

Resents result about Loc (SP) hollow-fuzzy modules

Shaheed Jameel Al-Dulaimi

Department of Computer Science

Al-Maarif University College

Al-Anbar

Iraq

Abstract

In this work, introduce the concept of Loc (SP) hollow-fuzzy Modules & study the fundamental features of this kind. Also, add several new concepts with their proofs and investigate several conditions under which specific Lc (SP) hollow-fuzzy Modules and Lc-hollow-fuzzy Modules are equivalent.

Subject Classification: 05C38.

Keywords: Fuzzy modules, Semiprime fuzzy modules, Lc-hollow-fuzzy modules, Loc (SP) hollow-fuzzy modules.

1. Introduction

Semi prime F- subModules was introduced by [1]. In this work demonstrate (L(SP)- $\tilde{f}$) Modules to (Loc (SP)- $\tilde{f}$) F -M). Moreover, generalize many features of (Lc (SP)- $\tilde{f}$) FM. In this article write (f -Sub mention to Fuzzy subModules, $F \leq_{\text{Sub}}$ to mention to Fuzzy small subModules & $\tilde{f} - f$ M to mention that hollow fuzzy Modules & $\tilde{c}Y\tilde{c}$ to mention cyclic). This work consists of three section. The first section recall some definition and properties which we need later. The second section includes Lc (SP)- $\tilde{f}$ -F M and basic features about this concept, and Section three: relation between (Lc- hollow, Lc (SP)-)- $\tilde{f}$) F M. Also, we demonstrate the second side is not true by various examples.

Preliminaries:

Definition 1.1: [2], [3] Suppose X is an F-M for R-Modules M, & B F -Sub for the X, hence B be the $F \leq_{\text{Sub}}$ in X if every F -Sub $\tilde{A}$ for the X, s.t $B + \tilde{A} = X$. implies $\tilde{A} = f$.

E-mail: s.j.kharbeet@uoa.edu.iq

Definition 1.2: [4] A F - M X for the R -Modules M named fuzzy $\check{c}Y\check{c}$ Modules, if $\exists \chi_t \subseteq \chi$ s.t each $y_t \subseteq \chi$ write as $y_k = r\ell x_t$ for some fuzzy singleton $r\ell$ of R where $K, \ell, t \in (0, 1]$. Here write $\chi = (\chi_t)$ to denotes a fuzzy $\check{c}Y\check{c}$ Modules generate by X_t .

Definition 1.3: [5] A F -Sub A for the F - M X in the R -Modules M named semi-prime F -Sub if for each F -singleton $r_t \in R, x_s \subseteq X, (r^2)_t x_s \subseteq A$ implies $r_t x_s \subseteq A$ such that $A \neq X \in (0, 1]$.

Lemma 1.4: [4] If X is a $\check{c}Y\check{c}$ F - M , then X_t is a $\check{c}Y\check{c}$ Modules, $\forall t \in (0, 1]$.

Proposition 1.5: [6] Let A be the F -Sub in F - M X for the R -Modules M iff A_t be subModules for $X_t, t \in (0, 1]$.

Remark 16: [7] Each prime F -Sub is a semi-prime F -Sub, while the converse is not true.

2. Characterization of $Lc(S P) - \check{f} - F M$:

Hear recall the idea of $Lc(S P) - \check{f} - F M$ & study fundamental properties of this kind of Moduless. Also, we added several new concepts with their proofs.

Definition 2.1: The Modules X in R -Modules M named $L(S P) - \check{f} - F M$ if X has a unique Semiprime F -Sub contains all $F - \leq_{Sub}$ of X .

Proposition 2.2: [1] If A is F -Sub for Modules X for the R -Modules M so A be semi prime F -Sub of X iff A_t is Semiprime F -Sub of $\forall t \in (0, 1]$.

