

Cubic intuitionistic ideals of a TM-algebra

Marwa Sh. Hatem *

Fatema F. Kareem [†]

Department of Mathematics

College of Education for Pure Sciences (Ibn-Al-Haitham)

University of Baghdad

Baghdad

Iraq

Bijan Davvaz [§]

Department of Mathematics Sciences

Faculty of Science

Yazd University

Yazd

Iran

Abstract

This study investigated the cubic intuitionistic fuzzy set of TM-algebra as a generalization of the cubic set. First, a cubic intuitionistic ideal and a cubic intuitionistic T-ideal are defined, followed by a discussion of their properties. Furthermore, the level set of a cubic intuitionistic TM-algebra is defined, and the relationship between a cubic intuitionistic level set and the cubic intuitionistic T-ideal is established. A novel definition of a cubic intuitionistic set under homomorphism is proposed, and several significant results are demonstrated.

Subject Classification: 06F35, 03G25, 08A72.

Keywords: Cubic intuitionistic set, Cubic intuitionistic ideal, Cubic intuitionistic level set.

1. Introduction

Atanassov introduced the concept of "intuitionistic fuzzy sets" in his publication [1]. Jun and Kim [7] studied intuitionistic fuzzy ideals on BCK algebras, and Tong and Guan [17] introduced the idea of intuitionistic

* E-mail: marwa.hatem2203m@ihcoedu.uobaghdad.edu.iq (Corresponding Author)

[†] E-mail: fatma.f.k@ihcoedu.uobaghdad.edu.iq

[§] E-mail: davvaz@yazd.ac.ir

distribution numbers. Mostafa and Kareem [13] studied an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold KU-ideal of KU algebra. Many authors introduced intuitionistic fuzzy sets and applied them in many structures (see [2, 3, 4, 5 and 10]).

Jun, Kim, and Kang [8] studied the concept of a cubic set of BCK/BCI algebras. Yaqoob et al. introduced a cubic KU algebra, which is an extension of fuzzy KU ideas in KU algebras [18]. Some research papers presented the idea of cubic sets in different ways (see [6, 11, 14, 15, and 16]).

In this paper, we will look at the concepts of a cubic intuitionistic ideal and a cubic intuitionistic T-ideal, as well as some of its features. We define the level set of a cubic intuitionistic TM-algebra and establish the relationship between a cubic intuitionistic level set and a cubic intuitionistic T-ideal. We also present a novel definition of a cubic intuitionistic ideal under a homomorphism.

2. Basic Concepts

Definition 2.1: [12] The triple order $(\mathcal{H}, *, 0)$ is called a TM-algebra, where “0” is a constant, “*” is a binary operation and $\mathcal{H} \neq \varphi$, if: $\forall \wp, \mathfrak{d}, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$

$$(tm_1) \quad \wp * 0 = \wp,$$

$$(tm_2) \quad (\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * (\wp * \eta) = \eta * \mathfrak{d}.$$

The relation $\leq$ is defined $\wp \leq \mathfrak{d} \Leftrightarrow \wp * \mathfrak{d} = 0$. Then, the following are fulfilled. $\forall \wp, \mathfrak{d}, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$

$$a) \quad \wp * \wp = 0,$$

$$b) \quad \wp * (\wp * \mathfrak{d}) = \mathfrak{d},$$

$$c) \quad (\wp * \eta) * (\mathfrak{d} * \eta) \leq \wp * \mathfrak{d},$$

$$d) \quad (\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta = (\wp * \eta) * \mathfrak{d}.$$

Definition 2.2: [12] A set $\varphi \neq S \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ is called a TM-subalgebra if $\wp * \mathfrak{d} \in S$ whenever $\wp, \mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{H}$.

Definition 2.3: [12] A set $\varphi \neq \psi \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ is called an ideal if, for all $\wp, \mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{H}$.

$$i) \quad 0 \in S,$$

$$ii) \quad \text{If } \wp * \mathfrak{d} \in S, \mathfrak{d} \in S \Rightarrow \wp \in S.$$

Definition 2.4: [12] A set $\varphi \neq S \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ is called a T-ideal, if

$$i) \quad 0 \in S$$

$$ii) \quad \forall \wp, \mathfrak{d}, \eta \in \mathcal{H}, ((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta) \in S, \mathfrak{d} \in \psi \Rightarrow \wp * \eta \in S.$$

Definition 2.5: [12] Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}'$ be two TM-algebras. A mapping $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ is called a homomorphism TM-algebra if $(\wp * \mathfrak{d}) = f(\wp) * f(\mathfrak{d})$, $\forall \wp, \mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{H}$.

