

## New results for T-prime fuzzy modules

Zainab Mohsen Khalaf \*

Hatam Yahya Khalaf †

*Department for Mathematics*

*College of Education for Pure Sciences (Ibn Al-Haitham)*

*University of Baghdad*

*Baghdad*

*Iraq*

---

### Abstract

In this paper, A topic for *T-fuzzy prime submodule* was studied, which is one for the types for partial fuzzy models. This work was divided into three sections, each for which has a study that begins by giving an introduction to the topic & then giving the basic definitions & then explaining the details for the topic for *T-fuzzy prime submodule* supported by valuable & important examples & observations from What is important is to consolidate that concept. The final section deals with finding the relationship between this concept *T-fuzzy prime submodule* with some other concepts such as the concept multiplication fuzzy module & also the concept for maximal fuzzy ideal. This section has also been enhanced with many non-examples, observations, & properties that prove that relationship. Finally, many examples & observations were given that demonstrate & serve to demonstrate & prove this concept. This is considered a reinforcement & confirmation for those properties & observations that were addressed in the body for this research, citing many sources that are related to this concept for ours.

---

**Subject Classification:** 03B52, 03E72.

**Keywords:** Prime F-module, T-prime F-module, Primary F-module, Faithful F-module, Multiplication F-module.

### 1. Introduction

In [21] Zadah we introduce the concept fuzzy set. Saad Salim & other authors in [1], we introduce the concept for F- prime *ule( modules)*. Here we will study the concept for T-F-prime *modules* [2]. This concept was explained & its relationship with other corresponding concepts was explained.

---

\* E-mail: zainab.mohsen2203m@ihcoedu.uobaghdad.edu.iq (Corresponding Author)

† E-mail: hatim.y.k@ihcoedu.uobaghdad.edu.iq

We reinforce our work by providing many examples & characteristics, the most important for which are:  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime *module* iff  $\mathcal{X}_t$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -prime *submodule* [3, 4]. This feature helped us a lot in the process for linking concepts & properties & moving from the normal state to the ambiguous state. As well as other properties.  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime *module* iff  $\mathcal{X}_t$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -prime *submodule* [7]. As well as other important results that were mentioned in this research :Every F-prime *module* is  $\mathbb{T}$ - prime *module*,  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime *module* [8], then  $\text{ann}_R \mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime *ideal* for  $R$  [9] ,& in section three we study the the relationships between  $\mathbb{T}$ - F prime *modules* with another F-*modules*. Giving some examples & notes that illustrate this relationship .This important for these properties is: Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be multiplication F-*module* then  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime *module* iff  $\text{ann}_R \mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F prime *ideal* for  $R$ . As well as many found in the search. The aim for this research is to study the class for fuzzy modules for the type (T) over a wider area by applying it to other types for fuzzy modules & finding new results that have not been discussed previously.

## 2. T-prime fuzzy modules

$\mathbb{T}$ -prime module is defined as a module over ring if (0)  $\mathbb{T}$ -prime submodule where  $\mathbb{T}$ -prime submodule is satisfied the following ,if  $r \in R, x \in M, rx \in N$  implies  $x \in N$  or  $r^2 \in [N:M]$ .

We present the notion for  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime *module*, which is a generalization for F-prime *module*. Specifically, a F- *module*  $\mathcal{X}$  is considered  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime *module* iff  $(0_t)$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime *submodule* for  $\mathcal{X}$ . For example, if  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$ - $\mathcal{X}$ -prime *module*, then for any non-zero proper F- *module*  $\mathcal{K}$  for  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $\text{ann}_R \mathcal{X}$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-*prime ideal*.

**Definition 2.1:** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a F-*module* for an  $R$ - *module*  $\mathcal{M}$ .  $\mathcal{X}$  is said to  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime *module* if  $(O_t)$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime *module* for  $\mathcal{X}$  that is  $\{r_\ell: r_\ell^2 x_t^2 \subseteq O_t \text{ for } x_t^2 \subseteq \mathcal{X} \text{ \& } r_\ell \text{ be a F-singleton for } R.$  equivalence: A ring  $R$  is said to be  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ring if  $(O_t)$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime *ideal* for  $R$ .

**Proposition 2.2:** [2,12,15]  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime *module* iff  $\mathcal{X}_t$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -prime *submodule*.