Proposition 2.3: Let χ be an $Lc(S P) - \check{f} - F M$ of R -Modules $M \Leftrightarrow X_t$ is $Lc(S P) - \check{f} - F M \forall t \in (0, 1]$.

Proof: Let X be $Lc(S P) - \check{f} - F M$, then every F -Sub in X has a unique Semiprime F -Sub contains all $F - \leq_{Sub}$ for the X . if $\check{A}$ is the F -Sub of X , then $\check{A}_t$ is sub in X_t by (1.7), but $\check{A}$ Semiprime f -Sub contains all $F - \leq_{Sub}$ for the χ . Therefore, is Semiprime f -Sub for X_t by Proposition (2.2). Thus, X_t is $Lc(S P) - \check{f} - f M \forall t \in (0, 1]$ the other side, Let χ_t be a $Lc(S P) - \check{f} - F M$. Suppose that B_t be a Semiprime f -Sub of X_t , so B is $f - \leq_{Sub}$ of X . Implies that X is $Lc(S P) - \check{f} - f M$.

Example 2.4: $M = Z_9, A = \langle 3 \rangle$, & $\chi: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as $X_{(x)} = 1 \forall x$ in M & we defined $A: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$

$$A(t) = \{ t \text{ where } x \in \langle 3 \rangle, \forall t \text{ in the } (0, 1] \}$$

0,5 otherwise

A unique semi-prime F -sub of $M = Z_9$, containing F -Sub of $M = Z_9$, contains F -Sub of $M = Z_9$, contains F -Sub of $M = Z_9$. That means there exist $t \in R$

for F- singletons r_t for the R & $x_s \subseteq X$, $r_t^2 x_s \subseteq A$ implies $r_t x_s \subseteq A$ where $A \neq \chi$. Hence χ is Lc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ - f M. Obviously, that is A is f ub of χ and $\bar{A}_t = N$ is sub of X, and hence $\bar{A}_t$ is semi prime sub of $X_t = M$. implies, every subModules of χ_t is Semiprime then A is S emiprime $\forall t \in (0, 1]$. Thus, A is a unique smi-prime F-sub of χ , again by (2.2). Impliesleton $3_{1/3}$ of R, and $2_{1/2} \subseteq X$, $3_{1/3}^2 \cdot 2_{1/2} = 18_{1/3} \subseteq A$, Since $A(18) = 1 \geq 1/3$ implies that $3_{1/3} \cdot 2_{1/2} = 6_{1/3} \subseteq A$ and since $A(6) = 1 \geq 1/3$, where $A \neq X$. Hence X is Loc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ -F M by proposition (2. 3). But $X = Z_6$ as Z-Modules is not is Lc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ -F M. Since Z_6 has two semi prime F-Sub and $\langle 3 \rangle \langle \bar{3} \rangle$. Let $M = Z_6$, $R = Z$, defined $X: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$

$$X_{(x)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{where } x \text{ in } M \text{ \& } A: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ as } A_{(x)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } x \in \langle \bar{2} \rangle \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \forall t \in (0, 1]$$

$$B(x) \text{ \& } B: M \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ as } = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \langle \bar{3} \rangle \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} B_{(x)}$$

Direct $A_t = \langle \bar{2} \rangle$ and $B_t = \langle 3 \rangle$, $X_t = M = Z_6 \forall t \in (0, 1]$, $\langle \bar{2} \rangle$ & $\langle \bar{3} \rangle$ are semi-prime F- Sub of Z_6 , but $X = Z_6$ as Z-Modules is not is Loc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ -F M since Z_6 has two semi-prime F- Sub. It is clear that A_t and B_t are semi-prime subModules of $X_t \forall t$ in $(0, 1]$ & A & B semi-prime subModules for X But $X = Z_6$ is not in Loc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ -F M, since Z_6 has two semi-prime subModules. Notes ; there exists; F- Singleton $4_{1/3}$ of R, $2_{1/2} \subseteq X$, then we have $4_{1/3}^2 \cdot 2_{1/2} = 32_{1/3} \subseteq A$ and $A(32) = 1 \geq 1/3$, implies $4_{1/3} \cdot 2_{1/2} = 8_{1/3} \subseteq A$ also and $A(8) = 1 \geq 1/3$ where $A \neq X$. Similarly, B_t , also B is not F-Sub of X.

