

Kernel fuzzy ideals and $\ast$ -fuzzy congruences on pseudo-complemented almost distributive lattices

Wondwosen Zemene Norahun $\ast$

Department of Mathematics

University of Gondar

P. O. Box : 196

Gondar

Ethiopia

Dawit Solomon Tadesse †

Department of Mathematics

Kotebe Metropolitan University

P. O. Box : 31248

Addis Ababa

Ethiopia

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concept of $\ast$ -fuzzy congruence relation on a pseudo-complemented almost distributive lattice and we provide a necessary and sufficient condition for a fuzzy congruence to be a $\ast$ -fuzzy congruence. We also introduce the concept of kernel fuzzy ideals in terms of $\ast$ -fuzzy congruence. Moreover, we give a set of equivalent condition for a fuzzy ideal to be a kernel fuzzy ideal. We show that every fuzzy filter of a pseudo-complemented ADL is a cokernel of some $\ast$ -fuzzy congruence.

Subject Classification: (2010) 06D72, 06F15, 08A72.

Keywords: Almost distributive lattice (ADL), Pseudo-complemented ADL, Kernel ideal, Fuzzy ideal, Fuzzy filter, Kernel fuzzy ideal, Cokernel fuzzy filter, Fuzzy congruence relation.

$\ast$ E-mail: wondie1976@gmail.com (Corresponding Author)

† E-mail: devatasol@gmail.com

1. Introduction

The theory of pseudo-complementation was introduced and extensively studied in semi-lattices and particularly in distributive lattices by O. Frink [15] and G. Birkhoff [13]. In 1973, W. H. Cornish [14], studied congruence on pseudo-complemented distributive lattices and identified those ideals and filters that are congruence kernels and cokernels respectively. In 2012, M. S. Rao and G. C. Rao [24], introduced the concept of $*$ -fuzzy congruences and kernel ideals in a pseudo-complemented almost distributive lattice. They provide a necessary and sufficient condition for an ideal to be a kernel ideal. Recently, G. M. Addis [1], studied on congruence relations in a pseudo-complemented almost distributive lattice. He also studied the concept of congruence kernel ideals and congruence cokernel filters in a pseudo-complemented almost distributive lattice. Furthermore, he characterized congruence kernel ideals in terms of α -ideals and he provide a set of equivalent condition for every ideal to be congruence kernel.

Many papers on fuzzy algebras have been published since Rosenfeld [26] introduced the concept of fuzzy group in 1971. In particular, fuzzy subgroups and fuzzy normal subgroups of a group (see [9, 11, 12, 16, 19, 22]), fuzzy subrings and fuzzy ideals of a ring (see [17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23]), fuzzy sublattices and fuzzy ideals of a lattice (see [3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 28, 32]). Recently, Rasul Rasuli studied the concept of fuzzy congruence on product lattices by using T-norms [25]. B. A. Alaba and W. Z. Norahun studied the concept of fuzzy ideals and fuzzy filters in a pseudo-complemented semilattice. They also studied the concept of $*$ -fuzzy congruence relations and investigate some of its properties. Using the concept of $*$ -fuzzy congruence, they studied the concept of kernel fuzzy ideals and cokernel fuzzy filters in a pseudo-complemented semilattice [6]. In this paper, we introduce the concept of $*$ -fuzzy congruence on a pseudo-complemented almost distributive lattice and we provide a necessary and sufficient condition for a fuzzy congruence to be a $*$ -fuzzy congruence. We also introduce the concept of kernel fuzzy ideals and cokernel fuzzy filters in a pseudo-complemented ADL in terms of $*$ -fuzzy congruence and investigate some of its properties. We give a set of equivalent condition for a fuzzy ideal to be a kernel fuzzy ideal. Furthermore, for any fuzzy ideal of L , we observe that there exists the smallest kernel fuzzy ideal containing it. We show that the class of all kernel fuzzy ideals forms a complete distributive lattice. We prove that every fuzzy filter of a pseudo-complemented ADL is a cokernel of some $*$ -fuzzy congruence. We show

that there is an isomorphism between the class of all kernel fuzzy ideals $FKI(L)$ of L and the class of all fuzzy ideals of the skeletal elements $FI(S(L))$ of L .

2. Preliminaries

We refer to [27] for the elementary properties of almost distributive lattices.

Definition 2.1 : [27] An almost distributive lattice (ADL) with 0 is an algebra $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0)$ of type $(2, 2, 0)$ satisfying the following properties:

- (1) $(x \vee y) \wedge z = (x \wedge z) \vee (y \wedge z),$
- (2) $x \wedge (y \vee z) = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z),$
- (3) $(x \vee y) \wedge y = y,$
- (4) $(x \vee y) \wedge x = x,$
- (5) $x \vee (x \wedge y) = x,$
- (6) $0 \wedge x = 0,$ for all $x, y, z \in L.$

If “ $\leq$ ” is a binary relation on L defined by:

$$a \leq b \text{ if and only if } a \wedge b = a, \text{ or equivalently } a \vee b = b$$

then, $(L, \leq)$ is a partially ordered set (poset).

An element m in L is said to be maximal if it is maximal with respect to the partial ordering $\leq$ on L .

Theorem 2.2 : [27] Let L be an ADL and $m \in L$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) m is a maximal element
- (2) $m \vee a = m$ for all $a \in L$
- (3) $m \wedge a = a$ for all $a \in L$
- (4) $a \vee m$ is maximal for all $a \in L$

A nonempty subset I of L is called an ideal (filter) of L if $a \vee b \in I (a \wedge b \in I)$ and $a \wedge x \in I (x \vee a \in I)$ whenever $a, b \in I$ and $x \in L$.

Definition 2.3 : [29] Let L be an ADL. Then the operation $a \rightarrow a^*$ on L is called a pseudo-complementation on L if, for any $a, b \in L$ it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $a \wedge b = 0 \Rightarrow a^* \wedge b = b$
- (2) $a \wedge a^* = 0$
- (3) $(a \vee b)^* = a^* \wedge b^*$.

In this case, $(L, \vee, \wedge, *, 0)$ is called a pseudo-complemented ADL.

Theorem 2.4 : [20] *For any two elements a, b of a pseudo-complemented ADL, we have the following:*

- (1) 0^* is a maximal element,
- (2) If m is a maximal element, then $m^* = 0$,
- (3) $0^{**} = 0$,
- (4) $0^* \wedge a = a$,
- (5) $a^{**} \wedge a = a$,
- (6) $a^{***} = a^*$,
- (7) $a \leq b \Rightarrow b^* \leq a^*$,
- (8) $a^* \wedge b^* = b^* \wedge a^*$,
- (9) $(a \wedge b)^{**} = a^{**} \wedge b^{**}$,
- (10) $a^* \wedge b = (a \wedge b)^* \wedge b$.

For any pseudo-complemented ADL, let us denote the set of all elements of the form x^* by D . Clearly D is a filter of L .

Definition 2.5 : [3] Let X be any non-empty set. A mapping $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a fuzzy subset of X .

The unit interval $[0, 1]$ together with the operations $\min$ and $\max$ form a complete lattice satisfying the infinite meet distributive law; i.e.,

$$\alpha \wedge (\bigvee_{\beta \in M} \beta) = \bigvee_{\beta \in M} (\alpha \wedge \beta)$$

for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and any $M \subseteq [0, 1]$.

We often write $\wedge$ for minimum or infimum and $\vee$ for maximum or supremum. That is, for all $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ we have, $\alpha \wedge \beta = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$ and $\alpha \vee \beta = \max\{\alpha, \beta\}$.

