\partial + 2(\beta-1)\beta + (3\beta^2-3\beta-1) + \beta^3\theta}{(\beta-1)^3}. \quad (16)$$

Let

$$\Psi = \phi \left(\theta, \frac{6+\beta\mu}{\beta-1}, \frac{6+(3\beta-1)+\beta^2\mu}{(\beta-1)^2}, \frac{\partial + (3\beta^2+5\beta-2) + \beta^3\theta}{(\beta-1)^3} \right). \quad (17)$$

By (15) to (16), and (17),

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(g(\rho), \rho g'(\rho), \rho''g(\rho), \rho^3 g'''(\rho); \rho) \\ = \phi \left(MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-1, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho), \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$MN_{\beta-2,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-3,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho); \rho). \quad (18)$$

Therefore (9), gives

$$\Psi(j(\rho), \rho j'(\rho), \rho'' j(\rho), \rho^3 j'''(\rho); \rho) \in \Omega$$

so

$$1 + \frac{\beta}{6} = \frac{(\beta-1)^2 r - \beta^2 o}{(y(\beta-1) - y0)} - 21,$$

$$\frac{\beta}{6} = \frac{(\beta-1)^2 [(\beta-1)z - 2(\beta-1)r] + (2\beta^3 o + 3\beta^2 o)}{(\beta(y-0) - y)}$$

the prerequisite for $\emptyset \in \emptyset_v[\Omega_A] \equiv \Psi \in \Psi_2[\Omega, q]$ given by (3), $v = 2$ and (9), suggests that

$$MN_{\beta,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) < q(\rho).$$

Corollary 2.1: *If $q(\rho)$ is univalent function and $q(0) = 0$, $\varphi \in \phi_v[\Omega, q_u]$, $u \in (0,1)$, and $q_u(\rho) = q(u\rho)$. And the function $Y \in \Lambda$, q_u satisfies*

$$Re \left(\frac{\xi u_u''(\xi)}{q_u'(\xi)} \right) \geq 0, \quad \left| \frac{MN_{\beta,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho)}{q_u'(\xi)} \right| \leq k,$$

such that $\rho \in X, \xi \in \partial X/A(q_u)$ and $k \geq 2$ and

$$\varphi \left(MN_{\beta-1,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho), MN_{\beta,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-1,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho); \rho \right) \in \Omega.$$

Then

$$MN_{\beta-1,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) < q_u(\rho)$$

Proof: By Theorem 2.1, we have

$$MN_{\beta-1,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) < q_u(\rho).$$

The outcome stated by consequence is now deducted from the subsequent subordination

$$q_u(r) < q(r).$$

Then

$$MN_{\beta-1,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) < q(\rho).$$

Theorem 2.2: *If $\emptyset \in \emptyset_v[L, q]$ and function $Y \in \Lambda$ so $q \in Q_0$ combines the requirements stated below it*

$$Re \left(\frac{\xi q''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)} \right) \geq 0, \quad \left| MN_{\beta,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) \right| \leq k, \quad (19)$$

and

$$\left(MN_{\beta}^{\omega,\mu}Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-1,\delta}^{\omega,\mu}Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-2}^{\omega,\mu}Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-3}^{\omega,\mu}Y(\rho); \rho \right) < L(\rho),$$

then,

$$MN_{\beta,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) < q(\rho).$$

The ensuing consequence has a subsequent direct result.

Corollary 2.2: Let $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}$ and $q(\rho)$ be a univalent function in X with $q(0) = 0$. Let $\emptyset \in \emptyset_v[L, q_u]$ for some $u \in (0, 1)$, so

$$q_u(\rho) = \emptyset \left(MN_{\beta}^{\omega, \mu} Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-1}^{\omega, \mu} Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-2}^{\omega, \mu} Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-3}^{\omega, \mu} Y(\rho); \rho \right) < L(\rho).$$