Definition 2.6: [8] An interval valued fuzzy set on $\mathcal{H}$ is given by $\{(\wp, [\omega^L(\wp), \omega^U(\wp)])\}$, $\forall \wp \in \mathcal{H}$, where $\omega^L(\wp)$ and $\omega^U(\wp)$ are two fuzzy sets in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\omega^L(\wp) \leq \omega^U(\wp)$. All closed sub intervals of $[0,1]$ is denoted by $D[0,1]$ and $\tilde{\omega}(\wp) = [\omega^L(\wp), \omega^U(\wp)]$, $\forall \wp \in \mathcal{H}$, where $\tilde{\omega}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow D[0,1]$.

Definition 2.7: [8] A cubic set in a non-empty set $\mathcal{H}$ is the form

$\lambda = \langle \wp, \tilde{\omega}_\lambda, \rho_\lambda : \wp \in \mathcal{H} \rangle$ where $\tilde{\omega}_\lambda : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow D[0,1]$ is a fuzzy function of an interval valued and ρ_λ is a fuzzy function such that $\rho_\lambda : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [0,1]$.

The main idea of this paper is the intuitionistic fuzzy set. We will mention the basic definitions provided by [9].

Definition 2.8: [9]: A nonempty subset $\beth = \{(\wp, \omega_\beth, \partial_\beth) : \wp \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set of $\mathcal{H}$, where $\omega_\beth : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [0,1]$ is refers to a degree membership, $\partial_\beth : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [0,1]$ is refers to a degree non-membership of the element $\wp \in \mathcal{H}$, and $0 \leq \omega_\beth + \partial_\beth \leq 1, \forall \wp \in \mathcal{H}$.

Definition 2.9: [9] A subset $\beth = (\omega_\beth, \partial_\beth)$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is named an intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra if $\forall \wp, \mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{H}$.

- (i) $\omega_\beth(\wp * \mathfrak{d}) \geq \min\{\omega_\beth(\wp), \omega_\beth(\mathfrak{d})\}$,
- (ii) $\partial_\beth(\wp * \mathfrak{d}) \leq \max\{\partial_\beth(\wp), \partial_\beth(\mathfrak{d})\}$.

Definition 2.10: [9] For any $t, s \in [0,1]$, the set $U(\omega_\beth, t) = \{\wp \in \mathcal{H} : \omega_\beth(\wp) \geq t\}$ is named an upper t-level of $\omega_\beth$ and the set $L(\partial_\beth, s) = \{\wp \in \mathcal{H} : \partial_\beth(\wp) \leq s\}$ is named a lower s-level of $\partial_\beth$.

3. Cubic intuitionistic-ideals of a TM-algebra

The set $\beth = \{(\wp, \tilde{\omega}_\beth(\wp), \tilde{\partial}_\beth(\wp))\}$ is refers to an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy set, where $\tilde{\omega}_\beth : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow D[0,1]$ is a degree membership and $\tilde{\partial}_\beth : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow D[0,1]$ is a degree non-membership of the element $\wp \in \mathcal{H}$, where $\tilde{\omega}_\beth + \tilde{\partial}_\beth \leq D[0,1]$.

Definition 3.1: A cubic intuitionistic set (CIS) in $\mathcal{H}$ is the structure

$\psi = \{(\wp, \beth(\wp), \gamma(\wp)) : \wp \in \mathcal{H}\}$, where $\beth$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic set such that $\beth = \{\wp \in \mathcal{H}, \tilde{\omega}_\beth(\wp), \tilde{\partial}_\beth(\wp)\}$, $\tilde{\omega}_\beth + \tilde{\partial}_\beth \leq D[0,1]$ and γ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set in $\mathcal{H}$ s. t. $\gamma = \{< \wp, \beta_\gamma(\wp), \rho_\gamma(\wp) > : \wp \in \mathcal{H}\}$, where $0 \leq \beta_\gamma(\wp) + \rho_\gamma(\wp) \leq 1 \forall \wp \in \mathcal{H}$.

Definition 3.2: A (CIS) $\psi = \langle \beth, \gamma \rangle$ of a TM-algebra $\mathcal{H}$ is named a (CI)-subTM-algebra if $\forall \wp, \mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{H}$

- i) $\tilde{\omega}_\beth(\wp * \mathfrak{d}) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\omega}_\beth(\wp), \tilde{\omega}_\beth(\mathfrak{d})\}, \tilde{\partial}_\beth(\wp * \mathfrak{d}) \leq rmax\{\tilde{\partial}_\beth(\wp), \tilde{\partial}_\beth(\mathfrak{d})\}$.
- ii) $\beta_\gamma(\wp * \mathfrak{d}) \geq \min\{\beta_\gamma(\wp), \beta_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\}, \rho_\gamma(\wp * \mathfrak{d}) \leq \max\{\rho_\gamma(\wp), \rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\}$.

