

A FUNDAMENTAL GROUP FOR DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS III: SIMPLE COMPACTIFICATIONS

PAUL FEIT
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT PERMIAN BASIN
ODESSA, TEXAS, 79762

(Received 24 November 2003)

ABSTRACT. This paper continues exploration of a recent homotopy construction for group-sets. It characterizes the fundamental group of the standard one point and two point compactifications of $\mathbb{Z}$. Each is a free profinite group on two generators. However, the canonical morphism between them does not induce an isomorphism on homotopy groups.

AMS Classification: 18B30, 18G55, 37B10, 54D99

Keywords: Dynamical Systems, Symbolic Dynamics, Homotopy, Profinite Topology.

INTRODUCTION

Two standard compactifications of $\mathbb{R}$ arise in Calculus. We apply these compactifications to $\mathbb{Z}$, to get two examples of disconnected topological $\mathbb{Z}$ -sets. The universal homotopy construction developed in [1] applies to both spaces. In this paper, we calculate the invariants for these spaces, as well the the group homomorphism induced from a canonical map between them.

We give a formal definition in this introduction. The objects are topological $\mathbb{Z}$ -sets. Throughout this paper, we use “ $\ast$ ” to indicate the group action, which is on the left.

- (1.a) Let OP be the one-point compactification of $\mathbb{Z}$. The underlying set consists of $\mathbb{Z}$ with a single formal symbol, ∞ . The topology (of open subsets) consists of all subsets U such that

$$\infty \in U \Rightarrow$$

$$\exists r, s \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, (n \leq r \text{ or } n \geq s) \Rightarrow n \in U.$$

Let $\mathbb{Z}$ act on OP by

$$\begin{aligned} \forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n \ast m &= (n + m) \\ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n \ast \infty &= \infty. \end{aligned}$$

- (1.b) Let TP be the two-point compactification of $\mathbb{Z}$. The underlying set consists of $\mathbb{Z}$ with two formal symbols, ∞ and $-\infty$. The topology (of open subsets) consists of all subsets U such that

$$\infty \in U \Rightarrow$$

$$[\exists s \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq s \Rightarrow n \in U],$$

and

$$-\infty \in U \Rightarrow$$

$$[\exists r \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \leq r \Rightarrow n \in U].$$

Let $\mathbb{Z}$ act on OP by

$$\forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n \star m = (n + m),$$

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n \star \infty = \infty, \text{ and}$$

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n \star (-\infty) = (-\infty).$$

- (1.c) Let $\nu : TP \rightarrow OP$ be the $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]$ -morphism which is the identity map on $\mathbb{Z}$, and satisfies

$$\nu(\infty) = \infty = \nu(-\infty).$$

- (1.d) Let E be any $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]$ -object whose underlying set consists of a single point. Let $e_{OP} : OP \rightarrow E$ be the unique function between these spaces.

The author formulates a functorial construction π_G on "pointed" Hausdorff topological $\mathbb{Z}$ -sets in [1]. The functor is an example of the well-known categorical version of fundamental group (as described, for example, in [3]).

Let $r_1, \dots, r_k$ be an irredundant list. We let $\widehat{Fr}[r_1, \dots, r_k]$ denote the free profinite group on (letters) $r_1, \dots, r_k$. (The next section reviews the formulation of profinite free groups). Let $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the profinite completion of the integers. This paper proves

Theorem A. *Let $\alpha^*, \beta^*, \gamma^*$ be three different symbols. Then there are isomorphisms*

$$\pi_G(TP, 0) \cong \widehat{Fr}[\alpha^*, \gamma^*], \quad \pi_G(OP, 0) \cong \widehat{Fr}[\alpha^*, \beta^*] \text{ and } \pi_G(E) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$$

with respect to which $\pi_G(\nu)$ maps

$$\alpha^* \mapsto \alpha^* \text{ and } \gamma^* \mapsto \beta^* \cdot \alpha^* \cdot (\beta^*)^{-1}$$

and, with respect to which, $\pi_G(e_{OP})$ maps

$$\alpha^* \mapsto 1 \text{ and } \beta^* \mapsto 0.$$

Our analysis provides some informal interpretation for the generators of the group.

Let X be a pathwise connected topological space, and let $x_0 \in X$. Members of the classical fundamental group at x_0 are (up to equivalence) paths which start and end at x_0 . In contrast, the underlying spaces of OP and TP are topological disconnected; there is no way to start at 0 (which is the base point used in Theorem A) and to go anywhere. We must abandon the notion of path as a "progression" indexed by the closed interval $[0, 1]$. Instead, the $\mathbb{Z}$ -action offers an alternative idea of "movement".

In OP , the $\mathbb{Z}$ -action offers two "paths" to ∞ . We can "go" from 0 to ∞ by passing through $n \star 0$ as $n \in \mathbb{N}$ goes to infinity, or we can go by way of $(-n) \star 0$ as $n \in \mathbb{N}$ goes to infinity. These casual descriptions refer to intuitions of motion; it is

difficult to translate these ideas into the rigorous format. Unwinding the formalism, the element α^* is based on the loop

- Move from 0 to ∞ along the positive numbers.
- Once at ∞ , apply 1 (as a member of $\mathbb{Z}$). In OP , $1 * \infty = \infty$. However, if we think of paralleling these instructions in a covering space over OP , applying 1 may change the point.
- Move back, from ∞ to 0, along the positive numbers.

The element β^* is based on a different motion:

- Move from 0 to ∞ along the *negative* numbers.
- Move back, from ∞ to 0, along the positive numbers.

In $\pi_G(TP, 0)$, the α^* refers to essentially the same motion as in $\pi_G(OP, 0)$. That is, α^* refers to: move to ∞ via the positive numbers, apply 1, and then return home the same way. The γ^* represents the analogous motion: move to $-\infty$, action by 1, followed by a return.

1. FORMULATION AND STATEMENT

We assume that the reader is familiar with the immediate predecessor to this paper, *A Fundamental Group for Dynamical Systems II: $\mathbb{Z}_p$* . We continue in that context.

We reserve “.” for either multiplication in $\mathbb{Z}$ or the binary operation of a group. To avoid confusion, we indicate the action by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ on a member x of some $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]$ -object by $n * x$.