Definition 2.6 : [33] Let μ be a fuzzy subset of a set X and $t \in [0, 1]$. The set $\mu_t = \{x \in X : \mu(x) \geq t\}$ is called a t -level subset of the fuzzy subset of μ . Clearly $\mu_t \subseteq \mu_s$ whenever $t \geq s$.

Definition 2.7 : [30] A fuzzy subset μ of an ADL L is called a fuzzy ideal of L if; for all $x, y \in L$ the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $\mu(0) = 1$,
- (2) $\mu(x \vee y) \geq \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y)$,
- (3) $\mu(x \wedge y) \geq \mu(x) \vee \mu(y)$.

Definition 2.8 : [31] Let L be an ADL L with a maximal element m . A fuzzy subset μ of L is called a fuzzy filter of L if; for all $x, y \in L$ the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $\mu(m) = 1$,
- (2) $\mu(x \vee y) \geq \mu(x) \vee \mu(y)$,
- (3) $\mu(x \wedge y) \geq \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y)$.

Theorem 2.9 : [30] Let L be an almost distributive lattice, $x \in L$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Define a fuzzy subset α_x of L as:

$$\alpha_x(y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y \in (x], \\ \alpha, & \text{if } y \notin (x] \end{cases}$$

is a fuzzy ideal of L . α_x is called the α -level fuzzy ideal corresponding to x .

The binary operations “+” and “ $\cdot$ ” on the set of all fuzzy subsets of a distributive lattice L defined as:

$$(\mu + \theta)(x) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(y) \wedge \theta(z) : y, z \in L, y \vee z = x\} \text{ and}$$

$$(\mu \cdot \theta)(x) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(y) \wedge \theta(z) : y, z \in L, y \wedge z = x\}.$$

If μ and θ are fuzzy ideals of L , then $\mu \cdot \theta = \mu \wedge \theta = \mu \cap \theta$ and $\mu + \theta = \mu \vee \theta$.

If μ and θ are fuzzy filters of L , then $\mu + \theta = \mu \wedge \theta$ and $\mu \cdot \theta = \mu \vee \theta$.

Definition 2.10 : [2] A fuzzy subset θ of $L \times L$ is said to be a fuzzy congruence relation on an ADL L if and only if, for any $x, y, z, w \in L$, the following hold:

- (1) $\theta(x, x) = 1$,
- (2) $\theta(x, y) = \theta(y, x)$,
- (3) $\theta(x, y) \wedge \theta(y, z) \leq \theta(x, z)$,
- (4) $\theta(x, y) \wedge \theta(z, w) \leq \theta(x \vee z, y \vee w) \wedge \theta(x \wedge z, y \wedge w)$.

Note: Throughout the rest of this paper L stands for a pseudo-complemented almost distributive lattice $(L, \vee, \wedge, *, 0, m)$.

3. *-fuzzy congruences on ADLs

In this section, we introduce a *-fuzzy congruence relation on a pseudo-complemented ADL. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for a fuzzy congruence to be a *-fuzzy congruence.

If μ is a fuzzy filter in an ADL, then the relation defined by:

$$\Psi_{\mu}(x, y) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(a) : x \wedge a = y \wedge a, a \in L\}$$

is a fuzzy congruence on L . It is a special case of the fuzzy congruence Ψ^{η} generally defined for multiplicatively closed fuzzy subset η in [2].

Lemma 3.1 : For any two fuzzy filters η_1 and η_2 of L ,

$$\Psi_{\eta_1} \circ \Psi_{\eta_2} = \Psi_{\eta_2} \circ \Psi_{\eta_1}.$$

In this case, $\Psi_{\eta_1} \vee \Psi_{\eta_2} = \Psi_{\eta_1} \circ \Psi_{\eta_2}$.

Proof : To prove our claim, it is enough to prove that $\Psi_{\eta_1} \circ \Psi_{\eta_2} = \Psi_{\eta_1 \vee \eta_2}$. For any $x, y \in L$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi_{\eta_1} \circ \Psi_{\eta_2})(x, y) &= \text{Sup}\{\Psi_{\eta_1}(z, y) \wedge \Psi_{\eta_2}(x, z) : z \in L\} \\ &= \text{Sup}\{\text{Sup}\{\eta_1(a) : z \wedge a = y \wedge a\} \wedge \text{Sup}\{\eta_2(b) : x \wedge b = z \wedge b\} : \\ &\quad z \in L\} \\ &= \text{Sup}\{\text{Sup}\{\eta_1(a) \wedge \eta_2(b) : z \wedge a = y \wedge a, x \wedge b = z \wedge b\} : z \in L\} \end{aligned}$$

If $z \wedge a = y \wedge a$ and $x \wedge b = z \wedge b$, then $x \wedge a \wedge b = y \wedge a \wedge b$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi_{\eta_1} \circ \Psi_{\eta_2})(x, y) &\leq \text{Sup}\{\text{Sup}\{\eta_1(a) \wedge \eta_2(b) : x \wedge a \wedge b = y \wedge a \wedge b\} \\ &\leq \text{Sup}\{\text{Sup}\{\eta_1(r_1) \wedge \eta_2(r_2) : r_1 \wedge r_2 = a \wedge b\} : x \wedge a \wedge b = y \wedge a \wedge b\} \\ &= \text{Sup}\{(\eta_1 \vee \eta_2)(a \wedge b) : x \wedge a \wedge b = y \wedge a \wedge b\} \\ &\leq \text{Sup}\{(\eta_1 \vee \eta_2)(r) : x \wedge r = y \wedge r, r \in L\} \\ &= \Psi_{\eta_1 \vee \eta_2}(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\Psi_{\eta_1} \circ \Psi_{\eta_2} \subseteq \Psi_{\eta_1 \vee \eta_2}$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\eta_1 \vee \eta_2}(x, y) &= \text{Sup}\{(\eta_1 \vee \eta_2)(r) : x \wedge r = y \wedge r, r \in L\} \\ &= \text{Sup}\{\text{Sup}\{\eta_1(a) \wedge \eta_2(b) : a \wedge b = r\} : x \wedge a \wedge b = y \wedge a \wedge b\} \end{aligned}$$

Now we need to find some $z \in L$ such that $z \wedge a = y \wedge a$ and $x \wedge b = z \wedge b$.

If $x \wedge a \wedge b = y \wedge a \wedge b$, then $x \wedge b \wedge a = y \wedge b \wedge a$. Put $z = (y \wedge a) \vee (x \wedge b)$. Then $z \wedge a = y \wedge a$ and $z \wedge b = x \wedge b$. Based on this we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{\eta_1 \vee \eta_2}(x, y) &\leq \text{Sup}\{\text{Sup}\{\eta_1(a) : z \wedge a = y \wedge a\} \wedge \text{Sup}\{\eta_2(b) : z \wedge b = x \wedge b\} : z \in L\} \\ &= \text{Sup}\{\Psi_{\eta_1}(z, y) \wedge \Psi_{\eta_2}(x, z) : z \in L\} \\ &= (\Psi_{\eta_1} \circ \Psi_{\eta_2})(x, y)\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\Psi_{\eta_1 \vee \eta_2} \subseteq \Psi_{\eta_1} \circ \Psi_{\eta_2}$. Hence $\Psi_{\eta_1 \vee \eta_2} = \Psi_{\eta_1} \circ \Psi_{\eta_2}$. In the similar procedure we can easily show that $\Psi_{\eta_2 \vee \eta_1} = \Psi_{\eta_2} \circ \Psi_{\eta_1}$. Since $\eta_1 \vee \eta_2 = \eta_2 \vee \eta_1$, we get that $\Psi_{\eta_1 \vee \eta_2} = \Psi_{\eta_2 \vee \eta_1}$. Therefore, $\Psi_{\eta_1} \circ \Psi_{\eta_2} = \Psi_{\eta_2} \circ \Psi_{\eta_1}$. $\square$

Now we introduce the concept of *-fuzzy congruence relation.

