

YAMABE SOLITONS ON $(LCS)_n$ -MANIFOLDS

SOUMENDU ROY¹, SANTU DEY², AND ARINDAM BHATTACHARYYA¹

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JADAVPUR UNIVERSITY, KOLKATA-700032, INDIA

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BIDHAN CHANDRA COLLEGE, ASANSOL - 4,
WEST BENGAL-713303, INDIA

E-MAILS: SOUMENDU1103MTMA@GMAIL.COM, SANTU.MATHJU@GMAIL.COM,
BHATTACHAR1968@YAHOO.CO.IN

(Received: 5 July 2020, Accepted: 2 November 2020)

ABSTRACT. The object of the present paper is to study some properties of $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds whose metric is Yamabe soliton. We establish some characterization of $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds when the soliton becomes steady. Next we have studied some certain curvature conditions of $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds admitting Yamabe solitons. Lastly we construct a 3-dimensional $(LCS)_n$ -manifold satisfying the results.

AMS Classification: 53C15, 53C25, 53C44.

Keywords: Yamabe soliton; Einstein manifold; $(LCS)_n$ -manifold.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 2003, the notion of Lorentzian concircular structure manifolds (briefly, $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds) was introduced by Shaikh [12] with an example, that generalize the notion of LP-Sasakian manifolds which was introduced by Matsumoto [7] and also by Mihai and Rosca [8]. In 2005 and 2006, the application of $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds to the general theory of relativity and cosmology was studied by Shaikh and Baishya [13], [14].

Many other authors M. Ateceken[1], S. K. Hui[5], D. Narain[9], S. Yadav([19], [20], [21], [22]), A. Shaikh([15], [16]) also studied the $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds.

The concept of Yamabe flow was first introduced by Hamilton [4] to construct Yamabe metrics on compact Riemannian manifolds. On a Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian manifold M , a time-dependent metric $g(\cdot, t)$ is said to evolve by the Yamabe flow if the metric g satisfies the given equation,

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}g(t) = -rg(t), \quad g(0) = g_0,$$

where r is the scalar curvature of the manifold M .

In 2-dimension the Yamabe flow is equivalent to the Ricci flow (defined by $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g(t) = -2S(g(t))$, where S denotes the Ricci tensor). But in dimension > 2 the Yamabe and Ricci flows do not agree, since the Yamabe flow preserves the conformal class of the metric but the Ricci flow does not in general.

A Yamabe soliton correspond to self-similar solution of the Yamabe flow, is defined on a Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) by a vector field ξ satisfying the equation [2],

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\xi g = (r - \lambda)g,$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\xi g$ denotes the Lie derivative of the metric g along the vector field ξ , r is the scalar curvature and λ is a constant. Moreover a Yamabe soliton is said to be expanding if $\lambda > 0$, steady if $\lambda = 0$ and shrinking if $\lambda < 0$.

Yamabe solitons on a three-dimensional Sasakian manifold were studied by R. Sharma [17].

Again from [18],

$$(3) \quad R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z,$$

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} H(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{(n-2)}[g(Y, Z)QX - g(X, Z)QY \\ &+ S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y], \end{aligned}$$

$$(5) \quad P(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{(n-1)}[g(QY, Z)X - g(QX, Z)Y],$$

$$(6) \quad \tilde{C}(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z - \frac{r}{n(n-1)}[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y],$$

$$(7) \quad W_2(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z + \frac{1}{n-1}[g(X, Z)QY - g(Y, Z)QX],$$

are the Riemannian-Christoffel curvature tensor R [10], the conharmonic curvature tensor H [6], the projective curvature tensor P [23], the concircular curvature tensor $\tilde{C}$ [11] and the W_2 -curvature tensor [11] respectively in a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) , where Q is the Ricci operator, defined by $S(X, Y) = g(QX, Y)$, S is the Ricci tensor, $r = \text{tr}(S)$ is the scalar curvature, where $\text{tr}(S)$ is the trace of S and $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$, $\chi(M)$ being the Lie algebra of vector fields of M .

In the present paper we study Yamabe soliton on $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds. The paper is organized as follows:

After introduction, section 2 is devoted for preliminaries on $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds. In section 3, we have studied Yamabe soliton on $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds. Here we examined when $(LCS)_n$ -manifold admits Yamabe soliton, then the manifold becomes $K - (LCS)_n$ -manifold and Ricci symmetric. In this section we have also shown that, $(LCS)_n$ -manifold admits Yamabe soliton is ξ -projectively flat, ξ -concircularly flat and ξ -conharmonically flat iff the soliton becomes steady. Section 4 deals with curvature properties on $(LCS)_n$ -manifold admitting Yamabe soliton. Here we obtained some results on Yamabe soliton satisfying the conditions of the following type:

$S(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$, $S(\xi, X) \cdot W_2 = 0$, where W_2 is the W_2 -curvature tensor and S is the Ricci tensor. Also we have found that if the manifold admits Yamabe soliton then $R(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$ and $W_2(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$.

