

Essential-small projective semimodule

Baraa Abd Alhussain *

Asaad Mohammed Ali Alhossaini †

Department of Mathematics

College of Education for Pure Sciences

University of Babylon

Babylon 51001

Iraq

Abstract

Previously, some authors have presented a study on the e-small projective Modules. The aim of this paper provides as comprehensive study as possible about e-small Projective semimodules and related concepts to access some new features and results of semimodule.

Subject Classification (2020): 17A01, 17A05, 17A30.

Keywords: Small subsemimodule, Essential semimodule, E-small subsemimodule, Projective semimodule.

1. Introduction

The concept of projective semi modules was studied by many authors one of them [1]. We discuss in this paper a new generalization of projective semimodule (it has been studied previously for modules [2]). The idea is to introduce and study e-small projective semimodule. The study of superfluous subsemimodule has been extensively considered, a subsemimodule $\mathcal{F}$ of a semimodule $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be “small”, “denoted by $\mathcal{F} \ll \mathcal{M}$ ”, if for each “ $j \leq \mathcal{M}$ ” such that “ $\mathcal{F} + j = \mathcal{M}$ ”, implies “ $j = \mathcal{M}$ ”. A surjective homomorphism $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, where $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ are semimodules is called “small surjective” if $\text{Ker} f \ll \mathcal{A}$ ” [3]. “Pawar studied some useful results on essential subsemimodules” [4, 12-20]. Ali and Alhussaini are submitted a comprehensive study of small

* ORCID-ID: 0000-0002-0588-2159

† ORCID-ID: 0000-0001-9300-8834

* E-mail: baraa_abd968@gmail.com (Corresponding Author)

† E-mail: asaad-hosain@itnel.uobabylon.edu.iq

subsemimodule and some results [5]. We study in this research the concept of essential-small subsemimodule, written (e-s), as it is a subsemimodule $\mathfrak{B}$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is semimodule is said to be e-s subsemimodule of $\mathcal{M}$, written $\mathfrak{B} \ll_e \mathcal{M}$. If for each essential subsemimodule $\mathcal{J}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ with $\mathfrak{B} + \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{M}$ implies $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{M}$. A semimodule $\mathcal{M}$ is e-s projective if for each e-s surjective homomorphism $g: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$, and any $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$, there exists $h: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a homomorphism such that $g \circ h = f$.

2. Preliminaries

Remark: The definitions: “semimodule, subsemimodule, subtractive semimodule, projective semimodule, cancellative semimodule, direct sum, direct summand and retract subsemimodule are found in” [6].

Definition 2.1: [7] “A map $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is called a morphism of a semimodule if “ $f(\sigma + \phi) = f(\sigma) + f(\phi)$ ”, and “ $f(r\sigma) = rf(\sigma)$ ” for all “ $\sigma, \phi \in \mathcal{M}$ ” and “ $r \in \mathfrak{R}$ ”.

For a morphism of a semimodule $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ we define:

- (1) “ $\text{Ker}(f) = \{\nu \in \mathcal{M} \mid f(\nu) = 0\}$;
- (2) $\text{Im}(f) = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}, \sigma = f(\nu) \text{ for some } \nu \in \mathcal{M}\}$ ”.

Definition 2.2: [8] “let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ semimodules”. “A homomorphism $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ ” is said to be “k-regular homomorphism”, if for all $\sigma, \phi \in \mathcal{M}$. So that “ $f(\sigma) = f(\phi) \Rightarrow \sigma + k = \phi + k$ ”, for some “ $k, k \in \text{Ker}(f)$ ”.

Definition 2.3: [3] “A homomorphism $g: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ of semimodules is considered k-quasiregular, if k belong to $\text{Ker}(g)$ occurs $\mathcal{T} \leq \mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{L}$ belong to $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{T}$, and $\mathcal{B}$ belong to $\mathcal{T}$, if $g(\mathcal{L}) = g(\mathcal{B})$ consequently $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{B} + k$.