Our result uses the *profinite free group* construction.

Let $\mathbf{Gr}$ be the category of groups. Let $\mathbf{HGr}$ be the category of Hausdorff topological groups.

Let S be a set. Define a category $\mathbf{Gr}[S]$ as follows:

- (2.a) An object is a pair (H, h) in which H is a group and $h : S \rightarrow H$ is a function (of sets).
- (2.b) A morphism $(H, h) \rightarrow (J, j)$ is a group homomorphism $\tau : H \rightarrow J$ for which $j \circ \tau = h$.
- (2.c) Composition and identity are induced from the category of sets.

Obviously, $(H, h) \mapsto H$ is a “forgetful functor” from $\mathbf{Gr}[S] \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}$.

A *free group on (letters) S* is a pair $(F, f) \in \mathbf{Gr}[S]$ such that, for each $(H, h) \in \mathbf{Gr}[S]$, there is a unique $\mathbf{Gr}[S]$ -morphism $(F, f) \rightarrow (H, h)$. General theory states that free groups exist. We refer to such a pair as $Fr[S]$; we use the same notation to refer to the group in the pair, and refer to the companion function as *canonical*.

Define a subcategory $\mathbf{Fin}[S]$ of $\mathbf{Gr}[S]$ by

- (3.a) An object is a $\mathbf{Gr}[S]$ -object (H, h) for which
 - H is finite, and
 - as a group, H is generated by the image of h .
- (3.b) Every $\mathbf{Gr}[S]$ -morphism between two $\mathbf{Fin}[S]$ -objects is included as a $\mathbf{Fin}[S]$ -morphism.

It is well-known that there is a set X of $\mathbf{Fin}[S]$ -objects such that every $(H, h) \in \mathbf{Fin}[S]$ is isomorphic to exactly one member of X . In addition, the restriction of the forgetful functor to X , and to the morphisms between members of X , is an inversely directed system of finite groups. The inverse limit of this system, in the category

of profinite groups, is called the *profinite free group on (letters) S* . We denote it by $\widehat{Fr}[S]$.

This inverse limit is also an inverse limit in the sense of sets. Consequently, there is a unique $\iota : S \rightarrow \widehat{Fr}[S]$ induced from function components of members of X . We refer to this ι as the *canonical map*. It has the following universal property: If H is a profinite group and $h : S \rightarrow H$ is a function, then there is a unique continuous group homomorphism

$$h_1 : \widehat{Fr}[S] \rightarrow H$$

such that $h = h_1 \circ \iota$.

It is well-known that the canonical functions from S to $Fr[S]$ and to $\widehat{Fr}[S]$, respectively, are injective. We freely identify each $s \in S$ with its images in these spaces. Also, if $r_1, \dots, r_k$ is a list of the members of S , we freely use the list in place of " S " in the preceding notations.

2. FIXED POINTS

First, we refine the notion of leveling data near a point fixed by a member of $\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 1. *Let $b : B \rightarrow A$ be separable $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]$ -morphism. Let $z_0 \in A$ and $r_1, \dots, r_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$\forall j \in \mathbb{N}(k), r_j \star z_0 = z_0.$$

Let F be the fiber $b^{-1}\{z_0\}$. Observe that action by each r_j permutes F .

Let $(V, \{U_z\}_{z \in F})$ be leveling data for z_0 in A . We say that the data is compatible with $r_1, \dots, r_k$ if

$$\forall j \in \mathbb{N}(k), \forall z \in F, \forall x \in U_z, r_j \star b(x) \in V \Rightarrow (r_j \star x) \in U_{r_j \star z}.$$

Then there exists leveling data for z_0 in A which is compatible with $r_1, \dots, r_k$.

Proof. Start with leveling data $(V_1, \{W_z\}_{z \in F})$. For each $z \in F$, put

$$Y_z = W_z \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^k ((-r_j) \star W_{r_j \star z}).$$

Briefly, Y_z consist of all $x \in W_z$ such that, for any index j , if $r_j \star b(x) \in V$, then $r_j \star x \in W_{r_j \star z}$. For each $z \in F$, Y_z is an open neighborhood of z . Put

$$V = \bigcap_{z \in F} b(Y_z),$$

and, for each $z \in F$, put

$$U_z = W_z \cap (b^{-1}V).$$

It is an easy exercise to check that $(V, \{U_z\}_{z \in F})$ is leveling data with the desired property. $\square$

We proceed with a construction.

Proposition 2. *Let $b : B \rightarrow A$ be a Galois $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]$ -morphism. Let $y_0 \in A$, let $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$, and let N be the index of b . Suppose that the sequence $y_n = (n \cdot \epsilon) \star y_0$ converges to some $z_0 \in A$. Let $F[y_0] = b^{-1}\{y_0\}$ and $F[z_0] = b^{-1}\{z_0\}$. Then there is a bijection $\theta : F[y_0] \rightarrow F[z_0]$ with the property that, for $y \in F[y_0]$, $\theta(y)$ is the limit of the sequence $w_n = (N! \cdot n \cdot \epsilon) \star y$.*

In the remainder of this paper, we refer to this function θ as $\theta[b, y_0, \epsilon]$.

Proof. For convenience, let $F = F[z_0]$ denote the fiber over z_0 . Let $(V, \{U_z\}_{z \in F})$ be leveling data at z_0 for b , which is compatible with the pair $1, -1$. Since the sequence $\{(n \cdot \epsilon) \star y_0\}$ converges to z_0 , there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq M \Rightarrow (n \cdot \epsilon) \star y_0 \in V.$$

Let α denote $z \mapsto \epsilon \star z$ on F . Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq M$. The compatibility of the leveling data implies that

$$\forall y \in F[y_0], \forall z \in F, (n \cdot \epsilon) \star y \in U_z \Rightarrow ((n+k)\epsilon) \star y \in U_{\alpha^k(z)},$$

by induction.