Proposition 3.3: If ψ is a (CI)-sub TM-algebra $\mathcal{H}$, then $\tilde{\omega}_\beth(0) \geq \tilde{\omega}_\beth(\wp)$, $\tilde{\partial}_\beth(0) \leq \tilde{\partial}_\beth(\wp)$ and $\beta_\gamma(0) \geq \beta_\gamma(\wp)$, $\rho_\gamma(0) \leq \rho_\gamma(\wp)$, $\forall \wp \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof: The proof is straight forward.

Definition 3.4: A (CIS) ψ is named a CI-ideal if, $\forall \wp, \mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{H}$.

- i) $\tilde{\omega}_2(0) \geq \tilde{\omega}_2(\wp), \tilde{\delta}_2(0) \leq \tilde{\delta}_2(\wp)$ and $\beta_\gamma(0) \geq \beta_\gamma(\wp), \rho_\gamma(0) \leq \rho_\gamma(\wp)$.
- ii) $\tilde{\omega}_2(\wp) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\omega}_2(\wp * \mathfrak{d}), \tilde{\omega}_2(\mathfrak{d})\}, \tilde{\delta}_2(\wp) \leq \text{rmax}\{\tilde{\delta}_2(\wp * \mathfrak{d}), \tilde{\delta}_2(\mathfrak{d})\}$
and $\beta_\gamma(\wp) \geq \min\{\beta_\gamma(\wp * \mathfrak{d}), \beta_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\}, \rho_\gamma(\wp) \leq \max\{\rho_\gamma(\wp * \mathfrak{d}), \rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\}$.

Definition 3.5: A (CIS) $\psi = \langle \mathfrak{I}, \gamma \rangle$ is named a CIT-ideal if, $\forall \wp, \mathfrak{d}, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$.

- i) $\tilde{\omega}_2(0) \geq \tilde{\omega}_2(\wp), \tilde{\delta}_2(0) \leq \tilde{\delta}_2(\wp)$ and $\beta_\gamma(0) \geq \beta_\gamma(\wp), \rho_\gamma(0) \leq \rho_\gamma(\wp)$.
- ii) $\tilde{\omega}_2(\wp * \eta) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\omega}_2((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta), \tilde{\omega}_2(\mathfrak{d})\},$
 $\tilde{\delta}_2(\wp * \eta) \leq \text{rmax}\{\tilde{\delta}_2((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta), \tilde{\delta}_2(\mathfrak{d})\}$ and
 $\beta_\gamma(\wp * \eta) \geq \min\{\beta_\gamma((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta), \beta_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\},$
 $\rho_\gamma(\wp * \eta) \leq \max\{\rho_\gamma((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta), \rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\}.$

Proposition 3.6: Let $\psi = \langle \mathfrak{I}, \gamma \rangle$ be a CIT-ideal of TM-algebra $\mathcal{H}$ and $\wp \leq \mathfrak{d}$, then $\tilde{\omega}_2(\wp) \geq \tilde{\omega}_2(\mathfrak{d}), \tilde{\delta}_2(\wp) \leq \tilde{\delta}_2(\mathfrak{d})$ and $\beta_\gamma(\wp) \geq \beta_\gamma(\mathfrak{d}), \rho_\gamma(\wp) \leq \rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})$, for all $\forall \wp, \mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof: Let $\wp \leq \mathfrak{d}$, then $\wp * \mathfrak{d} = 0$. Now, since ψ is a CIT-ideal, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_2(\wp) &= \tilde{\omega}_2(\wp * 0) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\omega}_2((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * 0), \tilde{\omega}_2(\mathfrak{d})\} \\ &= \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\omega}_2(\wp * \mathfrak{d}), \tilde{\omega}_2(\mathfrak{d})\} = \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\omega}_2(0), \tilde{\omega}_2(\mathfrak{d})\} = \tilde{\omega}_2(\mathfrak{d}). \text{ And} \\ \tilde{\delta}_2(\wp) &= \tilde{\delta}_2(\wp * 0) \leq \text{rmax}\{\tilde{\delta}_2((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * 0), \tilde{\delta}_2(\mathfrak{d})\} \\ &= \text{rmax}\{\tilde{\delta}_2(\wp * \mathfrak{d}), \tilde{\delta}_2(\mathfrak{d})\} = \text{rmax}\{\tilde{\delta}_2(0), \tilde{\delta}_2(\mathfrak{d})\} = \tilde{\delta}_2(\mathfrak{d}). \\ \text{Also, } \beta_\gamma(\wp) &= \beta_\gamma(\wp * 0) \geq \min\{\beta_\gamma((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * 0), \beta_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\} \\ &= \min\{\beta_\gamma(\wp * \mathfrak{d}), \beta_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\} = \min\{\beta_\gamma(0), \beta_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\} = \beta_\gamma(\mathfrak{d}). \text{ And} \\ \rho_\gamma(\wp) &= \rho_\gamma(\wp * 0) \leq \max\{\rho_\gamma((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * 0), \rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\} \\ &= \max\{\rho_\gamma(\wp * \mathfrak{d}), \rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\} = \max\{\rho_\gamma(0), \rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\} = \rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{d}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.7: Each a CIT-ideal in TM-algebra $\mathcal{H}$ must be a CI-ideal.

