

On compactly packed acts over monoid

Shireen O. Dakheel *

Zaienb Anwer Ahmed †

Muna Jasim Mohammed Ali §

Department of Mathematics

College of Science for Women

University of Baghdad

Baghdad

Iraq

Abstract

We claim that a proper subact $\tilde{N}$ have been compactly packed (c.P) in generalization idea of c.P modules to S-Acts. whether for all family of prime subact $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \lambda}$ for some $\beta \in \lambda$ $P_\beta \supseteq \tilde{N}$ when $\cup_{(\alpha \in \lambda)} P_\alpha \supseteq N$. We refer to an S-Act $\tilde{M}$ as c.P. if every subact is compactly packed. We study various properties of c.P S-Acts.

Subject Classification: Primary 93A30, Secondary 49K15.

Keywords: Prime subact, Pure subact, Multiplication S-act compactly packed S-act.

1. Introduction

Put $\tilde{M}$ is a unitary module defined on commutative ring with 1. $\tilde{I}$ is said to be c.P ideal if to every family $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \lambda}$ of prime ideals with $I \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \lambda} P_\alpha$, there is $\beta \in \lambda$, such that $I \subseteq P_\beta$. In [1] Reis and Viswanathan called rings is c.P in which every ideal is c.P. The generalization of S-Acts .have been introduced. It is well known that a subact $\tilde{N}$ which is proper is designate prime, whether $rx \in \tilde{N}$, $r \in R$ also $x \in \tilde{M}$ behold either $r \in [N: \tilde{M}]$ or $x \in \tilde{N}$, [2]. Thus we say that a subact which is proper $\tilde{N}$ is .P, whether for all family $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \lambda}$ of prime subact with $\tilde{N} \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \lambda} P_\alpha$, $\tilde{N} \subseteq P_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \lambda$ [3].

* E-mail: shireeno_math@cs.w.uobaghdad.edu.iq (Corresponding Author)

† E-mail: zainabaa_math@cs.w.uobaghdad.edu.iq

§ E-mail: munajm_math@cs.w.uobaghdad.edu.iq

2. Compactly Packed S-Acts.

Definition 1.1: $\dot{N}$ is a proper (appropriate) subact which is c.P, whether N have been included in the union of a family of prime subact, thereafter $\dot{N}$ is contained in one of the members of the family. And $\dot{M}$ is c.P S-Act whether all proper subact is c.P.

Put $\dot{N}$ is a subact, whether found prime subact that include $\dot{N}$, therefore the intersection of all prime subact including $\dot{N}$ have been said the $\dot{M}$ -radical also stand for $\text{rad}\dot{N}$. Whether not exist prime subact including $\dot{N}$, thus $\text{rad}\dot{N} = \dot{M}$. A subact $\dot{N}$ have been said a radical subact whether $\text{rad}\dot{N} = \dot{N}$.

Theorem 1.2: Put $\dot{M}$ is an S-Act. The next statements are valent:

- i. $\dot{M}$ is c.P.
- ii. For all proper (appropriate) subact $\dot{N}$, there is $a \in \dot{N} \ni \text{rad}(\dot{N}) = \text{rad}(\dot{S}_a)$.
- iii. All proper (appropriate) subact $\dot{N}$, whether a family of subact $\{\dot{N}_\alpha\}_{(\alpha \in \lambda)}$ also $\bigcup_{(\alpha \in \lambda)} \dot{N}_\alpha \supseteq \dot{N}$, thus $\text{rad}(\dot{N}_\beta) \supseteq \dot{N}$ for some $\beta \in \lambda$.
- iv. For all proper (appropriate) subact $\dot{N}$, whether $\{\dot{N}_\alpha\}_{(\alpha \in \lambda)}$ is a family of radical subact and $\bigcup_{(\alpha \in \lambda)} \dot{N}_\alpha \supseteq \dot{N}$ thus for some $\beta \in \lambda$, $\dot{N}_\beta \supseteq \dot{N}$.

Proof: (i $\rightarrow$ ii) Put $\dot{N}$ is a proper (appropriate) subact. Presume $\text{rad}\dot{N} \not\subseteq \text{rad}(\dot{S}_a)$ for all $a \in \dot{N}$, dwell a prime subact P_a enclud $\dot{S}_a$ also $\dot{N} \not\subseteq P_a$. However $\bigcup_{(a \in \dot{N})} P_a \supseteq \bigcup_{(a \in \dot{N})} \dot{S}_a = \dot{N}$, This means $\dot{M}$ which is not compactly packed which contradicts (i).