The permutation α acts on a set with N members. Therefore, $\alpha^{N!}$ is the identity permutation. We interpret this as follows. Let $y \in F[y_0]$ and let $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ be a multiple of $N!$ which is $\geq M$. Then there is $z \in F[z_0]$ such that $(n_1 \cdot \epsilon) \star y \in U_z$. Let $f : V \rightarrow U_z$ be the inverse to the restriction of b to a function $U_z \rightarrow V$. Then, for each $m \geq n_1$ which is a multiple of $N!$,

$$(m \cdot \epsilon) \star y = f((m \cdot \epsilon) \star y_0).$$

Since $\{(n \cdot \epsilon) \star y_0\}$ converges to z_0 , we conclude

$$(N! \cdot n \cdot \epsilon) \star y \rightarrow z.$$

Thus, there is a function θ that assigns each $y \in F[y_0]$ to the limit of $(N! \cdot n \cdot \epsilon) \star y$.

Our argument provides an alternative description for θ : each $y \in F[y_0]$ goes to the $z \in F[z_0]$ such that $(n_1 \cdot \epsilon) \star y \in U_z$. This characterization implies that θ is bijective. $\square$

3. SEPARABLE EXTENSIONS OF OP

We must classify the subcategory of Galois morphisms into OP . We introduce two categories, which help to index data.

We begin with notation.

- (4) For F a finite set, let Σ_F denote the group of permutations on F (acting on the left).

We represent the binary operation either as composition or, when we wish to emphasize the group structure, by $\cdot$.

Define a category $\mathcal{C}_2$ as follows.

- (5.a) An object is a triple (F, α, β) in which F is a finite set, and $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma_F$.
 (5.b) A morphism between objects $(F_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1) \rightarrow (F_2, \alpha_2, \beta_2)$ is a function $f : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ such that

$$f \circ \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \circ f \text{ and } f \circ \beta_1 = \beta_2 \circ f.$$

- (5.c) Composition and identity are induced from the category of sets.

Let Sep/OP be the subcategory of $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]/OP$ in which an object is any member which is separable as a morphism, and in which a morphism is any $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]/OP$ -morphism between such objects. There is a covariant functor $\Phi : \text{Sep}/OP \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$ characterized as follows:

- (6.a) Let $b : B \rightarrow OP$ belong to Sep/OP . The image is

$$\Phi(B, b) = (F, \alpha, \beta),$$

constructed as follows. Let $F = b^{-1}\{0\}$ be the fiber over 0. In the notation of Proposition 2, put

$$\theta_+ = \theta[b, 0, 1] \text{ and } \theta_- = \theta[b, 0, -1].$$

Define $\beta = \theta_+^{-1} \circ \theta_-$. Define α by

$$\forall x \in F, \alpha(x) = \theta_+^{-1}(1 * \theta_+(x)).$$

(6.b) Let $b : B \rightarrow OP$ and $c : C \rightarrow OP$ be separable morphisms, and let $f : (B, b) \rightarrow (C, c)$ be a $\text{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]/OP$ -morphism. Express

$$\Phi(B, b) = (F_b, \alpha_b, \beta_b) \text{ and } \Phi(C, c) = (F_c, \alpha_c, \beta_c).$$

Since F_b is a subset of B , f restricts to it. Then $f(F_b) \subseteq f(F_c)$. It is a trivial consequence of continuity that, as a function $F_b \rightarrow F_c$, f is $\mathcal{C}_2$ -morphism. We define $\Phi(f)$ to be the restriction.

It is trivial to check that Φ is a functor.

The following propositions classify all separable extensions of OP . We prove all assertions with a multistage argument.

Lemma 3. *Let $(F, \alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}_2$. Then there is $b \in \text{Sep}/OP$ such that $\Phi(b)$ is isomorphic to (F, α, β) if and only if the subgroup of Σ_F generated by α and β acts transitively on F .*

Lemma 4. *Let $b, c \in \text{Sep}/OP$. Then the function, induced by Φ , from Sep/OP morphisms $b \rightarrow c$, to the set of $\mathcal{C}_2$ -morphisms $\Phi(b) \rightarrow \Phi(c)$, is a bijection.*

Proof. We begin with a functorial construction on $\mathcal{C}_2$.

Let $\mu = (F, \alpha, \beta)$ belong to $\mathcal{C}_2$. Define $\text{Ind}[\mu, OP]$ to be the Cartesian product $F \times OP$. We denote the canonical projection $F \times OP \rightarrow OP$ by $\pi[\mu, OP]$. Define a binary operation $\mathbb{Z} \times \text{Ind}[\mu, OP] \rightarrow \text{Ind}[\mu, OP]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in F, \forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n * (x, m) &= (x, n + m) \\ \forall x \in F, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n * (x, \infty) &= (\alpha^n(x), \infty). \end{aligned}$$

We leave it to the reader to verify that $*$ defines a left action by $\mathbb{Z}$ on $\text{Ind}[\mu, OP]$, and that $\pi[\mu, OP]$ is equivariant with respect to this action.

For each $z \in F$, define a subset of $\text{Ind}[\mu, OP]$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} W_z &= \{ (x, k) : x \in F, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \alpha^k(x) = z \} \\ &\cup \{ (x, -k) : x \in F, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } (\alpha^{-k} \cdot \beta)(x) = z \} \\ &\cup \{ (z, \infty) \}. \end{aligned}$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let V_k be the subset of OP

$$(7) \quad V_k = \{ m : m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq k \} \cup \{ -m : m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq k \} \cup \{ \infty \}.$$

The family $\{V_k\}$ is a basis of open neighborhoods for ∞ in OP . The set of subsets of $\text{Ind}[\mu, OP]$

$$\begin{aligned} &\{ \{ (x, k) \} : x \in F, k \in \mathbb{Z} \} \\ &\cup \{ \pi[\mu, OP]^{-1} V_k \cap W_x : x \in F, k \in \mathbb{N} \} \end{aligned}$$

is a basis of a topology (of open sets) for $\text{Ind}[\mu, OP]$. From here on, we assign this topology to $\text{Ind}[\mu, OP]$.