Definition 3.2 : A fuzzy congruence relation ψ on L is called a *-fuzzy congruence if $\psi(x, y) \leq \psi(x^*, y^*)$ for all $x, y \in L$.

Theorem 3.3 : A fuzzy congruence ψ on L is a *-fuzzy congruence if and only if each level subset of ψ is a *-congruence on L .

Corollary 3.4 : Let ψ be a congruence relation on L . Then ψ is a *-congruence on L if and only if its characteristic function χ_ψ is a *-fuzzy congruence on L .

Theorem 3.5 : A fuzzy congruence ψ on L is a *-fuzzy congruence if and only if

$$\psi(x^*, m) \geq \psi(x, 0) \text{ for all } x \in L.$$

Proof : Suppose ψ is a *-fuzzy congruence on L . Then by Definition 3.2 $\psi(x^*, 0^*) \geq \psi(x, 0)$. Suppose conversely that the condition holds. Let $a, b \in L$. Since ψ is a fuzzy congruence on L , $\psi(a, b) \leq \psi(a \wedge a^*, b \wedge a^*) = \psi(0, b \wedge a^*)$. By the assumption, we have $\psi(0, b \wedge a^*) \leq \psi(0^*, (b \wedge a^*)^*)$. Thus $\psi(a, b) \leq \psi(0^*, (b \wedge a^*)^*) \leq \psi(a^*, (b \wedge a^*)^* \wedge a^*) = \psi(a^*, a^* \wedge b^*)$.

Similarly, $\psi(a, b) \leq \psi(a^* \wedge b^*, b^*)$. Since ψ is a fuzzy congruence, $\psi(a^*, b^*) \geq \psi(a^*, a^* \wedge b^*) \wedge \psi(a^* \wedge b^*, b^*)$. Thus $\psi(a^*, b^*) \geq \psi(a, b)$. So ψ is a *-fuzzy congruence on L . $\square$

Theorem 3.6 : Let μ be a fuzzy filter of L . Define a fuzzy relation ψ^μ on L by:

$$\psi^\mu(x, y) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(z) : x \wedge z^{**} = y \wedge z^{**}, z \in L\}.$$

Then ψ^μ is a $*$ -fuzzy congruence.

Proof : Clearly ψ^μ is reflexive and symmetric. Now

(1) For any $a, b, c \in L$,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^\mu(a, b) \wedge \psi^\mu(b, c) &= \text{Sup}\{\mu(f_1) : a \wedge f_1^{**} = b \wedge f_1^{**}\} \\ &\quad \wedge \text{Sup}\{\mu(f_2) : b \wedge f_2^{**} = c \wedge f_2^{**}\} \\ &= \text{Sup}\{\mu(f_1 \wedge f_2) : a \wedge f_1^{**} = b \wedge f_1^{**}, \\ &\quad b \wedge f_2^{**} = c \wedge f_2^{**}\}\end{aligned}$$

If $a \wedge f_1^{**} = b \wedge f_1^{**}$ and $b \wedge f_2^{**} = c \wedge f_2^{**}$, then $a \wedge (f_1 \wedge f_2)^{**} = c \wedge (f_1 \wedge f_2)^{**}$. Using this, we can easily show that $\psi^\mu(a, b) \wedge \psi^\mu(b, c) \leq \psi^\mu(a, c)$.

(2) For any $a, b, c, d \in L$,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^\mu(a, b) \wedge \psi^\mu(c, d) &= \text{Sup}\{\mu(f_1) : a \wedge f_1^{**} = b \wedge f_1^{**}\} \wedge \\ &\quad \text{Sup}\{\mu(f_2) : c \wedge f_2^{**} = d \wedge f_2^{**}\} \\ &= \text{Sup}\{\mu(f_1 \wedge f_2) : a \wedge f_1^{**} = b \wedge f_1^{**}, \\ &\quad c \wedge f_2^{**} = d \wedge f_2^{**}\}\end{aligned}$$

If $a \wedge f_1^{**} = b \wedge f_1^{**}$ and $c \wedge f_2^{**} = d \wedge f_2^{**}$, then $(a \wedge c) \wedge (f_1 \wedge f_2)^{**} = (b \wedge d) \wedge (f_1 \wedge f_2)^{**}$ and $(a \vee c) \wedge (f_1 \wedge f_2)^{**} = (b \vee d) \wedge (f_1 \wedge f_2)^{**}$. Using this fact, we can show that $\psi^\mu(a, b) \wedge \psi^\mu(c, d) \leq \psi^\mu(a \wedge c, b \wedge d)$ and $\psi^\mu(a \wedge c, b \wedge d) \leq \psi^\mu(a \vee c, b \vee d)$. Thus ψ^μ is a fuzzy congruence on L .

To show ψ^μ is a $*$ -fuzzy congruence, let $\psi^\mu(a, 0) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(f) : a \wedge f^{**} = 0, f \in L\}$. Since $a \wedge f^{**} = 0$, we get that $a^* \wedge f^{**} = 0^* \wedge f^{**}$. This implies that $\psi^\mu(a, 0) \leq \psi^\mu(a^*, 0^*)$. Therefore ψ^μ is a $*$ -fuzzy congruence. $\square$

Theorem 3.7 : Let μ be a fuzzy ideal of L . Define a fuzzy relation $\psi(\mu)$ on L by:

$$\psi(\mu)(x, y) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(z) : x \wedge z^* = y \wedge z^*, z \in L\}.$$

Then $\psi(\mu)$ is a $*$ -fuzzy congruence on L .

Proof : Clearly $\psi(\mu)$ is both reflexive and symmetric.

(1) For any $a, b, c \in L$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(\mu)(a, b) \wedge \psi(\mu)(b, c) &= \text{Sup}\{\mu(x) : a \wedge x^* = b \wedge x^*\} \\
 &\quad \wedge \text{Sup}\{\mu(y) : b \wedge y^* = c \wedge y^*\} \\
 &= \text{Sup}\{\mu(x \vee y) : a \wedge x^* = b \wedge x^*, \\
 &\quad b \wedge y^* = c \wedge y^*\}
 \end{aligned}$$

If $a \wedge x^* = b \wedge x^*$ and $b \wedge y^* = c \wedge y^*$, then $a \wedge (x \vee y)^* = c \wedge (x \vee y)^*$.

Using this, we can easily show that $\psi(\mu)(a, b) \leq \psi(\mu)(a, c)$.

(2) For any $a, b, c, d \in L$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(\mu)(a, b) \wedge \psi(\mu)(c, d) &= \text{Sup}\{\mu(i_1) : a \wedge i_1^* = b \wedge i_1^*\} \\
 &\quad \wedge \text{Sup}\{\mu(i_2) : c \wedge i_2^* = d \wedge i_2^*\} \\
 &= \text{Sup}\{\mu(i_1 \vee i_2) : a \wedge i_1^* = b \wedge i_1^*, \\
 &\quad c \wedge i_2^* = d \wedge i_2^*\}
 \end{aligned}$$

If $a \wedge i_1^* = b \wedge i_1^*$ and $c \wedge i_2^* = d \wedge i_2^*$, we can easily verified that $(a \wedge c) \wedge (i_1 \vee i_2)^* = (b \wedge d) \wedge (i_1 \vee i_2)^*$ and $(a \vee c) \wedge (i_1 \vee i_2)^* = (b \vee d) \wedge (i_1 \vee i_2)^*$. Using this fact we can show that $\psi(\mu)(a, b) \wedge \psi(\mu)(c, d) \leq \psi(\mu)(a \wedge c, b \wedge d)$ and $\psi(\mu)(a, b) \wedge \psi(\mu)(c, d) \leq \psi(\mu)(a \vee c, b \vee d)$. Thus $\psi(\mu)$ is a fuzzy congruence on L .