Definition 2.7: [8] “A semimodule $\mathcal{M}$ is called completely subtractive, if all of its subsemimodules are subtractive”.

Definition 2.8: [9] “A Modular Law for semimodules” is defined by if $\mathcal{M}$ is a semimodule, $\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K}$, and $\mathcal{H}$ are semimodules of $\mathcal{M}$ with “ $\mathcal{J}$ is a subtractive” and “ $\mathcal{K} \leq \mathcal{J}$ ”, then “ $\mathcal{J} \cap (\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{K} + (\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{H})$ ”.

3. Essential-Small

Definition 3.1: A subsemimodule $\mathcal{H}$ of a semimodule $\mathcal{M}$ is called essential-small or (e-s), written $\mathcal{H} \ll_e \mathcal{M}$, if for each $\mathcal{K} \leq_e \mathcal{M}$, such that $\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{M}$. Implies $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{M}$.

Definition 3.2: Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be a semimodules, A surjective homomorphism $g: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is said to be e-s surjective homomorphism if $\text{Ker}(g) \ll_e \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 3.3: If $\vartheta: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is a homomorphism, and $\mathcal{K} \leq_e \mathcal{T}$, then $\vartheta^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) \leq_e \mathcal{M}$.

Proof: The method of proof is similar to the proof in modules [10].

Lemma 3.4: Let $\vartheta: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a homomorphism of a semimodules and $\mathcal{H} \leq \vartheta(\mathcal{M})$, then $\vartheta(\vartheta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})) = \mathcal{H} \cap \vartheta(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{H}$.

Proof: The method of proof is similar to the proof in modules [10].

Proposition 3.5: If $\mathcal{N}$ is an e-s subsemimodule of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\vartheta: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is a k-quasi-regular homomorphism, then $\vartheta(\mathcal{N})$ is an e-s subsemimodule of $\vartheta(\mathcal{M})$.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{H} \leq_e \vartheta(\mathcal{M})$, and $\vartheta(\mathcal{N}) + \mathcal{H} = \vartheta(\mathcal{M})$, since $\mathcal{H} \leq \vartheta(\mathcal{M})$, then $\vartheta(\vartheta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})) = \mathcal{H}$. Implies that $\vartheta(\mathcal{N}) + \vartheta(\vartheta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})) = \vartheta(\mathcal{M})$, claim $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N} + \vartheta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})$, let $m \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\vartheta(m) \in \vartheta(\mathcal{N} + \vartheta^{-1}(\mathcal{H}))$, $\vartheta(m) = \vartheta(n + h)$, where $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $h \in \vartheta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})$, implies that $m = n + h + k$, $k \in \text{Ker}(\vartheta) \subseteq \vartheta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N} + \vartheta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})$, then $\vartheta^{-1}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{M}$. Hence $\mathcal{H} = \vartheta(\mathcal{M})$, then $\vartheta(\mathcal{N}) \ll_e \vartheta(\mathcal{M})$.

Lemma 3.6: Assume that $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are subsemimodules of $\mathcal{M}$. If $\mathcal{H}$ is an essential subsemimodule of $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ is a subsemimodule of $\mathcal{K}$, then $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{N}$ is an essential of $\mathcal{K}$.

Proof: since $\mathcal{N}$ subsemimodule of $\mathcal{K}$, such that $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{N} = 0$, then $\mathcal{H} \cap (\mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{N}) = 0$, implies that $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{N} = 0$, then $\mathcal{N} = 0$.

Lemma 3.7: If $\mathcal{N}$ be an e-s subsemimodule of $\mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{K}$ is a subsemimodule of $\mathcal{M}$, and $\mathcal{K}$ be a subtractive, then $\mathcal{N}$ is an e-s subsemimodule of $\mathcal{M}$.