Proof: $\forall \wp, \mathfrak{d}, \eta$ in $\mathcal{H}$, let ψ be CIT-ideal of $\mathcal{H}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_2(\wp * \eta) &\geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\omega}_2((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta), \tilde{\omega}_2(\mathfrak{d})\}, \\ \tilde{\delta}_2(\wp * \eta) &\leq \text{rmax}\{\tilde{\delta}_2((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta), \tilde{\delta}_2(\mathfrak{d})\} \text{ and} \\ \beta_\gamma(\wp * \eta) &\geq \min\{\beta_\gamma((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta), \beta_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\}, \\ \rho_\gamma(\wp * \eta) &\leq \max\{\rho_\gamma((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta), \rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\}. \text{ Put } \eta = 0, \text{ we get} \\ \tilde{\omega}_2(\wp) &\geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\omega}_2(\wp * \mathfrak{d}), \tilde{\omega}_2(\mathfrak{d})\}, \tilde{\delta}_2(\wp) \leq \text{rmax}\{\tilde{\delta}_2(\wp * \mathfrak{d}), \tilde{\delta}_2(\mathfrak{d})\} \text{ and} \\ \beta_\gamma(\wp) &\geq \min\{\beta_\gamma(\wp * \mathfrak{d}), \beta_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\}, \rho_\gamma(\wp) \leq \max\{\rho_\gamma(\wp * \mathfrak{d}), \rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\}, \text{ then } \psi \text{ is} \\ &\text{CI-ideal.} \end{aligned}$$

The converse of Th.3.7 is not always true, the following example shows that.

Let $\mathcal{H} = \{0, s, d, g\}$ be a set defined by the following

*	0	s	d	g
0	0	0	g	d
s	s	0	g	d
d	d	d	0	g
g	g	g	d	0

Then $(\mathcal{H}, *, 0)$ is a TM-algebra. Define a (CIS) $\psi = \langle \mathfrak{I}, \gamma \rangle$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\omega}_2(\wp) &= \begin{cases} [0.2, 0.8] & \text{if } \wp = \{0, s, g\} \\ [0.1, 0.4] & \text{if } \wp = d \end{cases}, \\ \tilde{\delta}_2(\wp) &= \begin{cases} [0.1, 0.3] & \text{if } \wp = \{0, s, g\} \\ [0.1, 0.6] & \text{if } \wp = d \end{cases}, \text{ and} \\ \beta_\gamma(\wp) &= \begin{cases} 0.9 & \text{if } \wp = \{0, s, g\} \\ 0.1 & \text{if } \wp = d \end{cases}, \\ \rho_\gamma(\wp) &= \begin{cases} 0.2 & \text{if } \wp = \{0, s, g\} \\ 0.7 & \text{if } \wp = d \end{cases}.\end{aligned}$$

Then ψ is a CI-ideal, but not a CIT-ideal since $\tilde{\omega}_2(d * s) \leq r\min\{\tilde{\omega}_2((d * g) * s), \tilde{\omega}_2(g)\}$, $\tilde{\delta}_2(d * s) \geq r\max\{\tilde{\delta}_2((d * g) * s), \tilde{\delta}_2(g)\}$ and $\beta_\gamma(d * s) \leq \min\{\beta_\gamma((d * g) * s), \beta_\gamma(g)\}$, $\rho_\gamma(d * s) \geq \max\{\rho_\gamma((d * g) * s), \rho_\gamma(g)\}$.