Next, we show that $\psi(\mu)$ is a $*$ -fuzzy congruence. Let $a \in L$. Then $\psi(\mu)(a, 0) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(x) : a \wedge x^* = 0, x \in L\}$. Since $a \wedge x^* = 0$, we have $a^* \wedge x^* = 0^* \wedge x^*$. Thus $\psi(\mu)(a, 0) \leq \psi(\mu)(a^*, 0^*)$. So $\psi(\mu)$ is a $*$ -fuzzy congruence. $\square$

4. Kernel fuzzy ideals

In this section, we introduce the concept of kernel fuzzy ideals and cokernel fuzzy filters in a pseudo-complemented ADL in terms of $*$ -fuzzy congruence and investigate some of its properties. We give a set of equivalent condition for a fuzzy ideal to be a kernel fuzzy ideal. Furthermore, we show that the class of all kernel fuzzy ideals forms a complete distributive lattice.

If ψ is a fuzzy congruence on L and $x \in L$, then the fuzzy subset $[\psi]_x$ of L defined by

$$[\psi]_x(y) = \psi(x, y) \text{ for all } y \in L$$

is called a fuzzy congruence class of L determined by ψ and x . In [2], B. A. Alaba and G. M. Addis observed that, the fuzzy congruence class $[\psi]_0$ of

L determined by 0 is a fuzzy ideal of L . Now we define kernel fuzzy ideal of an almost distributive lattice in terms of $*$ -fuzzy congruence.

Definition 4.1 : A fuzzy ideal μ of L is called a kernel fuzzy ideal if $\mu = [\psi]_0$, where ψ is a $*$ -fuzzy congruence on L .

Theorem 4.2 : For any fuzzy ideal μ of L the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) μ is a kernel fuzzy ideal,
- (2) For $x, y \in L, x^* = y^* \Rightarrow \mu(x) = \mu(y)$,
- (3) $\mu(x) = \mu(x^{**})$,
- (4) $\mu((x^* \wedge y^*)^*) \geq \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y)$ for all $x, y \in L$.

Proof : The proof of (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) are straightforward. Now we proceed to prove the following.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let μ be a kernel fuzzy ideal. Then $\mu = [\psi]_0$ for some $*$ -fuzzy congruence ψ . Suppose $x, y \in L$ such that $x^* = y^*$. Then $\mu(x) = \psi(x, 0) \leq \psi(x^*, 0^*) = \psi(y^*, 0^*)$. Since ψ is a fuzzy congruence, we have $\mu(x) \leq \psi(y^*, 0^*) \wedge \psi(y, y) \leq \psi(y^* \wedge y, 0^* \wedge y) = \psi(0, y) = \mu(y)$. Similarly, $\mu(y) \leq \mu(x)$. Thus $\mu(x) = \mu(y)$.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Suppose condition (4) holds. Consider the fuzzy relation $\psi(\mu)$ defined on L by: $\psi(\mu)(x, y) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(z) : x \wedge z^* = y \wedge z^*, z \in L\}$. It is shown from the proof of Theorem (3.7) that $\psi(\mu)$ is a $*$ -fuzzy congruence on L . Now we proceed to show that $\mu = [\psi(\mu)]_0$. Let $x \in L$, $[\psi(\mu)]_0(x) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(a) : x \wedge a^* = 0\} \geq \mu(x)$. To show the other inequality, let $y \in L$ satisfying $x \wedge y^* = 0$. Then $y^{**} \wedge x = x$. Which implies $\mu(x) = \mu(y^{**} \wedge x) \geq \mu(x) \vee \mu(y^{**})$. By condition (4), we get that $\mu(x) \geq \mu(x) \vee \mu(y)$. Thus $\mu(x) \geq \mu(y)$. This shows that $\mu(x)$ is an upper bound of $\{\mu(a) : x \wedge a^* = 0\}$. Thus $\mu(x) \geq [\psi(\mu)]_0(x)$. So $\mu \subseteq [\psi(\mu)]_0$. Hence $\mu = [\psi(\mu)]_0$. $\square$

Corollary 4.3 : If μ is a kernel fuzzy ideal of L , then $\psi(\mu)$ is the smallest $*$ -fuzzy congruence with kernel μ .

Proof : It is shown from the proof of Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 4.2 that $\psi(\mu)$ is a $*$ -fuzzy congruence with kernel μ . To show $\psi(\mu)$ is the smallest $*$ -fuzzy congruence with kernel μ , let ϕ be a $*$ -fuzzy congruence with kernel μ . For any $x, y \in L$,

$$\psi(\mu)(x, y) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(z) : x \wedge z^* = y \wedge z^*, z \in L\}.$$

Since ϕ is a $*$ -fuzzy congruence, $\phi(x \wedge a^*, x) \geq \phi(x, x) \wedge \phi(a^*, 0^*) = \phi(a^*, 0^*) \geq \phi(a, 0) = \mu(a)$. Similarly, $\phi(y \wedge a^*, y) \geq \mu(a)$. If $x \wedge a^* = y \wedge a^*$, then $\phi(x, x \wedge a^*) \wedge \phi(y \wedge a^*, y) \geq \mu(a)$. This implies that $\phi(x, y)$ is an upper bound of $\{\mu(z) : x \wedge z^* = y \wedge z^*, z \in L\}$. Thus $\psi(\mu) \subseteq \phi$. So $\psi(\mu)$ is the smallest $*$ -fuzzy congruence with kernel μ . $\square$

The set $S(L) = \{x^{**} : x \in L\}$ is called the skeleton of L . The elements of $S(L)$ are called skeletal.

Lemma 4.4 : Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. If x is a skeletal element, then α_x is a kernel fuzzy ideal.

Theorem 4.5 : A fuzzy subset μ of L is a kernel fuzzy ideal if and only if each level subset of μ is kernel ideal of L .

Corollary 4.6 : A non-empty subset I of L is a kernel ideal of L if and only if χ_I is a kernel fuzzy ideal.

Definition 4.7 : A fuzzy filter μ of L is called a cokernel fuzzy filter if $\mu = [\psi]_m$, where ψ is a $*$ -fuzzy congruence on L .

In the following theorem, we observe that every fuzzy filter of L is a cokernel fuzzy filter.

Theorem 4.8 : If η is a fuzzy filter of L , then the fuzzy relation defined by:

$$\Psi_\eta(x, y) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(a) : x \wedge a = y \wedge a, a \in L\}$$

is a $*$ -fuzzy congruence with cokernel fuzzy filter η . Moreover, Ψ_η is the smallest $*$ -fuzzy congruence on L .

Proof : Suppose that η is a fuzzy filter of L . Then clearly Ψ_η is a fuzzy congruence on L . Now we proceed to show that Ψ_η is a $*$ -fuzzy congruence. Let $x \in L$. Then

$$\Psi_\eta(x, 0) = \text{Sup}\{\eta(b) : x \wedge b = 0, b \in L\}.$$

If $x \wedge b = 0$, then $x^* \wedge b = 0^* \wedge b$. This shows that $\Psi_\eta(x, 0) \leq \Psi_\eta(x^*, 0^*)$. Thus by Theorem (3.5), Ψ_η is a $*$ -fuzzy congruence. To show η is a cokernel fuzzy filter, let $x \in L$. Then $\psi_\eta(x, m) = \text{Sup}\{\eta(a) : x \wedge a = m \wedge a\} \geq \eta(b)$.