Suppose $x, z \in F$. Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. By inspection of the sets,

$$\begin{aligned} (x, k) \in W_z &\Rightarrow n * (x, k) \in W_{\alpha^n(z)}, \\ (x, -k) \in W_z &\Rightarrow (-n) * (x, -k) \in W_{\alpha^{-n}(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, suppose, in addition, that $k > n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (x, -k) \in W_z &\Rightarrow n \star (x, -k) \in W_{\alpha^n(z)}, \\ (x, k) \in W_z &\Rightarrow (-n) \star (x, k) \in W_{\alpha^{-n}(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

The following assertions follow easily.

- (8.a) With this topology and this action, $\text{Ind}[\mu, OP]$ is an $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]$ -object and $\pi[\mu, OP]$ is an $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]$ -morphism. We regard $\text{Ind}[\mu, OP]$ and $\pi[\mu, OP]$ as object and morphism in $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]$.
- (8.b) For each $y \in OP$, there is leveling data for $\pi[\mu, OP]$ at y .
- (8.c) Let N be the size of F . Every fiber of $\pi[\mu, OP]$ has N members.
- (8.d) The function $\pi[\mu, OP]$ is an open mapping. In addition, it is a closed mapping.

Let N be the size of F . For $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} (N! \cdot k) \star (x, 0) &= (x, N! \cdot k) \in W_x, \\ (-N! \cdot k) \star (x, 0) &= (x, -N! \cdot k) \in W_{\beta(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

- (10.a) the sequence $(N! \cdot k) \star (x, 0)$ converges to (x, ∞) , and
- (10.b) the sequence $(-N! \cdot k) \star (x, 0)$ converges to $(\beta(x), \infty)$.

We may conclude that $\pi[\mu, OP]$ is separable *if and only if* $\text{Ind}[\mu, OP]$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -connected.

Next, we address the issue of being $\mathbb{Z}$ -connected. Suppose that $T \subseteq F$ is a non-empty subset such that $\alpha(T) \subseteq T$ and $\beta(T) \subseteq T$. We leave it to the reader to verify that $T \times OP$ is an open and closed subset of $\text{Ind}[\mu, OP]$, and that $T \times OP$ is closed under the $\mathbb{Z}$ -action.

Conversely, suppose that Y is a non-empty, open and closed, $\mathbb{Z}$ -invariant, subset of $\text{Ind}[\mu, OP]$. The image of Y under $\pi[\mu, OP]$ is a non-empty, open and closed, $\mathbb{Z}$ -invariant subset of OP . Consequently, $\pi[\mu, OP](Y) = OP$. Let

$$J = \{x \in F : (x, \infty)\}.$$

we claim that

- (11.a) $\alpha(J) \subseteq J$,
- (11.b) $\beta(J) \subseteq J$, and
- (11.c) $J \times OP \subseteq Y$.

Let $x \in J$. Then $1 \star (x, \infty) = (\alpha(x), \infty)$ is in Y , which implies (11.a). Take $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\pi[\mu, OP]^{-1}V_k \cap W_x \subseteq Y$. Let N be the size of F , and let n be a multiple of $N!$ such that $n \geq k$. Then

$$(x, n) \in \pi[\mu, OP]^{-1}V_k \cap W_x \subseteq Y.$$

The $\mathbb{Z}$ -orbit of (x, n) is $\{x\} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $\{x\} \times OP \subseteq Y$. By (9), $(\beta(x), \infty) \in Y$ as well. This completes the proof of (11).

We have established $\text{Ind}[\mu, OP]$ as a class-theoretic function from objects of $\mathcal{C}_2$ to objects of $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]/OP$. We are ready to extend it to a functor.

For the next phase of the argument, let $\mu_1 = (F_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1)$ and $\mu_2 = (F_2, \alpha_2, \beta_2)$ be two $\mathcal{C}_2$ -objects. First, suppose that $f : \mu_1 \rightarrow \mu_2$ is a $\mathcal{C}_2$ -morphism. Consider the function $f^* = \text{Ind}[f, OP]$ defined by

$$f^*(x, n) = (f(x), n)$$

from $\text{Ind}[\mu_1, OP] \rightarrow \text{Ind}[\mu_2, OP]$. We leave it to the reader to verify that f^* is an $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]/OP$ -morphism. Furthermore, we leave it as an exercise that these two $\text{Ind}[* , OP]$ constructions determine a functor, which we denote in this manner.

Conversely, suppose that ϕ is an $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]/OP$ -morphism

$$\text{Ind}[\mu_1, OP] \rightarrow \text{Ind}[\mu_2, OP] .$$

Since ϕ commutes with the canonical projections to OP , it must send the fiber over 0 of $\text{Ind}[\mu_1, OP]$ into the fiber of $\text{Ind}[\mu_2, OP]$. Consequently, there is a function $f : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ such that

$$\forall x \in F_1, \phi(x, 0) = (f(x), 0) .$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $z \in \pi[\mu_1, OP]^{-1}\{0\}$, it must be true that $\phi(n * z) = n * \phi(z)$. This can be paraphrased as

$$\forall x \in F_1, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \phi(x, n) = (f(x), n) .$$

This identity, in tandem with the continuity of ϕ and (10) imply that

$$(12) \quad \forall x \in F_1, \phi(x, \infty) = (f(x), \infty) .$$

Once we accept (12), property (10) also implies that $f \circ \beta_1 = \beta_2 \circ f$. The identity

$$\forall x \in F_1, \phi(1 * (x, \infty)) = 1 * \phi(x, \infty)$$

translates as $f \circ \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \circ f$. We conclude that

(13.a) f is a $\mathcal{C}_2$ -morphism $\mu_1 \rightarrow \mu_2$, and

(13.b) ϕ is the f^* construction of the previous paragraph.

We conclude that, for any two $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in OP$, the construction $\text{Ind}[* , OP]$ determines a bijection from $\mathcal{C}_2$ -morphisms, from μ_1 to μ_2 , to $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]/OP$ -morphisms, from $\text{Ind}[\mu_1, OP]$ to $\text{Ind}[\mu_2, OP]$.

Next, fix $b : B \rightarrow OP$ a separable $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]$ -morphism into OP . We claim that b is isomorphic to $\text{Ind}[\Phi(b), OP]$.