Example 2.5: Each Lc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ -F M is not necessarily a simple F- M. For example, Z- Modules Z_{25} is Lc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ -F M but not a simple F- M. Let $R = Z$, $M = Z_{25}$. Then $A_t = N \forall t \in (0, 1]$, and $X_t = M$. Let $X: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$, as $X_{(x)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{where } x \text{ in } M \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \forall t$ in the $(0, 1]$.

Defined $A: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$, as, $A_{(x)} = X_{(x)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } x \in M \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \forall t$ in the $(0, 1]$ where $N = 5Z$. so X & A are F -M (F-Sub) $A_t = N$, $X_t = M$. So That's mean there exists $r_t \in R$ for fuzzy singletons r_t for the R and $x_s \subseteq X$, $= Z_{25}$, $r_t^2 x_s \subseteq A$ implies $r_t x_s \subseteq A$, where $A \neq X$. Hence X is Lc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ -F M., And it is trivial that $M = Z_{25}$ is not simple. Clear that X and A are F -M & A is F-Sub of χ . Therefore, $A_t = N$ Semiprime subModules of $X_t = M \forall t \in (0, 1]$ by [6] get the result. Note that: there exists: f- singleton $3_{1/5}$ of R, $5_{2/5} \subseteq X$, $3_{1/5}^2 \cdot 5_{2/5} = 45_{1/5} \subseteq A$ & $A(45) = 1 \geq 1/3$ implies $3_{1/5} \cdot 5_{2/5} = 15_{2/5} \subseteq A$ also and $A(15) = 1 \geq 1/3$. Hence, A is a unique semiprime F-sub of X by proportion (2.3). But $X_t = Z_{25}$ is not simple f- M. Therefore, X is not simple f- M.

Proposition 2.6: Let X_1 and X_2 are two F- M & let $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is a protective cover of X_2 . If X_2 is Lc (SP)- $\bar{f}$ -F M, then X_1 is Lc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ -F M.

Proof: Let X_2 be Lc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ -F M and $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is an epimorphism of $X_1/\ker f$, which is an isomorphism to X_2 , and since it is Lc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ -F M, and $\ker f$ is an F-subset of. Therefore, by [12, prop], this leads X_1 to be Loc (SP)- $\bar{f}$ -F M. The property that every Loc - $\bar{f}$ -F M is lifting F -M is not true in Lc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ -F M. Now, we can show that in the next example.

Example 2.7: The Z Modules Q is Lc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ -F M, but is not lifting F- M. Consider the Z-Modules Q (when Q set for rational number), this F -M is Lc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ -F M, but is not lifting F -M. Since Q has only Semiprime F- Sub is 0_1 , and it is not $F \leq_{\text{Sub}}$. To spur our example, as we say in section one, every $\bar{f}$ -F M is Semiprime - $\bar{f}$ -F M, but the converse is not true. if $X: Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$, define as $X_{(x)} = \{ 1 \text{ for } x \in Z \text{ } 0 \text{ otherwise, so } X_t = Z, X_0 = Q, \text{ hence } 0_1 \text{ is only prime F- Sub in } Q \text{ by Example (2.4) , that is, Semiprime F- Sub is } F \leq_{\text{Sub}} \text{ of } Q \forall t \in (0, 1]. \text{ because each prime F- Sub implies to semi-prime F- Sub by [8] whilst } Q \text{ doesn't } F \leq_{\text{Sub}}. \text{ Therefore, } Q \text{ is not lifting. If } X \text{ is finitely generating F -M. Then Lc (SP) -}\bar{f}\text{-F M could be lifting F -M, as follows.}$

Proposition 2.8: Every finitely generating Lc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ -F M is lifting F -M.