On the other hand, let $b \in L$ such that $x \wedge b = b = m \wedge b$. Since η is a fuzzy filter, $\eta(b) = \eta(x) \wedge \eta(b)$. Which implies $\eta(b) \leq \eta(x)$. This shows us $\eta(x)$ is an upper bound of $\{\eta(a) : x \wedge a = m \wedge a\}$. Thus $\eta(x) \geq \Psi_\eta(x, m)$. So η is a cokernel of Ψ_η .

Finally, we show that Ψ_η is the smallest *-fuzzy congruence with cokernel η . Let ϕ be a *-fuzzy congruence with cokernel η . For any $x, y, a \in L$,

$$\eta(a) = \phi(m, a) = \phi(m, a) \wedge \phi(x, x) \leq \phi(m \wedge x, a \wedge x) = \phi(x, x \wedge a).$$

Similarly, $\phi(y \wedge a, y) \geq \eta(a)$.

Let $x \wedge a = y \wedge a$. Since ϕ is a fuzzy congruence, we get that $\phi(x, y) \geq \eta(a)$. It shows that $\phi(x, y)$ is an upper bound of $\{\eta(a) : x \wedge a = y \wedge a\}$. Thus $\phi(x, y) \geq \Psi_\eta(x, y)$. So Ψ_η is the smallest *-fuzzy congruence with cokernel η . $\square$

Lemma 4.9 : *If μ is a fuzzy ideal of L , then the fuzzy subset μ_* of L defined by:*

$$\mu_*(x) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(a) : x \wedge a^* = a^*\}$$

is a fuzzy filter of L .

Theorem 4.10 : *Let μ be a nonempty fuzzy subset of L . μ is a kernel fuzzy ideal if and only if μ is a fuzzy ideal of L and $\mu(x) = \mu(x^{**})$ for all $x \in L$.*

Proof : Let μ be a kernel fuzzy ideal of L . Then $\mu = [\psi]_0$ for some *-fuzzy congruence ψ . For any $x \in L$, we have that $\mu(x^{**}) \leq \mu(x)$. Now,

$$\mu(x) = \psi(x, 0) \leq \psi(x^*, 0^*) \leq \psi(x^{**}, 0^{**}) = \psi(x^{**}, 0) = \mu(x^{**}).$$

Thus $\mu(x) = \mu(x^{**})$ for all $x \in L$. Suppose conversely the condition holds. Then by the above lemma μ_* is a fuzzy filter of L and hence by Theorem 4.8, ψ_{μ_*} is a *-fuzzy congruence with cokernel μ_* . To show that $[\psi_{\mu_*}]_0 = \mu$, let $x \in L$. Then

$$[\psi_{\mu_*}]_0(x) = \text{Sup}\{\mu_*(a) : x \wedge a = 0\} = \text{Sup}\{\text{Sup}\{\mu(b) : a \wedge b^* = b^*\} : x \wedge a = 0\}.$$

If $a \wedge b^* = b^*$, then $x \wedge a \wedge b^* = 0 = x \wedge b^*$. Since L is a pseudo-complemented ADL, $b^{**} \wedge x = x$. This implies $\mu(x) \geq \mu(b^{**}) \vee \mu(x)$. By the assumption, $\mu(x) \geq \mu(b) \vee \mu(x)$. Which implies $\mu(x) \geq \mu(b)$. Thus $[\psi_{\mu_*}]_0 \subseteq \mu$. On the other hand, since $x \wedge x^* = 0$, we have $[\psi_{\mu_*}]_0(x) \geq \mu_*(x^*) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(b) : x^* \wedge b^* = b^*\} \geq \mu(x)$. Thus $\mu = [\psi_{\mu_*}]_0$. So μ is a kernel fuzzy ideal of L . $\square$

Corollary 4.11 : *Let μ and θ be kernel fuzzy ideals of L . Then $\mu \cap \theta$ is kernel fuzzy ideal of L .*

Corollary 4.11 can be generalized as given in the following.

If $\{\mu_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a nonempty family of kernel fuzzy ideals of L , then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mu_\alpha$ is a kernel fuzzy ideal of L .

In the following theorem, we show that for any fuzzy ideal there exists the smallest kernel fuzzy ideal containing it.

Theorem 4.12 : For any fuzzy ideal μ of L ,

$$\Gamma(\mu)(x) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(a) : a^{**} \wedge x = x, a \in L\}$$

is the smallest kernel fuzzy ideal containing μ .

Proof : Since $x^{**} \wedge x = x$ for all $x \in L$, we have that $\mu(x) \leq \Gamma(\mu)(x)$. Thus $\mu \subseteq \Gamma(\mu)$. Now we show that $\Gamma(\mu)$ is a fuzzy ideal of L . Let $x, y \in L$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\mu)(x) \wedge \Gamma(\mu)(y) &= \text{Sup}\{\mu(a) : a^{**} \wedge x = x\} \wedge \text{Sup}\{\mu(b) : b^{**} \wedge y = y\} \\ &= \text{Sup}\{\mu(a \vee b) : a^{**} \wedge x = x, b^{**} \wedge y = y\} \end{aligned}$$

Let $a^{**} \wedge x = x$ and $b^{**} \wedge y = y$. Then $(a \vee b)^{**} \wedge (x \vee y) = x \vee y$ and $(a \vee b)^{**} \wedge y = y$. Thus $(a \vee b)^{**} \wedge (x \vee y) = ((a \vee b)^{**} \wedge x) \vee ((a \vee b)^{**} \wedge y) = x \vee y$. Then we can easily verified that $\Gamma(\mu)(x) \wedge \Gamma(\mu)(y) \leq \Gamma(\mu)(x \vee y)$. Moreover, we can show that $\Gamma(\mu)(x \wedge y) \geq \Gamma(\mu)(x)$ and $\Gamma(\mu)(x \wedge y) \geq \Gamma(\mu)(y)$. Thus $\Gamma(\mu)$ is a fuzzy ideal of L .

Next, we proceed to show that $\Gamma(\mu)$ is a kernel fuzzy ideal of L . To prove our claim, it is enough to show that $\Gamma(\mu(x^{**})) = \Gamma(\mu)(x)$ for all $x \in L$. Since $x^{**} \wedge x = x$, we get that $\Gamma(\mu(x^{**})) \leq \Gamma(\mu)(x)$. On the other hand, $\Gamma(\mu)(x) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(a) : a^{**} \wedge x = x\} \leq \text{Sup}\{\mu(a) : a^{**} \wedge x^{**} = x^{**}\} = \Gamma(\mu)(x^{**})$. Thus $\Gamma(\mu)(x) = \Gamma(\mu)(x^{**})$. So by Theorem 4.10, $\Gamma(\mu)$ is a kernel fuzzy ideal.