(ii $\rightarrow$ i) Put $\dot{N}$ is a proper (appropriate) subact also put a family of subact $\{\dot{N}_\alpha\}_{(\alpha \in \lambda)} \ni \bigcup_{(\alpha \in \lambda)} \dot{N}_\alpha \supseteq \dot{N}$. By (ii) $\exists a \in \dot{N} \ni \text{rad}\dot{N} = \text{rad}(\dot{S}_a)$. Therefore $a \in \bigcup_{(\alpha \in \lambda)} \dot{N}_\alpha$ also thus for some $\beta \in \lambda$, $a \in \dot{N}_\beta$, so $\dot{N}_\beta \supseteq \dot{S}_a$, also $\text{rad}(\dot{N}_\beta) \supseteq \text{rad}(\dot{S}_a) = \text{rad}(\dot{N}) \supseteq \dot{N}$.

(iii $\rightarrow$ iv) & (iv $\rightarrow$ i) are evident.

An S-Act M have been said a multiplication, whether all subact N has the form $IM = N$ for an ideal I of S . In fact $N = [N:M]M$. [4]. Recall that if $\dot{M}$ is a multiplication S-Act and $\dot{N}$ is a subact with $\bigcup_{(\alpha \in \lambda)} \dot{N}_\alpha \supseteq \dot{N}$ wherever $\dot{N}_\alpha$ is a prime subact and λ is a finite set, thus $\dot{N}_\beta \supseteq \dot{N}$ for some $\beta \in \lambda$ [5]. Whether $\dot{M}$ is a multiplication containing finite number of prime subact then $\dot{M}$ is compactly packed.

The example that follows provides an S-Act that isn't c.P.

Example 1.3: Put $\check{E}$ is an infinite set. Let S be commutative Boolean monoid $(P(\check{E}), \Delta, \cap)$, where $P(\check{E})$ is power set, also Δ is the usual operation. Let $\check{U} = \{\check{A} : \check{A} \text{ is finite set of } \check{E}\}$. Since S is commutative Boolean monoid, then for each $\check{A} \in \check{U}$, $\langle \check{A} \rangle$ is radical ideal [6], then $\langle \check{A} \rangle = \bigcap \{\check{\beta} : \check{\beta} \text{ is prime ideal containing } \check{A}\}$. Because $\check{U}$ is not principal ideal then $\check{U} \not\subseteq \langle \check{A} \rangle$, that is, there exists a prime $\check{\beta}_{\check{A}}$ containing $\check{A}$ and $\check{U} \not\subseteq \check{\beta}_{\check{A}}$, but $\check{U} = \bigcup_{(\check{A} \in \check{U})} \langle \check{A} \rangle \subseteq \bigcup_{(\check{A} \in \check{U})} \check{\beta}_{\check{A}}$, then $\check{U}$ is not compactly packed and hence S is not compactly packed S-Act.

Put $\dot{M}$ is an S-Act. Have been said a subact $\dot{N}$ pure whether for all ideal $\dot{A}$, $\dot{A}\dot{N} = \dot{A}\dot{M} \cap \dot{N}$ [7].

Proposition 1.4: Put $\dot{M}$ is an S-Act and every subact is pure, then $\dot{M}$ is c.P iff each proper subact $\dot{N}$ of $\dot{M}$ is cyclic.

Proof: The sufficiency is clear. Let $\dot{N}$ is a proper (appropriate) subact. Because $\dot{M}$ is compactly packed thus by theorem 1.2, $\exists a \in \dot{N}, \exists \text{rad } \dot{N} = \text{rad } Ra$. But every subact is pure, then by [8], $\dot{N} = Ra$.

Theorem 1.5: $\dot{M}$ holds the ACC on radical subact. If $\dot{M}$ is c.P S-Act at least it has one maximal subact.

Proof: Put $\dots \supseteq \dot{N}_2 \supseteq \dot{N}_1$ is an ascending chain of radical subact and put $\bigcup_i \dot{N}_i = L$. Whether $\dot{M} = L$ also a maximal subact $\dot{A}$, thus $\bigcup_i \dot{N}_i \supseteq \dot{A}$. Because $\dot{M}$ is c.P therefore $\dot{N}_j \supseteq \dot{A}$ for some j . Therefore $\dot{A} \subseteq \dot{N}_j$ and thus $\bigcup_i \dot{N}_i \subseteq \dot{N}_j$, that is $\dot{N}_j \supseteq \dot{M}$ this is out of the question. Therefore L is a proper (appropriate) subact. Thus $\dot{N}_j \supseteq L$ for some j and therefore $\dots = \dot{N}_{j+2} = \dot{N}_{j+1} = \dot{N}_j \supseteq \dots \supseteq \dot{N}_2 \supseteq \dot{N}_1$, thus the ACC is hold for radical subact.