In the last section, we gave an example of 3-dimensional $(LCS)_n$ -manifold on which we can easily verify our results.

2. Preliminaries

Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional Lorentzian manifold [18] admitting a unit time-like concircular vector field ξ . Then the vector field satisfying $g(\xi, \xi) = -1$. Since ξ is a unit concircular vector field, it follows that there exists a nonzero 1-form η

such that, $g(X, \xi) = \eta(X)$. Also ξ satisfies $\nabla \xi = \alpha(I + \eta \otimes \xi)$ with a nowhere zero smooth function α on M verifying the equation $\nabla_X \alpha = (X\alpha) = d\alpha(X) = \rho\eta(X)$, for $\rho \in C^\infty(M)$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of g and X is a vector field. Here also ϕ is the (1,1) tensor field, denoted by, $\phi := \frac{1}{\alpha} \nabla \xi$.

The notion of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold was introduced by K. Matsumoto [7]. More general the Lorentzian manifold M together with the unit timelike concircular vector field ξ , an 1-form η , and an (1,1) tensor field ϕ is said to be a Lorentzian concircular structure manifold $(M, g, \xi, \eta, \phi, \alpha)$ (briefly, $(LCS)_n$ -manifold), which was introduced by A. A. Shaikh [12].

In an n -dimensional $(LCS)_n$ -manifold the following relations hold:

$$(8) \quad \phi^2 = I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = -1, \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0,$$

$$(9) \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y) \quad \text{and} \quad g(\phi X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y),$$

$$(10) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)Y = \alpha[g(X, Y)\xi + 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X],$$

for any $X, Y \in \chi(M)$.

$$(11) \quad \phi X = \frac{1}{\alpha} \nabla_X \xi,$$

$$(12) \quad \eta(\nabla_X \xi) = 0, \quad \nabla_\xi \xi = 0,$$

$$(13) \quad R(X, Y)Z = (\alpha^2 - \rho)[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y],$$

$$(14) \quad R(X, Y)\xi = (\alpha^2 - \rho)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y],$$

$$(15) \quad R(\xi, X)Y = (\alpha^2 - \rho)[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X],$$

$$(16) \quad \eta(R(X, Y)Z) = (\alpha^2 - \rho)[\eta(X)g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)g(X, Z)],$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$.

$$(17) \quad \eta(R(X, Y)\xi) = 0,$$

$$(18) \quad S(X, Y) = (\alpha^2 - \rho)(n - 1)g(X, Y),$$

$$(19) \quad r = n(n - 1)(\alpha^2 - \rho),$$

$$(20) \quad \nabla\eta = \alpha(g + \eta \otimes \eta), \quad \nabla_\xi\eta = 0,$$

$$(21) \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi\phi = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi\eta = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi g = 2\nabla\eta = 2\alpha(g + \eta \otimes \eta),$$

where R is the Riemannian Curvature tensor, S is the Ricci tensor, r is the scalar curvature, ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection associated with g and $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ denotes the Lie derivative along the vector field ξ .

3. Yamabe soliton on $(LCS)_n$ manifold

Let $(M, g, \xi, \eta, \phi, \alpha)$ be an n -dimensional $(LCS)_n$ manifold. Consider the Yamabe soliton on M as:

$$(22) \quad \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\xi g = (r - \lambda)g.$$

Then from (21), we get,

$$(23) \quad \alpha[g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)] = (r - \lambda)g(X, Y),$$

for all vector fields X, Y on M .

which implies that,

$$(24) \quad (r - \lambda - \alpha)g(X, Y) - \alpha\eta(X)\eta(Y) = 0.$$

Taking $Y = \xi$ in the above equation and using (8), we get,

$$(25) \quad (r - \lambda - \alpha)\eta(X) + \alpha\eta(X) = 0.$$

Then we have,

$$(26) \quad (r - \lambda)\eta(X) = 0.$$

Since $\eta(X) \neq 0$, so we get,

$$(27) \quad r = \lambda.$$

Using the above equation in (22), we have,

$$(28) \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi g = 0.$$

Thus ξ is a Killing vector field. Moreover, since λ is constant, the scalar curvature r is constant.