To show $\Gamma(\mu)$ is the smallest kernel fuzzy ideal, let η be a kernel fuzzy ideal containing μ . For any $x \in L$, $\Gamma(\mu)(x) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(a) : a^{**} \wedge x = x\} \leq \text{Sup}\{\eta(a) : a^{**} \wedge x = x\}$. If $a^{**} \wedge x = x$, then $\eta(x) \geq \eta(a^{**})$. Since η is a kernel fuzzy ideal, then $\eta(a) = \eta(a^{**})$. This shows that $\eta(x)$ is an upper bound of $\{\mu(a) : a^{**} \wedge x = x\}$. Thus $\Gamma(\mu) \subseteq \eta$. So $\Gamma(\mu)$ is the smallest kernel fuzzy ideal containing μ . $\square$

Lemma 4.13 : For any fuzzy ideal μ of L , the map $\mu \rightarrow \Gamma(\mu)$ is a closure operator on $FI(L)$. That is,

- (1) $\mu \subseteq \Gamma(\mu)$,
- (2) $\Gamma(\Gamma(\mu)) = \Gamma(\mu)$,
- (3) $\mu \subseteq \theta \Rightarrow \Gamma(\mu) \subseteq \Gamma(\theta)$, for any $\mu, \theta \in FI(L)$.

Kernel fuzzy ideals are simply the closed elements with respect to the closure operator.

Lemma 4.14 : *If $\mu, \theta \in FKI(L)$, the supremum of μ and θ is given by*

$$(\mu \underline{\vee} \theta)(x) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(a) \wedge \theta(b) : (a \vee b)^{**} \wedge x = x, a, b \in L\}.$$

Proof : To prove our claim it is enough to show that $\mu \underline{\vee} \theta = \Gamma(\mu \vee \theta)$. Let $x \in L$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu \underline{\vee} \theta)(x) &= \text{Sup}\{\mu(b_1) \wedge \theta(b_2) : (b_1 \vee b_2)^{**} \wedge x = x, b_1, b_2 \in L\} \\ &\leq \text{Sup}\{\text{Sup}\{\mu(b_1) \wedge \theta(b_2) : b_1 \vee b_2 = b\} : b^{**} \wedge x = x\} \\ &= \text{Sup}\{(\mu \vee \theta)(b) : b^{**} \wedge x = x\} \\ &= \Gamma(\mu \vee \theta) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mu \underline{\vee} \theta \leq \Gamma(\mu \vee \theta)$. Since $\Gamma(\mu \vee \theta)$ is the smallest fuzzy ideal containing μ and θ , we get that $\Gamma(\mu \vee \theta) \leq \mu \underline{\vee} \theta$. Therefore $\mu \underline{\vee} \theta$ is the smallest kernel fuzzy ideal containing μ and θ . $\square$

The set of fuzzy ideals of $S(L)$ and the set of kernel fuzzy ideals of L are denoted by $FI(S(L))$ and $FKI(L)$ respectively.

Lemma 4.15 : *Let $\mu, \theta \in FKI(L)$. Then $\Gamma(\mu \cap \theta) = \Gamma(\mu) \cap \Gamma(\theta)$.*

Theorem 4.16 : *The set $FKI(L)$ forms a complete distributive lattice with respect to inclusion ordering of fuzzy sets.*

Proof : Clearly $(FKI(L), \subseteq)$ is a partially ordered set. For $\mu, \theta \in FKI(L)$, define

$$\mu \wedge \theta = \mu \cap \theta \text{ and } \mu \underline{\vee} \theta = \Gamma(\mu \vee \theta).$$

Then $\mu \wedge \theta, \mu \underline{\vee} \theta \in FKI(L)$. Thus $(FKI(L), \underline{\vee}, \cap)$ is a lattice. Now we proceed to show $FKI(L)$ is distributive. Let $\mu, \theta, \eta \in FKI(L)$. Then $(\mu \cap \theta) \underline{\vee} (\mu \cap \eta) \subseteq \mu \cap (\theta \underline{\vee} \eta)$. To show the other inclusion, $\mu \cap (\theta \underline{\vee} \eta) \subseteq \Gamma(\mu) \cap \Gamma(\theta \vee \eta) = \Gamma((\mu \cap \theta) \vee (\mu \cap \eta)) = (\mu \cap \theta) \underline{\vee} (\mu \cap \eta)$. Thus $FKI(L)$ is a distributive lattice. Finally, let $\{\mu_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a subfamily of $FKI(L)$. Then by Corollary 4.11 $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mu_\alpha$ is a kernel fuzzy ideal of L . Since $(FKI(L), \subseteq)$ is a poset with greatest element χ_L and every subfamily of $FKI(L)$ has infimum, it follows that $FKI(L)$ is complete. Therefore, $FKI(L)$ is a complete distributive lattice. $\square$

Theorem 4.17 : *If $\pi : FKI(L) \rightarrow FI(S(L))$ is defined by*

$$\pi(\mu)(b) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(x) : b = x^{**}, b \in S(L), x \in L\}$$

for all $\mu \in FKI(L)$, then it is a lattice isomorphism between $FKI(L)$ and the lattice of fuzzy ideals of the skeleton $S(L)$.

Proof: First, we need to show $\pi(\mu)$ is a fuzzy ideal of $S(L)$. Since $0 = 0^{**}$, we have $\pi(\mu)(0) = 1$. For any $a, b \in L$,

$$\pi(\mu)(a) \wedge \pi(\mu)(b) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(x) : a = x^{**}\} \wedge \text{Sup}\{\mu(y) : b = y^{**}\}.$$

If $a = x^{**}$ and $b = y^{**}$, then $a \vee b = x^{**} \vee y^{**} = (x \vee y)^{**}$. It can be easily verified that $\pi(\mu)(a) \wedge \pi(\mu)(b) \leq \pi(\mu)(a \vee b)$. Since $a, b \in S(L)$, we have $a \wedge b = (a \wedge b)^{**}$. Thus $\pi(\mu)(a) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(x) : a = x^{**}\} \leq \text{Sup}\{\mu(x \wedge b) : a \wedge b = (x \wedge b)^{**}\} = \pi(\mu)(a \wedge b)$. Similarly, $\pi(\mu)(a \wedge b) \geq \pi(\mu)(b)$. Thus $\pi(\mu)$ is a fuzzy ideal of $S(L)$.

Next, we show that π is a homomorphism. Let $\mu, \theta \in FKI(L)$. Since $\mu \cap \theta \subseteq \mu, \theta$, we have $\pi(\mu \cap \theta) \subseteq \pi(\mu) \cap \pi(\theta)$. To show the other inclusion, let $a \in L$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi(\mu) \cap \pi(\theta))(a) &= \text{Sup}\{\mu(x) : a = x^{**}\} \wedge \text{Sup}\{\theta(y) : a = y^{**}\} \\ &\leq \text{Sup}\{\mu(x \wedge y) \wedge \theta(x \wedge y) : a = x^{**}, a = y^{**}\} \\ &\leq \text{Sup}\{(\mu \cap \theta)(x \wedge y) : a = (x \wedge y)^{**}\} \\ &\leq \pi(\mu \cap \theta)(a) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\pi(\mu \cap \theta) = \pi(\mu) \cap \pi(\theta)$. Since $\mu, \theta \subseteq \mu \vee \theta$, we have $\pi(\mu) \vee \pi(\theta) \subseteq \pi(\mu \vee \theta)$. Again,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\mu \vee \theta)(a) &= \text{Sup}\{(\mu \vee \theta)(x) : a = x^{**}\} \\ &= \text{Sup}\{\text{Sup}\{\mu(a_1) \wedge \theta(a_2) : x = (a_1 \vee a_2)^{**} \wedge x\} : a = x^{**}\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi(\mu) \vee \pi(\theta))(a) &= \text{Sup}\{\pi(\mu)(b_1) \vee \pi(\theta)(b_2) : a = b_1 \vee b_2\} \\ &= \text{Sup}\{\text{Sup}\{\mu(x_1) : b_1 = x_1^{**}\} \wedge \text{Sup}\{\theta(x_2) : b_2 = x_2^{**}\} : \\ &\quad a = x_1^{**} \vee x_2^{**}\} \\ &= \text{Sup}\{\text{Sup}\{\mu(x_1) \wedge \theta(x_2) : b_1 = x_1^{**}, b_2 = x_2^{**}\} : \\ &\quad a = x_1^{**} \vee x_2^{**}\} \end{aligned}$$

If $a = x^{**}$ and $x = (a_1 \vee a_2)^{**} \wedge x$, then $(a_1 \wedge x)^{**} \vee (a_2 \wedge x)^{**} = a$. Put $r_1 = a_1 \wedge x$ and $r_2 = a_2 \wedge x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\mu \vee \theta)(a) &\leq \text{Sup}\{\text{Sup}\{\mu(r_1) \wedge \theta(r_2) : r_1 = (a_1 \wedge x)^{**}, r_2 = (a_2 \wedge x)^{**}\} : \\ &\quad a = r_1^{**} \vee r_2^{**}\} \\ &= (\pi(\mu) \vee \pi(\theta))(a) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\pi(\mu \vee \theta) = \pi(\mu) \vee \pi(\theta)$. So π is a homomorphism.