Expand $\Phi(b) = (F, \alpha, \beta)$. In addition, let

$$\theta_+ = \theta[b, 0, 1] \text{ and } \theta_- = \theta[b, 0, -1] .$$

Define a set-theoretic function

$$\tau : F \times OP \rightarrow B \text{ by}$$

$$\tau(x, n) = \begin{cases} n * x & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \theta_+(x) & \text{if } n = \infty . \end{cases}$$

We make a series of simple observations.

(14.a) τ induces a bijection from $F \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow b^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$.

(14.b) By Proposition 2, τ is bijective.

(14.c) From the definition of τ ,

$$\forall x \in F, \forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, \tau(m * (x, n)) = m * \tau(x, n) .$$

(14.d) The definition of Φ (specifically, of the α component,) implies that

$$\forall x \in F, \tau(1 * (x, \infty)) = 1 * \tau(x, \infty) .$$

Put $F_1 = b^{-1}\{\infty\}$. By Lemma 1, there is leveling data $(V, \{U_z\}_{z \in F_1})$ for b at ∞ , compatible with 1, -1 . Obviously, we may replace V with any open subset (by replacing each U_z with its intersection with b^{-1} of this subset). We may assume that, in the notation of (7), $V = V_K$ for some fixed $K \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $x \in F$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq K$. There is $z \in F_1$ such that $\tau(x, n) \in U_z$. Induction on $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and compatibility with 1 implies that,

$$(15) \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \tau(x, n+m) = m \star \tau(x, n) \in U_{m \star z}.$$

Let N be the size of F .

First apply (15) when x is fixed, $n \geq K$ is a fixed multiple on $N!$, and m is any positive multiple on $N!$. Then $z \mapsto m \star z$ is the identity permutation on $z \in F_1$. Consequently,

$$(n+m) \star x \in U_z$$

for all such m . Now $\theta_+(x)$ is the limit of the sequence from $(n+m) \star x$, indexed by the parameter m (which is restricted to positive multiples of $N!$). Consequently, this limit must be z . We deduce that $z = \theta_+(x)$.

Next, consider $x \in F$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq K$. Again let $z \in F_1$ such that $\tau(x, n) \in U_z$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n+m$ is a multiple of $N!$. Then

$$(16.a) \quad \tau(x, n+m) \in U_{m \star z} \text{ and}$$

$$(16.b) \quad \tau(x, n+m) \in U_{\theta_+(x)}.$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_+(x) = m \star z &\Rightarrow n \star \theta_+(x) = (n+m) \star z \\ &\Rightarrow \theta_+(\alpha^n(x)) = (n+m) \star z. \end{aligned}$$

The formula $z \mapsto 1 \star z$ induces a permutation on F_1 . Since b is separable, F_1 has N members. Consequently, $(n+m) \star z = z$. We conclude that

$$\forall x \in F, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq K \Rightarrow \tau(x, n) \in U_{\theta_+(\alpha^n(x))}.$$

We have characterized half of each subset U_z .

Let $x \in F$. Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq K$. This time, let $z \in F_1$ such that

$$(17) \quad \tau(x, -n) \in U_z.$$

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, compatibility yields

$$\tau(x, -n-m) \in U_{(-m) \star z}.$$

Reasoning as before, we conclude that

$$(18) \text{ If } n \text{ is a multiple of } N!, \text{ then } z = \theta_-(x).$$

For reasons that will become clear shortly, we prefer to characterize z in terms of θ_+ . The definition of β implies

$$(19) \text{ If } n \text{ is a multiple of } N!, \text{ then } z = \theta_+(\beta(x)).$$

Next, consider x, n and z related by (17). Take $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n+m$ is a multiple of $N!$. It follows that

$$(-m) \star z = \theta_+(\beta(x)) \Rightarrow z = \theta_+(\alpha^{-n} \cdot \beta)(x).$$

The sets U_z are characterized.

Compare these above comments on U_z with the definition of sets W_y of $\text{Ind}[\mu, OP]$, where $z = \theta_+(y)$. It follows that τ is continuous.

Either by inspection, or by the general theory of separable morphisms, it follows that τ is an **HT**[$\mathbb{Z}$]-isomorphism. $\square$

4. GALOIS EXTENSIONS

We recall some standard terms concerning group sets. In these definitions, “ $\cdot$ ” indicates the binary operation of a group, or, when the context makes this clear, for the left action by a group on a set.

Let G be any group. We review elementary concerning left G -sets.

Let G_L denote the G -set whose underlying set is G , and on which the action is multiplication (ie., by the operation of G) on the left.

Let X be a G -set. We say that the action on X is *regular* if it is transitive and the stabilizer of any point is trivial. This is equivalent to the condition that, as a G -set, X is isomorphic to G_L .

Define *opposite* group of G , denoted by G° , to be the set of G with the binary operation $*$ characterized by

$$\forall g, h \in G, g * h = h \cdot g.$$

As the name suggests, G° is a group. It is trivial to check that the rule

$$g \wedge h = h \cdot g$$

defines a *left* action by G° on G_L . This action induces a group isomorphism between G -equivariant automorphisms of G_L and G° . A consequence of this structure theory is that, for $g, h \in G_L$, there is a unique G -equivariant automorphism of G_L which takes g to h . This can be paraphrased as follows: If X is a regular G -set and $x \in X$, then there is a unique isomorphism (of G -sets) $f : X \rightarrow G_L$ which maps x to the identity element of G .

Lemma 5. *Let X be a finite set, and let G be a subgroup of the set of permutations of X (which we treat as acting on the left). Let A be the group of permutations of X which commute with each member of G . Suppose that the action of A on X is regular, and that G is not the trivial group.*

(A) *As a G -set, X is regular.*

(B) *Fix $x_0 \in G$. Then there is a unique group isomorphism $\phi : G^\circ \rightarrow A$ characterized by*

$$\forall g \in G, \phi[g](x_0) = g \cdot x_0.$$

Proof. The second assertion is an easy consequence on the first. We prove Part (A).

Let $x \in X$. Let H be the stabilizer of x under the action by G . Then

(20.a) For $g \in G$, the stabilizer of $g * x$ under G is $g \cdot H \cdot g^{-1}$.