So we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *If an $(LCS)_n$ manifold $(M, g, \xi, \eta, \phi, \alpha)$ admits a Yamabe soliton*

(g, ξ) , ξ being the Reeb vector field of the Lorentzian concircular structure, then the scalar curvature is constant and ξ becomes a Killing vector field.

Now from (19) and (27), we get,

$$(29) \quad \lambda = n(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \rho).$$

Then using (18) and (29), we obtain,

$$(30) \quad S(X, Y) = \frac{\lambda}{n}g(X, Y),$$

for all vector fields X, Y on M .

This leads to the following:

Proposition 3.2. *If an $(LCS)_n$ manifold $(M, g, \xi, \eta, \phi, \alpha)$ admits a Yamabe soliton (g, ξ) , ξ being the Reeb vector field of the Lorentzian concircular structure, then the manifold becomes Einstein manifold.*

Now replacing the expression of S from (30) in

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = X(S(Y, Z)) - S(\nabla_X Y, Z) - S(Y, \nabla_X Z)$$

we get,

$$(31) \quad (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = \frac{\lambda}{n}(\nabla_X g)(Y, Z),$$

which implies that,

$$(32) \quad \nabla S = 0.$$

This leads to the following:

Proposition 3.3. *If an $(LCS)_n$ manifold $(M, g, \xi, \eta, \phi, \alpha)$ admits a Yamabe soliton (g, ξ) , ξ being the Reeb vector field of the Lorentzian concircular structure, then the manifold becomes Ricci symmetric.*

Again let the Ricci tensor S of the $(LCS)_n$ manifold is η -recurrent i.e

$$\nabla S = \eta \otimes S,$$

which implies that,

$$(33) \quad (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = \eta(X)S(Y, Z),$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z on M .

Then using (32) and (30), we get

$$(34) \quad \frac{\lambda}{n} \eta(x) g(Y, Z) = 0.$$

Taking $Y = \xi, Z = \xi$ in the above equation we obtain,

$$(35) \quad \lambda \eta(X) = 0.$$

As $\eta(X) \neq 0$, hence $\lambda = 0$. Also from (27), we get $r = 0$.

This leads to the following:

Proposition 3.4. *Let $(M, g, \xi, \eta, \phi, \alpha)$ be an $(LCS)_n$ manifold, admitting a Yamabe soliton (g, ξ) , ξ being the Reeb vector field of the Lorentzian concircular structure. If the Ricci tensor S of the manifold is η -recurrent (i.e $\nabla S = \eta \otimes S$), then the soliton is steady and the manifold becomes flat.*

Let us assume that a symmetric $(0, 2)$ tensor field $h = \mathcal{L}_\xi g - 2rg$ is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection associated to g .

Then

$$(36) \quad h(\xi, \xi) = \mathcal{L}_\xi g(\xi, \xi) - 2rg(\xi, \xi) = 2\lambda,$$

implies that,

$$(37) \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{2} h(\xi, \xi).$$

Now as h is parallel with respect to g , then from [3], we get,

$$(38) \quad h(X, Y) = -h(\xi, \xi)g(X, Y)$$

for all vector fields X, Y on M .

which leads to,

$$(39) \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi g(X, Y) = 2(r - \lambda)g(X, Y).$$

So we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(M, g, \xi, \eta, \phi, \alpha)$ be an $(LCS)_n$ manifold. Assume that a symmetric $(0, 2)$ tensor field $h = \mathcal{L}_\xi g - 2rg$ is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection associated to g . Then (g, ξ) yields an Yamabe soliton on M .*

We know,

$$(40) \quad (\nabla_\xi Q)X = \nabla_\xi QX - Q(\nabla_\xi X),$$

and

$$(41) \quad (\nabla_\xi S)(X, Y) = \xi S(X, Y) - S(\nabla_\xi X, Y) - S(X, \nabla_\xi Y),$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M .

Now using (30) we obtain,

$$(42) \quad QX = \frac{\lambda}{n}X,$$

for any vector field X on M .

Then in view of (30) and (42), the equations (40) and (41) become

$$(43) \quad (\nabla_\xi Q)X = 0,$$

$$(44) \quad (\nabla_\xi S)(X, Y) = 0,$$

respectively, for any vector fields X, Y on M .