Proof: Assume that $\mathcal{N} \leq \mathcal{M}$ and for each $\mathcal{H} \leq_e \mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}$, since $\mathcal{N} \ll_e \mathcal{K}$, implies that for each non-zero sub semimodule $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{K}$, such that $\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}$, then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}$. By Modular Law of semimodule we have $(\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{H}) \cap \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{N} + (\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K}$, since $\mathcal{K}$ is a subtractive, then $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}$, since $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K} \leq_e \mathcal{K}$ [by Lemma 3.6] and $\mathcal{N} \ll_e \mathcal{K}$, then $\mathcal{K} \leq \mathcal{H}$, such that $\mathcal{H} \leq_e \mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K} \leq_e \mathcal{K}$. So $\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}$, then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}$. Hence $\mathcal{N} \ll_e \mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 3.8: If $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \oplus \mathcal{P}_2$, where $\mathcal{L}_1$ is subsemimodule of $\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ is subsemimodule of $\mathcal{P}_2$, hence $\mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_2$.

Proof: It is clear.

Proposition 3.9: $\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{K} \ll_e \mathcal{T}$ if and only if $\mathcal{H} \ll_e \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{K} \ll_e \mathcal{T}$, where $\mathcal{T}$ is a semimodule $\mathcal{K} \leq \mathcal{N} \leq \mathcal{T}$, and $\mathcal{H} \leq_e \mathcal{T}$.

Proof: ($\Rightarrow$) Assume that $\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{H} \ll_e \mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{T}$, where $\mathcal{J} \leq_e \mathcal{T}$, then $\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{T}$, implies that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{T}$, hence $\mathcal{K} \ll_e \mathcal{T}$. Let $\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{T}$, where $\mathcal{J} \leq_e \mathcal{T}$, then $\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{T}$, implies that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{T}$. Hence $\mathcal{H} \ll_e \mathcal{T}$.

($\Leftarrow$): Assume that $\mathcal{K} \ll_e \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{H} \ll_e \mathcal{T}$, let $\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{T}$, where $\mathcal{J} \leq_e \mathcal{T}$, then $\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{J} \leq_e \mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{K} \ll_e \mathcal{T}$, implies that $\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{T}$, since $\mathcal{H} \ll_e \mathcal{T}$, then $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{T}$. Hence $\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{H} \ll_e \mathcal{T}$.

Lemma 3.10: Let $\mathcal{A}_1 \leq \mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \leq \mathcal{M}_2, f: \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ be an isomorphism and $g: \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ be an isomorphism such that $g|_{\mathcal{A}_1} = f$. If $\mathcal{A}_1 \leq^\oplus \mathcal{M}_1$ then $\mathcal{A}_2 \leq^\oplus \mathcal{M}_2$.

Proof: It is clear.

4. E-Small projective

Definition 4.1: A semimodule $\mathcal{P}$ is e-s projective semimodule, if every e-s k-regular surjective homomorphism $g: \mathcal{P} \dot{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{B}$ and any $f: \mathcal{P} \dot{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{B}$ is homomorphism, there exists $h: \mathcal{P} \dot{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{A}$ is homomorphism such that $gh = f$.

Proposition 4.2: Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an $\mathfrak{R}$ -semimodule, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $\mathcal{P}$ is e-s projective semimodule.
- (2) For each semimodule $\mathcal{A}$ and each $f: \mathcal{P} \dot{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{A} / \mathcal{H}$ is a homomorphism, where $\mathcal{H} \ll_e \mathcal{A}$ there exists $h: \mathcal{P} \dot{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{A}$ such that $gh = f$, where g is the natural map of $\mathcal{A}$ onto $\mathcal{A} / \mathcal{H}$.
- (3) For each e-s surjective homomorphism $g: \mathcal{P} \dot{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{B}$ and any $f: \mathcal{P} \dot{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism, there exists $h: \mathcal{P} \dot{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{A}$ such that $gh = f$.