Definition 3.8: Let $\psi = \langle \mathfrak{I}, \gamma \rangle$ be a (CIS) of a TM-algebra $\mathcal{H}$. Then the set

$K(\psi; \tilde{t}, \tilde{r}, s, m) = \{\wp \in \mathcal{H} : \tilde{\omega}_2(\wp) \geq \tilde{t}, \tilde{\delta}_2(\wp) \leq \tilde{r} \text{ and } \beta_\gamma(\wp) \geq s, \rho_\gamma(\wp) \leq m\}$ is called a CI-level set of ψ , for any $\tilde{t}, \tilde{r} \in D[0, 1]$ and $s, m \in [0, 1]$. Where $\tilde{\omega}_2(\wp) + \tilde{\delta}_2(\wp) \leq D[0, 1]$ and $0 \leq \beta_\gamma(\wp) + \rho_\gamma(\wp) \leq 1$, for all $\wp \in \mathcal{H}$.

Theorem 3.9: The structure $\psi = \langle \mathfrak{I}, \gamma \rangle$ is a CIT-ideal in $\mathcal{H}$ if and only if every nonempty CI-level set $K(\psi; \tilde{t}, \tilde{r}, s, m)$ is a T-ideal in $\mathcal{H}$, for any $\tilde{t}, \tilde{r} \in D[0, 1]$ and $s, m \in [0, 1]$.

Proof: Suppose that ψ is a CIT-ideal and $\wp \in \mathcal{H}$.

Let $\wp \in K(\psi; \tilde{t}, \tilde{r}, s, m)$, then $\tilde{\omega}_2(0) \geq \tilde{\omega}_2(\wp) \geq \tilde{t}$, $\tilde{\delta}_2(0) \leq \tilde{\delta}_2(\wp) \leq \tilde{r}$ and $\beta_\gamma(0) \geq \beta_\gamma(\wp) \geq s$, $\rho_\gamma(0) \leq \rho_\gamma(\wp) \leq m$. Thus $0 \in K(\psi; \tilde{t}, \tilde{r}, s, m)$. Now, Let $\wp, \mathfrak{A}, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $((\wp * \mathfrak{A}) * \eta) \in K(\psi; \tilde{t}, \tilde{r}, s, m)$ and

$\mathfrak{A} \in K(\psi; \tilde{t}, \tilde{r}, s, m)$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\omega}_2(\wp * \eta) &\geq r\min\{\tilde{\omega}_2((\wp * \mathfrak{A}) * \eta), \tilde{\omega}_2(\mathfrak{A})\} = r\min\{\tilde{t}, \tilde{t}\} = \tilde{t}, \\ \tilde{\delta}_2(\wp * \eta) &\leq r\max\{\tilde{\delta}_2((\wp * \mathfrak{A}) * \eta), \tilde{\delta}_2(\mathfrak{A})\} = r\max\{\tilde{r}, \tilde{r}\} = \tilde{r} \text{ and} \\ \beta_\gamma(\wp * \eta) &\geq \min\{\beta_\gamma((\wp * \mathfrak{A}) * \eta), \beta_\gamma(\mathfrak{A})\} = \min\{s, s\} = s, \text{ also} \\ \rho_\gamma(\wp * \eta) &\leq \max\{\rho_\gamma((\wp * \mathfrak{A}) * \eta), \rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{A})\} = \max\{m, m\} = m.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\wp * \mathfrak{d}) \in K(\psi; \tilde{t}, \tilde{r}, s, m)$. Hence $K(\psi; \tilde{t}, \tilde{r}, s, m)$ is T-ideal of $\mathcal{H}$.

Conversely, suppose that $K(\psi; \tilde{t}, \tilde{r}, s, m)$ is a nonempty T-ideal of $\mathcal{H}$.

For all $\tilde{t}, \tilde{r} \in D[0,1]$ and $s, m \in [0,1]$, assume that

$$\tilde{\omega}_2(0) < \tilde{\omega}_2(\wp), \tilde{\delta}_2(0) > \tilde{\delta}_2(\wp) \text{ and } \beta_\gamma(0) < \beta_\gamma(\wp), \rho_\gamma(0) > \rho_\gamma(\wp)$$

If we take $\tilde{t}_1 = \frac{1}{2}\{\tilde{\omega}_2(0) + \tilde{\omega}_2(\wp)\}$, $\tilde{r}_1 = \frac{1}{2}\{\tilde{\delta}_2(0) + \tilde{\delta}_2(\wp)\}$, and

$$s_1 = \frac{1}{2}\{\beta_\gamma(0) + \beta_\gamma(\wp)\}, m_1 = \frac{1}{2}\{\rho_\gamma(0) + \rho_\gamma(\wp)\}, \text{ then } \tilde{\omega}_A(0) < \tilde{t}_1 < \tilde{\omega}_A(\wp), \tilde{\delta}_A(0) > \tilde{r}_1 > \tilde{\delta}_A(\wp) \text{ and } \beta_\gamma(0) < s_1 < \beta_\gamma(\wp), \rho_\gamma(0) > m_1 > \rho_\gamma(\wp)$$

Hence $\wp \in K(\psi; \tilde{t}, \tilde{r}, s, m)$ but $0 \notin K(\psi; \tilde{t}, \tilde{r}, s, m)$, this is contradiction.