Now we proceed to show that, π is one to one and onto. To show π is one to one, let $\mu, \theta \in FKI(L)$ such that $\pi(\mu) = \pi(\theta)$. Let $x \in L$. Since μ is a kernel fuzzy ideal, we have $\mu(x) = \mu(x^{**}) \leq \pi(\mu)(x^{**}) = \pi(\theta)(x^{**})$. This implies $\mu(x) \leq \sup\{\theta(a) : x^{**} = a^{**}\}$. If $x^{**} = a^{**}$, then $\theta(x) = \theta(a)$ for each $a \in L$ satisfying $x^{**} = a^{**}$. This shows that $\theta(x)$ is an upper bound of $\{\theta(a) : x^{**} = a^{**}\}$. Thus $\theta(x) \geq \mu(x)$. So $\mu \subseteq \theta$. Similarly, $\theta \subseteq \mu$. Hence $\theta = \mu$. Therefore π is one to one.

To show π is onto, let θ be a fuzzy ideal of $S(L)$. Define a fuzzy subset μ of L as $\mu(x) = \theta(x^{**})$. Clearly μ is a kernel fuzzy ideal of L . Finally, we show that $\pi(\mu) = \theta$. Let $x \in L$. Then $\pi(\mu)(b) = \sup\{\mu(x) : b = x^{**}, x \in L\}$. Since $\mu(x) = \theta(x^{**})$ and $b = x^{**}$, we get that $\pi(\mu)(b) = \theta(b)$. Thus $\pi(\mu) = \theta$. So π is onto. Hence π is a lattice isomorphism. Moreover, if we define $\bar{\pi} : FI(S(L)) \rightarrow FKI(L)$ by $\bar{\pi}(x) = \mu(x^{**})$ for all $\mu \in FI(S(L))$, then π and $\bar{\pi}$ are mutually inverse lattice isomorphism between $FKI(L)$ and the lattice of fuzzy ideals of the skeleton $FI(S(L))$.

The set of dense elements of L denoted by D and it is a filter of L . For any fuzzy ideal μ of L , we define the following fuzzy relations on L .

- (1) $\phi_1(x, y) = \sup\{\mu(a) : x^{**} \wedge a^* = y^{**} \wedge a^*, a \in L\}$.
- (2) $\phi_2(x, y) = \sup\{\mu(a) : x \wedge b \wedge a^* = y \wedge b \wedge a^*, b \in D, a \in L\}$.

Theorem 4.18 : For a fuzzy ideal μ of L , $\phi_1 = \phi_2$,

Proof : Let $x, y \in L$. Then $\phi_1(x, y) = \sup\{\mu(a) : x^{**} \wedge a^* = y^{**} \wedge a^*, a \in L\}$. Since $x \vee x^*$ and $y \vee y^*$ are dense elements, then $b = (x \vee x^*) \wedge (y \vee y^*) \in D$. If $x^{**} \wedge a^* = y^{**} \wedge a^*$, then $x^{**} \wedge b \wedge a^* = y^{**} \wedge b \wedge a^*$. Since $x^{**} \wedge (x \vee x^*) = x$ and $y^{**} \wedge (y \vee y^*) = y$, we have $x \wedge b \wedge a^* = x^{**} \wedge b \wedge a^*$ and $y \wedge b \wedge a^* = y^{**} \wedge b \wedge a^*$. Based on this we have, $\phi_1(x, y) \leq \sup\{\mu(a) : x \wedge b \wedge a^* = y \wedge b \wedge a^*\} = \phi_2(x, y)$. Thus $\phi_1 \subseteq \phi_2$. On the other hand, $\phi_2(x, y) = \sup\{\mu(a) : x \wedge b \wedge a^* = y \wedge b \wedge a^*, b \in D, a \in L\}$. If $x \wedge b \wedge a^* = y \wedge b \wedge a^*$, then $x^{**} \wedge b^{**} \wedge a^* = y^{**} \wedge b^{**} \wedge a^*$. Since $b \in D$, we get that $x^{**} \wedge a^* = y^{**} \wedge a^*$. Using this fact we have, $\phi_2(x, y) \leq \sup\{\mu(a) : x^{**} \wedge a^* = y^{**} \wedge a^*\} = \phi_1(x, y)$. Thus $\phi_2 \subseteq \phi_1$. So $\phi_1 = \phi_2$. $\square$

Theorem 4.19 : For a fuzzy ideal μ of L , ϕ_2 (or ϕ_1) is a $*$ -fuzzy congruence on L .

Proof : Clearly ϕ_2 is reflexive and symmetric. Now

(1) For $x, y, z \in L$,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_2(x, y) \wedge \phi_2(y, z) &= \text{Sup}\{\mu(a_1) : x \wedge b_1 \wedge a_1^* = y \wedge b_1 \wedge a_1^*, b_1 \in D, a_1 \in L\} \\ &\quad \wedge \text{Sup}\{\mu(a_2) : y \wedge b_2 \wedge a_2^* = z \wedge b_2 \wedge a_2^*, b_2 \in D, a_2 \in L\} \\ &= \text{Sup}\{\mu(a_1 \vee a_2) : x \wedge b_1 \wedge a_1^* = y \wedge b_1 \wedge a_1^*, \\ &\quad y \wedge b_2 \wedge a_2^* = z \wedge b_2 \wedge a_2^*\}\end{aligned}$$

If $x \wedge b_1 \wedge a_1^* = y \wedge b_1 \wedge a_1^*$ and $y \wedge b_2 \wedge a_2^* = z \wedge b_2 \wedge a_2^*$, then $x \wedge b_1 \wedge b_2 \wedge (a_1 \vee a_2)^* = y \wedge b_1 \wedge b_2 \wedge (a_1 \vee a_2)^*$ and $y \wedge b_1 \wedge b_2 \wedge (a_1 \vee a_2)^* = z \wedge b_1 \wedge b_2 \wedge (a_1 \vee a_2)^*$. Put $b = b_1 \wedge b_2$ and $a = a_1 \vee a_2$. Then $b \in D$. Using this fact, we can easily show that $\phi_2(x, y) \wedge \phi_2(y, z) \leq \phi_2(x, z)$. Thus ϕ_2 is transitive.