Remark 4.3: If $\mathcal{A}$ is fixed in Definition 4.1 we say $\mathcal{P}$ is e-s projective relative to $\mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 4.4: Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an $\mathfrak{R}$ -semimodule which is e-s projective relative to $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{B}$ is a subtractive subsemimodule of $\mathcal{A}$ then $\mathcal{P}$ is e-s projective relative to $\mathcal{B}$.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an $\mathfrak{R}$ -semimodule such that $\mathcal{A} \leq \mathcal{E}$. Consider the diagram

Where i is the inclusion: $\mathcal{A} \dot{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{E}$ and ℓ is defined by $\ell(g(a)) = a / (Ker(g))$ [Note that $Ker(g) \leq \mathcal{A} \leq \mathcal{E}$, ℓ is well defined since $g(a_1) = g(a_2)$, then $a_1 + k_1 = a_2 + k_2$ for some $k_1, k_2 \in Ker(g)$, which implies $a_1 / Ker(g) = a_2 / Ker(g)$]. By assumption there is $h: \mathcal{P} \dot{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{E}$ a homomorphism so that $\pi h = \ell f$. Note that for $x \in \mathcal{P}$, $\pi(h(x)) = \ell(f(x))$, then $h(x) / Ker(g) = a / Ker(g)$, where $g(a) = f(x)$ for some $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $h(x) + k_1 = a + k_2$ for some $k_1, k_2 \in Ker(g)$. so $\ell(f(x)) = a / Ker(g)$, if and only if $f(x) = g(a)$. $\ell(f(\mathcal{P})) = \{a / Ker(g) | g(a) = f(x), \text{ for some } a \in g^{-1}(f(\mathcal{P}))\} = g^{-1}(f(\mathcal{P})) / Ker(g)$, hence $\pi(h(\mathcal{P})) = g^{-1}(f(\mathcal{P})) / Ker(g)$, $h(\mathcal{P}) + Ker(g) = g^{-1}(f(\mathcal{P})) + Ker(g)$, then $h(\mathcal{P}) + Ker(g) = g^{-1}(f(\mathcal{P}))$. Therefore $h(\mathcal{P}) = g^{-1}(f(\mathcal{P})) \leq \mathcal{A}$.

Definition 4.5: “ $\mathcal{P}$ -cyclic subsemimodule: Similar to the define in modules”. [11]

Definition 4.6: “ $\mathcal{P}$ -principally injective: Similar to the define in modules”. [11]

Definition 4.7: A semimodule $\mathcal{P}$ is called e-small factor of a semimodule $\mathcal{K}$, if there is an e-s surjective homomorphism $\hbar: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$.

Proposition 4.8: Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an e-s projective semimodule such that every $\mathcal{P}$ – cyclic subsemimodule of $\mathcal{P}$ is e-s projective, then every e-s factor semimodule of a $\mathcal{P}$ -principally injective semimodule is $\mathcal{P}$ – principally injective semimodule.

Proof: Let $g: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an e-s surjective homomorphism, where $\mathcal{A}$ is $\mathcal{P}$ – principally injective semimodule, where $f: \varphi(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism, $\varphi \in \text{End}(\mathcal{P})$, and $\alpha: \varphi(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is inclusion homomorphism. By assumption $\varphi(\mathcal{P})$ is an e-s projective semimodule, so there exists $h: \varphi(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a homomorphism so that $g \circ h = f$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is $\mathcal{P}$ -principally injective, there is a homomorphism $h_1: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $h_1 \alpha = h$. Define $h_2: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ by $h_2 = g \circ h_1$. $h_2 \alpha = g \circ h_1 \alpha = g \circ h = f$.

Corollary 4.9: If $\mathcal{P}$ is an e-s projective semimodule such that every $\mathcal{P}$ – cyclic subsemimodule of $\mathcal{P}$ is e-s projective, then every e-s projective, then every e-s factor semimodule of an injective semimodule of an injective semimodule is $\mathcal{P}$ – principally injective.