Then

$$MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) < q(\rho).$$

Proof: Use the theorem to prove Corollary 2.2, $MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) < q_u(\rho)$, where $q(u\rho)$ is the function belonging to Λ and q_u meets:

$$R_e \left(\frac{\xi q''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)} \right) \geq 0, \left| MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) \right| \leq k,$$

and $\rho \in X$, $\xi \in \partial X/A(q_0)$, $k \geq 2$ and $q_u(\rho) < q(\rho)$ so

$$MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) < q(\rho).$$

$$\text{Let } j_u(\rho) = MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu} Y(\rho)u(\rho) < q_u(\rho) = MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu} Y(u\rho) = j(u\rho).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \emptyset(j_u(\rho), \rho j'_u(\rho), \rho^2 j''_u(\rho), \rho^2 j''_u(\rho); u\rho) \\ &= \emptyset(j(u\rho), \rho j'(u\rho), \rho^2 j''(u\rho), \rho^2 j''(u\rho); u\rho) \\ & \in L_u(U). \end{aligned}$$

Applying theorem (1), determine

$$\emptyset \left(MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu} Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-1, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu} Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-2, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu} Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-3, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu} Y(\rho); g(\rho) \right),$$

such that $g(\rho) = u\rho$ represents any arrangement from U to its own

$$j_u(\rho) < q_u(\rho),$$

for all $u \in (u_0, 1)$,

$$j(\rho) < q(\rho).$$

Therefore

$$MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) < q(\rho).$$

Definition 2.1: If $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}$, $R > 0$ and the class $\emptyset_v[\Omega, R]$ of the function $\emptyset: \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, so

$$\emptyset \left(Re^{i\theta}, \frac{(\mu+\beta)\emptyset Re^{i\theta}}{\beta-1}, \frac{j+[(3\beta-1)\mu+\beta^2]Re^{i\theta}}{(\beta-1)^2}, \frac{d+(2\beta-2)j+[(3\beta^2+3\beta-o)d+\beta^3]Re^{i\theta}i\rho}{(\beta-1)^3} \right) \notin \Omega,$$

such that $\rho \in X$, $Re(je^{i\theta}) \geq (\mu-1)\mu R$, $Re(de^{i\theta}) \geq 0$ and for all $\theta \in R$, $\mu \geq 2$.

Corollary 2.3: Let $X \geq 2$, $0 \neq \mu \neq \mathbb{C}$, $R > 0$ and $Y \in \Lambda$ it satisfy:

$$\left| MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) \right| \leq XR,$$

and

$$\left| MN_{\beta-1, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) - MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) \right| < \frac{R}{|\beta-1|},$$

then

$$\left| MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) \right| < R.$$

Proof: Suppose that $\emptyset(O, y, r, z; \mu) = MN_{\beta-1, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}Y(\rho) - MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}Y(\rho) = y - O$ and by Corollary 2.2, $\Omega = L(X)$ and $L(w) = \frac{R}{(\beta-1)}$, ($R > 0$) to show $\emptyset \in \emptyset_v[\Omega, R]$, that is condition (19), this readily

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \emptyset \left(Re^{i\theta}, \frac{(\mu+\beta)Re^{i\theta}}{\beta-1}, \frac{F+[(3\beta-1)\mu+\beta^2]Re^{i\theta}}{(\beta-1)^2}, \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \frac{t+(2\beta-2)F+[(3\beta^2+3\beta-o)\mu+\beta^3]Re^{i\theta}}{(\beta-1)^3}; \rho \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{(\mu+\beta)}{\beta-1} Re^{i\theta} \right| \geq \frac{R}{|\beta-2|}, (\rho \in X, \theta \in R, \mu \geq 2). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.2: Let $q \in Q_1 \cap A_1[1,1]$, and Ω be asset in $\mathbb{C}$, the class $\emptyset_v[\Omega, q]$ of admissible function includes those function $\emptyset: \mathbb{C}^4 \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that fulfills the following admission requirements:

$$\emptyset(O, y, r, z; \rho) \notin \Omega, \text{ whenever } O = q(\xi), \quad y = \frac{k\xi q'(\rho) + (\beta-1)q(\rho)}{(\beta-1)}$$

$$Re \left(\frac{(\beta-1)^2 r + (\beta-1)^2 O}{((\beta-1)X + (\beta-1)O)} - 3(\beta-1) \right) \geq k Re \left(\frac{\xi q''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)} \right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & Re \left(\frac{(\beta-1)^3 z - (2\beta-4)[(\beta-1)^2 r + (\beta-1)^2 O] + (\beta-1)^3 O}{(\beta-1)X + (\beta-1)O} + \beta(2\beta + \mu) + 9 \right) \\ & \geq k^2 Re \left(\frac{\xi q''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

such that $\rho \in X, \xi \in \partial X/A(q_u)$ and $k \geq 2$.