So, for all $\wp \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\tilde{\omega}_2(0) \geq \tilde{\omega}_2(\wp), \tilde{\delta}_2(0) \leq \tilde{\delta}_2(\wp) \text{ and } \beta_\gamma(0) \geq \beta_\gamma(\wp), \rho_\gamma(0) \leq \rho_\gamma(\wp).$$

Now, suppose that there exist $\wp, \mathfrak{d}, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\tilde{\omega}_2(\wp * \eta) < rmin\{\tilde{\omega}_2((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta), \tilde{\omega}_2(\mathfrak{d})\},$$

$$\tilde{\delta}_2(\wp * \eta) > rmax\{\tilde{\delta}_2((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta), \tilde{\delta}_2(\mathfrak{d})\} \text{ and}$$

$$\beta_\gamma(\wp * \eta) < min\{\beta_\gamma((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta), \beta_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\},$$

$$\rho_\gamma(\wp * \eta) > max\{\rho_\gamma((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta), \rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\}. \text{ Take}$$

$$\tilde{t}_1 = \frac{1}{2}\{\tilde{\omega}_2(\wp * \eta) + rmin\{\tilde{\omega}_2((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta), \tilde{\omega}_2(\mathfrak{d})\}\},$$

$$\tilde{r}_1 = \frac{1}{2}\{\tilde{\delta}_2(\wp * \eta) + rmax\{\tilde{\delta}_2((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta), \tilde{\delta}_2(\mathfrak{d})\}\}.$$

$$\text{And } s_1 = \frac{1}{2}\{\beta_\gamma(\wp * \eta) + min\{\beta_\gamma((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta), \beta_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\}\},$$

$$m_1 = \frac{1}{2}\{\rho_\gamma(\wp * \eta) + max\{\rho_\gamma((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta), \rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\}\}.$$

Such that $(\tilde{t}, \tilde{r}) \in D[0,1]$ and $s, m \in [0,1]$, then

$$\tilde{\omega}_2(\wp * \eta) < \tilde{t}_1 < rmin\{\tilde{\omega}_2((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta), \tilde{\omega}_2(\mathfrak{d})\},$$

$$\tilde{\delta}_2(\wp * \eta) > \tilde{r}_1 > rmax\{\tilde{\delta}_2((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta), \tilde{\delta}_2(\mathfrak{d})\} \text{ and}$$

$$\beta_\gamma(\wp * \eta) < s_1 < min\{\beta_\gamma((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta), \beta_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\},$$

$$\rho_\gamma(\wp * \eta) > m_1 > max\{\rho_\gamma((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta), \rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{d})\}.$$

Then $\wp * \eta \notin K(\psi; \tilde{t}, \tilde{r}, s, m)$, $((\wp * \mathfrak{d}) * \eta) \in K(\psi; \tilde{t}, \tilde{r}, s, m)$ and $\mathfrak{d} \in K(\psi; \tilde{t}, \tilde{r}, s, m)$, this is a contradiction.

It follows that ψ is a CIT-ideal.

4. Homomorphism of cubic intuitionistic-ideals

Definition 4.1: Let $g: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ be homomorphism of two TM-algebras $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}'$. For any a (CIS) $\psi = \langle \mathfrak{z}, \gamma \rangle$ of $\mathcal{H}$. Define a new (CIS)

$$\psi^g = (\wp, \tilde{\omega}_2^g, \tilde{\delta}_2^g, \beta_\gamma^g, \rho_\gamma^g) \text{ of } \mathcal{H} \text{ as follows } \tilde{\omega}_2^g(\wp) = \tilde{\omega}_2(g(\wp)),$$