This leads to the following:

Theorem 3.6. *Let $(M, g, \xi, \eta, \phi, \alpha)$ be an $(LCS)_n$ manifold, admitting a Yamabe soliton (g, ξ) , ξ being the Reeb vector field of the Lorentzian concircular structure. Then Q and S are parallel along ξ , where Q is the Ricci operator, defined by $S(X, Y) = g(QX, Y)$ and S is the Ricci tensor of M .*

Also in view of (42), we obtain,

$$(45) \quad (\nabla_X Q)Y = \nabla_X QY - Q(\nabla_X Y) = 0,$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M .

Then we have,

Corollary 3.7. *Let $(M, g, \xi, \eta, \phi, \alpha)$ be an $(LCS)_n$ manifold, admitting a Yamabe soliton (g, ξ) , ξ being the Reeb vector field of the Lorentzian concircular structure. Then Q is parallel to any arbitrary vector field on M .*

Let a Yamabe soliton is defined on an n -dimensional $(LCS)_n$ manifold M as,

$$(46) \quad \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_V g = (r - \lambda)g$$

where $\mathcal{L}_V g$ denotes the Lie derivative of the metric g along a vector field V and r, λ are defined as (2).

Let V be pointwise co-linear with ξ i.e. $V = b\xi$ where b is a function on M .

Then the equation (46) becomes,

$$(47) \quad \mathcal{L}_{b\xi} g(X, Y) = 2(r - \lambda)g(X, Y),$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M .

Applying the property of Lie derivative and Levi-Civita connection we have,

$$(48) \quad bg(\nabla_X \xi, Y) + (Xb)\eta(Y) + bg(\nabla_Y \xi, X) + (Yb)\eta(X) = 2(r - \lambda)g(X, Y).$$

Using (11), the above equation reduces to,

$$(49) \quad b\alpha g(\phi X, Y) + (Xb)\eta(Y) + b\alpha g(\phi Y, X) + (Yb)\eta(X) = 2(r - \lambda)g(X, Y).$$

Taking $Y = \xi$ in the above equation and using (8), we get,

$$(50) \quad -Xb + (\xi b)\eta(X) = 2(r - \lambda)\eta(X).$$

Again putting $X = \xi$ in the above equation, we obtain,

$$(51) \quad \xi b = r - \lambda.$$

Then using (51), (50) becomes,

$$(52) \quad Xb = -(r - \lambda)\eta(X).$$

Applying exterior differentiation in (52), we have,

$$(53) \quad (r - \lambda)d\eta = 0.$$

Now in an n -dimensional $(LCS)_n$ manifold we have,

$$(d\eta)(X, Y) = X(\eta(Y)) - Y(\eta(X)) - \eta([X, Y]),$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 (d\eta)(X, Y) &= g(Y, \nabla_X \xi) - g(X, \nabla_Y \xi) \\
 &= \alpha g(Y, X) + \eta(X)\eta(Y) - \alpha g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y) \\
 (54) \qquad &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the 1-form η is closed.

Then using the above equation, (53) implies that, either $r \neq \lambda$ or $r = \lambda$.

Now if $r \neq \lambda$ then from (46), we have,

$$(55) \qquad \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}_V g = 2(r - \lambda)g,$$

which implies V is a conformal Killing vector field.

Again if $r = \lambda$ then from (52), we get,

$$(56) \qquad \qquad \qquad Xb = 0,$$

implies that b is constant.

So we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 3.8. *Let $(M, g, \xi, \eta, \phi, \alpha)$ be an $(LCS)_n$ manifold, admitting a Yamabe soliton (g, V) , V being a vector field on M . If V is pointwise co-linear with ξ then either V is a conformal Killing vector field, provided $r \neq \lambda$, or V is a constant multiple of ξ , where ξ being the Reeb vector field of the Lorentzian concircular structure, r is the scalar curvature and λ is a constant.*

Also if $r = \lambda$ then from (46), we obtain,

$$(57) \qquad \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}_V g = 0,$$

implies that V is a Killing vector field.

Then we have,

Corollary 3.9. *Let $(M, g, \xi, \eta, \phi, \alpha)$ be an $(LCS)_n$ manifold, admitting a Yamabe soliton (g, V) , V being a vector field on M . If V is pointwise co-linear with ξ and $r = \lambda$ then V becomes a Killing vector field, where ξ being the Reeb vector field of the Lorentzian concircular structure, r is the scalar curvature and λ is a constant.*

From the definition of projective curvature tensor (5), defined on an n -dimensional $(LCS)_n$ manifold, we have,

$$(58) \quad P(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{(n-1)}[S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y],$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z on M .