**Proof:** Define:  $\mathcal{X}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0,1]$  as follows  $\mathcal{X}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in M \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  & define  $(0_1)$   
 $(\mathcal{Y}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{Y} = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

It is clear that  $(0_1)_t \leq 0_t$  &  $(0_1)_t = 0_t$  &  $\mathcal{X}_t = \mathcal{M}$ , but  $(0_1)$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-*submodule*

$\Leftarrow (0_1)_t$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -*submodule* for  $\mathcal{X}_t$ . That is  $(0_t)$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -prime *submodule* for  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Examples & Remarks (2.3):**

1. Every *F-prime module* is  $\mathbb{T}$ -*F-prime module*.

**Proof:** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be *prime*, so  $(0_t)$  is the *F-prime submodule*. Thus by (Remark & Examples (2.3) (1) [2])  $(0_t)$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -*F-prime submodule*. Hence  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -*F-prime module*. by the proposition (2.1).

2. The converse of (1) is not true. For example:

Let  $\mathcal{N}=\mathbb{Z}_4, \mathcal{M}=\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{A}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0,1]$  as follows

$$\mathcal{A}(x)=\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{N} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \forall t \in (0,1], \quad \text{define } \mathcal{B}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0,1] \text{ as follows}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(x)=\begin{cases} t & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \text{ clearly } \mathcal{A} \text{ a } \mathbb{F}\text{-module \& } \mathcal{A}_t = \mathcal{N}$$

$\mathcal{A}_t = \mathbb{Z}_4$  a  $\mathbb{T}$ -*prime module* of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . [2,11,13,17].  $\mathcal{A}_t = \mathcal{N}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -*prime module*, so  $\mathcal{A}$   $\mathbb{T}$ -*F-prime module* by (2.2) &  $\mathbb{Z}$   $\mathbb{T}$ -*prime module* so  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not *prime module*. Thus  $\mathcal{A}_t = \mathcal{N}$  not *prime module* &  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  not *F-prime module*. Since  $(0_t)$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -*F-prime module*. therefore  $\mathcal{X}$  is not *F-prime module* [8,14,16,18].

3. Every simple *F-module* is  $\mathbb{T}$ -*F-prime module*.

**Proof:** let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a, *F-module*,  $\mathcal{X}$  is called a simple *F-module* if  $\mathcal{X}$  has no proper *F-submodule* [9, 22-23]. Since every simple is *F-prime module* [6,19,20]. So by (1) we set the result.

4. The converse for (3) is not true for example  $\mathbb{Z}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -*module* is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -*F-prime module*, which is not simple.
5. Every  $\mathbb{T}$ -*F-prime module* is a *F-primary module*, where a *F-module*  $\mathcal{X}$  is *primary* if  $(0_t)$  is the *F-primary submodule* for  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -*F-prime module*, since  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -*F-prime submodule*. & by (Remarks a example (2.1), (4)), so  $(0_t)$  is a *F-primary submodule*. Hence  $\mathcal{X}$  is a *F-primary module*.

6. The converse of (5) is false for example;

Let  $\mathcal{X}=\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z} \& \mathcal{W}=\mathbb{Z}_8$ , Define  $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0,1]$  As follow:

$$\mathcal{A}(x)=\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{W} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\& \mathcal{B}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0,1] \text{ as follows } \mathcal{B}(x)=\begin{cases} t & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{W} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \forall t \in (0,1] \text{ clearly } \mathcal{A} \&$$

$\mathcal{B}$  *F-module* &  $\mathcal{A}_t = \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}_t = \mathcal{W}$ . But  $\mathcal{W}$  is *primary* since  $2 \cdot 4 \subseteq \mathcal{W} \& 4=2^2 \not\subseteq [\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}] = \mathbb{Z}_8$ , so  $\mathcal{W}$  not  $\mathbb{T}$ -*primary module*. Thus  $\mathcal{A}_t$  is not  $\mathbb{T}$ -*prime module*. clearly  $\mathcal{A} \& \mathcal{B}$  *F-module*. Hence  $\mathcal{B}$  not  $\mathbb{T}$ -*F-prime module*. Because  $(0_t)$  is not a  $\mathbb{T}$ -*F-prime submodule* because  $2 \cdot 4=0 \subseteq (0_t)$ , but  $2^2=4 \not\subseteq [(0_t):_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_8] = 8\mathbb{Z}$ .

7. The  $\mathcal{Z}$ -module  $\mathcal{Z}_6$  is not  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module (which does not be primary [2,4] since  $(0_t)$  is not a  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime submodule because  $3 \cdot 2 = 6 \subseteq (6)$ , but  $2 \subseteq (0_t)$  &  $3^2 = 9 \subseteq [(0_t) :_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Z}_6] = 6\mathcal{Z}$ .