Theorem 2.3: Let $Y \in \Lambda$ a function, and $\emptyset \in \emptyset_{v,1}[\Omega, R]$, and q belongs to Q_1 satisfies the next conditions:

$$Re \left(\frac{\xi q''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)} \right) \geq 0, \quad \left| \frac{MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho)}{q'(\xi)} \right| \leq 0, \quad (20)$$

and

$$\emptyset \left(\frac{MN_{\beta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho)}{\rho}, \frac{MN_{\beta-1, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho)}{\rho}, \frac{MN_{\beta-2, \delta, \alpha, \gamma}^{\omega, \mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho)}{\rho}, \right.$$

$$\frac{MN_{\beta-3,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau,\varrho)Y(\rho)}{\rho}; \rho \in X). \quad (21)$$

Then,

$$MN_{\beta,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau,\varrho)Y(\rho) < q(\rho).$$

Proof: Based on the relationship between (7) and (8),

$$MN_{\beta-1,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}Y(\rho) = \frac{\rho(MN_{\beta,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}Y(\rho))' - (\beta-1)MN_{\beta,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}Y(\rho)}{(\beta-1)}. \quad (22)$$

Let $j(\rho)$ be analytic because

$$j(\rho) = \frac{MN_{\beta,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau,\varrho)Y(\rho)}{\rho}, \quad (23)$$

then,

$$MN_{\beta-1,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau,\varrho)Y(\rho) = \frac{\rho j'(\rho) + (\beta-1)j(\rho)}{(\beta-1)}. \quad (24)$$

Taking that into consideration

$$\frac{MN_{\beta+2,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}Y(\rho)}{\rho} = \frac{\rho^2 j''(\rho) + (3\beta-2)\rho j'(\rho) + (\beta-1)^2 j(\rho)}{(\beta-1)^2}, \quad (25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{MN_{\beta-2,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}Y(\rho)}{\rho} = \\ \frac{\rho^3 j'''(\rho) + 2(\beta-3)\rho^2 j''(\rho) + (2\beta^2 + 6\beta - 5)\rho j'(\rho) + (\beta-1)^3 j(\rho)}{(\beta-1)^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Presently, characterize the change from $\mathbb{C}^+$ to $\mathbb{C}$ as

$$O(\theta, 6, \beta, \partial) = \theta, \quad y(\theta, 6, \beta, \partial) = \frac{6 + (\beta-1)\theta}{\beta-1}, \quad r(\theta, 6, \beta, \partial) = \frac{\beta + (3\beta-2) + (\beta-1)^2\theta}{(\beta-1)^2},$$

and

$$z(\theta, 6, \beta, \partial) = \frac{\beta + 2(\beta-3)\beta + (2\beta^2 + 6\beta - 5) + (\beta-1)^3\theta}{(\beta-1)^3}, \quad (27)$$

Let

$$\Psi = \emptyset \left(\theta, \frac{6 + (\beta-1)\theta}{\beta-1}, \frac{(4\beta-2) + (\beta-1)^2\theta}{(\beta-1)^2}, \frac{2\beta^2 - 5\beta + (2\beta^2 + 6\beta - 5) + (\beta-1)^3\theta}{(\beta-1)^3}; \rho \right).$$

By using (24) to (26), from equation (27) get

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(g(\rho), \rho g'(\rho), \rho^2 g''(\rho), \rho^3 g'''(\rho); \rho) = \\ \emptyset \left(\frac{MN_{\beta,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau,\varrho)Y(\rho)}{\rho}, \frac{MN_{\beta-1,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau,\varrho)Y(\rho)}{\rho}, \frac{MN_{\beta-2,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau,\varrho)Y(\rho)}{\rho}, \frac{MN_{\beta-3,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau,\varrho)Y(\rho)}{\rho}; \rho \right). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Therefore, (21) get