Putting $Z = \xi$, we get,

$$(59) \quad P(X, Y)\xi = R(X, Y)\xi - \frac{1}{(n-1)}[S(Y, \xi)X - S(X, \xi)Y].$$

Using (14) and (30), we obtain,

$$(60) \quad P(X, Y)\xi = [(\alpha^2 - \rho) - \frac{\lambda}{n(n-1)}][\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y].$$

Again using (29), we get,

$$(61) \quad P(X, Y)\xi = 0.$$

This leads to the following:

Proposition 3.10. *An $(LCS)_n$ manifold $(M, g, \xi, \eta, \phi, \alpha)$, admitting a Yamabe soliton (g, ξ) , ξ being the Reeb vector field of the Lorentzian concircular structure, is ξ -projectively flat.*

From the definition of concircular curvature tensor (6), defined on an n -dimensional $(LCS)_n$ manifold, we have,

$$(62) \quad \tilde{C}(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z - \frac{r}{n(n-1)}[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y],$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z on M .

Putting $Z = \xi$, we get,

$$(63) \quad \tilde{C}(X, Y)\xi = R(X, Y)\xi - \frac{r}{n(n-1)}[g(Y, \xi)X - g(X, \xi)Y].$$

Using (14) and (27), we obtain,

$$(64) \quad \tilde{C}(X, Y)\xi = [(\alpha^2 - \rho) - \frac{\lambda}{n(n-1)}][\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y].$$

Again using (29), we get,

$$(65) \quad \tilde{C}(X, Y)\xi = 0.$$

This leads to the following:

Proposition 3.11. *An $(LCS)_n$ manifold $(M, g, \xi, \eta, \phi, \alpha)$, admitting a Yamabe*

soliton (g, ξ) , ξ being the Reeb vector field of the Lorentzian concircular structure, is ξ -concircularly flat.

From the definition of conharmonic curvature tensor (4), defined on an n -dimensional $(LCS)_n$ manifold, we have,

$$(66) \quad \begin{aligned} H(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{(n-2)}[g(Y, Z)QX - g(X, Z)QY \\ &+ S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y], \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z on M .

Putting $Z = \xi$, we get,

$$(67) \quad \begin{aligned} H(X, Y)\xi &= R(X, Y)\xi - \frac{1}{(n-2)}[g(Y, \xi)QX - g(X, \xi)QY \\ &+ S(Y, \xi)X - S(X, \xi)Y], \end{aligned}$$

Using (14), (30) and (42), we obtain,

$$(68) \quad H(X, Y)\xi = [(\alpha^2 - \rho) - \frac{2\lambda}{n(n-2)}][\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y].$$

Again using (29), we get,

$$(69) \quad H(X, Y)\xi = -\frac{\lambda}{(n-1)(n-2)}[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y].$$

This implies that $H(X, Y)\xi = 0$ iff $\lambda = 0$.

This leads to the following:

Proposition 3.12. *An $(LCS)_n$ manifold $(M, g, \xi, \eta, \phi, \alpha)$, admitting a Yamabe soliton (g, ξ) , ξ being the Reeb vector field of the Lorentzian concircular structure, is ξ -conharmonically flat iff the soliton is steady.*

4. Curvature properties on $(LCS)_n$ manifold admitting Yamabe soliton

We know,

$$(70) \quad R(\xi, X) \cdot S = S(R(\xi, X)Y, Z) + S(Y, R(\xi, X)Z),$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z on M .

Using (15), we obtain,

$$(71) \quad R(\xi, X) \cdot S = S((\alpha^2 - \rho)(g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X), Z) + S(Y, (\alpha^2 - \rho)(g(X, Z)\xi - \eta(Z)X)).$$

Then using (30), we get,

$$(72) \quad \begin{aligned} R(\xi, X) \cdot S &= \frac{\lambda}{n}(\alpha^2 - \rho)[g(X, Y)\eta(Z) \\ &- g(X, Z)\eta(Y) + g(X, Z)\eta(Y) - g(X, Y)\eta(Z)], \end{aligned}$$

which implies that,

$$R(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0.$$

So we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *If an $(LCS)_n$ manifold $(M, g, \xi, \eta, \phi, \alpha)$ admits a Yamabe soliton (g, ξ) , ξ being the Reeb vector field of the Lorentzian concircular structure, then $R(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$, i.e the manifold is ξ -semi symmetric, where R is the Riemannian curvature tensor and S is the Ricci tensor.*

Again the condition $S(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$ implies that,

$$(73) \quad \begin{aligned} &S(X, R(Y, Z)W)\xi - S(\xi, R(Y, Z)W)X + S(X, Y)R(\xi, Z)W - S(\xi, Y)R(X, Z)W \\ &+ S(X, Z)R(Y, \xi)W - S(\xi, Z)R(Y, X)W + S(X, W)R(Y, Z)\xi - S(\xi, W)R(Y, Z)X \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z, W on M .