**Proposition 2.4:** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a F-module. So we have an equivalent statements:-

- 1)  $\mathcal{X}$   $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module.
- 2)  $a_t^2 \subseteq \text{ann}_R \mathcal{X}$ ,  $a_t$  be F-singleton for R, iff  $a_t^2 \subseteq \text{ann } c_\ell$ , for each  $c \neq 0_t$ ,  $c_\ell \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ .  $a_t^2 \subseteq \text{ann}_R \mathcal{X}$ ,  $a_t$  is F-singleton for R, iff  $a_t^2 \subseteq \text{ann } \mathcal{K}$ , for each non-zero F-submodule  $\mathcal{K}$  for  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Proposition 2.5:**  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module, then  $\text{ann}_R \mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ideal for R.

The converse for Proposition (2.5) is false as the following example shows.

**Example 2.6:** Consider the  $\mathcal{Z}$ -module  $\mathcal{Z}_8$  is not a  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module since  $2 \cdot 4 = 0 \subseteq (0_t)$ ,  $4 \not\subseteq (0_t)$  but  $2^2 = 4 \subseteq \text{ann } \mathcal{Z}_8 = 8\mathcal{Z}$ . On the other h& for every non zero submodule  $\mathcal{K}$  for  $\mathcal{Z}_8$ ,  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{K}$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ideal.

**Corollary 2.7:** Assume  $\mathcal{X}$  a  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module, then  $\text{ann}_R \mathcal{K}$  is the  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ideal for R. for any non-zero submodule  $\mathcal{K}$  for  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Proof:** Assume  $a_\ell b_s \subseteq \text{ann}_R \mathcal{K}$ , where  $a_\ell, b_s$  are be a F-singleton for R, Suppose  $b_s^2 \subseteq \text{ann}_R \mathcal{K}$ , hence  $b_s^2 x_t \neq 0_t$  for some  $x_t \in \mathcal{K}$ , &  $a_\ell b_s \subseteq \text{ann}_R \mathcal{X}$  implies  $a_\ell b_s x_t = 0_t$ . On the other h&,  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module, so  $(0_t)$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime submodule for  $\mathcal{X}$  & therefore  $a_\ell^2 \subseteq \text{ann}_R \mathcal{X}$  & by proposition (2.4) then  $a_\ell^2 \subseteq \text{ann}_R \mathcal{K}$ . thus  $\text{ann}_R \mathcal{K}$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ideal in R.

In the following example we show that the converse for (2.2.7). is not true.

**Example 2.8:** We will give the converse for corollary (2.2.7) which is false, for example: let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the  $\mathcal{Z}$ -module  $\mathcal{Z} \oplus \mathcal{Z}_9$ , define  $\mathcal{X}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0,1]$  as follow

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{Z} \oplus \mathcal{Z}_9 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $(0,0)_t$  is not  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime submodule. but  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{X} = (0_t)$  is F-prime ideal. Thus it is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ideal in  $\mathcal{Z}$ , however. by (remark & example (1) [2]),  $\mathcal{Z} \oplus \mathcal{Z}_9$  is not primary, hence is not a  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module.

### 3. The relationships between $\mathcal{T}$ -F-prime module with another F-modules

**Proposition 3.1:** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be multiplication F-module then  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module iff  $\text{ann}_R \mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ideal for R.

**Proof:** We get the outcome from corollary (2.1.) & definition (2.1).

**Corollary 3.2:** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  will be cyclic F-module & then  $\text{ann}_R \mathcal{X}$  a  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ideal iff  $\mathcal{X}$  be  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module.

**Proof:** Since  $\mathcal{X}$  is a cyclic F-module, then  $\mathcal{X} = (x_t)$  for some  $x_t \in \mathcal{X}$ .

Such that  $r_t m_l \subseteq 0_t$  let  $r_t$  be a F-singleton for R, let  $m_l \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ ,  $m_l \not\subseteq 0_t$ ,

Since  $m_l \subseteq \mathcal{X} = (x_t)$ , then  $m_l = c_i x_t \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  for some  $c_i$  be a F-singleton for R. then  $r_t c_i m_l \subseteq 0_t$  which implies that  $r_t c_i \subseteq \text{ann } \mathcal{X}$ , but  $\text{ann } \mathcal{X}$  be  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ideal for R. so either  $r_t \subseteq \text{ann } \mathcal{X}$  or  $c_i \subseteq \text{ann } \mathcal{X}$ , implies  $m_l \subseteq 0_t$

Hence  $r_t \subseteq \text{ann } \mathcal{X} = [0_t : \mathcal{X}]$ . thus  $0_t$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime submodule &  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module by proposition (2.2).