(20.b) For $\alpha \in A$, the stabilizer of $\alpha(x)$ is H .

Since the action by A is transitive, we conclude that

(21.a) H is the stabilizer of each $x \in X$.

(21.b) H is a normal subgroup of G .

We conclude that each $h \in H$ acts as the identity on X . By assumption, $H \subseteq \Sigma_X$ and the action is function application. Hence, H consists exactly of the identity map on X . The G -stabilizer of each and every point is trivial.

It follows that, as a G -set, X is a disjoint union of copies of the left regular action. That is, any two non-empty orbits correspond to isomorphic G -sets. We claim that there is just one orbit. Proof is by contradiction.

Suppose that X has two or more orbits. Let O be one orbit in X . Recall that G has at least two members. Clearly, there is $\alpha \in A$ such that $\alpha(x) = x$ for $x \notin O$, and $\alpha(x) \neq x$ for every $x \in O$. Existence of such an α violates the assumption that A acts regularly. $\square$

We apply this lemma to Galois morphisms into OP . Let $b : B \rightarrow OP$ be such a morphism, and expand $\Phi(b) = (F, \alpha, \beta)$. Put $A = \text{Gal}(b)$. Restriction of the action to F determines an injection $A \rightarrow \Sigma_F$; for this discussion, we freely identify A with its image under this map. By the general theory of [1], the action by A is regular. By Lemma 4, A is also the group of $\mathcal{C}_2$ -automorphisms. Consequently, A can be described as the group of permutations that commute with both α and β .

Let H be the subgroup of Σ_F generated by $\{\alpha, \beta\}$. Lemma 5 applies, with $X = F$. Our data happens to satisfy one more condition: by Lemma 3, H acts transitively. Consequently, if G is trivial, then F has just one member. It follows that, as a G -set, F is the left regular action, and that H is isomorphic to action by G on the right. The exact correspondence depends on which member of F is identified with the identity of G . Fix a correspondence. If $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in G$ correspond to α, β , we conclude that G is generated by α_1 and β_1 .

We are ready to identify $\pi_G(OP, 0)$ with a profinite free group.

• Let S be a set with two members, which we represent by formal symbols α^* and β^* . Assign to each $(H, h) \in \text{Fin}[S]$ the $\mathcal{C}_2$ -object

$$\Gamma(H, h) = (H, \alpha, \beta)$$

in which

(22.a) α is the permutation on H given by $y \mapsto y \cdot h(\alpha^*)$,

(22.b) β is the permutation on H given by $y \mapsto y \cdot h(\beta^*)$,

In addition, for $(H, h) \in \text{Gr}[S]$, let e_H be the identity element of the group H .

The following observations are justified by the structure theory established so far.

(23) Let $b : B \rightarrow OP$ be a Galois morphism into OP , and let $x \in b^{-1}\{0\}$.

Expand $\Phi(b) = (F, \alpha, \beta)$. Then there is $(H, h) \in \text{Fin}[S]$ and a function

$f : F \rightarrow H$ such that

- f is a $\mathcal{C}_2$ -isomorphism $\Phi(b) \rightarrow \Gamma(H, h)$,

- $f(x) = e_H$.

For this discussion, we say that the tuple (b, x, H, h, f) is a *classification choice*.

This construction is subject to the following uniqueness conditions:

(24) In the context of (23),

- The function f is uniquely determined by the choice of (H, h) ,

- Any two choices of (H_1, h_1) and (H_2, h_2) for a given pair b, x are $\text{Fin}[S]$ -isomorphic.

Every member of $\text{Fin}[S]$ corresponds to a Galois morphism.

- (25) For $(H, h) \in \mathbf{Fin}[S]$, for $b = \text{Ind}[\Gamma(H, h), OP]$ and for x any member of $b^{-1}\{0\}$, there is a function f such that (b, x, H, h, f) is a classification choice.

Classification choices extend to a functorial identification of Galois group with $\mathbf{Fin}[S]$ component.

- (26) Let $\delta = (b, x, H, h, f)$ be a classification choice. Then there is a unique group isomorphism $\omega_\delta : H \rightarrow \text{Gal}(b)$ with the property that

$$\forall z \in F, \forall d \in H, f((\omega_\delta(d))(z)) = d \cdot f(z).$$

Finally, we note that the canonical morphisms between Galois groups are $\mathbf{Fin}[S]$ -morphisms.

- (27) Suppose that

$$\delta_1 = (b_1, x_1, H_1, h_1, f_1) \text{ and } \delta_2 = (b_2, x_2, H_2, h_2, f_2)$$

are two classification choices. Let ω_1 and ω_2 be the respective group homomorphisms, as described in (26). Suppose that $g : b_1 \rightarrow b_2$ be a $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]/OP$ -morphism such that $g(x_1) = g(x_2)$. Then the group homomorphism induced by g from $\text{Gal}(b_1) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(b_2)$ is $\omega_2 \circ \zeta \circ \omega_1^{-1}$, where ζ is a $\mathbf{Fin}[S]$ -morphism $(H_1, h_1) \rightarrow (H_2, h_2)$. More precisely, ζ is the unique group homomorphism $H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ such that $h_1 = h_2 \circ \zeta$.

In this manner, we identify the inversely directed system that defines $\pi_G(OP, 0)$ with the system for $\widehat{Fr}[\alpha^*, \beta^*]$.

We present the identification in gory detail because we refer to it later. We need it immediately, in order to characterize $\pi_G(e)$.

Recall (from [2], for example) that every Galois extension of E is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/(n) \rightarrow E$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote the equivalence class of $k \bmod(n)$ by $\bar{k}$. The pullback of $\mathbb{Z}/(n)$ along the morphism e_{OP} is $OP \times (\mathbb{Z}/(n))$, where the $\times$ is taken with respect to the category $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]$. Let $b : OP \times (\mathbb{Z}/(n)) \rightarrow OP$ be the canonical projection. General theory tells us that b is a disjoint union of Galois morphisms.