$$\tilde{\delta}_2^g(\wp) = \tilde{\delta}_2(g(\wp)) \text{ and } \beta_\gamma^g(\wp) = \beta_\gamma(g(\wp)), \rho_\gamma^g(\wp) = \rho_\gamma(g(\wp)), \forall \wp \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Theorem 4.2: Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}'$ be two TM-algebras and $g: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ be an epimorphism from $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{H}'$. Then $\psi = (\wp', \tilde{\omega}_A, \tilde{\delta}_A, \beta_\gamma, \rho_\gamma)$ is a CIT-ideal of $\mathcal{H}'$ if and only if $\psi^g = (\wp, \tilde{\omega}_2^g, \tilde{\delta}_2^g, \beta_\gamma^g, \rho_\gamma^g)$ is a CIT-ideal of $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof: Since g is an onto mapping, then $\exists \wp \in \mathcal{H}$, such that $g(\wp) = \wp'$, for any $\wp' \in \mathcal{H}'$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\omega}_2^g(0) &= \tilde{\omega}_2(g(0)) = \tilde{\omega}_2(0') \geq \tilde{\omega}_2(\wp') = \tilde{\omega}_2(g(\wp)) = \tilde{\omega}_2^g(\wp), \\ \tilde{\delta}_2^g(0) &= \tilde{\delta}_2(g(0)) = \tilde{\delta}_2(0') \leq \tilde{\delta}_2(\wp') = \tilde{\delta}_2(g(\wp)) = \tilde{\delta}_2^g(\wp) \text{ and} \\ \beta_V^g(0) &= \beta_V(g(0)) = \beta_V(0') \geq \beta_V(\wp') = \beta_V(g(\wp)) = \beta_V^g(\wp), \\ \rho_2^g(0) &= \rho_2(g(0)) = \rho_2(0') \leq \rho_2(\wp') = \rho_2(g(\wp)) = \rho_2^g(\wp).\end{aligned}$$

Now, Let $\wp, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\wp', \eta' \in \mathcal{H}'$, then there exists $\wp \in \mathcal{H}$, s.t. $g(\wp) = \wp'$. Then $\tilde{\omega}_2^g(\wp * \eta) = \tilde{\omega}_2(g(\wp * \eta)) = \tilde{\omega}_2(g(\wp) * g(\eta)) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\omega}_2(g(\wp) * \wp') * g(\eta), \tilde{\omega}_2(\wp')\} = rmin\{\tilde{\omega}_2((g(\wp) * g(\wp')) * g(\eta)), \tilde{\omega}_2(g(\wp'))\} = rmin\{\tilde{\omega}_2^g((\wp * \wp') * \eta), \tilde{\omega}_2^g(\wp')\}, \tilde{\delta}_2^g(\wp * \eta) = \tilde{\delta}_2(g(\wp * \eta)) = \tilde{\delta}_2(g(\wp) * g(\eta)) \leq rmax\{\tilde{\delta}_2((g(\wp) * \wp') * g(\eta)), \tilde{\delta}_2(\wp')\} = rmax\{\tilde{\delta}_2((g(\wp) * g(\wp')) * g(\eta)), \tilde{\delta}_2(g(\wp'))\} = rmax\{\tilde{\delta}_2^g((\wp * \wp') * \eta), \tilde{\delta}_2^g(\wp')\}.$

$\beta_2^g(\wp * \eta) = \beta_2(g(\wp * \eta)) = \beta_2(g(\wp) * g(\eta)) \geq min\{\beta_2((g(\wp) * \wp') * g(\eta)), \beta_2(\wp')\} = min\{\beta_2((g(\wp) * g(\wp')) * g(\eta)), \beta_2(g(\wp'))\} = min\{\beta_2^g((\wp * \wp') * \eta), \beta_2^g(\wp')\},$ and

$\rho_2^g(\wp * \eta) = \rho_2(g(\wp * \eta)) = \rho_2(g(\wp) * g(\eta)) \leq max\{\rho_2((g(\wp) * \wp') * g(\eta)), \rho_2(\wp')\} = max\{\rho_2((g(\wp) * g(\wp')) * g(\eta)), \rho_2(g(\wp'))\} = max\{\rho_2^g((\wp * \wp') * \eta), \rho_2^g(\wp')\}.$ Hence $\psi^g = (\wp, \tilde{\omega}_2^g, \tilde{\delta}_2^g, \beta_V^g, \rho_V^g)$ is a CIT-ideal of $\mathcal{H}$.

Conversely, since $g: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ is an onto, then $\forall \wp', \wp', \eta' \in \mathcal{H}', \exists \wp, \wp, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ s.t. $g(\wp) = \wp', g(\wp) = \wp'$ and $g(\eta) = \eta'$. It follows that $\tilde{\omega}_2(g(\wp) * g(\eta)) = \tilde{\omega}_2(g(\wp * \eta)) = \tilde{\omega}_2^g(\wp * \eta) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\omega}_2^g((\wp * \wp') * \eta), \tilde{\omega}_2^g(\wp')\} = rmin\{\tilde{\omega}_2((g(\wp) * g(\wp')) * g(\eta)), \tilde{\omega}_2(g(\wp'))\}.$ And