**Proposition 3.3:**

- 1- If  $\mathcal{X}$  be  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module for R, so R is not necessary be  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ring. For instance, the  $Z_6$ -module  $Z_2$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime, but the ring  $Z_6$  is not  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ring.
- 2- If R is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ring, then a F-module  $\mathcal{X}$  is not necessary be  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module, as the following example; the  $Z$ -module  $Z_6$  is not  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module, but  $Z$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ring.

**Remark 3.4:** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a faithful  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module, so R be  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ring.

**Proof:** Since  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module,  $\text{ann}_R \mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ideal for R from (2.6). but  $\mathcal{X}$  faithful  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module, so R be  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ring.

**Proposition 3.5:** If  $\mathcal{X}$  multiplication F-faithful F-module. If R be  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ring so  $\mathcal{X}$  be  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module.

**Proof:** Since R be  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ring so  $(0_t)$  be the  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ideal for R, but  $\mathcal{X}$  is a faithful F-module, hence  $\text{ann}_R \mathcal{X} = (0_t)$ . Therefore,  $\text{ann}_R \mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ideal. On the other h&,  $\mathcal{X}$  is multiplication F-module. Then by proposition (3.1).  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module.

**Proposition 3.6:** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be F-module for R &  $\mathcal{A}$  be F-ideal for R, which is contained in  $\text{ann}_R \mathcal{X}$ . then  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-module iff  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime  $R/\mathcal{A}$  module.

**Proof:** If  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module, to show that  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime  $R/\mathcal{A}$ -module; that is to prove  $(0_t)$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime  $R/\mathcal{A}$ -submodule. Let  $(r_\ell + \mathcal{A}) m_t = 0_t$  for  $r_\ell + \mathcal{A} \subseteq R/\mathcal{A}$ ,  $m_t \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  & suppose  $m_t \neq 0_t$ , then  $(r_\ell + \mathcal{A}) m_t = r_\ell m_t = 0_t$ . But  $(0_t)$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime submodule &  $m_t \neq 0_t$ , this implies  $r_\ell^2 \subseteq \text{ann}_R \mathcal{X}$ . hence,  $r_\ell^2 m_t = (r_\ell^2 + \mathcal{A}) m_t = (r_\ell + \mathcal{A})^2 m_t = 0_t$  for all  $m_t \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ . Therefore,  $(r_\ell + \mathcal{A})^2 \subseteq \text{ann}_{R/\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{X}$ . thus,  $(0_t)$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime  $R/\mathcal{A}$ -submodule &  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime  $R/\mathcal{A}$ -module.

**Conversely:** Let  $r_\ell m_t \subseteq (0_t)$ , where  $r_\ell$  be a F-singleton for R, &  $m_t \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ , & assume that  $m_t \neq 0_t$  thus  $r_\ell m_t = (R + \mathcal{A})m_t = 0_t$ , so  $(r_\ell + \mathcal{A})m_t \subseteq (0_t)$ . But  $(0_t)$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime  $R/\mathcal{A}$ -submodule &  $m_t \neq 0_t$ , this implies  $(r_\ell + \mathcal{A})^2 \subseteq \text{ann}_R \mathcal{X}$ . but  $(r_\ell + \mathcal{A})^2 = r_\ell^2 + \mathcal{A} = r_\ell^2$ . i.e.  $r_\ell^2 \subseteq \text{ann}_R \mathcal{X}$ . hence,  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module.

**Proposition 3.7:** Let  $\mathcal{X}_1$  &  $\mathcal{X}_2$  be two F-modules for  $\mathcal{X}$  & let  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \mathcal{X}_2$ . If  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module, then  $\mathcal{X}_1$  &  $\mathcal{X}_2$  are  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module.

**Proof:** Since  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \mathcal{X}_2$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module so that  $(0_t, 0_t)$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -f-prime submodule for F-module  $\mathcal{X}$ , but  $(0_t, 0_t) = (0_t) \oplus (0_t)$  by [5,10]  $(0_t)_{\mathcal{X}_1}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime submodule for  $\mathcal{X}_1$  &  $(0_t)_{\mathcal{X}_2}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime submodule for  $\mathcal{X}_2$  therefore,  $\mathcal{X}_1$  &  $\mathcal{X}_2$  are  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module by Definition (2.1).

#### 4. Conclusion

Through this research, a type for fuzzy module was studied, which is (T). This concept was applied to other concepts, & many new results were derived that had never been proven by a researcher. We proved

- 1-  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime module, then  $\text{ann}_R \mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime ideal for R.
- 2- Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be F-module for R &  $\mathcal{A}$  be F-ideal for R, which is contained in  $\text{ann}_R \mathcal{X}$ . then  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-module iff  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{T}$ -F-prime  $R/\mathcal{A}$  module.