References

- [1] H. M. J. Al-Thani, Projective and Injective Semimodules over Semirings (Doctoral dissertation, University of East London) (1998).
- [2] M. F. Manhal and M. S. Abbas, “Essential-Small M-Projective Modules,” *Iraqi J. Sci.*, vol. 59, no. 1B (2018). [Online] Available: <https://doi.org/10.24996/ijss.2018.59.1b.16>.
- [3] N. X. Tuyen and H. X. Thang, “On Superfluous Subsemimodules,” *Georgian Math. J.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 763-770 (2003). [Online] Available: <https://doi.org/10.1515/gmj.2003.763>.
- [4] K. Pawar, “Department of Mathematics, School of Mathematical Sciences, North Maharashtra University, Jalgaon-425 001 (M.S.) India,” *New Trends in Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 18-21 (2013).
- [5] H. A. Ali and A. M. Alhossaini, “Small Subsemimodule and Some Related Concept,” *J. Discrete Math. Sci. Cryptogr.*, to appear (2025).

- [6] J. S. Golan, *Semirings and Their Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Springer Nature (1999). [Online] Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-015-9333-5>.
- [7] J. R. Tsiba and D. Sow, "On Generators and Projective Semimodules," *International Journal of Algebra*, vol. 4, no. 24, pp. 1153-1167 (2010). [Online] Available: <https://www.m-hikari.com/ija/ija-2010/ija-21-24-2010/sowIJA21-24-2010.pdf>.
- [8] M. Takahashi, "On the Bordism Categories II," *Mathematics Seminar Notes*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 495-530 (1981).
- [9] S. Alhashemi and A. M. Alhossaini, "Extending Semimodule and Singularity," The Seventh Scientific Conference of the Al-Khwarizmi Society, in cooperation with the Technical Institute-Mosul, pp. 330-340 (2021).
- [10] F. Kasch, *Modules and Rings*, L.M.S. Monograph No. 17, Academic Press, New York (1982).
- [11] N. V. Sanh, K. P. Shum, S. Dhompong, and S. Wongwai, "On Quasi-principally Injective Modules," *Algebra Colloquium*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 269-276 (1999).
- [12] A. H. Alwan, "Small Intersection Graph of Subsemimodules of a Semimodule," *Commun. Combinatorics Cryptogr. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 2022, no. 1, pp. 15-22 (2022).
- [13] S. Ediz, M. Cancan, and M. R. Farahani, "Eccentric Connectivity and Connective Eccentricity Indices of Generalized Petersen Graphs," *Commun. Combinatorics Cryptogr. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 2021, no. 1, pp. 1-6 (2021).
- [14] D. Diallo and P. C. Diop, "Modules Whose t-closed Submodules Are Their Homomorphic Images," *J. Discrete Math. Sci. Cryptogr.*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 1297-1314 (2020). [Online] Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720529.2020.1815339>.
- [15] A. Jabbar and A. H. Hussain, "A Q-Smarandache Pseudo Clean Ideals of BH-Algebra," *J. Discrete Math. Sci. Cryptogr.*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 1945-1950 (2022). [Online] Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720529.2022.2099060>.
- [16] E. A. Naim and A. R. Mehdi, "On gs-pseudo-injective modules," *J. Discrete Math. Sci. Cryptogr.*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 1535-1545 (2022). [Online] Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720529.2022.2082724>.

- [17] R. N. Mirza and T. Y. Ghawi, "Radg-lifting Modules," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1001-1013 (2023). [Online] Available: <https://doi.org/10.47974/jim-1600>.
- [18] W. H. Hanoon and A. A. Abboodi, "Large-maximal Small Submodules," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1043-1052 (2023). [Online] Available: <https://doi.org/10.47974/jim-160>.
- [19] M. W. Allami and W. H. Hanoon, "Soc-T-ABSO Submodules and Related Concepts," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1077-1088 (2023). [Online] Available: <https://doi.org/10.47974/jim-1607>.
- [20] F. D. Shyaa, "Small Approximately 2-absorbing Submodules and Modules," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 43-49 (2025). [Online] Available: <https://doi.org/10.47974/jim-1774>.

Received November, 2024