

On β^* -supra topological spaces

Ehab A. Ghaloob *

A. R. Sadek [†]

University of Baghdad

College of Science

Department of Mathematics

Baghdad

Iraq

Abstract

In this paper, a new type of supra closed sets is introduced which we called supra β^* -closed sets in a supra topological space. A new set of separation axioms is defined, and its many properties are examined. The relationships between supra β^* - T_i -spaces ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are studied and shown with instances. Additionally, new varieties of supra β^* -continuous maps have been taken into consideration based on the supra β^* -open sets theory.

Subject Classification: 54A05, 18B30.

Keywords: Supra space, Supra open, Supra g -open set, Supra continuous maps, Mildly closed set.

1. Introduction

In 1983, A. S. Mashhour [1] investigated S -continuous functions and introduced the supra topological spaces. After Mashhour many researchers have worked and generalized many concepts related to supra topological spaces [for instance see[2,3,4] Recall that a subcollection τ^* of $P(X)$ is called a supra topology on X if X belongs to τ^* and τ^* is closed under arbitrary union. Each component of τ^* is known as a supra open, whereas its complement is known as a supra closed. [5].

In what follows we mean by a supra space (X, τ^*) (resp. $\text{int}^*(A), \text{cl}^*(A)$) a supra topological space (resp. supra $\text{int}(A)$, supra $\text{cl}(A)$), where A is a subset of X . A subset A of a supra.space (X, τ^*) is termed supra generalized closed in brief

* E-mail: ihab.ahmed1203a@sc.uobaghdad.iq (Corresponding Author)

[†] E-mail: sadek.r.afraa@gmail.com

(supra g-closed) if $\text{cl}^*(A) \subset W$ wherever $A \subset W$ and W is supra open [6].
Supra g-open is the complement of supra g-closed.

As a generalization to a g-closed set in ordinary topology, J. K. Park and J. H. Park [7] presented the following for the idea of mildly closed sets : A subset A of the topological space (X, τ) is termed a mildly closed set if $\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)) \subset \bar{U}$, wherever $A \subset \bar{U}$ and $\bar{U}$ is g-open. In this paper, we have developed the concept of mildly closed sets via supra spaces and we named it supra β^* -closed sets. Some important properties were proved. Further, we introduced the supra β^* -separation axioms in particular we studied supra β^*-T_i ($i=0,1,2$) and their relationships as well as many examples to illustrate were given.

Finally, the symbols $\text{SgO}(X)$ and $\text{SgC}(X)$ means all supra g-open and supra g-closed subsets in supra space (X, τ^*) respectively.

2 - supra β^* -closed sets.

This section introduces the concept of supra β^* -closed sets . We begin with the following definition.

Definition 2.1: Let A be a subset of a supra space (X, τ^*) then A is called supra β^* -closed , if $(\text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(A))) \subset W$, wherever W is supra-g-open and $A \subset W$.
supra β^* -open is the complement of supra β^* -closed. $\text{S}\beta^*\text{O}(X)$ (resp. $\text{S}\beta^*\text{C}(X)$) will be used to denote supra β^* -open in X (rep. supra β^* -closed).

Example 2.2: Let $X = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ and let $\tau^* = \{X, \emptyset, \{w_1\}, \{w_1, w_2\}, \{w_2, w_3\}\}$ be an X supra topology , so $\text{S}\beta^*\text{C} = \{\emptyset, \{w_2, w_3\}, \{w_3\}, \{w_1\}, \{w_1, w_3\}, X, \{w_2\}\}$,hence $\text{S}\beta^*\text{O} = \{\{w_2\}, \{w_3\}, \{w_1, w_2\}, X, \{w_2, w_3\}, \emptyset, \{w_1, w_3\}\}$.

Remark 2.3:

- (1) Every set that is supra g-closed is also supra β^* -closed.
- (2) Every set that is supra closed is also supra β^* -closed.