By inspection, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}/(n)$, the sequence

$$\{(n! \cdot m) \star (0, \bar{k})\}_{m=1}^\infty$$

converges to $(\infty, \bar{k})$. In addition, the sequence

$$\{(-n! \cdot m) \star (0, \bar{k})\}_{m=1}^\infty$$

converges to $(\infty, \bar{k})$. The action obeys

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall y \in OP, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, m \star (x, \bar{k}) = (m \star x, \overline{m + k}).$$

It follows that

(28.a) $OP \times (\mathbb{Z}/(n))$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -connected,

(28.b) b is Galois,

(28.c) both functions $\theta[b, 0, 1]$ and $\theta[b, 0, -1]$ are given by

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, (0, \bar{k}) \mapsto (\infty, \bar{k}).$$

Expand $\Phi(b) = (F, \alpha, \beta)$. Then the permutations are

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha(0, \bar{k}) = (0, \overline{k+1}) \text{ and } \beta(0, \bar{k}) = (0, \bar{k}).$$

It follows that there is a choice of classification data $(b, (0, \bar{0}), \mathbb{Z}/(n), h, f)$ in which

$$h(\alpha^*) = \bar{1} \text{ and } h(\beta^*) = \bar{0}.$$

Next, one must examine this classification as the parameter n varies over all natural numbers. Happily, our choices are preserved by all projection maps used in construction of $\pi_G(OP, 0)$ and $\pi_G(E)$. We conclude

- (29) Under the choices made here, $\pi_G(e_O P)$ is the unique profinite group homomorphism $\widehat{Fr}[\alpha^*, \beta^*] \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ which satisfies $\alpha^* \mapsto 1$ and $\beta^* \mapsto 0$.

5. ANALYSIS OF TP

Our classification of Galois extensions of TP strongly resembles the classification of OP . We begin with a functor $\Psi : \text{Sep}/TP \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$.

- (30.a) Let $b : B \rightarrow TP$ belong to Sep/TP . The image is

$$\Phi(B, b) = (F, \alpha, \gamma),$$

constructed as follows. Let $F = b^{-1}\{0\}$ be the fiber over 0. In the notation of Proposition 2, put

$$\theta_+ = \theta[b, 0, 1] \text{ and } \theta_- = \theta[b, 0, -1].$$

Define α by

$$\forall x \in F, \alpha(x) = \theta_+^{-1}(1 \star \theta_+(x)).$$

Define γ by

$$\forall x \in F, \gamma(x) = \theta_-^{-1}(1 \star \theta_-(x)).$$

- (30.b) Let $b : B \rightarrow TP$ and $c : C \rightarrow TP$ be separable morphisms, and let $f : (B, b) \rightarrow (C, c)$ be a $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]/TP$ -morphism. Express

$$\Phi(B, b) = (F_b, \alpha_b, \gamma_b) \text{ and } \Phi(C, c) = (F_c, \alpha_c, \gamma_c).$$

Since F_b is a subset of B , f restricts to it. Then $f(F_b) \subseteq f(F_c)$. It is a trivial consequence of definitions that, as a function $F_b \rightarrow F_c$, f is $\mathcal{C}_2$ -morphism. We define $\Psi(f)$ to be the restriction.

The following propositions classify all separable extensions of TP .

Lemma 6. *Let $(F, \alpha, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}_2$. Then there is $b \in \text{Sep}/TP$ such that $\Psi(b)$ is isomorphic to (F, α, γ) if and only the subgroup of Σ_F generated by α and γ acts transitively on F .*

Lemma 7. *Let $b, c \in \text{Sep}/TP$. Then the function, induced by Ψ , from Sep/TP morphisms $b \rightarrow c$, to the set of $\mathcal{C}_2$ -morphisms $\Psi(b) \rightarrow \Psi(c)$, is a bijection.*

Proof. As in Section 3, the basis of the proof is an explicit construction of morphisms from $\mathcal{C}_2$ -objects. We give this explicitly. The rest of the argument is an easy variation on the analysis of OP . That portion is left to the reader.

Let $\mu = (F, \alpha, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}_2$. To begin, let $\text{Ind}[\mu, TP]$ be the set $F \times TP$. We denote the canonical projection $F \times TP \rightarrow TP$ by $\pi[\mu, TP]$. Define a binary operation $\mathbb{Z} \times \text{Ind}[\mu, TP] \rightarrow \text{Ind}[\mu, TP]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in F, \forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n \star (x, m) &= (x, n + m) \\ \forall x \in F, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n \star (x, \infty) &= (\alpha^n(x), \infty) \\ \forall x \in F, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n \star (x, -\infty) &= (\gamma^n(x), -\infty). \end{aligned}$$

We leave it to the reader to verify that $\star$ defines a left action by $\mathbb{Z}$ on $\text{Ind}[\mu, TP]$, and that $\pi[\mu, TP]$ is equivariant with respect to this action.

For each $z \in F$, define two subset of $\text{Ind}[\mu, TP]$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} W_z^+ &= \{ (x, k) : x \in F, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \alpha^k(x) = z \} \cup \{(z, \infty)\}, \\ W_z^- &= \{ (x, -k) : x \in F, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \gamma^{-k}(x) = z \} \cup \{(z, -\infty)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define two subsets of TP as follows

$$\begin{aligned} V_k^+ &= \{ m : m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } m \geq k \} \cup \{\infty\}, \\ V_k^- &= \{ -m : m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } m \geq k \} \cup \{-\infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

The family $\{V_k^+\}$ is a basis of open neighborhoods for ∞ in TP , and the family $\{V_k^-\}$ is a basis of open neighborhoods for $-\infty$ in TP . The set of subsets of $\text{Ind}[\mu, TP]$

$$\begin{aligned} &\{ \{(x, k)\} : x \in F, k \in \mathbb{Z} \} \\ &\cup \{ \pi[\mu, TP]^{-1} V_k^+ \cap W_x^+ : x \in F, k \in \mathbb{N} \} \\ &\cup \{ \pi[\mu, TP]^{-1} V_k^- \cap W_x^- : x \in F, k \in \mathbb{N} \} \end{aligned}$$

is a basis of a topology (of open sets) for $\text{Ind}[\mu, TP]$. From here on, we assign this topology to $\text{Ind}[\mu, TP]$.