Proof: Suppose that X is Lc (SP)- $\bar{f}$ -F M. There exist unique f -Sub $\check{A}$ that contains all $F \leq_{\text{Sub}}$ of X. So $X = X \oplus \{0_1\}$ where $\{0_1\}$ is f -Sub of A, also $A \cap X = A$ is $f \leq_{\text{Sub}}$ [9]. But χ Lc(SP)- $\bar{f}$ -F M. Also $A \cap X = A$ be the $F \leq_{\text{Sub}}$ for the χ . Hence, X lifting f -M [8].

Remark 2.9: The opposite of prop (9.2) is not always correct, as well as in the next example. The Z-Modules $M = Z_3 \oplus Z_4$, $R = Z$. if $X: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$, define as $X(a, b) = 1, \forall (a, b) \in Z_3 \oplus Z_4$. Let $A = Z_3$, $B = Z_4$, and X where $\bar{1}$. Let $A: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$, Let $B: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$, define as

$A(a, b) = \{ 1 \text{ if } (a, b) \in \langle \bar{1}, \bar{2} \rangle, >, B(a, b) = \{ 1 \text{ if } (a, b) \in \langle \bar{1}, \bar{2} \rangle > 0 \text{ otherwise.}$

If A_t F- Sub of B_t , then A_t F- Sub of $X_t \forall t \in [0, 1]$ by [6]. But $X_t = Z = Z_3 \oplus Z_4$, so $X_t = M = Z_3 \oplus Z_4$, hence A_t subModules of X_t and A is an F-sub of X. so A is an F-sub for X & $X_t \cap A_t = A_t$ unique Semiprime F- Sub say A, Since X is lifting Modules by example (2.4) and X is lifting F- M, while let $C: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$, define as follows: $C(a, b) = \{ 1/2 \text{ if } a \in 3Z \text{ } 0 \text{ otherwise. Note that: There exists the F- singleton } 3_{1/3} \text{ of } R, \text{ and } 6_{1/3}(3, 0) \subseteq X, \text{ then } 3^2_{1/5} \cdot 3^2_{1/5} \cdot 6(3, 0)_{1/5} = (162, 0)_{1/5} \subseteq C \text{ because } (162, 0)_{1/5} = 1/2 \geq 1/35. \}$

And $3_{1/5} \cdot 6_{1/5}(3, 0)_{1/5} = (54, 0)_{1/5} \subseteq C$, because $C(54, 0) = 1/2 \geq 1/5$, Obviously, C is F- Sub of X and $C_t = 3Z \oplus (0)$ is a Semiprime subModules of X which not contain each $F \leq_{\text{Sub}}$. Hence, C be semiprime F- Sub for the X not containing all $F \leq_{\text{Sub}}$ of X.

3 . Relation between (Lc –hollow and Lc (SP)-hollow) F- Modules

If $f \check{Y} \check{Y} f$ - M then χ is Lc – $\bar{f}$ -f M and Lc (SP)- $\bar{f}$ - f M are equivalent.

Remark 3.1: Every Loc – $\bar{f}$ -F M is Lc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ -F M, but the converse is not correct in general. This appears as follows:

Examples 3.2: 1-Each Lc- $\bar{f}$ -F M is Lc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ -F M, but an opposite not always true. The Z-Modules Q is Lc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ -F M, if $X_{(X)} = \{ 1 \text{ where } X \in Z \setminus 0$ o.w direct that $X_t = Z$, $X_0 = Q$, hence 0_1 is only Semiprime F- Sub in Q, that is $F\text{-}\leq_{\text{Sub}}$ of Q $\forall t \in (0, 1]$. Whilst Q is not Lc - $\bar{f}$ -F M, where Q does not have a unique maximal F-Sub, containing all F- Sub .2-Each Lc - $\bar{f}$ -F M is Lc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ -F M, but the opposite is not always correct.