Proof: (1) Let $A \in \text{SgC}(X)$ and U be a supra g-open that contains A in X , then $\text{cl}^*(A) \subset U$. Hence $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(A)) \subset \text{cl}^*(A) \subset U$, implies A is supra β^* -closed.
(2) Follows immediately from (1) since any supra closed is also a supra g-closed [8]. The opposite of the preceding statement is not correct, as shown by the example that follows.

Example 2.4: Assume $X = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ and $\tau^* = \{X, \emptyset, \{w_1\}, \{w_1, w_2\}, \{w_2, w_3\}\}$ be an X supra topology . Now that supra g-closed $= \{\emptyset, X, \{w_2, w_3\}, \{w_3\}, \{w_1\}, \{w_1, w_3\}\}$ and supra g-open $= \{X, \emptyset, \{w_1\}, \{w_1, w_2\}, \{w_2, w_3\}, \{w_2\}\}$.The collection of all supra β^* -closed is the family $\{\emptyset, X, \{w_2, w_3\}, \{w_3\}, \{w_1\}, \{w_1, w_3\}, \{w_2\}\}$,implies $\text{S}\beta^*\text{O} = \{\{w_2\}, \{w_1\}, \emptyset, \{w_1, w_2\}, \{w_2, w_3\}, X, \{w_1, w_3\}\}$. In this example, it is clear that the collections of supra open, $\text{SgO}(X)$ and $\text{S}\beta^*\text{O}(X)$ are not identical .

The following proposition explain that the family of supra β^* -closed sets satisfies a useful property.

Proposition 2.5: Intersection of any collection supra β^* -closed sets is a supra β^* -closed.

Proof: Assume that a family of supra β^* -closed sets is $\{E_i; i \in I\}$, we want to show $\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i$ is supra β^* -closed. Now $\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i \subset E_i$, so $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}(\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i)) \subset \text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(E_i))$ [9] and since E_i is supra β^* -closed for all i , implies for each $u_i \in \text{SgO}(X)$ such that $E_i \subset u_i$, $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(u_i)) \subset u_i$. Hence for each supra g-open containing $\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i$ must contain $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i))$ which complete the proof.

Theorem 2.6: A subset A of supra.space (X, τ^*) is supra β^* -closed if $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(A)) - A$ contains no non-empty supra closed.

Proof: Assume that $W \subseteq \text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(A)) - A$ where W is nonempty supra closed, implies $W \subseteq \text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(A)) \cap A^c$, which leads to $A \subseteq W^c$. Hence W^c is supra open as well as supra g-open [8] that contains A . But A is supra β^* -closed, so we have $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(A)) \subseteq W^c$ implies $W \subseteq \text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(A)) \cap (\text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(A)))^c = \emptyset$ which is impossible. As a result, $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(A)) - A$ includes no nonempty supra closed set.

Many supra g-closed subsets in supra.space satisfy $\text{cl}^*(A \cup B) = \text{cl}^*(A) \cup \text{cl}^*(B)$ as illustrated in the following example.

Example 2.7: Suppose $X = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$ with supra topology $\tau^* = \{X, \emptyset, \{w_1, w_2\}, \{w_3, w_4\}, \{w_2, w_3, w_4\}\}$. If $A = \{w_1, w_2\}$, $B = \{w_3, w_4\}$. It is simple to demonstrate that A and B are both supra g-closed and $\text{cl}^*(A \cup B) = \text{cl}^*(A) \cup \text{cl}^*(B)$.

We mean by a supra g-open subset A has a property Γ if $A \cap G$ is supra g-open for each supra open set G in a supra.space (X, τ^*) . We have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.8: Consider the following: $B \subseteq A \subseteq X$, B is supra β^* closed set relative to A and A is supra β^* closed subset of a supra space (X, τ^*) which has property Γ . Then B is supra β^* closed set relative to X .