Taking the inner product with ξ , the above equation becomes,

$$(74) \quad \begin{aligned} &-S(X, R(Y, Z)W) - S(\xi, R(Y, Z)W)\eta(X) + S(X, Y)\eta(R(\xi, Z)W) \\ &-S(\xi, Y)\eta(R(X, Z)W) + S(X, Z)\eta(R(Y, \xi)W) - S(\xi, Z)\eta(R(Y, X)W) \\ &+ S(X, W)\eta(R(Y, Z)\xi) - S(\xi, W)\eta(R(Y, Z)X) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing the expression of S from (30) and taking $Z = \xi$, $W = \xi$, we get,

$$(75) \quad \frac{\lambda}{n} [-g(X, R(Y, \xi)\xi) - \eta(R(Y, \xi)\xi)\eta(X) + g(X, Y)\eta(R(\xi, \xi)\xi) \\ - \eta(Y)\eta(R(X, \xi)\xi) + \eta(X)\eta(R(Y, \xi)\xi) - \eta(\xi)\eta(R(Y, X)\xi) + \eta(X)\eta(R(Y, \xi)\xi) \\ - \eta(\xi)\eta(R(Y, \xi)X)] = 0.$$

Now using (14), (16), (17), we get on simplification,

$$(76) \quad \frac{\lambda}{n} (\alpha^2 - \rho) [g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)] = 0.$$

Using (9), the above equation becomes,

$$(77) \quad \frac{\lambda}{n} (\alpha^2 - \rho) g(\phi X, \phi Y) = 0,$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M .

This implies that,

$$(78) \quad \frac{\lambda}{n} (\alpha^2 - \rho) = 0.$$

Then using (29), we get,

$$\frac{\lambda^2}{n^2(n-1)} = 0,$$

implies that $\lambda = 0$.

Hence using (27), $r = 0$.

So we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 4.2. *If an $(LCS)_n$ manifold $(M, g, \xi, \eta, \phi, \alpha)$ admits a Yamabe soliton (g, ξ) , ξ being the Reeb vector field of the Lorentzian concircular structure and satisfies $S(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$ then the manifold becomes flat and the soliton is steady, where R is the Riemannian curvature tensor and S is the Ricci tensor.*

We know,

$$(79) \quad W_2(\xi, X) \cdot S = S(W_2(\xi, X)Y, Z) + S(Y, W_2(\xi, X)Z),$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z on M .

Replacing the expression of S from (30) and using the definition of W_2 -curvature

tensor from (7), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(\xi, X) \cdot S &= \frac{\lambda}{n} g(R(\xi, X)Y + \frac{1}{n-1} [g(\xi, Y)QX - g(X, Y)Q\xi], Z) \\ &+ \frac{\lambda}{n} g(Y, R(\xi, X)Z + \frac{1}{n-1} [g(\xi, Z)QX - g(X, Z)Q\xi]). \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

Now using (15) and the property $g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y)$, we obtain on simplification,

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(\xi, X) \cdot S &= \frac{\lambda}{n(n-1)} [\eta(Y)S(X, Z) - S(\xi, Z)g(X, Y) \\ &+ \eta(Z)S(X, Y) - S(\xi, Y)g(X, Z)]. \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

Then using (30), the above equation becomes,

$$W_2(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0. \quad (82)$$

So we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 4.3. *If an $(LCS)_n$ manifold $(M, g, \xi, \eta, \phi, \alpha)$ admits a Yamabe soliton (g, ξ) , ξ being the Reeb vector field of the Lorentzian concircular structure, then $W_2(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$, where W_2 is the W_2 -curvature tensor and S is the Ricci tensor.*

Again the condition $S(\xi, X) \cdot W_2 = 0$ implies that,

$$\begin{aligned} (83) \quad &S(X, W_2(Y, Z)V)\xi - S(\xi, W_2(Y, Z)V)X + S(X, Y)W_2(\xi, Z)V \\ &- S(\xi, Y)W_2(X, Z)V + S(X, Z)W_2(Y, \xi)V - S(\xi, Z)W_2(Y, X)V \\ &+ S(X, V)W_2(Y, Z)\xi - S(\xi, V)W_2(Y, Z)X = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z, V on M .