One can modify the earlier argument to deduce:

- (31.a) $\text{Ind}[\mu, TP]$, with this action and this topology, is an $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]$ -object.
- (31.b) $\pi[\mu, TP]$ is an $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]$ -morphism.
- (31.c) $\text{Ind}[\mu, TP]$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -connected if and only if there does not exists a non-empty subset $T \subset F$ such that

$$T \neq F, \alpha(T) \subseteq T \text{ and } \gamma(T) \subseteq T.$$

- (31.d) If $\text{Ind}[\mu, TP]$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -connected, then $\pi[\mu, TP]$ is separable.

- (31.e) There is a functor $C_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]/TP$ which assigns an object μ to the morphism $\pi[\mu, TP]$ on $\text{Ind}[\mu, TP]$, and, for $f : \mu_1 \rightarrow \mu_2$ a C_2 . Hereafter, we refer to this functor as $\text{Ind}[* , TP]$.

- (31.f) For $b \in \text{Sep}/TP$, there is an isomorphism from b to $\text{Ind}[\Psi(b), TP]$. (In fact, there is a natural isomorphism between the two functors.) $\square$

Let α^* and γ^* be formal symbols. We can replicate the steps of Section 4 to identify $\pi_G(TP, 0)$ with $\widehat{Fr}[\alpha^*, \gamma^*]$.

Our final topic is to characterize $\pi_G(\nu)$, where $\nu : TP \rightarrow OP$ is the morphism of (1). Let $b : B \rightarrow OP$ be a Galois morphism, and expand $\Phi(b)$ as (F, α, β) . The key step is to characterize the Galois pullback of b along ν .

Put $\theta_+ = \theta[b, 0, 1]$ and $\theta_- = \theta[b, 0, -1]$. Let C be the standard choice of $\nu \times_{OP} b$, taken in the category $\mathbf{HT}[\mathbb{Z}]$. That is, the underlying set of C is a subset of $TP \times B$ with the subset topology of that product. Let $c : C \rightarrow TP$ be the canonical projection. Note that

$$c^{-1}\{0\} = \{ (0, x) : x \in F \}.$$

Let N be the size of F . Define two functions $F \rightarrow C$ by

$$\forall x \in F, \theta_1(x) = (\infty, \theta_+(x)) \text{ and } \theta_2(x) = (-\infty, \theta_-(x)).$$

By inspection, for $x \in F$, and in the topology of C ,

- (32.a) the sequence $\{(N! \cdot k) \star (0, x)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ converges to $\theta_1(x)$, and
- (32.b) the sequence $\{(-N! \cdot k) \star (0, x)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ converges to $\theta_2(x)$.

By choice of α ,

$$\forall x \in F, \theta_+(\alpha(x)) = 1 \star \theta_+(x).$$

By definition of β , $\theta_+ = \theta_- \circ \beta$. Since β is a permutation, we deduce that

$$\forall x \in F, \theta_-((\beta \cdot \alpha \cdot \beta^{-1})(x)) = 1 \star \theta_-(x).$$

We can paraphrase the last two identities by

$$\forall x \in F, \theta_1(\alpha(x)) = 1 \star \theta_1(x) \text{ and } \theta_2((\beta \cdot \alpha \cdot \beta^{-1})(x)) = 1 \star \theta_2(x).$$

General nonsense (see [1]) tells us that C decomposes into $\mathbb{Z}$ -components, and that restriction of c to any component is Galois.

For each $J \subseteq F$, define subsets J_1 and $C[J]$ of C by

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \{ (0, x) : x \in J \}, \\ C[J] &= \{ (n, n \star x) : x \in J, n \in \mathbb{Z} \} \\ &\quad \cup \{ \theta_1(x) : x \in J \} \cup \{ \theta_2(x) : x \in J \}. \end{aligned}$$

An easy consequence of (32) is that if $Y \subseteq C$ is a (topologically) closed subset which is closed under the action by $\mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\forall J \subseteq F, J_1 \subseteq Y \Rightarrow C[J] \subseteq Y.$$

Let H be the subset of Σ_F generated by the permutations $\alpha, (\beta \cdot \alpha \cdot \beta^{-1})$. The following assertions are left to the reader.

- (33.a) If $Y \subseteq C$ is (topologically) open and closed in C , and is closed under the action by $\mathbb{Z}$, then there is $J \subseteq F$ such that $Y = C[J]$.
- (33.b) Suppose that $J \subset F$. Then $C[J]$ is (topologically) open and closed in C , and is closed under the action by $\mathbb{Z}$, if and only if J is closed under the action by H .

Let O be the H -orbit of any member of F . Restriction of c to $C[O]$ must be a Galois pullback of b .

Now, we make choices based on the classification theory. Let $G = \text{Gal}(b)$. Earlier propositions provide a canonical identification of (F, α, β) with the the set G and right multiplication by canonical images of α^* and β^* , respectively, in G . Fix such an identification. Let e_F be the member of F which corresponds to the identity of the group G . Let O be the H -orbit of e_F . Denote restriction of c to $C[O]$ by c_1 .

The Galois morphism c_1 is isomorphic to $\text{Ind}[\mu, TP]$ where

$$\mu = (O, \alpha|_O, (\beta \cdot \alpha \cdot \beta^{-1})|_O),$$

in which the subscript " $|O$ " indicates restriction to O . Navigating our numerous identifications, it follows that the group homomorphism $\text{Gal}(c_1) \rightarrow G$, induced by projection $C[O] \rightarrow B$, sends the image of α^* and of γ^* , in $\text{Gal}(c_1)$, to the image of α^* and of $\beta^* \cdot \alpha^* \cdot (\beta^*)^{-1}$, in G , respectively.

This proves our assertion on $\pi_G(\nu)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Feit P., A Fundamental Group for Dynamical Systems I: Definitions, *Proc. Sym. Pure Math.*, Vol. 66, Part I (1999) 51-86.
- [2] Feit P., A Fundamental Group for Dynamical Systems II: $\mathbb{Z}_p$, *Journal of Dynamical Systems and Geometric Theories*, Vol. 2 (2004) 81-90.
- [3] Lubkin S., Theory of Covering Spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 104 (1962) 205-238.