Proof: Assume $B \subseteq G$ where G be a supra g-open in X . Now we have $B \subseteq A \subseteq X$ so $A \subseteq B$ also $B \subseteq G$ and hence $B \subseteq A \cap G$. Where B is supra β^* -closed set relative to A , hence $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(B)) \subseteq A \cap G$ which leads to $A \cap \text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(B)) \subseteq A \cap G$ implies $A \cap \text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(B)) \subseteq G$. Thus $A \cap \text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(B)) \cup [\text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(B))]^c \subseteq G \cup [\text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(B))]^c$, implies $A \subseteq G \cup [\text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(B))]^c$. Therefore A is supra β^* -closed in X . We now have $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(A)) \subseteq G \cup [\text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(B))]^c$. Since $B \subseteq A \subseteq [\text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(B))]^c$. Therefore $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(B)) \subseteq G$, since $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(B))$ does not contain $[\text{cl}^*(\text{int}^*(B))]^c$. Hence B is supra β^* closed set relative to X .

3. Supra β^* -Separations Axioms:-

We introduce new classes of supra β^* -separation axioms and investigate their characterizations in this section.

Definition 3.1: A supra space (X, τ^*) is also known as supra β^*-T_0 ($S\beta^*-T_0$) if for all $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2 \in X$, If $U \in S\beta^*O(X)$, then $\omega_1 \in U$ and $\omega_2 \notin U$.

Example 3.2: Let $X = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$ with supra topology $\tau^* = \{X, \emptyset, \{w_1, w_2\}, \{w_2, w_3, w_4\}\}$, so $S\beta^*C = \{X, \emptyset, \{w_3, w_4\}, \{w_1\}, \{w_3\}, \{w_4\}, \{w_2\}, \{w_1, w_3, w_4\}, \{w_1, w_3\}, \{w_1, w_4\}, \{w_2, w_4\}, \{w_2, w_3\}\}$, hence $S\beta^*O = \{X, \emptyset, \{w_1, w_2\}, \{w_2, w_3, w_4\}, \{w_1, w_2, w_4\}, \{w_1, w_3, w_4\}, \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}, \{w_2, w_4\}, \{w_2, w_3\}, \{w_1, w_3\}, \{w_1, w_4\}\}$. It is not difficult to show that (X, τ^*) is not supra T_0 -space, while it is $S\beta^*-T_0$ -space.

Remark 3.3: All supra T_0 -spaces are supra β^*-T_0 -spaces, the opposite of the preceding is not correct, as shown by the example that follows.

Example 3.4: Let $X = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ with supra topology $\tau^* = \{X, \emptyset, \{w_1\}, \{w_1, w_2\}, \{w_2, w_3\}\}$, $\tau^{*c} = \{\emptyset, X, \{w_2, w_3\}, \{w_3\}, \{w_1\}\}$. Thus $S\beta^*C = \{\emptyset, X, \{w_2, w_3\}, \{w_3\}, \{w_1\}, \{w_1, w_3\}, \{w_3\}\}$, and $S\beta^*O = \{X, \emptyset, \{w_1\}, \{w_1, w_2\}, \{w_2, w_3\}, \{w_2\}, \{w_1, w_3\}\}$.

Definition 3.5: Considering (X, τ) , (X^*, τ^*) two supra spaces, then a map $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X^*, \tau^*)$ is called

- (1) Supra β^* -open map if each supra β^* -open in X image is supra β^* -open in X^* .
- (2) Supra β^* -Continuous: if $f^{-1}(A) \in S\beta^*O(X)$, then A is a supra β^* -open set in X^* .

Theorem 3.6: Consider the following: $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X^*, \tau^*)$ be a bijective, supra β^* -open map, if (X, τ) is $S\beta^*-T_0$, then (X^*, τ^*) is $S\beta^*-T_0$.

Proof: Assume (X, τ) be a $S\beta^*-T_0$. Next, we must demonstrate that (X^*, τ^*) is $S\beta^*-T_0$. Suppose $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2 \in X^*$ and because f be a bijective map, then there are $\omega_1 \neq \omega_1 \in X$ implies that $\omega_1^* = f(\omega_1)$, $\omega_2^* = f(\omega_2)$ and since (X, τ) is $S\beta^*-T_0$, there exists a supra β^* -open set G in X implies that $\omega_1 \in G$, $\omega_2 \notin G$. Hence $f(G) \subseteq X^*$ is a supra β^* -open set, which contains ω_1^* . It's obvious $\omega_2^* \notin f(G)$, (X^*, τ^*) is thus $S\beta^*-T_0$.