$\Psi(j(\rho), \rho j'(\rho), \rho^2 j''(\rho), \rho^3 j'''(\rho); \rho) \in \Omega$ such that:

$$1 + \frac{\beta}{6} = \frac{(\beta-1)^2 r - (\beta-1)^2 o}{(\beta-1)y - (\beta-1)o} - 2(\beta-1)$$

and

$$\frac{\beta}{6} = \frac{(\beta-1)^2 o - (2\beta-\beta)[(\beta-1)^2 r + (\beta-1)^2 o] - (\beta-1)^3 o}{(\beta-1)y - (\beta-1)o} + 2\beta^2 - 11\beta + 9,$$

and for $\emptyset \in \emptyset_{v,1}[\Omega, R]$ is equivalent for condition for $\Psi \in \Psi_2[\Omega, R]$ so $v = 2$ and using (20), we obtain

$$\frac{MN_{\beta,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho)}{\rho} < q(\rho).$$

Definition 2.3: If $\emptyset: \mathbb{C}^4 \times \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, q \in \zeta[0,1]$ with $q'(\rho) \neq 0$, and the class $\emptyset'_v[\Omega, q]$ of admissible function satisfies the conditions:

$\emptyset(O, y, r, z; \rho) \in \Omega$, whenever

$$O = q(\xi), \quad y = \frac{\rho q'(\rho) + \mu \beta q(\rho)}{\mu(\beta-1)},$$

$$Re \left(\frac{(\beta-1)^2[(\beta-1)g2(\beta-1)r] - (3\beta^3 o - 4\beta^2 o)}{(\beta(y-o) + y) + 3\beta^2 - 5\beta + 2} \right) \geq \frac{1}{\xi^2} Re \left(\frac{\rho^2 q''(\rho)}{q'(\rho)} \right),$$

and

$$Re \left(\frac{(\beta-1)^2 r - \beta^2 o}{(y(\beta-1) + y o)} - 2\beta \right) \geq \frac{1}{\xi} Re \left(\frac{\rho q''(\rho)}{q'(\rho)} \right), (\rho \in X, \xi \in \partial X, \xi \geq 2).$$

Theorem 2.4: Let $\emptyset \in \emptyset'_v[\Omega, q]$, $Y \in \Lambda$ with $MN_{\beta,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) \in Q_0$, and $q \in \zeta[0,1], q'(\rho) \neq 0$, satisfying the conditions:

$$Re \left(\frac{\rho q''(\rho)}{q'(\rho)} \right) \geq 0, \quad \left| MN_{\beta-1,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho) \right| \leq \xi, \quad (29)$$

and

$$\emptyset \left(MN_{\beta}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-1}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-2}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-3}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho); \rho \right)$$

is an univalent in X ,

then

$$\Omega \subset \left(\emptyset(MN_{\beta}^{\omega,\mu} Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-1}^{\omega,\mu} Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-2}^{\omega,\mu} Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-3}^{\omega,\mu} Y(\rho); \rho); X \in O. \right.$$

It means that

$$q(\rho) < MN_{\beta,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho).$$

Proof: If $j(\rho)$ be a function as defined by (7), Ψ as defined by (11) and $\emptyset \in \emptyset'_v[\Omega, q]$ by (12) and (29) yield

$$\Omega \subset \left(\emptyset(MN_{\beta}^{\omega,\mu} Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-1}^{\omega,\mu} Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-2}^{\omega,\mu} Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-3}^{\omega,\mu} Y(\rho); \rho); \rho \in X. \right.$$

And by (17), (18) deduce q is a subordinate for $\Psi \in \Psi_2[\Omega, q]$ given in definition (5), and using (29), get

$$q(\rho) < MN_{\beta,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho).$$

Theorem 2.5: Let L be univalent function in X , and $\emptyset: \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let Ψ be given by (22), suppose that,

$$\Psi(q(\rho), \rho q'(\rho), \rho^2 q''(\rho), \rho^3 q'''(\rho), \rho) = L(\rho), \quad (30)$$