Taking the inner product with ξ , the above equation becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} (84) \quad &-S(X, W_2(Y, Z)V) - S(\xi, W_2(Y, Z)V)\eta(X) + S(X, Y)\eta(W_2(\xi, Z)V) \\ &- S(\xi, Y)\eta(W_2(X, Z)V) + S(X, Z)\eta(W_2(Y, \xi)V) - S(\xi, Z)\eta(W_2(Y, X)V) \\ &+ S(X, V)\eta(W_2(Y, Z)\xi) - S(\xi, V)\eta(W_2(Y, Z)X) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing the expression of S from (30) and taking $Z = \xi$, $V = \xi$, we get,

$$(85) \quad \frac{\lambda}{n} [-g(X, W_2(Y, \xi)\xi) - \eta(W_2(Y, \xi)\xi)\eta(X) + g(X, Y)\eta(W_2(\xi, \xi)\xi) \\ - \eta(Y)\eta(W_2(X, \xi)\xi) + \eta(X)\eta(W_2(Y, \xi)\xi) - \eta(\xi)\eta(W_2(Y, X)\xi) + \eta(X)\eta(W_2(Y, \xi)\xi) \\ - \eta(\xi)\eta(W_2(Y, \xi)X)] = 0.$$

Now using (7), (14), (16), (18), we obtain on simplification,

$$(86) \quad \frac{\lambda}{n} [g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y) - (\alpha^2 - \rho)g(X, Y) - (\alpha^2 - \rho)\eta(X)\eta(Y)] = 0,$$

implies that,

$$(87) \quad \frac{\lambda}{n} (1 - \alpha^2 + \rho)[g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)] = 0.$$

Using (9), the above equation becomes,

$$(88) \quad \frac{\lambda}{n} (1 - \alpha^2 + \rho)g(\phi X, \phi Y) = 0.$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M .

This implies that,

$$\lambda(1 - \alpha^2 + \rho) = 0.$$

Then either $\lambda = 0$, or $\alpha^2 - \rho = 1$.

Now if $\alpha^2 - \rho = 1$, then from (19), we have,

$$r = n(n - 1).$$

So we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 4.4. *If an $(LCS)_n$ manifold $(M, g, \xi, \eta, \phi, \alpha)$ admits a Yamabe soliton (g, ξ) , ξ being the Reeb vector field of the Lorentzian concircular structure and satisfies $S(\xi, X) \cdot W_2 = 0$ then either the soliton is steady, or $r = n(n - 1)$, where W_2 is the W_2 -curvature tensor and r is the scalar curvature.*

5. Example of an $(LCS)_3$ manifold satisfying a Yamabe soliton :

We consider the 3-dimensional manifold $M = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3, z \neq 0\}$, where (x, y, z) are standard coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Let e_1, e_2, e_3 be a linearly independent system of vector fields on M given by,

$$e_1 = z \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad e_2 = z \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad e_3 = z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

Let g be the Riemannian metric defined by,

$$g(e_1, e_1) = g(e_2, e_2) = 1, \quad g(e_3, e_3) = -1,$$

$$g(e_1, e_2) = g(e_2, e_3) = g(e_3, e_1) = 0.$$

Let η be the 1-form defined by $\eta(Z) = g(Z, e_3)$, for any $Z \in \chi(M)$, where $\chi(M)$ is the set of all differentiable vector fields on M and ϕ be the $(1, 1)$ -tensor field defined by,

$$\phi e_1 = e_2, \quad \phi e_2 = e_1, \quad \phi e_3 = 0.$$

Then, using the linearity of ϕ and g , we have

$$\eta(e_3) = -1, \quad \phi^2(Z) = Z + \eta(Z)e_3$$

and

$$g(\phi Z, \phi W) = g(Z, W) + \eta(Z)\eta(W),$$

for any $Z, W \in \chi(M)$.

Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the Riemannian metric g . Then we have,

$$[e_1, e_2] = 0, \quad [e_2, e_3] = -e_2, \quad [e_1, e_3] = -e_1.$$

The connection ∇ of the metric g is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) &= Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y) \\ &\quad - g(X, [Y, Z]) - g(Y, [X, Z]) + g(Z, [X, Y]), \end{aligned}$$

which is known as Koszul's formula.

Using Koszul's formula, we can easily calculate,

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_3 = -e_1, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_3 = -e_2, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_3 = 0,$$

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_1 = -e_3, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_1 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_1 = 0,$$

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_2 = -e_3, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_2 = 0.$$

Hence in this case $(g, \xi, \eta, \phi, \alpha)$ is an $(LCS)_3$ -structure on M , where $\alpha = -1$.