Theorem 3.7: Let (X, τ) and (X^*, τ^*) be two supra spaces, where (X^*, τ^*) is $S\beta^*-T_0$, let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X^*, \tau^*)$ is a supra β^* -continuous bijective map, then (X, τ) is $S\beta^*-T_0$.

Proof: Assume $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2 \in X$ and ever since f be a bijective map then there exists $\omega_1^* \neq \omega_2^* \in X^*$, implies $\omega_1^* = f(\omega_1)$, $\omega_2^* = f(\omega_2)$. Since (X^*, τ^*) is $S\beta^*-T_0$, since G

is a supra β^* -open set in X^* exists such that $\omega_1^* \in G$, $\omega_2^* \notin G$. Now f is a supra β^* -continuous map, so $f^{-1}(G)$ is a supra β^* -open set in X that contains ω_1 but does not contain ω_2 , which completes the proof.

Definition 3.8: A supra.space (X, τ^*) is also known as supra β^* - T_1 -space ($S\beta^*-T_1$) if for every $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2 \in X$, there exist $G, H \in S\beta^*O$ implies $\omega_1 \in G - H$ and $\omega_2 \in H - G$.

Example 3.9: Let $X = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$ with supra topology $\tau^* = \{X, \emptyset, \{w_1, w_2\}, \{w_2, w_3, w_4\}, \{w_1, w_2, w_4\}, \{w_1, w_3, w_4\}, \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}, \{w_2, w_3\}, \{w_2, w_3\}, \{w_1, w_3\}, \{w_1, w_4\}, \{w_2, w_4\}, X, \{w_2\}, \emptyset, \{w_3, w_4\}, \{w_1\}, \{w_3\}, \{w_4\}, \{w_1, w_3, w_4\}, \{w_1, w_3\}, \{w_1, w_4\}, \{w_2, w_3\}\}$. its clear that (X, τ^*) is not supra T_1 -space, while it is $S\beta^*-T_1$ -space. The following theorem will be given a characterization for a supra space to be $S\beta^*-T_1$.

Theorem 3.10: A supra.space (X, τ^*) is $S\beta^*-T_1$ if and only if $\{w\}^c$ is supra β^* -closed set, for all $w \in X$.

Proof: Assume (X, τ^*) is a supra.space. We want to show $\{w\}^c$ is supra β^* -open in X . Assume $\omega \in \{w\}^c$ and by (3.8) there exists a supra β^* -neighborhood of ω , G_ω does not include w . Hence $\omega \in G_\omega \subseteq \{w\}^c$, thus $\{w\}^c = \bigcup \{G_\omega, \omega \in \{w\}^c\}$ implies $\{w\}^c$ is the union every supra β^* -open sets so by (2.5) $\{w\}^c$ is supra β^* -open. Hence $\{w\}$ is supra β^* -closed. Assume, on the other hand, $\{w\}$ is supra β^* -closed for all $w \in X$ and let $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2 \in X$, hence $\omega_1 \in \{\omega_2\}^c, \omega_2 \in \{\omega_1\}^c \in S\beta^*O$, implies (X, τ^*) is $S\beta^*-T_1$.

Theorem 3.11: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X^*, \tau^*)$ be a bijective, supra β^* -open map. Then (X^*, τ^*) is $S\beta^*-T_1$ if (X, τ) is $S\beta^*-T_1$.