Proposition 3.3: Suppose that X be the f - M for the R-Modules M, X is Lc - $\bar{f}$ - F M $\Leftrightarrow$ X is Lc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ - F M & has a unique Semiprime F- Sub.

Proof: If X is Lc- $\bar{f}$ - F M, therefore χ is Lc(SP)- $\bar{f}$ - f M immediately by remark (3.1). Hence, X has a unique Semiprime F- Sub; also by definition (2. 1), χ has a unique Semiprime F- Sub.

Conversely 3.4: [10] Suppose that X is Lc(SP) - hollow and has a unique Semiprime F- Sub say A, that is enough to prove that X is $\check{Y} \check{Y} f$ - M, let $v \in X$ with $v \notin A$ where $X_t = X$, $A_t = A$, $\forall t \in (0, 1]$, v_t is F- Sub of χ . Implies that Rv is F - Sub for the X. So X be the Loc (SP) - $\bar{f}$ - F M, thus A is unique Semiprime F- Sub of X, hence $X = (v_t) \forall t \in (0, 1]$. Consequently, χ is $\check{Y} \check{Y} f$ - M. So through (3.2) X is Lc - $\bar{f}$ - f M.

Conclusion

To sum up, we discussed and examined the concept Resents result about Loc (SP) hollow - Fuzzy Modules and (Lc –hollow) Fuzzy Modules. Where A module X of R –module M is said L(S P) - $\bar{f}$ -F M if X has unique Semiprime F-Sub contains all F- Sub of X. Also moreover, we generalized numerous properties about Loc (SP) hollow - Fuzzy Modules, and got several results and fundamental properties about Loc (SP) hollow -Fuzzy Modules, which are argued. Includes the relationship between properties about Loc (SP) hollow - Fuzzy Modules and (Lc –hollow)-Fuzzy Modules.

References

- [1] I. M. A. Hadi and M. A. Hamill, "Cancellation and weakly cancellation fuzzy modules," *J. Basrah Res. Sci.*, vol. 37, no. 4D, pp. 132–141 (2011).

- [2] S. Rahman and H. K. Saikia, "Fuzzy small submodule and Jacobson L-radical," *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, vol. 2011 (2011), doi: 10.1155/2011/980320.
- [3] J. Mohsin, F. H. Saleh, and A. M. A. Al-Muqarm, "Real-time surveillance system to detect and analyze suspects of COVID-19 patients using IoT under edge computing techniques (RS-SYS)," in *Proc. 2020 2nd Al-Noor Int. Conf. Sci. Technol. (NICST)*, IEEE, pp. 68–73 (2020).
- [4] W. J. Liu, "Fuzzy invariant subgroups and fuzzy ideals," *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 133–139 (1982).
- [5] R. Mahjoob and S. Qiami, "On weakly prime fuzzy submodules," in *Proc. 46th Annu. Iranian Math. Conf.*, pp. 263–266 (2015).
- [6] S. J. Kharbeet and H. Y. Khalf, "Recent results about $\text{loc}(P)$ -hollow fuzzy modules and related concepts," *AIP Conf. Proc.*, vol. 2834, no. 1, Art. no. 020021 (2023).
- [7] M. Mashinchi and M. M. Zahedi, "On L-fuzzy primary submodules," *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 231–236 (1992).
- [8] L. Martinez, "Fuzzy modules over fuzzy rings in connection with fuzzy ideals of fuzzy rings," *J. Fuzzy Math.*, vol. 4, pp. 843–858 (1996).
- [9] R. Kumar, S. K. Bhambri, and P. Kumar, "Fuzzy submodules: Some analogues and deviations," *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 125–130 (1995).
- [10] M. M. Zahedi, "On L-fuzzy residual quotient modules and P-primary submodules," *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 333–344 (1992).

Received May, 2025