$\tilde{\delta}_2(g(\wp) * g(\eta)) = \tilde{\delta}_2(g(\wp * \eta)) = \tilde{\delta}_2^g(\wp * \eta) \leq rmax\{\tilde{\delta}_2^g((\wp * \wp') * \eta), \tilde{\delta}_2^g(\wp')\} = rmax\{\tilde{\delta}_2((g(\wp) * g(\wp')) * g(\eta)), \tilde{\delta}_2(g(\wp'))\}.$ Also,

$\beta_2(g(\wp) * g(\eta)) = \beta_2(g(\wp * \eta)) = \beta_2^g(\wp * \eta) \geq min\{\beta_2^g((\wp * \wp') * \eta), \beta_2^g(\wp')\} = min\{\beta_2((g(\wp) * g(\wp')) * g(\eta)), \beta_2(g(\wp'))\},$ and

$\rho_2(g(\wp) * g(\eta)) = \rho_2(g(\wp * \eta)) = \rho_2^g(\wp * \eta) \leq max\{\rho_2^g((\wp * \wp') * \eta), \rho_2^g(\wp')\} = max\{\rho_2((g(\wp) * g(\wp')) * g(\eta)), \rho_2(g(\wp'))\}.$

Hence $\psi = (\wp', \tilde{\omega}_A, \tilde{\delta}_A, \beta_V, \rho_V)$ is a CIT-ideal of $\mathcal{H}'$.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

We studied cubic intuitionistic structures on TM-algebra as a generalization of the cubic set of TM-algebra. We discussed a few properties of this concept and investigated some related results. Cubic intuitionistic T-ideals and the homomorphism of new cubic intuitionistic fuzzy sets in a TM-algebra are defined. The future work is to study cubic intuitionistic fuzzy ideals for other algebraic structures. Also, we can examine the notion of a cubic intuitionistic fuzzy graph for a TM-algebra.

References

- [1] K. T. Atanassov, "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 20, pp. 87-96 (1986).
- [2] A. A. Atshan and S. M. Khalil, "On Complete Intuitionistic Fuzzy Roh-Ideals in Roh-Algebras," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 64, no. 7, pp. 3458-3467 (2023).
- [3] S. M. Ebrahim, "On Intuitionistic Fuzzy bi-Ideal With respect To an Element of a near Ring," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 57, no. 3A, pp. 1806-1812 (2022).
- [4] S. M. Ebrahim, "On intuitionistic Fuzzy Asly Ideal of Ring," *Ibn AL-Haitham Journal for Pure and Applied Sciences*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 115-119 (2020).
- [5] A. K. Hasan, "Zariski Topology of Intuitionistic Fuzzy d-filter," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 1208-1214 (2022).
- [6] C. Janaa and T. Senapati, "Cubic G-subalgebras of G-algebras," *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 105-115 (2015).
- [7] Y. B. Jun and K. H. Kim, "Intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of BCK-algebras," *Internat. J. Math and Math. Sci*, vol. 24, no. 12, pp. 839-849 (2000).
- [8] Y. B. Jun, C. S. Kim, and M. S. Kang, "Cubic subalgebras and ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras," *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 44, pp. 239-250 (2010).
- [9] M. Kandasamy and T. Angamuthu, "On Intuitionistic Fuzzy T-ideals in TM-Algebra," *Communications in Computer and Information Science*, vol. 260, pp. 328-335 (2011).
- [10] F. F. Kareem and S. A. Talib, "Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideals of KU-Semi-groups," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, Special Issue, pp. 1-10 (2020).
- [11] J. G. Lee, K. Hur, and S. M. Mostafa, "Cubic bipolar structures of BCC-ideal on BCC-algebras," *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 89-103 (2020).
- [12] K. Megalai and A. Tamilarasi, "Classification of TM-Algebra," in *Computer Aided Soft Computing Techniques for Imaging and Biomedical Applications* (2010).
- [13] S. M. Mostafa and F. F. Kareem, "Intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold KU-ideal of KU-algebra," *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1003, p. 012064 (2018).

- [14] G. Muhiuddin, "Cubic Interior Ideals in Semigroups," *Applications and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 463-474 (2019).
- [15] G. Muhiuddin and A. M. Al-roqi, "Cubic soft sets with applications in BCK/BCI-algebras," *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 8, pp. 291-304 (2014).
- [16] K. Taboon, P. Butsri, and A. Iampan, "A cubic set theory approach to UP-algebras," *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, vol. 23, no. 8, pp. 1449-1486 (2020).
- [17] F. Tong and L. Guan, "Intuitionistic-distribution numbers," *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 115-122 (2009).
- [18] N. Yaqoob, S. M. Mostafa, and M. A. Ansari, "On cubic KU-ideals of KU-algebras," *ISRN Algebra*, Article ID 935905, 10 pages (2013).

Received July, 2024