Proof: Assume (X, τ) is $S\beta^*-T_1$. We must now demonstrate (X^*, τ^*) is $S\beta^*-T_1$. Let $\omega_1^*, \omega_2^* \in X^*$ where $\omega_1^* \neq \omega_2^*$ and since f is bijective map, let $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2 \in X$, implies $\omega_1^* = f(\omega_1), \omega_2^* = f(\omega_2)$. But (X, τ) is $S\beta^*-T_1$, there exist $G, H \in S\beta^*O$, such that $\omega_1 \in G, \omega_1 \notin H$ and $\omega_2 \notin G, \omega_2 \in H$, then we have $f(G), f(H) \in S\beta^*O$, implies $\omega_1^* \in f(G), \omega_1^* \notin f(H)$ and $\omega_2^* \notin f(G), \omega_2^* \in f(H)$, so we have done. $\square$

It is known [for instance see [10]] that every finite T_1 -space is discrete space but in $S\beta^*-T_1$. It's not always accurate, as seen by the example that follows.

Example 3.12: Suppose $X = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}$ and let $\tau^* = \{\emptyset, \{\omega_1\}, X, \{\omega_2\}, \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}, \emptyset, \{\omega_2, \omega_3\}\}$, $\tau^{*c} = \{\{\omega_1\}, X, \{\omega_3\}, \{\omega_2\}, \emptyset, \{\omega_2, \omega_3\}, \{\omega_1, \omega_3\}\}$. It is not difficult to compute $S\beta^*C = \{\{\omega_1\}, X, \{\omega_3\}, \emptyset, \{\omega_2\}, \{\omega_2, \omega_3\}, \{\omega_1, \omega_3\}\}$. Hence $S\beta^*O = \{X, \emptyset, \{\omega_1\}, \{\omega_2\}, \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}, \{\omega_2, \omega_3\}\}$, clear that this space is $S\beta^*-T_1$ but it is not discrete space.

Remark 3.13: Every $S\beta^*-T_1$ -space is $S\beta^*-T_0$ -space, however, the opposite is untrue. The following example shows this fact.

Example 3.14: Let $X = \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$, $\tau^* = \{X, \emptyset, \{\omega_1\}\}$, $\tau^{*c} = \{X, \emptyset, \{\omega_2\}\}$. Hence $\text{supra } \beta^*\text{-open} = \{X, \emptyset, \{\omega_1\}\}$.

Definition 3.15: A supra.space (X, τ^*) is also known as supra β^*-T_2 -space ($S\beta^*-T_2$) if for each $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$ in X , there exist $G, H \in S\beta^*O(X)$ such that $x \in G$, $y \in H$ and $G \cap H = \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.16: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X^*, \tau^*)$ be a bijective supra β^* -open map. If (X, τ) is $S\beta^*-T_2$, then (X^*, τ^*) is $S\beta^*-T_2$.

Proof: Assume (X, τ) is $S\beta^*-T_2$. We must prove this (X^*, τ^*) is $S\beta^*-T_1$. Let $\omega_1^*, \omega_2^* \in X^*$ where $\omega_1^* \neq \omega_2^*$ and by assumption f is a bijective map. There exists $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2 \in X$, implies that $\omega_1^* = f(\omega_1), \omega_2^* = f(\omega_2)$ and by the $S\beta^*-T_2$ property of (X, τ) , we have $G, H \subseteq X$ two disjoint supra β^* -open such that $\omega_1 \in G, \omega_2 \in H$. Since f is a supra β^* -open map implies $f(G), f(H) \in S\beta^*O(X)$. Thus $\omega_1^* \in f(G)$, $\omega_2^* \in f(H)$ and $f(G) \cap f(H) = f(G \cap H) = \emptyset$ [11] and we have done.

Remark 3.17: Every $S\beta^*-T_2$ is $S\beta^*-T_1$. The opposite, however, is untrue., as seen by the example that follows.

Example 3.18: Let $X = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}$ with supra topology $\tau^* = \{X, \emptyset, \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}, \{\omega_2, \omega_3\}\}$, Hence, $\tau^{*c} = \{X, \emptyset, \{\omega_1\}, \{\omega_3\}\}$. Thus $S\beta^*C = \{X, \emptyset, \{\omega_1\}, \{\omega_3\}, \{\omega_1, \omega_3\}, \{\omega_2\}\}$, implies $S\beta^*O = \{X, \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}, \{\omega_2, \omega_3\}, \emptyset, \{\omega_1, \omega_3\}, \{\omega_2\}\}$. Note that $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in X$ cannot separate by disjoint supra β^* -open.