Also as $\alpha = -1$ then $\rho = 0$ and consequently, $(M, g, \xi, \eta, \phi, \alpha)$ is an $(LCS)_n$ manifold of dimension 3.

Now from (19), $r = 6$.

Let us consider g defines a Yamabe soliton on M .

Then from (23) and by putting the value of α and r , we have,

$$(7 - \lambda)g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y) = 0.$$

Taking $X = Y = \xi$, the above equation gives $\lambda = 6$.

Hence $\lambda = r = 6$, which satisfies (27).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Atceken, S. K. Hui, *Slant and pseudo-slant submanifold of $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds*, Czechoslovak, Math. J., Vol. 63, Number 1, 177-190 (2013).
- [2] E. Barbosa, E. Ribeiro Jr., *On conformal solutions of the Yamabe flow*, Arch. Math., Vol. 101, 7989 (2013).
- [3] S. Chandra, S. K. Hui, A. A. Shaikh, *Second order parallel Tensors and Ricci solitons on (LCS) -manifolds*, Korean Math. Soc., Vol-30, 123-130 (2015).
- [4] R. Hamilton, *The Ricci flow on surfaces*, Contemp. Math., Vol. 71, 237-261 (1988).
- [5] S. K. Hui, M. Atceken, *Contact warped product semi-slant submanifolds of $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds*, Acta Univ. Saoientiae Math., Vol. 3, Number 2, 212-224 (2011).
- [6] Y. Ishii, *On conharmonic transformations*, Tensor N.S., Vol. 7, 73-80 (1957).
- [7] K. Matsumoto, *On Lorentzian almost paracontact manifolds*, Bull. Yamagata Univ. Nature. Sci., Vol. 12, 151-156 (1989).
- [8] I. Mihai, R. Rosca, *On Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds*, Classical Anal., 155-169 (1992).
- [9] D. Narain, S. Yadav, *On weak Symmetric of Lorentzian concircular structure manifolds*, CUBO A Mathematical Journal, Vol. 15, Number 2, 33-42 (2013).
- [10] C. Ozgur, *ϕ -conformally flat Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds*, Radovi Matematiki, Vol. 12, 99-106 (2003).
- [11] G. P. Pokhariyal, R. S. Mishra, *The curvature tensor and their relativistic significance*, Yokohama Math. J., Vol-18, 105-108 (1970).
- [12] A. A. Shaikh, *On Lorentzian almost paracontact manifolds with a structure of the concircular type*, Kyungpook Math. J., Vol. 43, 305-314 (2003).
- [13] A. A. Shaikh, K. K. Baishya, *On concircular structure spacetimes*, J. Math. Stat., Vol- 1, Number 2, 129-132 (2005).
- [14] A. A. Shaikh, K. K. Baishya, *On concircular spacetimes II*, Amer. J. Appl. Sci., Vol- 3, Number 4, 1790-1794 (2006).

- [15] A. A. Shaikh, T. Basu, S. Eyasmin, *On locally ϕ -symmetric $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 41, Number 8, 1161-1170 (2007).
- [16] A. Shaikh, T. Basu, S. Eyasmin, *On the existence of ϕ -recurrent $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds*, Extr. Math. , Vol. 23, Number 1, 71-83 (2008).
- [17] R. Sharma, *A 3-dimensional Sasakian metric as a Yamabe Soliton*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys., Vol. 9, Number 4, 1220003 (5 pages) (2012).
- [18] A. Singh, S. Kishor, *Some types of η -Ricci solitons on Lorentzian para-sasakian manifolds*, Facta Universitatis (NIS), Vol. 33, Number 2 , 217230(2018).
- [19] S. Yadav, D. L. Suthar, P. K. Dwivedi, *Some results on $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -manifolds*, IAMURE, International journal of Mathematics, Engineering and Technology , Vol. 6, 73-84 (2013).
- [20] S. Yadav, P. K. Dwivedi, *On $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds satisfying certain conditions on Dconformal curvature tensor*, Global Journal of Frontier science Research, Mathematics Decision Science , Vol. 14 (2012).
- [21] S. Yadav, P. K. Dwivedi, D. L. Suthar, *On $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -manifolds satisfying certain conditions on the concircular curvature tensor*, Thai Journal of Mathematics , Vol. 9, 597-603 (2011).
- [22] S. Yadav, D. L. Suthar, Mebrahtu Hailu, *On extended generalized ϕ -recurrent $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -manifolds*, Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat. (3s.), Vol. 37, Number 2, 9-21 (2019).
- [23] K. Yano, M. Kon *Structures on manifolds*, Series in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 3 (1984).