$q(\rho)$ the solution to the differential equation, if $q(\rho) \in Q_0$, and $q \in [0,1]$ so $q'(\rho) \neq 0$, satisfying and

$\phi\left(MN_{\beta}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-1}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-2}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-3}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho); \rho\right)$ is an univalent in X , then

$$L(\rho) < \phi\left(MN_{\beta}^{\omega,\mu}Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-1}^{\omega,\mu}Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-2}^{\omega,\mu}Y(\rho), MN_{\beta-3}^{\omega,\mu}Y(\rho); \rho\right).$$

It means

$$q(\rho) < MN_{\beta,\delta,\alpha,\gamma}^{\omega,\mu}(\tau, \varrho)Y(\rho),$$

and $q(\rho)$ is the most powerful.

Proof: Using Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, determine that q is a subordinate of (29); because q satisfies (30), it additionally constitutes a solution of (29); thus, q is the person to whom all subordinates must be subordinate. Hence, q is the best subordination.

3. Conclusions

The present investigation determined that these structures maintain their geometric characteristics when the novel operator investigated by Amourah,-Darus is applied to the geometric function. If certain constraints are imposed on the functions belonging to these subclasses, novel outcomes can be obtained in the unit disk.

References

- [1] T.H. Gronwall, "On the distortion in conformal mapping when the second coefficient in the mapping function has an assigned value", *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 6, pp. 300-302 (1920).
- [2] M. Finkelstein, "Growth estimates of convex functions", *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 412-418 (1967).
- [3] D.E. Tepper, "On the radius of convexity and boundary distortion of schlicht functions", *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 150, no. 2, pp. 519-528 (1970).
- [4] K.S. Padmanabhan, "Estimates of growth for certain convex and close-to-convex functions in the unit disc", *Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society*, vol. 33, pp. 37-47 (1969).
- [5] A.A. Kilbas, M. Saigo and R.K. Saxena, "Generalized Mittag-Leffler function and generalized fractional calculus operators", *Integral Transform. Spec. Funct.*, vol. 15, pp. 31-49 (2004).

- [6] H. Irmak, and M. Şan, "Some relations between certain inequalities concerning analytic and univalent functions", *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 23, no. 8, pp. 897-901 (2010).
- [7] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, "Differential Subordination", *Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Marcel-Dekker Inc, New York and Bassel, vol. 225 (2000).
- [8] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, "Subordinants of differential superordinations", *Complex Variables, Theory and Application: An International Journal*, vol. 48, no. 10, pp. 815-826 (2003).
- [9] A.F. Talak, M.I. Hameed and A.K. Yaqoob, "Study certain subclasses of holomorphic functions for quasi-subordination", *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 865-871 (2025).
- [10] S. Ruscheweyh, "New criteria for univalent functions", *Proceedings of the AMS.*, vol. 10, no. 9, pp. 10-15 (1975).
- [11] M.I. Hameed, B.N. Shihab and K.A. Jassim, "Some properties of subclass of P-valent function with new generalized operator", *In AIP Conference Proceedings*, vol. 2394, no. 1, pp. 070006 (2022).
- [12] F.N. Hammad and M.I. Hameed, "Certain meromorphic functions with the convolution operator", *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 945-951 (2025).
- [13] H.F. Al-Janaby and F. Ghanim, "Sandwich-type outcome based on a dual linear operator", *Int J pure Appl. Math.*, vol. 118, no. 3, pp. 819-835 (2018).
- [14] A. Amourah and M. Darus, "Some Properties of a New class of univalent functions involving a new generalized differential operator with negative coefficient", *Indian J. Sci. Tech.*, vol. 9, no. 36, pp. 1-7 (2016).
- [15] H.M. Srivastava, A. Prajapat and P. Gachhayat, "Third-order differential subordination and differential subordinations results for analytic functions involving the Srivastava-Attiya operator", *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 469-481 (2018).
- [16] S.J. Al-Dulaimi, M.I. Hameed and I.I. Hameed, "Results of third order differential subordination for holomorphic functions", *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 881-886 (2024).

Received December, 2025