#### References

- [1] Fiadh, M. S., and B. N. Shihab, "Fully visible modules with the most important characteristics," *Journal for Discrete Mathematical Sciences & Cryptography*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 1417–1423 (2022).
- [2] W. H. Hanoon and H. Y. Khalaf, "Weakly & Almost T-ABSO fuzzy submodules," *Journal for Engineering & Applied Science*, vol. 14, special issue 8, pp. 10459–10466 (2019).
- [3] M. A. Hamel and H. Y. Khalaf, "Fuzzy maximal sub-modules," *Iraqi Journal for Science*, vol. 61, no. 5, pp. 1164–1172 (2022).
- [4] K. O. Ibrahim, "Some Generalization for the lifting modules," M.Sc. thesis, University of Baghdad (2019).
- [5] H. Y. Khalaf, "Fuzzy Quasi-prime modules & fuzzy Quasi-prime submodules," M.Sc. thesis, University of Baghdad (2001).
- [6] H. Y. Khalaf and H. G. Rashed, "Quasi-fully cancellation fuzzy Module," *Ibn AL Haitham Journal for Pure and Applied Sciences*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 193–207 (2017).

- [7] H. K. Marhon and H. Y. Khalaf, "Some properties for essential fuzzy & closed fuzzy submodules," *Iraqi Journal for Science*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 890–897 (2020).
- [8] S. K. Mohommed and B. N. Shihab, "Fully fuzzy visible modules with distinguishing results," *Journal for Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 909–917 (2023).
- [9] S. S. Marie and H. Y. Khalaf, "Fuzzy Soc-T-ABSO sub-modules," *Iraqi Journal for Computer Science & Mathematics*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 124–134 (2022).
- [10] R. Kumar, S. K. Bhambri, and P. Kumar, "Fuzzy Submodule for Some Analogous & Deviation," *Fuzzy Sets & Systems*, vol. 70, pp. 125–130 (1995).
- [11] F. Pan, "The Various Structure for Fuzzy Quotient Modules," *Fuzzy Sets & Systems*, vol. 81, pp. 197–200 (1989).
- [12] B. N. Shihab and H. Y. Khalaf, "Fuzzy-small submodules with study for Most Important Relevant Results," in *Proceedings of the 2nd AL-Noor International Conference for Science & Technology*, Baku, Azerbaijan, Aug. 28–29, pp. 137–140 (2020).
- [13] M. M. Zahedi and R. Ameri, "On Fuzzy Projective & Injective Modules," *The Journal for Fuzzy Mathematics*, vol. 3, no. 1, Los Angeles (1995).
- [14] J. Shaheed, "Hollow Fuzzy Modules with Other Related modules," Ph.D. thesis, University of Baghdad (2022).
- [15] S. K. Mohommed and B. N. Shihab, "Fuzzy visible submodule in the class for fuzzy faithful multiplication modules," *Journal for Discrete Mathematical Sciences & Cryptography*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1739–1745 (2023).
- [16] U. Tekir, S. Koc, K. H. Oral, and K. P. Shum, "On 2-Absorbing Quasi-Primary Ideals in Commutative Rings," *Communications in Mathematics and Statistics*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 55–62 (2015).
- [17] V. N. Dixit, R. Kumar, and N. Ajmal, "Fuzzy Ideals & Fuzzy Prime Ideals for A ring," *Fuzzy Sets & Systems*, vol. 44, pp. 127–138 (1991).
- [18] W. R. Hussein, "Some Results for Fuzzy Rings," M.Sc. thesis, University of Baghdad (1999).
- [19] M. M. Zahedi, "A Characterization for L-Fuzzy Prime Ideals," *Fuzzy Sets & Systems*, vol. 44, pp. 147–160 (1991).

- [20] Z. A. El-Bast and P. P. Smith, "Multiplication modules," *Communications in Algebra*, vol. 16, pp. 755–779 (1988).
- [21] R. M. Al-Masroub and M. S. Fiadh, "Minimal Prime & Semiprime Submodules," *Babylonian Journal for Mathematics*, pp. 84–87 (2023).
- [22] S. H. Asaad, I. S. Ahmed, and A. S. Mohammed, "Generalization Fuzzy Completely Intra G-ideals in G-Semi Groups," *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1664, no. 1 (2020). DOI: 10.1088/1742-6596/1664/1/012028.
- [23] I. S. Ahmed, A. Al-fayadh, H. H. Ebrahim, and L. S. Abdalbaqi, "Implementation of the Neutrosophic Sets in Measurable Space with Respect to Neutrosophic Ring," *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 187–193 (2025).

*Received December, 2024*