(2) For $x, y, w, z \in L$,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_2(x, y) \wedge \phi_2(w, z) &= \text{Sup}\{\mu(a_1) : x \wedge b_1 \wedge a_1^* = y \wedge b_1 \wedge a_1^*, b_1 \in D, a_1 \in L\} \\ &\quad \wedge \text{Sup}\{\mu(a_2) : w \wedge b_2 \wedge a_2^* = z \wedge b_2 \wedge a_2^*, b_2 \in D, a_2 \in L\} \\ &= \text{Sup}\{\mu(a_1 \vee a_2) : x \wedge b_1 \wedge a_1^* = y \wedge b_1 \wedge a_1^*, \\ &\quad w \wedge b_2 \wedge a_2^* = z \wedge b_2 \wedge a_2^*\}\end{aligned}$$

If $x \wedge b_1 \wedge a_1^* = y \wedge b_1 \wedge a_1^*$ and $w \wedge b_2 \wedge a_2^* = z \wedge b_2 \wedge a_2^*$, then $x \wedge b \wedge a^* = y \wedge b \wedge a^*$ and $w \wedge b \wedge a^* = z \wedge b \wedge a^*$. This implies $(x \wedge w) \wedge b \wedge a^* = (y \wedge z) \wedge b \wedge a^*$ and $(x \vee w) \wedge b \wedge a^* = (y \vee z) \wedge b \wedge a^*$. Based on this fact we get, $\phi_2(x, y) \wedge \phi_2(w, z) \leq \phi_2(x \wedge w, y \wedge z)$ and $\phi_2(x, y) \wedge \phi_2(w, z) \leq \phi_2(x \vee w, y \vee z)$. Thus ϕ_2 is a fuzzy congruence. Finally, we show that ϕ_2 is a *-fuzzy congruence. Let $x, y \in L$. Then by the above theorem, $\phi_2(x, y) = \phi_1(x, y)$. Thus $\phi_1(x, y) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(a) : x^{**} \wedge a^* = y^{**} \wedge a^*, a \in L\}$. If $x^{**} \wedge a^* = y^{**} \wedge a^*$, then $(x^{**} \wedge a^*)^* = (y^{**} \wedge a^*)^*$. Which implies $x^* \vee a^{**} = y^* \vee a^{**}$ in the skeleton $(S(L))$. Since $S(L)$ is a Boolean algebra, it follows that $x^* \wedge a^* = y^* \wedge a^*$. This shows that $\phi_2(x, y) \leq \phi_2(x^*, y^*)$. Thus ϕ_2 is a *-fuzzy congruence on L . $\square$

Conclusion

In this work, we introduce the concept of kernel fuzzy ideals and *-fuzzy filters of a pseudo-complemented almost distributive lattices and investigate some of their properties. We give a necessary and sufficient conditions for a fuzzy congruence to be a *-fuzzy congruence. Furthermore, we established a set of equivalent condition for a fuzzy ideal to be a kernel

fuzzy ideal. We also proved that the class of kernel fuzzy ideals form a complete distributive lattice. Finally, we prove that every fuzzy filter is the cokernel of a $*$ -fuzzy congruence. Our future work will focus on α -fuzzy ideals in C-algebras.

References

- [1] G. M. Addis, Congruence relations on pseudocomplemented almost distributive lattices, *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography* (Accepted).
- [2] B. A. Alaba and G. M. Addis, Fuzzy congruence relations on almost distributive lattices, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* 14 (2017), No. 3, 315-330.
- [3] B. A. Alaba and W. Z. Norahun, Fuzzy annihilator ideals in distributive lattices, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* 16 (2018), No. 2, 191-200.
- [4] B. A. Alaba and W. Z. Norahun, α -fuzzy ideals and space of prime α -fuzzy ideals in distributive lattices, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* 17 (2019), No. 2, 147-163.
- [5] B. A. Alaba and W. Z. Norahun, σ -fuzzy ideals of distributive p-algebras, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* 17 (2019), No. 3, 289 - 301.
- [6] B. A. Alaba and W. Z. Norahun, Fuzzy Ideals and Fuzzy Filters of Pseudo-complemented Semilattices, *Advances in Fuzzy Systems*. Volume 2019 (2019).
- [7] B. A. Alaba and W. Z. Norahun, δ -fuzzy ideals in pseudo-complemented distributive lattices, *J. Appl. Math. and Informatics* Vol. 37(2019), No. 5 - 6, pp. 383 - 397.
- [8] B. A. Alaba, M. A. Taye and W. Z. Norahun, d-fuzzy ideals in distributive lattices, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* 18 (2019), No. 3, 233-243.
- [9] N. Ajmal and A. S. Prajapati, Fuzzy cosets and fuzzy normal subgroups, *Inform. Sci.* 64 (1992) 237-240.
- [10] N. Ajmal and K. V. Thomas, *Fuzzy lattices*, *Inform. Sci.* 79 (1994) 271-291.
- [11] M. Akgül, Some properties of fuzzy groups, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 133 (1988) 93-100. 29.
- [12] J. M. Anthony and H. Sherwood, Fuzzy subgroups redefined, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 69 (1979) 124-130.

- [13] G. Birkhoff, Lattice theory, Colloquium Publication, vol. 25, Amer. Math. Soc., New York, 1948.
- [14] W. H. Cornish, Congruences on distributive pseudo-complemented lattices, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 8 (1973), 161-179.
- [15] O. Frink, Pseudo-complements in semi-lattices, *Duke Math. J.* 29 (1962), 505-514.
- [16] I. J. Kumar, P. K. Saxtena and P. Yadav, Fuzzy normal subgroups and fuzzy quotients, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 46 (1992) 121-132.
- [17] R. Kumar, Fuzzy prime spectrum of a ring, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 46 (1992) 147-154.
- [18] R. Kumar, Certain fuzzy ideals of rings redefined, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 46 (1992) 251-260.
- [19] W. J. Liu, Fuzzy invariants subgroups and fuzzy ideals, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 8 (1982) 133-139.
- [20] D. S. Malik, Fuzzy ideals of Artinian rings, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 46 (1990) 111-115.
- [21] D. S. Malik and J. N. Mordeson, Fuzzy primary representation of fuzzy ideals, *Inform. Sci.*, 55 (1991) 151-165.
- [22] N. P. Mukherjee and P. Bhattacharya, Fuzzy normal subgroups and fuzzy cosets, *Inform. Sci.*, 34 (1984) 225-239.
- [23] T. K. Mukherjee and M. K. Sen, On fuzzy ideals of a ring (I), *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 21 (1987) 99-104.
- [24] M. S. Rao and G. C. Rao, Kernel ideals in almost distributive lattices, *Asian-European Journal of Mathematics*, 5 2 (2012).
- [25] R. Rasuli, Fuzzy congruence product lattice under T-norms, *Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences*, 42: 2 (2021), 333 - 343.
- [26] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy Subgroups, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 35 (1971), 512-517.
- [27] U. M. Swamy and G. C. Rao, Almost distributive lattice, *J. Aust. Math. Soc. (Series A)*, 31(1981),77-91.
- [28] U. M. Swamy and D. V. Raju, Fuzzy ideals and congruences of lattices, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 95 (1998) 249-253.
- [29] U. M. Swamy, G. C. Rao and G. N. Rao, Pseudo-complementation on almost distributive lattices, *South Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 24 (2000), 95-104.

- [30] U. M. Swamy, C. S. S. Raj and N. Teshale, Fuzzy ideals of almost distributive lattices, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.*, 14 (2017), No. 4, 371-379.
- [31] U. M. Swamy, C. S. S. Raj and N. Teshale, L-Fuzzy filters of almost distributive lattices, *IJMSC*, 8 (2018), No. 1, 35-43.
- [32] B. Yuan and W. Wu, Fuzzy ideals on a distributive lattice, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 35 (1990) 231-240.
- [33] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, *Information and Control*, 8 (1965), 338-353.

Received January, 2021

Revised May, 2021