Remark 3.19

- (1) Every subspace within a supra T_0 -space is a supra β^*-T_0 -space.
- (2) Every subspace within a supra T_1 -space is a supra β^*-T_1 -space.
- (3) Every subspace within a supra T_2 -space is a supra β^*-T_2 -space.

Proof: (1) Assume $\mathcal{Y}$ be a supra subspace of a supra T_0 -space (X, τ^*) and let $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{Y}$, hence $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in X$. Now since (X, τ^*) is supra T_0 -space, therefore, a super open set exists $G \subseteq X$ implies that $\omega_1 \in G, \omega_2 \notin G$. Thus $G_y = \mathcal{Y} \cap G$ is supra open set in $\mathcal{Y}$ [12] and clear that $\omega_1 \in G_y, \omega_2 \notin G_y$, implies G_y is supra open in $\mathcal{Y}$ and hence supra β^* -open (2.3), which contain ω_1 and not contain ω_2 , implies $(\mathcal{Y}, \tau_y^*)$ is supra β^*-T_0 -subspace. The proof of (2), and (3) is similar. $\square$

5. Conclusion

Some separation axioms appeared in a new generalization by using of supra β^* -open sets in supra.spaces. In addition, many properties and relations of supra β^*-T_i -space ($i = 0, 1, 2$) have been investigated and illustrated by examples.

References

- [1] A. S. Mashour, A. A. Allam, F.S. Mahmoud and F.H. Khrdr, "On supra topological space", *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 14, no. 4, pp. 502-510 (1983).
- [2] T. M. Al-Shami, "Some results related to supra topological spaces", *Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology*, 7, no. 4, pp. 283-294 (2016).
- [3] T. M. AL-Shami, B. A. Asaad and M. K. El-Bably, "Weak types of limit points and separation Axioms on supra topological spaces", *Scientific Journal* 9, no. 10, pp. 801-803 (2020).
- [4] B. A. Asaad, T. M. Al-Shami and El-Sayed A. Abo-Tabl, "Applications of some operators on supra topological spaces", *Demonstration Mathematical*, 53, pp. 292-308 (2020).
- [5] O. R. Sayed and Takashi Noiri, "On supra b-open sets and supra b-continuity on topological spaces", *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 3, no. 2, pp. 295-302 (2010).
- [6] O. Ravi, G. Ramkumar and M. Kamaraj, "On supra g-closed sets", *International Journal of Advances in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 2, no.1, pp. 52-66 (2011).
- [7] J. K. Park and J. H. Park, "Mildly generalized closed sets", almost normal and mildly normal space, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 20, pp. 1103-1111 (2004).
- [8] S. Dayana Mary and N. Nagaveni, "Decomposition of Generalized Closed Sets in Supra Topological Spaces", *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 51, no.6, pp: 1-4 (2012).
- [9] G. Ramkumar, "A study of supra topological spaces", Madurai Kamaraj University, Ph.D.Tesis, (2012).
- [10] W. Sierpinski, "General topology", Dover Publications, INC. Mineola, NewYork, (2020).
- [11] A. R. Sadak, P, "P-L. Compact topological ring", *Iraq Journal of Science*, 57, no. 4B, 2754-2759 (2016).
- [12] B.K. Mahmoud, "On supra –separation Axioms for supra topological space", *Tikrit Journal of Pure Science* 22, no.2, pp. 117-120 (2017).
- [13] Shubham Sharma & Ahmed J. Obaid. Mathematical modeling, analysis and design of fuzzy logic controller for the control of ventilation systems using MATLAB fuzzy logic toolbox, *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, 23:4, 843-849 (2020), DOI: 10.1080/09720502.2020.1727611.

Received May 2022