Because each finitely generated (f.g) S-Act and all multiplication S-Act owns a proper (appropriate) maximal subact, [8, 9] so van:-

Corollary 1.6: $\dot{M}$ holds the ACC on radical subact, if $\dot{M}$ is f.g or multiplication c.P S-Act.

A prime subact $\dot{p}$ have been said a minimal prime subact of a subact $\dot{N}$ if $\dot{p} \supseteq \dot{N}$ also there exist no smaller prime subact with this property. Remember that if $\dot{M}$ is an S-Act that holds ACC on radical subact therefore the radical of any proper (appropriate) subact $\dot{N}$ is the (cross) intersection of a finite number of minimal prime subact of $\dot{N}$ [9].

We require the following lemma in order to derive another corollary:

Lemma 1.7: If $\dot{M}$ is a proper (appropriate) multiplication S-Act that holds ACC on radical subact, then for all proper (appropriate) subact $\dot{N}$ there exists a finite number of minimal prime subact of $\dot{N}$.

Proof: Put $\dot{N}$ is a proper (appropriate) subact, then $\text{rad } \dot{N}$ is the intersection of a finite number of minimal prime subact say $\dot{p}_1, \dot{p}_2, \dots, \dot{p}_n$. We shall prove that these $\dot{p}_i$'s are the only minimal prime subact of $\dot{N}$. Suppose $\dot{U}$ is a minimal prime subact. It is clear that $\text{rad } \dot{N} \subseteq \dot{U}$ that is $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \dot{p}_i \subseteq \dot{U}$ and hence $\bigcap_{i=1}^n [\dot{p}_i : \dot{M}] = [\bigcap_{i=1}^n \dot{p}_i : \dot{M}] \subseteq [\dot{U} : \dot{M}]$, and $[\dot{U} : \dot{M}]$ is prime ideal [8] then there exists $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $[\dot{p}_j : \dot{M}] \subseteq [\dot{U} : \dot{M}]$, but $\dot{M}$ is a multiplication S-Act thus $\dot{p}_j \subseteq \dot{U}$ because $\dot{U}$ is minimal prime subact.

Corollary 1.8: If $\dot{M}$ is a multiplication c.P, thus for every proper (appropriate) subact $\dot{N}$ there exist a finite number of minimal prime subact of $\dot{N}$.

Put prime β in $\dot{M}$. The height of β equals n (denoted by $\text{ht}(\beta)=n$) if there exists a chain of distinct prime subact of β of $\dot{M}$ of the form $\beta=\beta_0 \supset \beta_1 \supset \dots \supset \beta_n$ and it is the longest chain such that $\beta=\beta_0$. The Krull dimension of $\dot{M}$, denoted by $\dim \dot{M}$, is defined as: $\dim \dot{M} = \{\text{ht}(\bar{U}) : \bar{U} \text{ is prime subact of } \dot{M}\}$ [10]. Following [10, 11, 12, 13, 14], the Prime Avoidance Theorem for modules states as follows: Put U is module, $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n$ $K_i \leq U, i = 1, \dots, n$ also $K \leq (\text{submodule}) U, \exists K \subseteq K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \dots \cup K_n$. Presume that at most two of the K_i 's are not prime, and $(K_j : M) \not\subseteq \sqrt{K_i : M}$ (whenever $j \neq i$); Therefore $K \subseteq K_i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We examine how this theory can be extended to the Primal Avoidance theory for acts over monoid.

Theorem 1.9: *Let $\dot{M}$ be a multiplication S-Act. If $\dim \dot{M}=0$ then $\dot{M}$ is c.P iff $\dot{M}$ has finite number of prime subact.*

Proof: Suppose that $\dot{M}$ is c.P. If $\{0\}$ is prime subact then the necessity is trivial. If $\{0\}$ is not prime, then every prime subact is minimal in $\dot{M}$ and by (corollary 1.8) the number of prime subact is finite. The sufficiency hold from the Prime Avoidance Theorem.

A partial converse of theorem 1.5 can be found in the subsequent theorem.

Theorem 1.10: *Put $\dot{M}$ is an S-act and every f.g subact is cyclic. Whether $\dot{M}$ holds ACC on radical subact, then $\dot{M}$ is c.P.*

Proof: Put $\dot{N}$ is proper (appropriate) subact. By [8], there exists a f.g subact $\bar{U}$ of $\dot{M} \ni \text{rad } \dot{N} = \text{rad } \bar{U}$ and hence $\bar{U}$ is cyclic submodule. And by theorem 1.2 $\dot{M}$ is c.P.

Definition 1.11: An S-act $\dot{M}$ is called semilocal, if $\dot{M}/\text{Rad}(\dot{M})$ is semisimple.

Proposition 1.12: Put $\dot{M}$ is a multiplication semilocal S-Act with $\dim \dot{M} \leq 1$. Whether $\dot{M}$ holds ACC on radical subact, then $\dot{M}$ is c.P.

Proof: We have two cases, first if $\{0\}$ is prime subact then every non-zero prime subact of $\dot{M}$ is maximal, and hence the number of prime subact of $\dot{M}$ is finite. On the other hand if $\{0\}$ is not prime, let $\dot{A}$ be the set of all prime subact of $\dot{M}$ and let $\dot{E} = \{\bar{U} \in \dot{A} : \bar{U} \text{ is maximal subact of } \dot{M}\}$, $\dot{K} = \{\beta \in \dot{A} : \beta \notin \dot{E}\}$. By lemma 1.6 we have $\dot{K}$ is finite set and hence $\dot{A}$ is finite. In any case, we get $\dot{M}$ is c.P from the Prime Avoidance Theorem

Definition 1.13: An S-Act $\dot{M}$ has held the Cyclic Subact Condition (CSC) whether for all $x \in \dot{M}$ and all prime subact $\dot{K}$ minimal over Sx , therefore $\text{ht}(\dot{K}) \leq 1$.

Proposition 1.14: Suppose that $\dot{M}$ is a c.P S-Act. If the CST is held for $\dot{M}$, then $\dim \dot{M} \leq 1$.

Proof: Put $\bar{K}$ be a maximal subact of $\bar{M}$, then by (1.2) there exists $a \in \bar{M} \ni \bar{K} = \text{rad } Sa$. Thus $\bar{K}$ is a minimal prime subact over Sa . By CSC, $\text{ht}(K) \leq 1$, therefore $\dim M \leq 1$.

Proposition 1.15: Put $f: M \rightarrow M'$ is an epi.. Whether M is c.P then so is M' . The converse is true when M is f.g or (multiplication) S-Act and $\ker f \subseteq \text{rad}\{0\}$.

Proof: It is clear.

References

- [1] O. Khudhayer and K. Haibat, "Restrict Nearly Primary Submodules," *Wasit J. Pure Sci.*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 70–80 (2022).
- [2] M. M. AL-Ashker, A. E. Ashour, and A. A. Abu Mallouh, "On Primal Compactly Packed Modules," *Palestine J. Math.*, vol. 3(Spec 1), pp. 481–488 (2014).
- [3] M. A. Naghipoor, "On prime and radical subacts of acts over semi-groups," *Commun. Algebra*, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 1779–1799 (2023).
- [4] M. S. Abbas and S. Adnan, "The product of Gamma Subacts of Multiplication Gamma Act," *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.*, vol. 1804, no. 1, pp. 012105, 1–7 (2021).
- [5] M. S. Abbas and S. Adnan, Multiplication Gamma Act, M.Sc. thesis, Dept. Math., Coll. Sci., Al-Mustansaryi Univ., Baghdad, Iraq (2020).
- [6] A. E. A., Prime and Semiprime Submodules, M.Sc. thesis, Coll. Sci., Univ. of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq (1996).
- [7] S. A. K. Muheyaddin, New Types of Regular Fuzzy Modules and Pure Fuzzy Submodules, Ph.D. thesis (2017).
- [8] M. Kilp, U. Knauer, and A. Mikhalev, Monoids, Acts and Categories, Berlin, Germany: Walter de Gruyter (2000).
- [9] A. A. Estaji and M. Shabani, "A Note on Multiplication S-Act," *Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS)*, pp. 1–12 (Jan. 2010).
- [10] Z. Al-Ani, Compactly Packed Modules and Coprimely Packed Modules, M.Sc. thesis, Coll. Sci., Univ. of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq (1998).

- [11] M. M. AL-Ashker, A. E. Ashour, and A. A. Abu Mallouh, "On Primal Compactly Packed Modules," *Palestine J. Math.*, vol. 3(Spec 1), pp. 481–488 (2014).
- [12] S. Sharma and A. J. Obaid, "Mathematical modelling, analysis and design of fuzzy logic controller for the control of ventilation systems using MATLAB fuzzy logic toolbox," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 843–849 (2020), doi: 10.1080/09720502.2020.1727611.
- [13] R. Das, J. Mishra, S. Mishra, and P. K. Pattnaik, "Design of mathematical model for the prediction of rainfall," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 587–613 (2022), doi: 10.1080/09720502.2021.2016853.
- [14] N. Akhtar, M. M. S. Beg, and M. M. Hussain, "Sparse two level topic model for extraction of general summary words," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 303–310 (2020), doi: 10.1080/09720502.2020.1721925.

Received July, 2024